



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATED ANALYSIS OF THE  
IMPACT OF TRAFFIC EMISSIONS ON AIR QUALITY IN URBAN  
AREAS IN JAKARTA, INDONESIA**

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

**T FPAS 2007 9**



**MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATED  
ANALYSIS OF THE IMPACT OF TRAFFIC  
EMISSIONS ON AIR QUALITY IN URBAN  
AREAS IN JAKARTA, INDONESIA**

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**2007**

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATED ANALYSIS OF THE  
IMPACT OF TRAFFIC EMISSIONS ON AIR QUALITY IN URBAN AREAS  
IN JAKARTA, INDONESIA**

**By**

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,  
in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor Philosophy**

**June 2007**



**DEDICATION**

**TO MY BELOVED HUSBAND AND MY SONS**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MODEL DEVELOPMENT AND INTEGRATED ANALYSIS OF THE  
IMPACT OF TRAFFIC EMISSIONS ON AIR QUALITY IN URBAN  
AREAS IN JAKARTA, INDONESIA**

By

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

**June 2007**

**Chairman: Professor Muhamad Awang, PhD**

**Faculty: Environmental Studies**

This research aims to assess air quality status in the urban area of Jakarta and evaluate the effect of traffic emissions control of selected air pollutants by employing a Gaussian-plume dispersion model developed in Delphi. Sources of air pollutants were divided into point, line, and area sources representing three contributing sectors, i.e. industry, transport, and household. Input data for the model was obtained from the government statistics and industrial and traffic surveys conducted in other previous studies. Improvement of the model run-time was done by developing a parallel implementation coded in a data-parallel approach. The dispersion model performed reasonably in estimating the concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub>. Testing of the model resulted in the relative standard errors of estimates of below 50% indicating the model could be reproducible. However, the simulation of PM<sub>10</sub> concentration resulted in underestimation. The cause for this was the exclusion of other significant sources of PM<sub>10</sub> beside three contributing source categories under study.

Prediction of future emissions using the 2002 emission data and socio-economic factors which affect air quality revealed that the emissions of SO<sub>2</sub>, NO<sub>x</sub>, and PM<sub>10</sub> would increase by a factor of 1.64, 1.48, and 1.64, respectively in 2010 if control of the pollutants emissions was not taken. Similarly, simulation of future air quality under business-as-usual scenario resulted in increased pollutant concentrations. The number of grids exceeding the annual NO<sub>x</sub> ambient standard in 2010 was twice the number of grids exceeding the standard in 2002. Under the scenario with control measures, the concentrations of pollutants, particularly the transport-contributed PM<sub>10</sub> were reduced by 44%. The reduction of PM<sub>10</sub> concentration was a result of simulated implementation of vehicle inspection and maintenance. Updating the emissions inventory to include other pollutant contributing sources, and continued improvement of the dispersion model to fit the best conditions of the urban area are suggested.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBANGUNAN MODEL DAN ANALISIS INTEGRASI TERHADAP  
KESAN SISA PELEPASAN KENDARAAN KEATAS KUALITI UDARA  
DI KAWASAN BANDAR JAKARTA DI INDONESIA**

**Oleh**

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

**Juni 2007**

**Pengerusi: Profesor Muhamad Awang, PhD**

**Fakulti: Pengajian Alam Sekitar**

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menilai tahap kualiti udara di sekitar bandaraya Jakarta serta penilaian implikasi kawalan pelepasan pencemar udara trafik dengan penggunaan model dispersi Gaussian yang dibangunkan oleh Delphi. Sumber bahan pencemar udara telah di bahagikan kepada sumber titik, garisan dan kawasan mewakili tiga sektor penyumbang iaitu, industri, pengangkutan dan rumah kediaman. Data yang digunakan untuk model ini diperolehi dari statistic kerajaan dan kajiselidik industri dan trafik beberapa kajian sebelum ini. Peningkatan waktu simulasi model telah dilakukan dengan pembinaan kod implementasi sejajar dalam pendekatan data sejajar. Pencapaian model dispersi untuk menganggar kepekatan  $SO_2$  dan  $NO_x$  adalah memuaskan. Hasil ujian ke atas model ini pula menghasilkan sisihan lazim di bawah 50% dan ini menunjukkan model ini boleh diterima. Walau bagaimanapun, simulasi kepekatan  $PM_{10}$  menghasilkan keputusan kurang dari jangkaan. Ia adalah

berpunca dari terkeluarnya sumber penyumbang  $PM_{10}$  yang lain selain dari tiga kategori sumber pencemar yang dikaji.

