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Aeromonas species are ubiquitous aquatic micro-organisms which are opportunistic pathogens that have been associated to wound infections, gastroenteritis, septicemia, and traveler’s diarrhea in humans and hemorrhagic septicaemia in fish. The main routes of exposure in humans are ingestion of contaminated foods and drinking water, or direct contact with recreational waters. In this study, a total of 450 samples were obtained from different sources and locations in Malaysia. Two hundred fifty samples of fresh water were obtained from ponds in UPM (n=150) and in tiger prawn farm in Malacca (n=150), respectively. In addition, 100 samples of shrimps (Penaeus indicus) were purchased from different wet markets in Selangor state: Seri Kembangan (35), Kajang (35) and Bangi (30). Hundred samples of fish (Clarias batrachus) (n=50) and Tiger prawn (Penaeus monodon) (n=50) were obtained from UPM and tiger prawn farm in Malacca, respectively. 238 (52.8%) of the 450 samples were tested positive for the isolation of Aeromonas species, 49 (10.8%), 43 (9.5%),
33 (7.3%), 37 (8.4%), 25 (5.5%), 23 (5.1%), 19 (4.2%), 15 (3.3%) and 2% harbored
A. veronii, A. allosacharophila, A. hydrophila, A. caviae, A. enterpelogenes, A. encheleia, A. trota, A. media like DNA and A. veronii biovar sobria, respectively. All
the strains of A. hydrophila, A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria were tested for
resistance to 15 antibiotics and 98.8% and 94.1% of the strains were resistant to
amoxicillin and penicillin, respectively, followed by teicoplanin (89.4%), penicillin
(81.1%) and 12.9% of the strains were found resistant to chloramphenicol. The
Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Indexing (MAR) and the bionumeric analysis of A.
hydrophila, A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria, showed that all of them
originated from high-risk sources. Two molecular typing methods were used in this
study to examine the intra/inter-specific genetic relatedness among the A. hydrophila,
A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria strains. In the analysis by RAPD-PCR and
ERIC-PCR, the size for RAPD and ERIC fragments ranged from 0.25 to 10.0 kb
with an average number of sixteen and eight bands, respectively. Eighty five
genotypes among the 85 A. hydrophila, A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria
isolates were generated using RAPD and ERIC-PCR which indicated that the strains
were very diverse. The PCR analysis for detection of aerolysin (aer) and hemolysin
(hly) showed that 50.5% of the isolates carried hemolysin (hly) gene and 45.9% of
the isolates carried aerolysin (aer) gene. The nucleotide blast results of aerolysin
gene sequences representative A. hydrophila, A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria
revealed a high homology of 94%, 95% and 95% for A. hydrophila, A. caviae and A.
veronii biovar sobria published sequences, respectively. The protein blast also
showed homology (97%, 94% and 96%) as compared with the Genbank database of
National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). The present study
demonstrates the high intra/inter-specific diversity within the Aeromonas species and
reveals a clear differentiation of strains according to their ecological origin. Increasing presence of haemolysin-producing multiple antibiotic resistant *A. hydrophila*, *A. caviae* and *A. veronii* biovar *sobria* in food and environment may become a potential human health hazard. In conclusion, the research undertaken has contributed significantly to our knowledge of *Aeromonas* by providing new information on its distribution, its specific detection by PCR and inter/intra-species relationship.
Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KEJADIAN DAN SIFAT-SIFAT MOLEKULAR SPESIS AEROMONAS DIPENCILKAN DARIPADA SUMBER IKAN, UDANG DAN AIR YANG DIPEROLEHI

Oleh

YOUSR ABDULHADI NOAMAN

September 2006

Pengerusi : Professor Madya Suhaimi Napis, PhD
Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul

