



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF  
RUSA (*CERVUS TIMORENSIS*) AND SIKA (*CERVUS NIPON*) DEER  
SPECIES IN MALAYSIA**

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

**FP 2008 21**



**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF  
RUSA (*CERVUS TIMORENSIS*) AND SIKA (*CERVUS NIPON*) DEER  
SPECIES IN MALAYSIA**

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY  
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**



**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF  
RUSA (*CERVUS TIMORENSIS*) AND SIKA (*CERVUS NIPON*) DEER  
SPECIES IN MALAYSIA**

**By**

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of  
Philosophy**

**April 2008**



**DEDICATION**

**TO YOU**

**MY FATHER AND MOTHER,  
MY WIFE AND MY DAUGHTER,**

**AND ALSO TO**

**PROFESSOR DR. MOSTAFA CHAMRAN  
WHO WAS A REAL SCIENTIST AND RELIGIOUS MAN  
AND FINALLY WAS MARTYRIZED IN THE WAR**



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in  
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**MOLECULAR GENETIC CHARACTERIZATION OF  
RUSA (*CERVUS TIMORENSIS*) AND SIKA (*CERVUS NIPON*) DEER  
SPECIES IN MALAYSIA**

By

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

**April 2008**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**

**Faculty: Agriculture**

The Malaysian livestock industry is an important component of the agricultural sector providing gainful employment and producing useful animal protein food to the population. Cattle, buffalo, goat, sheep and swine are the popular livestock in Malaysia. However, in recent years deer farming for meat, fur and velvet has become popular as well. Most of the farmed deer are of various species imported from different sources, such as Australia, New Zealand, Mauritius, Indonesia, New Caledonia, etc. The genetic background of these species and populations from different sources are unknown. This study was conducted to characterize two popular deer species in Malaysia, namely the rusa deer (*Cervus timorensis*) and the sika deer (*Cervus nippon*), using DNA microsatellite markers.

The use of amelogenin gene primers for sexing of the rusa deer was also investigated. Random samples of 38 rusa deer from the Deer Breeding Unit of



the University Research Park, Universiti Putra Malaysia, and 34 sika deer from Pusat Ternakan Haiwan, Batu Arang, were used in the study to determine and compare the genetic structures of the two deer species.

One hundred and twenty five sets of microsatellite primer pairs, which had been reported to have successfully detected variation in deer, cattle or sheep, were used in the initial screening. Thirty nine primer pairs produced clear and reproducible amplification products for rusa and 41 primer pairs for sika. Twenty one primer pairs were polymorphic for the pooled data. However, only nine microsatellite loci (23.08%) were polymorphic for rusa and 17 loci (41.46%) were polymorphic for sika. Of these, only five were common to both deer species (BMS789, BM888, BL4, BM3628 and NVHRT16). Of the monomorphic loci, 17 were common to both species. Among the 11 reindeer microsatellite loci screened, nine loci (81%) were amplified for the pooled data, but only four loci were common to both species. The two white-tailed deer microsatellite loci (L35582 and L35583) produced amplification in sika but only L35583 was amplified in rusa. The 17 common monomorphic loci and the nine polymorphic loci generated in total 53 and 40 microsatellite markers in the rusa and sika, respectively. Locus BM2113 was amplified exclusively in rusa (126 bp), and locus NVHRT34 was amplified only in sika (134 bp). These loci may be used as unique markers to distinguish the two deer species.

The numbers of observed and effective alleles per polymorphic loci were 2 - 13 and 1.05 - 8.91 for rusa, and 2 - 8 and 1.16 - 5.98 alleles per locus for sika, respectively. The allele frequencies ranged from 0.01 to 0.97 for rusa, and 0.02