Ramalan pelepasan pencemar masa hadapan dengan menggunakan data pelepasan dan faktor sosio-ekonomi yang mendatangkan kesan pada kualiti udara mendapati bahawa pelepasan  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$  dan  $PM_{10}$  meningkat masing-masing pada faktor 1.64, 1.48, dan 1.64 pada tahun 2010 sekiranya tidak ada kawalan pada pelepasan pencemar ini. Pada keadaan yang sama, simulasi kualiti udara masa hadapan pada senario 'perniagaan seperti biasa' masih menunjukkan peningkatan kepekatan pencemar. Bilangan grid melampaui standard ambien  $NO_x$  tahunan pada tahun 2010 iaitu dua kali ganda bilangan grid melampaui standard tahun 2002. Di bawah senario dimana adanya langkah-langkah kawalan, kepekatan pencemar, terutamanya pelepasan  $PM_{10}$  berpunca dari pengangkutan, menurun sebanyak 44 %. Penurunan kepekatan  $PM_{10}$  adalah hasil dari implementasi pemeriksaan dan penjagaan kenderaan. Adalah dicadangkan untuk masa-masa hadapan, inventori pelepasan pencemar perlulah merangkumi sumber-sumber penyumbang pencemar yang lain disamping pembaikan berterusan pada model dispersi bersesuaian dengan keadaan yang terbaik di kawasan bandaraya.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to thank the Almighty God for the opportunity and grace to have accomplished this research.

My sincere appreciation is extended to Professor Dr. Muhamad Awang, the Chairman of my Supervisory Committee, for his continued support and tireless supervision throughout the course of this program. I also wish to extend my gratitude to Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Nasir Hassan, Associate Professor Dr. Ramdzani Abdullah, and Associate Professor Dr. Azizi Hj. Muda for their guidance and invaluable suggestion provided during the planning and preparation of this thesis.

I also wish to acknowledge the help from my friends, Trisakti, Ady, Tengku Sabrina, Penny Iskak, and Susilawati.

My deepest gratitude, however, goes to my husband for his endless support, understanding and sacrifices, without which I would not have been able to carry out this research. To my two wonderful sons, Dito and Hazim, thank you for your love.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 25 June 2007 to conduct the final examination of Dollaris Riauaty Suhadi on her Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled “Model Development and Integrated Analysis on The Impact of Traffic Emission on Air Quality in Urban Areas in Jakarta, Indonesia ” in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that candidate be awarded Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Wan Nor Azmin Sulaiman, **PhD**  
Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

Azni B.Idris, **PhD**  
Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

Puziah Abdul Latif, **PhD**  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

Peter Brimblecombe, **PhD**  
Professor  
School of Environmental Sciences  
University of East Anglica Norwich UK  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**  
Professor/Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Muhamad Awang, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ramzani Abdullah, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohd Nasir Hassan, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Azizi Hj. Muda, PhD.**

Associate Professor  
Faculty Environmental Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**

Professor/Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 September 2007



## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

---

**DOLLARIS RIAUATY SUHADI**

Date: 13 September 2007



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	ii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	viii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	x
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xvi
<b>LIST ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xviii
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	1.1
1.1 Framing the Problem	1.1
1.2 Research Objective	1.5
1.3 Significance of Research	1.5
1.4 Scope of Research	1.6
1.4.1 Calculation of Emissions Loads	1.7
1.4.2 Development of Dispersion Modeling System	1.8
1.4.3 Simulation of Present Air Quality and Testing of Model Reproducibility	1.9
1.4.4 Assessment of Cost-effectiveness of Pollution Control Measures	1.10
1.4.5 Prediction of Future Emissions Loads	1.10
1.4.6 Simulation of Future Air Quality	1.11
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	2.1
2.1 Pollutant Emission Load	2.1
2.1.1 Emissions Estimation from Point Sources	2.1
2.1.2 Emissions Estimation from Area Sources	2.9
2.1.3 Emissions Estimation from Mobile Sources	2.10
2.2 Air Quality Modeling	2.17
2.2.1 Gaussian Dispersion Models	2.17
2.2.2 Meteorology of Air Pollution	2.39
2.3 Traffic Emissions	2.42
2.3.1 Overview	2.42
2.3.2 Factors Affecting Emissions	2.45
2.4 Policy Options for Transport Emission Control Measures	2.51
2.4.1 Policy Context	2.51
2.4.2 Policy Options	2.53
2.5 Cost-effectiveness Analysis	2.60
2.5.1 CEA Ratios	2.60
2.5.2 CEA with Scale Differences	2.61



