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This study examines the use of the Elicited Imitation Test (EIT) to measure second language learners’ underlying knowledge of restrictive relative clauses which will reflect their interlanguage representation of this property. It also investigates the acquisition of relative clauses by two groups of L2 English learners: L1 Malay and L1 Chinese speakers. This study employed two different testing instruments, i.e. the Elicited Imitation Test and the Grammaticality Judgement Test. The study follows the operational definitions established by Ellis (2004), for two constructs corresponding to implicit and explicit knowledge of the linguistic property being tested.

Statistical analyses were carried out on the data obtained from the EIT and GJT. Results showed that learners were generally better at judging and imitating grammatical items in the both tests. Scores obtained were also comparable, indicating there was no significant difference between the mean scores obtained by the L1
Chinese and L1 Malay learners. However, it was discovered that when it came to ungrammatical items, learners were less determinate in their judgement and production. They were less proficient in their ability to imitate or judge and recast the ungrammatical items correctly. The results indicate that the L1 Malay and L1 Chinese learners of L2 English have interlanguage representations that differ from native speakers’ underlying representations of the said property. In addition, a correlation coefficient analysis was also conducted between the grammatical and ungrammatical items in both the EIT and GJT, to determine if a correlation exists. The results from the coefficient analysis showed no correlation between the ungrammatical items in both the tests. However, there was a correlation between the grammatical items in the EIT and GJT. This result suggests that the grammatical items in both the tests measure implicit knowledge. A suggestion is forwarded as to why the ungrammatical items in the tests did not correlate.
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Analisa statistik telah dijalankan ke atas kedua-dua tugas. Keputusan analisa menunjukkan bahawa penutur lebih fasih dalam permerolehan ayat yang mempunyai tatabahasa yang betul didalam tugas. Namun, penutur kurang mampu menilaikan
ayat yang salah tatabahasa mahupun membetulkan ayat yang salah tatabahasa didalam tugas. Ini menunjukkan bahawa penutur mempunyai perwakilan tatabahasa yang berbeza daripada penutur asli Bahasa Inggeris.

Data yang diperolehi juga di analisa untuk memastikan kewujudan atau ketidakwujudan hubung kait di antara ayat yang betul tatabahasanya dan ayat yang mempunyai kesalahan tatabahasa di dalam kedua-dua tugas. Daripada analisa tersebut, didapati terdapat hubung kait antara ayat yang betul tatabahasanya di dalam kedua-dua tugas tetapi tiada hubung kait diantara ayat yang salah tatabahasanya. Hasil kajian ini mencadangkan bahawa ayat yang mempunyai tatabahasa yang betul boleh di gunakan untuk mengukur ilmu tersirat penutur terhadap klausa relatif didalam bahasa kedua mereka, iaitu Bahasa Inggeris. Perbincangan mengenai ketiadaan hubung kait diantara ayat yang salah tatabahasa di dalam kedua-dua juga menyusul.
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This study examines the use of the Elicited Imitation Test (EIT) to measure second language learners’ underlying knowledge of restrictive relative clauses which will reflect their interlanguage representation of this property. It also investigates the acquisition of relative clauses by two groups of L2 English learners: L1 Malay and L1 Chinese speakers. This study employed two different testing instruments, i.e. the Elicited Imitation Test and the Grammaticality Judgement Test. The study follows the operational definitions established by Ellis (2004), for two constructs corresponding to implicit and explicit knowledge of the linguistic property being tested.

Statistical analyses were carried out on the data obtained from the EIT and GJT. Results showed that learners were generally better at judging and imitating grammatical items in the both tests. Scores obtained were also comparable, indicating there was no significant difference between the mean scores obtained by the L1 Chinese and L1 Malay learners. However, it was discovered that when it came to ungrammatical items, learners were less
determinate in their judgement and production. They were less proficient in their ability to imitate or judge and recast the ungrammatical items correctly. The results indicate that the L1 Malay and L1 Chinese learners of L2 English have interlanguage representations that differ from native speakers’ underlying representations of the said property. In addition, a correlation coefficient analysis was also conducted between the grammatical and ungrammatical items in both the EIT and GJT, to determine if a correlation exists. The results from the coefficient analysis showed no correlation between the ungrammatical items in both the tests. However, there was a correlation between the grammatical items in the EIT and GJT. This result suggests that the grammatical items in both the tests measure implicit knowledge. A suggestion is forwarded as to why the ungrammatical items in the tests did not correlate.
CHAPTER ONE
INTRODUCTION

Background to the study

Researches in second language acquisition have always fascinated linguists especially since the matter is ongoing and has yet to be ascertained. Works on second language learning began way back before the post-war period but developments in language acquisition studies only began to take place in the late 1950s with the publication of Noam Chomsky’s book, *Syntactic Structures* (1957). While first language acquisition research started off with Roger Brown’s Morpheme Studies in 1973, second language acquisition (SLA) studies began with those done by Dulay and Burt in 1973, 1974 and 1975 (Mitchell and Myles, 2004). In recent years however, besides finding out what learners know (language competence) and how they know what they know (language acquisition), researchers began to take interest in how to find out what learners know (language testing). Equal interests in both language acquisition, in particular, second language acquisition as well as language testing began to develop. This particular study investigates both of the areas mentioned on L1 Chinese and L1 Malay learners of L2 English in Malaysia as these two groups of learners represent the major ethnic groups in the country. In addition, there is an apparent difference between the two languages, in relative clause formation, which could lead to interesting results from
the study. The study uses Chomsky’s Universal Grammar to explain the outcomes of the study.

**Universal Grammar (UG)**

The theory of Universal Grammar (UG) was introduced in the early 1950s by the linguist Noam Chomsky in his review of *Verbal Behaviour* (1957). Chomsky’s main concern about linguistics involves three important questions (in Cook and Newson, 1996):

- What constitutes knowledge of language?
- How is knowledge of language acquired?
- How is knowledge of language put to use?

Chomsky believes that the main responsibility of a linguist is to explain what exactly the knowledge of language is and what is in the minds of speakers of different languages. The linguist is also responsible in determining how speakers acquire a certain language and finally how speakers use the language that they have acquired. Therefore, to answer the second and third questions, it must first be established what defines language (Cook and Newson, 1996: 3).
Before the emergence of UG, the main research paradigm was behaviourism, which was led by B. F. Skinner. However, Chomsky’s review convincingly showed that the behaviourism paradigm was not suited to explain human language acquisition. Chomsky instead established the UG theory, which argues that human language is a mental existence in the mind of speakers. According to him, the language faculty is separated from other cognitive abilities such as intelligence. Chomsky postulated that the knowledge of language is an innate property in the human brain. This was a revelation which changed the course of study in language acquisition, as before the introduction of the UG theory, language acquisition was seen as just another form of behaviour like walking and singing. UG is therefore a theory of language knowledge and its main aim is to explain the structure of the mind.

As Cook and Newson write:

    UG theory is not making vague or unverifiable suggestions about properties of the mind but precise statements based on specific evidence. The general concepts of the theory are inextricably connected with the specific details; the importance of UG theory is its attempt to integrate grammar, mind and language at every moment.

    (Cook and Newson, 1996: 2)

The UG theory first started of as a theory to explain first language (L1) acquisition. However, soon after, researchers began to use it to account for second language (L2) acquisition. In L1 acquisition, Chomsky argues that a child is born with innate linguistic knowledge. The child acquires language with the assistance of a cognitive