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Fruits and other agriculture products are valued by their appearance, which is a major factor in the judgment of quality. The human eye, for example, has historically judged quality via appearances. External features and properties such as colour, texture, shape, and size are good indicators for parameters like ripeness and defects. Grading varies among graders and is often inconsistent. The adaptation of human eye to small changes in colour and the effect of the background on the perceived colour and intensity are the main sources of error. Hence, grading system technologies offer a solution to these problems. The grading systems in general utilized improved engineering designs with image processing techniques to ensure the quality of the product. In this research, a real time oil palm grading system was built and an image processing techniques algorithm was developed based on the external features of oil palm fresh fruit bunches (FFB) such as colour, texture, and thorns. The purpose of which was to investigate the relationship between the external features and ripeness of different oil palm FFB types as well as to test and validate the implementation of oil palm grading system methods and techniques. Special grading system with specific methods and techniques was built with fast, accurate, and objective ripeness classification to work with the parameters and properties of oil palm FFB, which is important for the farmers to have an objective classifier before selling their product as well as the oil palm companies to classify correctly the quality of oil palm fruit bunches due to the variations in different oil palm qualities.

Image processing approaches, such as acquisition, pre-processing, segmentation, feature extraction, and classification as well as expert rule-based system, were developed to automate the ripeness grading for oil palm fruit bunches. Feature extraction for oil palm FFB colour, texture, and thorns was implemented by using statistical colour features, colour histogram, grey-level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM), basic grey level aura matrix technique (BGLAM), and Gabor wavelet techniques on the three different regions of interest (ROIs), namely, ROI1, ROI2, and ROI3. These ROIs were based on the training and the testing of the ANN, KNN, and SVM supervised machine-learning classifiers. Statistical measurements, such as
the area under the receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC), are used to evaluate classifier performance.

The performance results showed that BGLAM, which was based on the ANN classifier and applied on the ROI3, was the optimal technique for grading oil palm FFB types with 93% performance accuracy and a 0.44 second processing speed. Furthermore, the grading system graded the oil palm FFB ripeness based on three different models. First, a significant 93% performance accuracy and a 1.6 second processing speed were achieved by combining the colour histogram and the ANN classifier applied on ROI3 based on the Nigrescens and Oleifera colour model. A 1.4 second processing time was achieved when the combination was applied on ROI2 for the Viarscens colour model. Second, BGLAM and ANN applied on ROI3 achieved 92% accuracy and a 0.43 second processing time for the Nigrescens texture model. BGLAM and ANN achieved 93% accuracy applied on the ROI2 with a 0.40 second processing time for the Oleifera and Viarscens texture models, which are the optimal results based on the texture model. Third, GLCM and ANN applied on the ROI1 achieved 87% accuracy and a 3.7 second processing time for the Nigrescens thorns model, whereas BGLAM applied on the ROI3 based on SVM achieved 91% accuracy and a 1.20 second processing time for the Oleifera thorns model as well as 88% accuracy and a 0.83 second processing time for the Viarscens colour model. These results are optimal based on the thorns model. A new approach was developed using expert rules-based system. This system is based on three different ROIs that showed the best rule-based results, and were selected for further testing stages. For example, the rule-based ROIs for statistical color feature extraction with KNN classifier at 94% were chosen. The ROIs that indicated results higher than the rule-based outcome, such as the ROIs of statistical color feature extraction with ANN classifier at 94%, were used for further FFB ripeness testing.

The results show that the texture models gives the best algorithm result for oil palm FFB types and ripeness classification, where the BGLAM based on ANN with ROI3 gives a high accuracy 93% with shorter image processing time 0.44 (s) for FFB type recognition, whereas the algorithm of BGLAM based on ANN and ROI3 with accuracy 92% and short processing time 0.43 (s) for Nigrescens, as well as the algorithm of BGLAM based on ANN and ROI2 with accuracy 93% and short processing time 0.40 (s) for Oleifera and Viarscens. The best rule-based and ROIs results were selected for further testing stages. This research has achieved its stated goal of developing a real time oil palm grading system for automated FFB types and ripeness classification. This system will be useful to the oil palm plantations in Malaysia and the rest of the oil-palm growing world. The results will benefit oil palm engineers, mills, managers, small holders, and enforcement agencies.
Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah
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Pendekatan-pendekatan pemprosesan imej seperti pengambilalihan imej, pra-pemprosesan imej, segmentasi imej, ekstrakasi ciri-ciri imej, dan klasifikasi imej serta sistem pakar pengasasi peraturan yang berkepakaran telah dilaksanakan untuk mengautomasikan penggredan kematangan tandan buah kelapa sawit. Pengekstrakan ciri-ciri warna kelapa sawit FFB, tekstur, dan duri telah dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan ciri-ciri warna secara statistik, warna histogram, grey-level co-occurrence matrices (GLCM), basic grey level aura matrix technique (BGLAM), dan Gabor wavelet techniques di tiga berlainan kawasan yang berminat (ROIs), iaitu...
ROI1, ROI2, dan ROI3. ROI ini adalah berdasarkan kepada latihan dan ujian ANN, KNN, dan SVM pengklasifikasi mesin-belajar yang diselia. Ukuran statistik seperti kawasan dalam receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (AUC) adalah digunakan untuk menilai prestasi pengklasifikasi.

