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Museums are not only architectural spaces contain artefacts. Visitors come to museums for both entertainment and education and in other word: ‘edutainment’. Thus, every visitor has his/her own perception and interpretation of artefacts and it is the museum organization duty to enhance this perception as desirable as possible.

Since perceiving the educational dimension of museums will be eased when people are moving and routing through the galleries and exhibitions, the way in which people are routed through the exhibitions can affect the overall perception.

Since the plan configuration of Islamic Arts Museum Malaysia is listed as plans with less simplicity, so the wayfinding problem can occur in the museum and following this problem, visitors are not able to perceive the educational message of the museum through the exhibits. However according to the literature there is a number of factors that are likely to affect wayfinding inside an environment and this study is an effort to find the effect of these factors on visitors’ wayfinding inside the museums beside the effect of floor plan configuration.

Method of inquiry applied in this study is survey; and the flow of the research starts with conducting a confirmation test in order to confirm the observed problems of
Kuala Lumpur Islamic Arts Museum regarding wayfinding issue. Once the survey was chosen as the method, the survey questionnaire was designed in order to gather the data in the form of categorical questions; therefore, the method to analyze the data was chosen to be Chi-square analysis.

The significant results of this study argue that between ‘spatial characteristics’ and ‘visitors’ demographic characteristics’ this is the latter that affects wayfinding inside the museum through constructing visitors’ expectation and consequently the perceived quality of the museum.
Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah
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Muzium bukan hanya satu ruang senibina yang mengumpulkan artifak. Pengunjung datang ke muzium dengan dua tujuan iaitu untuk keseronokan dan juga pembelajaran. Setiap pengunjung mempunyai pandangan dan interpretasi yang berbeza dan pihak muzium hendaklah memenuhi kehendak pengunjung sebaik mungkin.

Dimensi elemen pembelajaran akan lebih mudah jika pengunjung bergerak dan melalui ruang galeri dan pameran. Kaedah pergerakan dan hala tuju pengunjung juga member kesan terhadap persepsi pengunjung.

Muzium Kesenian Islam Malaysia yang kurang kesederhanaan menyebabkan masalah pencarian laluan berlaku, dan pengunjung tidak dapat maklumat pembelajaran sebaik mungkin. Walau bagaimanapun, terdapat faktor yang mempengaruhi pencarian laluan di dalam persekitaran dan kajian ini adalah bertujuan untuk mencari kesan factor-faktor ini ke atas pengunjung di dalam muzium selain daripada kesan ke atas konfigurasi susunatur pelan lantai.

Kajian ini mengaplikasikan kaedah tinjauan dan kajian dimulakan dengan mengadakan pra-ujian untuk mengesahkan masalah yang diperhatikan di Muzium

iv
Kesenian Islam Kuala Lumpur dan fokus kajian/masalah serta soalan kajian disahkan. Soalan kaji selidik dibuat dalam bentuk soalan berkategory dan analisis Chi-square digunakan untuk menganalisis data.

Keputusan yang signifikan dalam kajian ini menghuraikan bahawa ruang dan demografik memberi kesan terhadap pencarian laluan di dalam muzium melalui jangkaan pengunjung dan kualiti muzium yang diterima.
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