to 0.92 for sika. The sizes of the alleles at the polymorphic loci ranged from 116 to 389 bp in rusa, and 88 to 364 bp in sika. The mean numbers of effective alleles were  $3.08 \pm 2.40$  and  $2.87 \pm 1.65$  in rusa and sika, respectively. Six loci in rusa and three loci in sika exhibited rare alleles. The mean observed heterozygosity in the rusa and sika populations were  $0.48 \pm 0.35$  and  $0.51 \pm 0.30$ , respectively. Seven polymorphic loci in rusa and 14 polymorphic loci in sika exhibited significant ( $P < 0.01$ ) deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium. The Hardy-Weinberg disequilibrium may be due to overlapping of generations and founder effect, especially in the sika deer population. The combined discrimination power (cDP) of the nine polymorphic loci in rusa was 0.99 and of the seventeen polymorphic loci in sika was 0.99, thus allowing individual identification. The inbreeding coefficient ( $F_{IS}$ ) was very low for the rusa population (0.06), but for the sika population it was 0.26. The mean value of  $F_{ST}$  was 0.67 for rusa and 0.47 for sika. The bottleneck analysis suggested that the rusa population did not experience any recent bottleneck, whereas the sika population had encountered a genetic bottleneck in the recent past. Evaluation of intra-interchromosomal linkage disequilibrium between the alleles suggested significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) linkage between 10 pairs of alleles in rusa and 12 pairs of alleles in sika. However, none of the allelic pairs were the same for the two species. The genetic distance within the rusa population was lower than that within the sika population ( $0.088 \pm 0.001$  vs.  $0.184 \pm 0.001$ ). The genetic distance between rusa and sika was 0.35. No distinct clustering was observed for the rusa population. The sika population displayed two major clusters of 11 and 23 individuals. The larger cluster in turn had two sub-clusters.



The above results show the rusa and sika populations to be genetically different from each other. High genetic variation exists in both the populations. This could be due to low inbreeding and no directional selection in these populations.

Four amelogenin gene primer pairs were used to identify the sexes of the rusa deer. Three primer pairs, AMEL<sub>2</sub>, AMGX/Y and AMGX/Y<sub>2</sub>, exhibited similar banding patterns for the males and females. The primer pair SE47/48 generated one band for the females (269 bp) but three bands (223, 269 and 305 bp) for the males. Therefore, this primer pair is a reliable tool for the identification of the sexes in the rusa deer.





Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENCIRIAN GENETIK MOLEKUL SPESIS  
RUSA (*CERVUS TIMORENSIS*) DAN SIKA (*CERVUS NIPON*)  
DI MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

**April 2008**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**

**Fakulti : Pertanian**

Industri peternakan di Malaysia adalah komponen penting dalam sektor pertanian dan menyediakan peluang pekerjaan dan sumber makanan protein haiwan kepada populasi. Lembu, kerbau, kambing, biri-biri dan babi adalah ternakan yang popular di Malaysia. Walau bagaimana pun, pada beberapa tahun kini peternakan rusa untuk daging, bulu dan velvet juga menjadi popular. Kebanyakan rusa yang ditenak adalah pelbagai spesies dan diimport dari sumber berlainan, seperti Australia, New Zealand, Mauritius, Indonesia, New Caleonia dan sebagainya. Latar belakang genetik spesies dan populasi tersebut adalah tidak diketahui. Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk mencirikan dua spesies rusa yang popular di Malaysia, iaitu rusa (*Cervus timorensis*) dan sika (*Cervus nippon*), dengan menggunakan penanda DNA mikrosatelit.

Penggunaan primer gen amelogenin untuk pengelasan jantina rusa turut disiasat. Sampel rawak 38 ekor rusa dari Unit Pembiakbakaan Rusa, Taman Penyelidikan Universiti, Universiti Putra Malaysia, dan 34 ekor sika dari Pusat



Ternakan Haiwan, Batu Arang, telah digunakan dalam kajian ini untuk menentukan dan membandingkan struktur genetik kedua-dua spesis rusa.

