UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

A READING OF VONNEGUT’S MAJOR NOVELS AS WORKS OF METAFIGTION

ABDOLRAZAGH BABAEI

FBMK 2013 53
A READING OF VONNEGUT'S MAJOR NOVELS AS WORKS OF METAFACTION

By

ABDOLRAZAGH BABAEI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2013
DEDICATION

I dedicate this thesis to all teachers who may teach with love.
A reading of Vonnegut’s major novels as metafiction grows out of the diverse critical reactions to the paradoxical nature of Kurt Vonnegut’s works as part of contemporary American literature. For Vonnegut, the fact that the autonomy of literature is a basic tenet of postmodernism does not make the challenge of pragmatic experimental literature any less real. Rather, Vonnegut suggests a kind of fiction that aims to alter the world and its inhabitants’ deeds while providing the basic prerequisites of postmodern fiction. Such innovative juxtaposition takes place through fictional writing, called metafiction, that self-consciously and systematically draws attention to its status as an artefact in order to pose questions about the relationship between fiction and reality. Metafiction helps Vonnegut to propose fiction that connects literature and the real world, thus providing his idealistic aspiration for artists as agents of change. Exposing the construction of a
fictional cosmos and the laying bare of that cosmos, metafictional strategies of authorship, frame-breaking, play, intertextuality and parody as the literary means of self-reflexive fiction display the uncertain, insecure, self-questioning and culturally pluralistic aspects of world narratives. Religion, history and American culture are the “grand narratives” to which Vonnegut offers his readers a better understanding of their fundamental structures by providing analogous counterparts in self-conscious narratives. By examining three selected novels, *Cat’s Cradle*, *Slaughterhouse-Five* and *Breakfast of Champions*, as examples of metafiction, the study shows how Vonnegut unmasks the grand narratives of American culture while offering ingenious and entertaining stories that call for readers with “imagination and ordering faculties alert and at work”. Vonnegut, in his metafictional trilogy while developing a blueprint for his art, adamantly exploits metafiction to give an accurate model for understanding the contemporary experience of the world as a series of constructed provisional systems rather than eternal verities.
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rekaan yang mampu menghubungkan bidang sastera dengan dunia sebenar, sekali gus menjadikan aspirasi idealistiknya sebagai suatu agen perubahan kepada para artis. Melalui pendedahan struktur pembinaan kosmos sesebuah cerita fiksyen, strategi-strategi metafiksyen yang merangkumi aspek pengarangan, pemecahan kerangka, drama, inter-textuality dan parodi sebagai kaedah sastera dalam fiksyen berbentuk refleksi kendir mempamerkan aspek-aspek ketidakpastian, kekurangan keyakinan, penyoalan kendir dan kepelbagaian budaya di dalam dunia cereka. Vonnegut mendorong para pembacanya untuk mendalami struktur-struktur dasar “cereka agung” melalui aspek agama, sejarah dan budaya Amerika dengan membekalkan penyalinan yang sejajar dalam cereka berunsurkan kesedaran diri. Dengan mengkaji tiga novel terpilih iaitu Cat’s Cradle, Slaughterhouse-Five dan Breakfast for Champions sebagai contoh-contoh metafiksyen, kajian ini menunjukkan bagaimana Vonnegut mleraikan cereka-cereka agung budaya Amerika di samping membekalkan cerita-cerita yang kreatif dan menghiburkan kepada para pembaca yang mempunyai “imaginasi dan fakulti penstruktur yang sedar dan berfungsi”. Dalam pengembangan pelan induk hasil karyanya, Vonnegut melalui trilogi berbentuk metafiksyennya berkeras untuk mengeksploitasi metafiksyen untuk memberikan model yang tepat bagi memahami pengalaman kontemporari dunia sebagai suatu siri sistem percubaan yang terbina berbanding dengan kebenaran yang kekal.
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