2.5.3	Analysis	2.62
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>3.1</b>
3.1	Calculation of Emissions Loads	3.1
3.1.1	Industrial Sector	3.3
3.1.2	Household Sector	3.15
3.1.3	Transport Sector	3.18
3.2	Development of Air Quality Dispersion Model	3.29
3.2.1	Meteorological Pre-processing Model: PCRammet	3.30
3.2.2	Properties Representatives of the Meteorological Station and Study Area	3.32
3.2.3	Hourly Values of Mixing Height	3.35
3.2.4	Pasquill-Gifford Stability Classes	3.36
3.2.5	Winds	3.38
3.3	Simulation of Present Air Quality and Testing of Model Reproducibility	3.39
3.3.1	Point Sources	3.44
3.3.2	Line Sources	3.45
3.3.3	Area Sources	3.46
3.3.4	Testing of Model Reproducibility	3.47
3.3.5	Graphical Presentation	3.47
3.4	Assessment of Cost-effectiveness of Traffic Emissions Control Measures	3.48
3.5	Prediction of Future Emissions Loads	3.50
3.5.1	Prediction of Future Emissions Loads under Business-as-usual Scenario	3.51
3.5.2	Prediction of Future Emissions Loads with Traffic Emissions Control Measures	3.52
3.5.3	Simulation of Future Air Quality	3.53
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>4.1</b>
4.1	Pollutant Emissions in Study Area	4.1
4.1.1.	Industrial Sector	4.1
4.1.2	Household Sector	4.10
4.1.3	Transport Sector	4.12
4.1.4	Total Emissions of Pollutants in Study Area	4.20
4.1.5	Spatial Distribution of Pollutant Emissions	4.21
4.1.6	Conclusion	4.27
4.2	Modeling Results	4.28
4.2.1	Profile of Meteorology in Study Area	4.29
4.2.2	Model Verification	4.31
4.2.3	Spatial Distribution of Pollutant Concentrations	4.40
4.2.4	Conclusion	4.45



4.3	Predicted Future Emissions	4.46
4.3.1	Business-as-usual Scenario	4.46
4.3.2	Scenario with Control Measures	4.47
4.3.3	Conclusion	4.52
4.4	Simulation of Future Air Quality	4.53
4.4.1	Business-as-usual Scenario	4.54
4.4.2	Scenario with Control Measures	4.56
4.4.3	Conclusion	4.60
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS</b>	<b>5.1</b>
5.1	Conclusion	5.1
5.2	Theoretical Implications	5.3
5.3	Policy Implications	5.4
5.4	Recommendations	5.5
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>R.1</b>
	<b>APENDICES</b>	<b>A.1</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>B.1</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Estimated emissions in Jakarta Metropolitan in 1988 (in ton/year)	2.3
2.2 Estimated emissions in Jakarta in 1992 (in ton/year)	2.3
2.3 Air pollutant emissions from fuel burning in Jabodetabek in 1995 (in ton/year)	2.3
2.4 Estimate of total TSP, PM10 and NO <sub>x</sub> emissions in Jakarta in 1990 (in ton/year)	2.4
2.5 Total emissions from stationary and mobile sources in Jabodetabek in 1995 (in ton/year)	2.4
2.6 Estimated emission factors for diesel passenger cars in g/km	2.16
2.7 Emission factors for passenger cars in g/km	2.16
2.8 Pasquill stability classes	2.21
2.9 Modified Pasquill's stability class (in JICA study)	2.21
2.10 Power-law wind profile exponents (p)	2.22
2.11 Relationship between Pasquill-Gifford stability classes and temperature stratification	2.28
2.12 Briggs formulas to calculate McElroy-Pooler (102 < x < 104 meters) – urban area	2.31
3.1 Emission factors of SO <sub>2</sub> (industrial sector)	3.5
3.2 Sulfur content and specific gravity of fuels used	3.5
3.3 Emission factor of NO <sub>x</sub> (as NO <sub>2</sub> )	3.7
3.4 Emission factor of PM <sub>10</sub>	3.8
3.5 Efficiency of particulate removal	3.10
3.6 Emission factors for LPG and kerosene from residential fuel combustion	3.16
3.7 Vehicle category and composition (in fraction of total vehicles)	3.23
3.8 Average travel speed (in km/hour)	3.25
3.9 Travel speed index	3.26
3.10 Emission factors of NO <sub>x</sub> , PM <sub>10</sub> , and SO <sub>2</sub> for each vehicle category (in g/km)	3.28
3.11 Properties representatives of the AWS 967490 station	3.34
3.12 Insolation classes as a function of solar altitude for cloud cover ≤ 5/10	3.37
3.13 Stability classification criteria	3.37
4.1 Number of factories by industrial type in 2002	4.2
4.2 Total fuel consumption by industry (incl. power plant) in 1995	4.3
4.3 Total fuel consumption by industry (incl. power plant) in 2002	4.4
4.4 Fuel consumption by LPS in 2002	4.5
4.5 Fuel consumption by factories (industrial area sources) in Jabodetabek in 2020	4.5