Sejumlah satu ratus dua puluh lima set pasangan mikrosatelit, yang telah dilaporkan sebagai berjaya mengesan variasi dalam rusa, lembu, kambing atau biri-biri, telah digunakan dalam pengujian awalan. Tiga puluh sembilan pasangan primer menghasilkan produk amplifikasi yang jelas dan boleh dihasilkan semula untuk rusa dan 41 pasangan primer untuk sika. Dua puluh pasangan primer adalah polimorfik bagi data terkumpul. Walaubagaimanapun, hanya sembilan (23.08%) lokus mikrosatelit adalah polimorfik untuk rusa dan 17 lokus (41.46%) adalah polimorfik untuk sika. Antara ini, hanya lima adalah sama untuk kedua-dua spesis rusa (BM5789, BM888, BL4, BM3628 dan NVHKT16). Antara lokus monomorfik, 17 adalah sama bagi kedua-dua spesis. Antara 11 lokus mikrosatelit reindeer yang dikaji, sembilan lokus (81%) adalah diamplifikasi bagi data terkumpul, tetapi hanya empat lokus adalah sama bagi kedua-dua spesis. Dua lokus mikrosatelit rusa white-tail (L35582 dan L35583) hasilkan amplifikasi pada sika tetapi hanya L35583 diamplifikasi dalam rusa. Tujuh belas lokus monomorfik yang sepunya dan sembilan lokus polimorfik telah menghasilkan sejumlah 53 dan 40 penanda mikrosatelit dalam rusa dan sika, masing-masing. Lokus BM2113 diamplifikasi khusus dalam rusa (126 bp), dan lokus NVHR734 diamplifikass hanya dalam sika (134bp). Lokus ini boleh digunakan sebagai penanda unik bagi membezakan kedua-dua spesis rusa tersebut.

Bilangan alel yang dicerap dan efektif per lokus polimorfik adalah 2 - 13 dan 1.05 - 8.91 untuk rusa, dan 2 - 8 dan 1.16 - 5.98 alel per lokus untuk sika,



masing-masing. Frekuensi alel berjalat antara 0.01 ke 0.97 bagi rusa, dan 0.02 ke 0.92 bagi sika. Saiz alel pada lokus polimorfik berjalat antara 16 ke 389 bp dalam rusa, dan 88 ke 364 bp dalam sika. Purata bilangan alel yang efektif adalah  $3.08 \pm 2.90$  dan  $2.87 \pm 1.65$  dalam rusa dan sika, masing-masing. Enam lokus dalam rusa dan tiga dalam sika menunjukkan alel yang jarang. Purata heterozigositi yang dicerap dalam populasi rusa dan sika adalah  $0.48 \pm 0.35$  dan  $0.51 \pm 0.30$ , masing-masing. Tujuh lokus polimorfik dalam rusa dan 14 lokus polimorfik dalam sika menunjukkan sisihan signifikan ( $p < 0.01$ ) daripada keseimbangan Hardy-Weinberg. Ketidakseimbangan Hardy-Weinberg mungkin adalah kerana pertindihan generasi dan kesan penubuh, terutamanya bagi populasi sika. Kuasa diskriminasi bersatu (cDp) sembilan lokus polimorfik dalam rusa adalah 0.99 dan bagi 17 lokus polimorfik dalam rusa adalah 0.99, maka membenarkan pengenalpastian individu. Koefisyen pembiakbakaan dalam ( $F_{IS}$ ) adalah sangat rendah bagi populasi rusa (0.06), tetapi bagi populasi sika ia adalah 0.26. Nilai purata  $F_{st}$  adalah 0.67 bagi rusa dan 0.47 bagi sika. Analisis 'bottleneck' mencadangkan populasi rusa tidak mengalami masalah 'bottleneck' yang baru-baru ini, manakala populasi sika telah mengalami 'bottleneck' genetik pada masa baru-baru ini. Penilaian ketidakseimbangan 'intra-interchromosomal linkage' di antara alel mencadangkan rangkaian signifikan ( $p < 0.05$ ) di antara 10 pasangan alel dalam rusa dan 12 pasangan alel dalam sika. Walau bagaimanapun, tiada sebarang pasangan alel yang sama untuk kedua-dua spesis. Jarak genetik dalam populasi rusa adalah lebih rendah berbanding dengan yang dalam populasi sika ( $0.088 \pm 0.001$  melawan  $0.184 \pm 0.001$ ). Jarak genetik di antara rusa dan sika adalah 0.35. Tiada kluster yang diperhatikan untuk populasi rusa.



Populasi sika menunjukkan dua kluster major dengan 11 dan 23 individu. Kluster yang lebih besar mempunyai dua sub-kluster

Keputusan di atas menunjukkan populasi rusa dan sika adalah berbeza dari segi genetik. Variasi genetik yang besar wujud dalam kedua-dua populasi. Ini mungkin disebabkan oleh pembiakbakaan dalam yang rendah dan tiada pemilihan berhala dalam populasi tersebut.