Keputusan prestasi menunjukkan bahawa BGLAM yang berdasarkan pada klasifikasi ANN yang diaplikasikannya pada ROI3 adalah teknik yang optimum untuk penggredan kelapa sawit berjenis FFB dengan ketepatan prestasi sebanyak 93% dan kelajuan pemprosesan 0.44 saat. Tambahan pula, sistem penggredan menggredkan kematangan kelapa sawit FFB berdasarkan tiga model yang berbeza. Pertama, ketepatan prestasi yang bersignifikan pada 93% dan kelajuan pemprosesan 1.6 saat telah dicapai dengan menggunakan warna histogram dan pengklasifikasi ANN yang digunakan pada ROI3 dengan berdasarkan warna model Nigrescens dan Oleifera. Pemprosesan masa 1.4 saat telah dicapai apabila gabungan itu diaplikasikan pada ROI2 untuk warna model Vipecens. Kedua, pengaplikasi BGLAM dan ANN pada ROI3 mencapai ketepatan 92% dan masa pemprosesan 0.43 saat bagi model tekstur Nigrescens. BGLAM dan ANN mencapai ketepatan 93% yang mengaplikasikan pada ROI2 dengan 0.40 saat pemprosesan masa bagi Oleifera dan model-model tekstur Vipecens, merupakan keputusan yang optimum berdasarkan model tekstur. Ketiga, GLCM dan ANN yang mengaplikasikan pada ROI1 mencapai ketepatan 87% dan pemprosesan masa 3.7 saat bagi model Nigrescens berduri, manakala BGLAM mengaplikasikan pada ROI3 dengan berdasarkan SVM mencapai ketepatan 91% dan pemprosesan masa 1.20 saat bagi model Oleifera berduri serta ketepatan 88% dan pemprosesan masa 0.83 saat bagi warna model Vipecens. Keputusan-keputusan ini adalah optimum dengan berdasarkan model pendurian.

Satu pendekatan baru telah dibangunkan di bawah nama expert rules-based system. Sistem ini adalah berdasarkan pada tiga berlainan ROI yang menunjukkan keputusan yang terbaik dengan berasaskan peraturan, dan juga terpilih untuk peringkat-peringkat ujian yang selanjutnya. Sebagai contoh, ROI yang berasaskan peraturan untuk pengekstrakan ciri warna secara statistik dengan pengklasifikasi KNN pada 94% telah dipilih. ROI menunjukkan keputusan yang lebih tinggi daripada hasil yang berasaskan peraturan, seperti ROI pengekstrakan ciri warna secara statistik dengan pengklasifikasi ANN pada 94%, telah digunakan untuk ujian kematangan FFB selanjutnya. Keputusan menunjukkan model-model tekstur memberikan hasil yang terbaik untuk kelapa sawit berjenis FFB dan juga klasifikasi kematangannya, di mana BGLAM yang berdasarkan ANN dengan ROI3 memberikan ketepatan yang tinggi sebanyak 93% dengan masa pemprosesan imej yang lebih pendek, iaitu 0.44 (s) untuk pengiktirafan jenis FFB, manakala BGLAM yang berdasarkan ANN dan ROI3 dengan ketepatan 92% dan masa pemprosesan 0.43 (s) untuk Nigrescens, serta BGLAM berdasarkan ANN dan ROI2 dengan ketepatan 93% dan masa pemprosesan 0.40 (s) untuk Oleifera dan Vipecens. Keputusan peraturan-berasaskan yang terbaik dan keputusan-keputusan ROI telah dipilih untuk ujian berperingkat yang selanjutnya. Kajian ini telah mencapai matlamat yang dinyatakan, iaitu melaksanakan masa sebenar sistem penggredan kelapa sawit untuk automotif yang berjenis FFB dan juga klasifikasi terhadap kematangannya. Sistem ini berguna kepada ladang-ladang kelapa sawit di Malaysia dan juga negara-negara yang mempunyai penanaman minyak sawit. Keputusan ini akan memanfaatkan jurutera
minyak sawit, kilang-kilang, pengurus, pemegang kecil, dan agensi-agensi penguatkuasaan.
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the Name of Allah, Most Gracious, Most Merciful, all praise and thanks are due to Allah, and peace and blessings be upon His Messenger. I would like to express the most sincere appreciation to those who made this work possible: Advisory members, Family and Friends.