4.6	Estimated emissions of SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> , and PM <sub>10</sub> by facility type in 2002 (unit in kg/year)	4.6
4.7	Estimated emissions of SO <sub>2</sub> , NO <sub>x</sub> and PM <sub>10</sub> from industrial area sources (excl. power plants and cement kilns) in 2002 (ton/year)	4.8
4.8	Total air pollutant emissions from industrial sector in Jabodetabek (2002)	4.8
4.9	Spatial distribution of emissions from industries in Jabodetabek, in ton/year (2020)	4.10
4.10	Total emissions loads from household sector in 2002 (ton/year)	4.11
4.11	Spatial distribution of emissions from household sector in 2002 (ton/year)	4.11
4.12	Vehicle kilometer traveled (106km/year)	4.12
4.13	Test of significant difference between emissions with different $\alpha$ values	4.16
4.14	Total emissions from line mobile sources in 2002 (ton/year)	4.18
4.15	Total emissions from area mobile sources in 2002 (ton/year)	4.19
4.16	Total emission from transport sector in 2002 (ton/year)	4.20
4.17	Results of model testing	4.39
4.18	Projected fuel consumption in industrial sector in 2010	4.46
4.19	Projected fuel consumption in household and transport sectors in 2010	4.47
4.20	Total air pollutant emissions in 2010 (business-as-usual scenario)	4.47
4.21	Cumulative effectiveness of traffic emission control measures	4.48
4.22	Emissions reduction in 2010 (with control measures)	4.50



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
1.1 Map of Indonesia	1.12
1.2 Map of the study area of Jabodetabek region	1.13
2.1 Illustration of the modification of the standard dispersion parameter curve	2.38
2.2 Temperature profile in the atmosphere	2.42
2.3 Factors affecting emissions	2.45
2.4 Policy options for reducing transport emissions	2.57
3.1 Flow diagram of the research sequence	3.2
3.2 Locations of large point sources under study in Jabodetabek 2002	3.4
3.3 Procedure for estimating emission of pollutants from industrial sector	3.14
3.4 Gridded study area with resolution of 1-km	3.15
3.5 Procedure for estimating pollutant emissions from households	3.17
3.6 Road segments made part of line mobile sources under study	3.20
3.7 Procedure for estimating emissions from transport sector	3.29
3.8 Structure of dispersion model	3.31
3.9 Parallelization of dispersion model	3.32
3.10 Downwind distance x and y from source to receptor	3.40
3.11 Approximate representation of a line source by multiple volume sources	3.45
4.1 Air pollutant emissions from industrial sector	4.9
4.2 Sensitivity analysis of $\alpha$ in emissions (in developed areas)	4.16
4.3 Sensitivity analysis of $\alpha$ in emissions (in less-developed areas)	4.17
4.4 Total pollutant emissions in Jabodetabek in 2002 in comparison with emissions in 1995 (unit in ton/year)	4.21
4.5 Land use map of Jabodetabek, 2002	4.22
4.6 Distribution of SO <sub>2</sub> emission from LPS, 2002	4.23
4.7 Distribution of SO <sub>2</sub> emission from industrial area sources, 2002	4.24
4.8 Distribution of PM <sub>10</sub> emission from household area sources, 2002	4.24
4.9 Distribution of NO <sub>x</sub> emissions from line mobile sources, 2002	4.25
4.10 Distribution of SO <sub>2</sub> emission from area mobile sources, 2002	4.25
4.11 Distribution of NO <sub>x</sub> emissions from area mobile sources, 2002	4.26
4.12 Distribution of PM <sub>10</sub> emissions from area mobile sources, 2002	4.26
4.13 Windrose diagram of Jabodetabek, 2002	4.29
4.14 Frequency of wind speed in Jabodetabek, 2002	4.30
4.15 Frequency of stability class in Jabodetabek, 2002	4.31
4.16 Locations of automatic air quality monitoring stations, 2002	4.32
4.17 Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for SO <sub>2</sub> at JAF1 site, 2002	4.32
4.18 Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for SO <sub>2</sub> at JAF3 site, 2002	4.33