Empat pasangan primer gen amelogenin telah digunakan untuk mengenalpasti jantina rusa. Tiga pasangan primer, AMEL<sub>2</sub>, AMGX/Y dan AMGX/Y<sub>2</sub>, menunjukkan kesamaan corak 'banding' bagi jantan dan betina. Pasangan primer SE47/48 menghasilkan satu Jalurn untuk betina (269 bp) tetapi tiga jalur (223,269 dan 305 bp) untuk jantan. Maka, pasangan primer ini adalah alat yang sesuai untuk mengenalpasti jantina rusa.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Glory and praise to Allah (SWT), the Omnipotent, Omniscient and Omnipresent, for opening doors of opportunity to me throughout my life and for giving me the strength and health to achieve what I have so far.

First and foremost, I would like to express my utmost gratitude to my highly respected supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Jothi Malar Panandam, chairman of my supervisory committee, for her advice, invaluable guidance, hospitality, support and encouragement throughout the period of the study.

I would like to express my deepest thanks and gratitude to Assoc. Prof. Dr. Maheran Abdul Aziz and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Siti Shapor Siraj for their suggestions and guidance towards the completion of this study.

I would like to acknowledge Mr. Kamal Basha and the staff of the deer farm at Pusat Ternakan Haiwan (Batu Arang), the Deer Breeding Unit of University Research Park, Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM) for their kind cooperation and help in the collection of the samples for this study.

I would also like to extend my thanks to the Department head and all staff members of the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, and the staff of the School of Graduate Studies of Universiti Putra Malaysia for helping me in one way or another during the course of my study at UPM.



My heart felt thanks and appreciation goes to my understanding wife, Maryam and her family, to whom I am indebted for their sacrifice, patience and understanding, throughout the course of my study.

My deepest gratitude to my mother and father (God bless them) who advised and supported me in my pursuit for higher education and academic excellence and expressed understanding and consideration towards me. Words cannot express my gratitude for their love, support, and patience that have sustained me during my life and study. What can I say, except thank you.

My deepest appreciation to my sisters and their families for their kindness.

Special thanks to my friends, Iranians, Malaysians, and those from other places, in particular to Zawawi Ismail, Dr Habiba Ali El-jaafari, Reza Solimani, Arash Javanmard, Alireza Majidi, Mohammad Reza Taheri, Mohammad Reza Momayezi, Hamideh, Mamat Hamidi Kamalludin, Behnam Kamali, Dwi Yulistiani, Nguyen Tinh Than, Abdullah Ali Abdullah, Aziz, Cai Pui Wah, Dr Hossein Sasan, Mohammad Golizadeh, Dr Mahdi Mohamadi, Habib Naderi, Yousef Rostami, Dr Zamani, Fallah, Moradi, Zakipour, Dr Safayei, Reza Khakvar, Reza Motaleb, Morteza Karami and others for their help when needed.



I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 11<sup>th</sup> April 2008 to conduct the final examination of Kourosh. J. Khaledi on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled ‘‘Molecular Genetic Characterization of Rusa (*Cervus timorensis*) and Sika (*Cervus nipon*) Deer Species in Malaysia’’ in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Abdul Razak Alimon, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Tan Soon Guan, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Bimolecular Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Ismail Idris, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Christopher Moran, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Veterinary Science  
University of Sydney  
Australia  
(External Examiner)

---

**HASANAH MOHD. GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 26 May 2008



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Jothi Malar Panandam, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Siti Shapor Siraj, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Maheran Abdul Aziz, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**AINI IDERIS, PhD**  
Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 June 2008





## **DECLARATION**

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institution.