I would like to thank Associate Professor Dr. Abdul Rashid b. Mohamed Shariff for providing me the opportunity to complete my PhD studies under his valuable guidance, for the many useful advice and discussions, for his constant encouragement and guidance, and for co-authoring and reviewing some of my publications, where his practical experience and technical knowledge made this research and those publications more interesting and relevant. In addition, special thanks extend to the supervisory committee member; Prof. Dr Mohd Zaid bin Abdullah, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Hamiruce Marhaban, Dr. Suhaidi B. Shafie and Dr Mohd Din bin Amiruddin. I am grateful for their willingness to serve on my supervisory committee, constant encouragement, helpful advice and many fruitful discussions.

Special thanks to office, Field and Lab staff of Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB) for profesionally gave us the opportunity to collect our research data.

Special thanks to spatial research group (SRG), geospatial information science research center (GISRC) and UPM staff for helped, support me to avoid all the study and life difficulties in order to achieve this result. Libyan government and Libyan embassy in Malaysia are gratefully acknowledged for providing the financial support.

Thanks and acknowledgements are meaningless if not extended to my parents who deserve my deepest appreciation. I am grateful for the countless sacrifices they made to ensure that I could pursue my dreams and for always being there for me. Real and deepest thanks to them (May ALLAH bless and protect them and may live long and healthy life). All praise and thanks words said to them will not be enough.

Last but not least, very special thanks to my brothers, my sisters, my wife, my daughters and my son, for their support and true love. Their love, support and encouragement are behind my success.

My journey as a student has come to an end with the completion of this thesis. Many people have shared my best and worst moment during the past few years. I would like to thank them all.
I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 9 December 2013 to conduct the final examination of Meftah Salem M. Alfatni on his PhD thesis entitled “Real-Time Oil Palm Fruit Bunch Ripeness Grading System Using Image Processing Techniques” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Ishak b. Aris, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

**Wan Ishak b. Wan Ismail, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

**Thomas Choong Shean Yaw, PhD**
Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

**Reza Ehsani, PhD**
Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
University of Florida
(External Examiner)

---

**NORITAH OMAR, PhD**
Associate Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 19 May 2014
This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment on the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the supervisory committee are as follows:

**Abdul Rashid b. Mohamed Shariff, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd Zaid bin Abdullah, PhD**  
Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Sains Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohd Hamiruce Marhaban, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Suhaidi B. Shafie, PhD**  
Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohd Din bin Amiruddin, PhD**  
Principal Research Officer  
Malaysian Palm Oil Board  
(Member)

---

**BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**  
Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:
Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vic-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceeding, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification / fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: ___________________________ Date: ________________________

Name and Matric No: ___________________________________
Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and writing of this thesis was under supervision;
- Supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _________________    Signature: _________________
Name of Chairman of Supervisory Committee:_______________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: _________________

Signature: _________________    Signature: _________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee:_______________

Signature: _________________
Name of Member of Supervisory Committee: _________________
# TABLE OF CONTENTS

- DEDICATION ii
- ABSTRACT iii
- ABSTRAK v
- ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS viii
- APPROVAL ix
- DECLARATION xi
- LIST OF TABLES xvi
- LIST OF FIGURES xvii
- LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS xxii

## CHAPTER

### 1 INTRODUCTION 1
  1.1 General 1
  1.2 Problem Statement 2
  1.3 Scope of work 2
  1.4 Objectives 3
  1.5 Thesis layout 3