4.19	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for NO <sub>x</sub> at JAF4 site, 2002	4.33
4.20	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for NO <sub>x</sub> at JAF5 site, 2002	4.34
4.21	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for PM <sub>10</sub> at JAF1 site, 2002	4.35
4.22	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for SO <sub>2</sub> at JAF1 site, 2002	4.37
4.23	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for SO <sub>2</sub> at JAF3 site, 2002	4.37
4.24	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for NO <sub>x</sub> at JAF4 site, 2002	4.38
4.25	Comparison of monitoring data and simulated results for NO <sub>x</sub> at JAF5 site, 2002	4.38
4.26	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of SO <sub>2</sub> in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002	4.41
4.27	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of NO <sub>x</sub> in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002	4.42
4.28	Transport contribution of annual NO <sub>x</sub> simulated concentration in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002	4.43
4.29	Transport contribution of annual PM <sub>10</sub> simulated concentration in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002	4.44
4.30	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of SO <sub>2</sub> in the urban area of in 2010 under business-as-usual scenario	4.54
4.31	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of SO <sub>2</sub> in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002 (same as Figure 4-26, showed for comparison with Figure 4.30 above)	4.55
4.32	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of NO <sub>x</sub> in the urban area of Jakarta in 2010 under business-as-usual scenario	4.57
4.33	Distribution of annual simulated concentration of NO <sub>x</sub> in the urban area of Jakarta, 2002 (same as Figure 4-27, showed for comparison with Figure 4.32 above)	4.57
4.34	Transport contribution of the annual PM <sub>10</sub> concentration in the urban area of Jakarta in 2010 under scenario with control measures	4.58
4.35	Transport contribution of the annual PM <sub>10</sub> concentration in the urban area of Jakarta in 2010 under business-as-usual scenario	4.58
4.36	Transport contribution of the annual NO <sub>x</sub> concentration in the urban area of Jakarta in 2010 under business-as-usual scenario	4.59
4.37	Transport contribution of the annual NO <sub>x</sub> concentration in the urban area of Jakarta in 2010 under business-as-usual scenario	4.59



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ADO	Automotive Diesel Oil
BAPEDAL	Badan Pengendalian Dampak Lingkungan
BAU	business-as-usual
Bodetabek	Bogor Depok Tangerang Bekasi
CEA	Cost-effectiveness Analysis
CNG	Compressed Natural Gas
CO	carbon monoxide
CO <sub>2</sub>	carbon dioxide
CORINAIR	Coordination Information on the Environment in the European Community – Air)
EMEP	European Monitoring and Evaluation Program
GDP	Gross Domestic Product
GIS	Geographic Information System
g	gram
g/lit	gram per liter
GRDP	Gross Regional Domestic Product
HC	hydrocarbons
HSD	High Speed Diesel
IDO	Industrial Diesel Oil
IPCC	Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
IPPS	Industrial Pollution Projection System
Jabodetabek	Jakarta Bogor Depok Tangerang Bekasi
JICA	Japan International Cooperation Agency