---

**KOUROSH JOME KHALEDI**

Date: 26 June 2008



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<b>Page</b>
<b>DEDICATION</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iv
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	viii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	xii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	xiv
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xvi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xix
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xx
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxi
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Research Problem	5
1.2 General Objective	6
1.3 Specific Objective	6
1.4 Significance of the Study	7
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>8</b>
2.1 Deer	8
2.2 Deer in Malaysia	9
2.2.1 Cervus timorensis (Rusa)	10
2.2.2 Cervus nippon (Sika)	11
2.3 Genetic variation	13
2.4 Molecular marker system	18
2.5 Microsatellite	19
2.5.1 Identification of microsatellite	20
2.5.2 Microsatellite evolution	22
2.5.3 Potential problems associated with microsatellite marker	24
2.5.4 Application of microsatellite marker	27
2.6 Molecular marker in deer	28
2.7 Genetic and molecular evidence for sex determination in mammals	31
2.8 Amelogenin gene and sex determination	34
2.9 Sex identification in deer species	36
<b>3 MATERIALS AND METHODS</b>	<b>38</b>
3.1 Study I	38
3.1.1 Experimental material	38
3.1.2 Sample collection	38
3.1.3 DNA extraction	39
3.1.4 DNA quantification	41
3.1.5 Microsatellite primers	43
3.1.6 PCR procedures and optimization	47
3.1.7 Electrophoresis	49



3.1.8	Interpretation of microsatellite loci	50
3.1.9	Statistical analysis	50
3.2	Study II	58
3.2.1	Experimental material	58
3.2.2	Sample collection	58
3.2.3	DNA extraction	58
3.2.4	Amelogenin gene primers	58
3.2.5	Amelogenin gene amplification	59
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS</b>	<b>61</b>
4.1	Microsatellite study in rusa and sika deer	61
4.1.1	Amplified of microsatellite primers	61
4.1.2	Number of alleles and allele frequencies	88
4.1.3	Genotypes and genotypic frequencies	90
4.1.4	Heterozygosity	96
4.1.5	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium	99
4.1.6	Analysis of molecular variance	101
4.1.7	Genetic bottleneck	104
4.1.8	Genetic distance within and between rusa and sika deer population	106
4.1.9	Cluster analysis	106
4.1.10	Linkage disequilibrium analysis	109
4.2	Sex determination in rusa deer using amelogenin gene Primers	111
<b>5</b>	<b>DISCUSSION</b>	<b>114</b>
5.1	Microsatellite polymorphism in rusa and sika deer	114
5.2	Unique specific microsatellite markers between rusa and sika deer	121
5.3	Number of alleles and allele frequencies	123
5.4	Heterozygosity in rusa and sika deer	126
5.5	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium	128
5.6	Analysis of molecular variance	129
5.7	Genetic bottleneck	130
5.8	Linkage disequilibrium	131
5.9	Sex determination in rusa	133
<b>6</b>	<b>CONCLUSION</b>	<b>135</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>138</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>158</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>190</b>



## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Recognized model of gene flow between populations.	16
3.1 Microsatellite marker primers which amplify in rusa and sika deer.	44
3.2 Concentrations of PCR reaction components.	47
3.3 Amelogenin gene primer sequences.	59
4.1 Polymorphism at the microsatellite loci amplified for rusa and sika deer.	63
4.2 Allele size of monomorphic loci in the rusa and sika deer.	80
4.3 Observed and effective number of alleles, and range of allele sizes and frequencies for the polymorphic microsatellite loci in rusa and sika deer.	89
4.4 Allele and genotype frequencies of polymorphic loci common to the rusa and sika deer.	91
4.5 Allele and genotype frequencies of polymorphic loci not shared by the rusa and sika deer.	94
4.6 Summary of heterozygosity for the polymorphic loci in rusa and sika.	97
4.7 Deviation from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium of the polymorphic loci in the rusa and sika deer populations.	100
4.8 Smouse's multilocus analysis for Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium	100
4.9 AMOVA design and results of rusa and sika deer.	102
4.10 F-Statistic analysis based on polymorphic loci.	102
4.11 Genetic variation for polymorphic loci in rusa and sika based on Shannon's information index (Nei, 1987).	103
4.12 Wilcoxon test for bottleneck detection in the rusa and sika population.	105
4.13 Evaluation of the detected intra- and interchromosomal linkage disequilibrium (LD).	110