Spesis Aeromonas adalah mikroorganisma akuatik yang umum dan patogen berpotensi yang dikaitkan dengan infeksi luka, gastroenteritis, septicemia dan traveler’s diarrhea di dalam manusia dan hemorrhagic septicemia di dalam ikan. Pendedahan-pendedahan utama di dalam manusia kepada bacteria ini adalah penghadaman makanan dan minuman yang tercemar, atau pendedahan langsung kepada air rekreasi. Di dalam kajian ini, sejumlah 450 sampel telah diperolehi daripada sumber-sumber dan tempat-tempat yang berbeza di Malaysia. Dua ratus lima puluh sampel air tawar telah diperolehi daripada kolam-kolam di UPM (n=150) dan kolam udang harimau di Melaka (n=150), masing-masing. Selain itu, 100 sampel udang kecil (Penaeus indicus) telah diperolehi daripada pasar malam yang berbeza di Selangor: Seri Kembangan (35), Kajang (35) dan Bangi (30). Seratus sampel ikan
(Penaeus indicus) (n=50) dan udang kecil (udang harimau) (n=50) diperolehi daripada UPM dan udang harimau di Melaka. Dua ratus tiga puluh lapan (52.8%) daripada 450 sampel adalah positif untuk ujian bagi kehadiran Aeromonas spesis, 49 (10.8%), 43 (9.5%), 36 (7.3%), 38 (8.4%), 25 (5.5%), 23 (5.1%), 19 (4.2%), 15 (3.3%) dan 2% membawa A. veronii, A. allosacharophila, A. hydrophila, A. caviae, A. encheleia, A. trota, A. media like DNA dan A. veronii biovar sobria. Kesemua pencilan A. hydrophila, A. caviae dan A. veronii biovar sobria diuji untuk kerintangan bagi 15 antibiotik dan didapati 98.8% dan 94.1% pencilan yang rintang kepada amoksilin dan penisilin, diikuti dengan teikoplanin (89.4%), penisilin (81.1%). 12.9% daripada pencilan didapati rintang kepada chloramphenicol. Multiple Antibiotic Resistance Indexing (MAR) dan analisis bionumerik untuk A. hydrophila, A. caviae dan A. veronii biovar sobria menunjukkan yang kesemua spesis ini berasal daripada sumber yang berisiko tinggi (high-risk sources). Dua metod molecular typing digunakan di dalam kajian ini untuk melihat kaitan intra/inter-specific genetik di antara pencilan-pencilan A. hydrophila, A. caviae dan A. veronii biovar sobria. Di dalam analisis RAPD-PCR dan ERIC-PCR, saiz fragment bagi RAPD dan ERIC adalah di antara 0.25 hingga 10.0 kb dengan purata bilangan bands di antara enam belas dan lapan bands. Lapan puluh lima genotype bagi 85 pencilan A. hydrophila, A. caviae dan A. veronii biovar sobria diperolehi menggunakan kaedah RAPD dan ERIC-PCR yang menunjukkan bahawa pencilan sangat pelbagai. Analisis PCR untuk penentuan gen aerolysin (aer) dan hemolysin (hly) menunjukkan 50.5% pencilan membawa gen hemolysin (hly) dan 45.9% pencilan membawa gen aerolysin. Keputusan nucleotide blast bagi turutan gen aerolysin representative A. hydrophila, A. caviae dan A. veronii biovar sobria menunjukkan homologi yang tinggi untuk turutan A. hydrophila, A. caviae and A. veronii biovar sobria yang sebenarnya.
Keputusan *protein blast* juga menunjukkan homologi (97%, 94% dan 96%) merujuk kepada *Genbank database of National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)*. Kajian ini menyatakan kepelbagaian *intra/inter-specific* yang tinggi di kalangan spesis *Aeromonas* dan menunjukkan perbezaan yang jelas bagi pencilan merujuk kepada ekologi asal mereka. Kehadiran *haemolysin-producing multiple antibiotic resistant* *A. hydrophila, A. caviae* dan *A. veronii biovar sobria* yang meningkat di dalam makanan dan persekitaran akan menjadi *hazard* yang berpotensi bagi manusia. Di dalam kesimpulan, kajian ini menyumbangkan kepada kami pengetahuan *Aeromonas* yang penting di dalam menyediakan informasi baru tentang kejadian, penentuan spesis menggunakan PCR dan hubungan inter/intra-spesis.
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CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Aeromonads were first described as pathogens for warm- and cold-blooded animals in 1891 and since then, their presence in drinking water has long been known (Gavriel et al., 1998). However, it was not until the 1960s that the Aeromonad was shown to be involved in the human infections. With the knowledge that these environmental microorganisms are responsible for human infections, there is a desire to better understand Aeromonads.