JMDPR	Jabotabek Metropolitan Development Plan Review
kg	kilogram
kL	kiloliter
LPG	Liquified Petroleum Gas
LPS	Large Point Source
m <sup>3</sup>	cubic meter
min	minute
MFO	Marine Fuel Oil
MoMR	Ministry of Mining & Natural Resources
MTBE	methyl tetra buthyl ether
NMSE	Normalized Mean Square Errors
NO <sub>x</sub>	nitrogen oxides
PM <sub>10</sub>	particulate matter with diameter up to 10 microns
SEE	Standard Errors of Estimates
SO <sub>2</sub>	sulphur dioxide
toe	ton oil equivalent
TSP	Total Suspended Particulates
U.S.EPA	United States of Environmental Protection Agency
µg	microgram
UTM	Universal Transverse Mercator
VKT	Vehicle Kilometer Traveled
VOC	Volatile Organic Carbon
WHO	World Health Organization





# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Framing the Problem

Road transport is a significant source of air pollution in urban centers (Johansson, 1995; Chin, 1996). The impact of its exhaust emissions on human health and the environment has raised much concern worldwide. Road transport, like any other modes of transport has been partly responsible for greater atmospheric impacts such as acid deposition, stratospheric ozone depletion, and climate change (Colville *et al*, 2001). To date, urban traffic air pollution continues to be the focus of many air quality reviews and studies despite the growing interest shown in global air pollution issues over the last decade.

For many years efforts to reduce traffic air pollution have been made through various control measures including the introduction of vehicle technology, improvement of transport system and fuel quality. As a result, traffic emissions have been reduced substantially and some countries, mostly developed countries, reported that their nation's urban air quality has been improved (U.S.EPA, 2002; European Environment Agency, 2005). In developing countries, however, with accelerating urban growth likely to cause great increase in car ownership and use, efforts to reduce traffic emissions remain to be seen. The challenge for the authority will be whether to act upon control measures now to prevent air quality from getting more severe in the future or to delay the implementation further until the damage costs in health, productivity, and economy become enormous.



Many developing countries have developed their own air quality policy and strategy based on their needs for improved air quality and relative findings of the status of air quality and level of emissions. In many cases, the common strategy is often derived from other countries' experience, which has been proved to be successful. While it is not necessary for a developing country to start from "the scratch" in finding what control options work best for the country, the adoption should be done in a careful manner because a control measure is country-specific. It may work in a certain country but may not work in other country or may require modification to make it workable.

Indonesia, for example, first embarked its air pollution agenda in 1992 with the issuance of "Blue Sky" program aimed at reducing air pollution in target provinces. Air quality-related regulations have been revised or newly issued since then, including the revision of the national ambient standard and the establishment and continued improvement of emissions standards for both mobile and stationary sources. Control measures for traffic-related emissions as stated in the program provisions, which are also in line with recommendations from previous foreign-funded studies include emission regulations through inspection and maintenance, phase-out of leaded gasoline, reduction of sulfur content in diesel fuel, introduction of clean vehicle technology and use of smokeless oil for two-stroke vehicles (Shah *et al*, 1997; Japan International Cooperation Agency – JICA and Environmental Impact Control Agency – BAPEDAL, 1997a).

Despite the availability of regulatory instruments and proposed action plans for Indonesia, implementation has not begun until today. Lacks of public and political wills are the major factor, which contributes to the implementation failure of many





regulations. Provisions with regard to institutional resources, technical procedures, and detailed administration of the regulations are not clearly defined. Unclear lines of authority and responsibility of government agencies have often attributed to the delay of the formulation of these implementing provisions (D. Kuper, personal communication, October 2, 1996). Other shortcomings, in general, include lack of control and law enforcement and shortage of well-trained personnel (Shah *et al*, 1997).

The newly enacted Regional Autonomy Law No. 22/1999 that stipulates in its provisions an extended transfer of environmental policy resources and regulatory authority from the central government to local governments is significant for the interest of air pollution control efforts. Some areas of many environmental problems will be addressed by local governments. Such transfer would pose a potentially formidable test for both the local government and the public as they become much closer to the real problem and are more challenged to tackle the problem. Public participation is central that will help the local government to move forward to formulate and execute its action plans without further delay (Shah, 2004).

The Provincial Government of Jakarta, in this regard, has taken initiative and advanced into setting up a coordinating body that will oversee the implementation of emission regulations in the Province of Jakarta. The approach is directed towards achieving air quality objectives (air quality standards) and economic objectives (reduction of damage costs) by implementing cost-effective air pollution control measures in line with the national pollution control strategy. Road transport is being the target source of control. Through active role of advocacy groups and other interest groups in Jakarta, air