## LIST OF FIGURES

<b>Figure</b>	<b>Page</b>
2.1 Photographs of the rusa and sika deer species.	12
4.1 Graphical display of number of common and unique microsatellite loci between rusa and sika deer.	61
4.2 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BL4 in rusa and sika.	65
4.3 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BM888 in rusa and sika	66
4.4 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BM3628 in rusa and sika	67
4.5 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BMS789 in rusa and sika	68
4.6 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus NVHRT22 in rusa and sika	69
4.7 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BM1260 in rusa and sika	70
4.8 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BM4208 in rusa and sika	71
4.9 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus BM4513 in rusa and sika	72
4.10 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus IDVGA-37 in rusa and sika	73
4.11 Electrophoretic banding pattern for locus NVHRT21 in rusa and sika	74
4.12 Electrophoretic banding pattern for uncommon polymorphic loci	75
4.13 Electrophoretic banding pattern for uncommon polymorphic loci in sika.	77
4.14 Monomorphic loci amplified in both rusa and sika deer.	86
4.15 Banding pattern produced by primer pair of BM2113 locus in rusa.	87
4.16 Banding pattern produced by primer pair of NVHRT34 locus in sika.	87



4.17	L-shaped graph of allele frequencies in rusa and sika deer populations.	105
4.18	Estimation membership coefficient for individuals in each cluster.	106
4.19	Dendogram of the rusa deer population generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Nei (1972).	107
4.20	Dendogram of the sika deer population generated by cluster analysis of genetic distance according to Nei (1972).	108
4.21	Amelogenin bands generated by AMEL2 primer.	112
4.22	Amelogenin bands generated by SE47/48 primer.	112
4.22	Amelogenin bands generated by AMGXY primer.	1113
4.23	Amelogenin bands generated by AMGXY2 primer.	113



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

A	Adenine
AE	Elution buffer
AFLP	Amplified Fragment Length Polymorphism
AL	Lysis buffer
AMELX	amelogenin gene in chromosome X
AMELY	amelogenin gene in chromosome Y
AMG	amelogenin gene
AMOVA	analyses of molecular variance
AW1	Wash buffer 1
AW2	Wash buffer 2
bp	Base pair
C	Cytosine
cDP	combined discrimination power
CSB	clone sequence based
dNTP	dinucleotide triphosphate
DP	Discrimination Power
DVS	Department of Veterinary Services
EDTA	ethylene diamine tetra acetic Acid
EPA	Extreme Preferential Amplification
EtBr	ethidium bromide
F	Fixation Index
G	Guanine
GATA4	gata binding protein 4
H <sub>o</sub>	Observed heterozygosity
H <sub>e</sub>	Expected heterozygosity
HMG	high mobility group
HWE	Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium
IAM	Infinite Allele Model
LD	linkage disequilibrium
MAS	marker assisted selection
MgCl <sub>2</sub>	magnesium chloride
ml	Milliliter
mM	Millimolar
mRNA	messenger ribonucleic acid



MSA	Microsatellite Analyser
mtDNA	mitochondrial DNA
Na	observed number of alleles
Ne	effective number of alleles
ng	nanogram
P	Panmictic Index
PAGE	Polyaciramid Gele Electrophoresis
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PIC	Polymorphism Information Content
QTL	Quantitative Trait Loci
RAHM	Random Amplified Hybridization Microsatellite
RAMPO	Random Amplified Microsatellite Polymorphism
RAPD	Random Amplification of Polymorphic DNA,
RFLP	Restriction Fragment Length Polymorphism
rpm	rotation per minute
SF1	Steroidogenic Factor 1
SMM	Stepwise Mutation Model
SNP	Single Nucleotide Polymorphism
SOX9	Sry-related HMG box gene 9
SRX	Sex Determination Region in chromosome X
SRY	Sex Determination Region in chromosome Y
SSR	Simple Sequence Repeats
STR	Short Tandem Repeats
T	Thymine
TBE	Tris borate ethylene diamine tetra acetic acid
TDF	Testis Determination Factor
T <sub>m</sub>	Melting temperature
TPM	Two-Phase Model
TSPY	Testis- Specific Protein Y-encoded
U/μL	unit per microlitter
UPGMA	Unweighted Pair Group Method with Arithmetic mean
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia
UV	ultraviolet
VNTRs	variable number of tandem repeats
WT1	Wilm's Tumor gene 1
ZFX	Zinc Finger protein in chromosome X





ZFY	Zinc Finger protein in chromosome Y
$\mu\text{l}$	microliter
$\mu\text{M}$	micromolar
$^{\circ}\text{C}$	Centigrade Celsius
1X	one time