Aeromonads are facultative anaerobic, Gram-negative bacilli that are ubiquitous to aquatic environment (Janda and Abobott, 1998; Escarpulli et al., 2002). They have been isolated from all virtually known surfaces, fresh and marine aquatic environment including lakes, rivers, reservoirs and even from treated drinking water (Brandi et al., 1999; Sen and Rodgers, 2004). The only water source in which they are not often found is the well-protected underground water. Their presence in most sources of water is due to their ability to grow in a wide range of temperatures, mainly in the optimal temperature between 22 to 28°C, and to their requirement of only minimal amount of nutrients (Newcombe, 2005).

*Aeromonas* strains are both pathogenic and fairly innocuous, in which most of these usually lead to gastrointestinal problems (Vila et al., 2002). Extreme cases in very young or old and immuno-compromised patients, they can lead to diarrhea. *Aeromonas*- associated infections are most common in the summer months or in the warm climate countries; the environment that is optimal for the maximal levels of
bacteria in the water sources. In the same vein, Aeromonad strains have also been linked to wound and enteric extra-intestinal infections (Ferran et al., 2004; Newcombe, 2005).

Not all Aeromonads are pathogenic. Of the 17 characterized species of *Aeromonas*, nine are clinical specimens, while the rest have only been found in the environmental settings (Ko et al., 1996; Rabaan et al., 2001; Vila et al., 2002). *Aeromonas hydrophila*, *A. veronii* bv. *sobria*, and *A. caviae* pose the greatest public health risk, accounting for greater than 80% of the clinical isolates (Patrick, 2003). The *A. veronii* bv. *sobria* is the most common species found in lakes, reservoirs and treated drinking water, while the *Aeromonas veronii* bv. *sobria* and *A. caviae* are the most common species found in intestinal infections, and *A. veronii* bv. *sobria* and *A. hydrophila* are the most common species found in extra-intestinal sources (Kelly et al., 1993; Swift et al., 1999; Guadalupe et al., 2005).

Antibiotic resistance is a significant human health issue and there have been many papers reporting a link between the use of antibiotics in the food producing animals, emergence of antibiotic resistance in *Salmonella*, *Escherichia coli*, *Aeromonas*, *Enterococci* and *Campylobacter* in treated animals, and transfer of these resistant organisms to humans (or their resistance genes to human pathogens) via the food chain (Barton and Pace, 2000; Angulo et al., 2004) in recent years. In addition to transfer the resistant organisms through the consumption of the contaminated fish and shellfish, there is a substantial risk of the environmental contamination due to the practice of using medicated feeds to treat the whole pens or cages. Alderman and Hastings (1998) noted that the controls on the use of antibiotics in aquaculture vary
widely from country to country. In the developed countries such as members of the EU, USA, Canada and Norway, there is limited number of products, regulatory control is strong and the use of antibiotics is declining because of improvement in the management and development of effective vaccines (Burka et al. 1997; WHO 2002; Lillehaug et al. 2003). However, according to Bondad-Reantaso et al., (2005), 90% of the aquaculture production occurs in the developing countries where the regulatory controls are weak and use of antibiotics appears to be widespread.

The *Aeromonas* spp. is listed by the USEPA on the Candidate Contaminant List. Due to the fact that most waterborne *Aeromonas* lacks of the virulence determinants necessary to make them important from a public health perspective, simply collecting *Aeromonas* counts, without providing a characterization of the isolates, will result in an over-estimation of the public health significance of the isolates. A significant correlation between the *Aeromonas*-induced diarrhea and the presence of various enterotoxins from the *Aeromonas* spp. has been recently demonstrated, indicating that these enterotoxin genes can be used as a marker for the virulence potential of the organism. Currently, the number of species recognized within the genus has increased to 17 (Janda and Abbott, 1998). Despite the increase in the number of genospecies, only nine are currently recognized as human pathogens (Carnahan et al., 1991b). Aerokey II is a reliable and accurate system to identify most of the currently recognized Aeromonas species isolated from the clinical specimens (Carnahan et al., 1991b). A significant number of the virulence genes have been described among the *Aeromonas* species, including aerolysin, haemolysin, enterotoxins, proteases and haemagglutinins (Thornley et al., 1997). These virulence