### 2 LITERATURE REVIEW 4
  2.1 Introduction 4
  2.2 External Grading System 4
    - 2.2.1 System Design 5
    - 2.2.2 Image Processing steps 6
      - 2.2.2.1 Image acquisition 7
      - 2.2.2.2 Pre-processing 9
      - 2.2.2.3 Segmentation 11
      - 2.2.2.4 Feature extraction 12
      - 2.2.2.5 Decision-making 13
    - 2.2.3 Methods and Techniques 17
      - 2.2.3.1 Fruit Color Measurements 18
      - 2.2.3.2 Fruit Shape Measurements 20
      - 2.2.3.3 Fruit Texture Measurements 23
      - 2.2.3.4 Fruit Size Measurements 25
  2.3 Summary 26

### 3 METHODOLOGY 31
  3.1 Introduction 31
  3.2 Oil palm fresh fruit bunch external grading system 31
  3.3 Oil palm grading system hardware 32
  3.4 Oil palm grading system software 32
    - 3.4.1 Grading system programming language 33
    - 3.4.2 Grading system models 33
      - 3.4.2.1 Orientation of fruit bunch on the tree 33
      - 3.4.2.2 Inspection and assessment of the bunch quality 33
3.4.2.3 Colour model 34
3.4.2.4 Texture model 34
3.4.2.5 Thorns model 34

3.5 Preparation and data collection 34
3.5.1 Preparation 34
3.5.2 Data collection 34

3.6 Image processing approach 35
3.6.1 Image acquisition 37
3.6.2 Image pre-processing 37
3.6.2.1 Image resizes 38
3.6.2.2 Image noise removal 38
3.6.3 Image model segmentation 38
3.6.3.1 Colour model segmentation 38
3.6.3.2 Texture model segmentation 41
3.6.3.3 Thorn model segmentation 41
3.6.4 Image feature extraction 42
3.6.4.1 Colour model feature extraction 42
3.6.4.2 Texture model feature extraction 45
3.6.4.3 Thorns model feature extraction 57

3.6.5 Classification system 58
3.6.6 Rule-based expert system (RBES) 67
3.6.6.1 Experimental FFB ripeness classification 68
3.6.6.2 Expert system 69

3.7 Summary 74

4 SYSTEM DESIGN AND IMPLEMENTATION 75
4.1 Introduction 75
4.2 Oil palm grading system hardware 75
4.2.1 Housing 76
4.2.2 Illumination system 77
4.2.3 Camera 78
4.2.4 Feeding device and conveyer speed inverter 79
4.2.5 Processing unit 80
4.2.6 Data acquisition interface 81

4.3 Oil palm grading system GUI 81
4.3.1 Models 82
4.3.2 Image resampling 82
4.3.3 Segmentation 83
4.3.4 Procedure 83
4.3.5 Decision making 84
4.3.6 Image processing steps 84
4.3.7 Image path and technique name 85
4.3.8 Control 85
4.3.9 Processing running 86
4.3.10 Result 86

4.4 Real time oil palm FFB grading system control 87
4.4.1 System concept design 88
4.4.1.1 Data acquisition system 88

4.5 Summary 93
5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

5.1 Introduction 94
5.2 Data collection 94
5.3 Image processing interpretation 95
  5.3.1 Image acquisition 96
  5.3.2 Image pre-processing 97
    5.3.2.1 Image resizing 98
    5.3.2.2 Image noise removal 98
  5.3.3 Colour model segmentation 100
    5.3.3.1 Modified excess red (MExR) 101
    5.3.3.2 Median filter 102
    5.3.3.3 Image region and hole filling 103
    5.3.3.4 Edge detection 104
    5.3.3.5 Morphological operation 105
    5.3.3.6 FFB background segmentation 106
  5.3.4 Texture image segmentation 107
  5.3.5 Thorn image segmentation 108
    5.3.5.1 FFB ROIs 110
  5.3.6 Image feature extraction 113
    5.3.6.1 Colour features 113
    5.3.6.2 Texture features 118
  5.3.7 Image classification 136
    5.3.7.1 Classification based ANN-MLP 136
    5.3.7.2 Classification based KNN 139
    5.3.7.3 Classification based SVM 141
5.4 Experimental results 144
  5.4.1 FFB type grading system results 145
  5.4.2 FFB ripeness grading system results 149
    5.4.2.1 FFB ripeness grading system 150
  5.4.3 Region of interest (ROIs) comparison 162
    5.4.3.1 FFB type recognition 162
    5.4.3.2 FFB ripeness classification 163
5.5 Rule-based expert system (RBES) results 171
5.6 Summary 176

6 CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK 177

6.1 Conclusion 177
6.2 Research contribution 178
6.3 Future Work 179

REFERENCES 182
APPENDICES 197
BIODATA OF STUDENT 214
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS 215