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Shear failure of Reinforced Concrete (RC) beams is catastrophic and could occur without any forewarning. Many existing reinforced concrete (RC) members are found to be deficient in shear strength and need to be repaired. Shear deficiencies in reinforced concrete beams may crop up due to many factors such as inadequate shear reinforcement, reduction in steel area due to corrosion, use of outdated design codes, increased service load, poor workmanship and design faults. The application of Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer Composite material, as an external reinforcement is a viable technology recently found to be worth for improving the structural performance of reinforced concrete structures.

This study was conducted to investigate the shear strengthening capacity and modes of failure of reinforced concrete beams using externally bonded bi-directional Carbon
Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) strip. To accomplish the objectives, an experimental program was conducted within laboratory environment where a specimen lot comprised of eighteen rectangular (18 Nos) beams and sixteen (16 Nos) T-beams were tested until failure. The specimens comprised of rectangular and T-beams of length 2980mm were fabricated and tested in the Structural Laboratory at Universiti Putra Malaysia. The rectangular beams were strengthened without any internal shear reinforcement but the T-beams were strengthened with internal shear reinforcement. The beams were classified into three categories: control, precracked/repai red and initially strengthened specimens. The variables investigated in this experimental program included (i) longitudinal reinforcement ratio, (ii) shear span to effective depth ratio, (iii) spacing of CFRP strip and (iv) orientation of CFRP strips. Test results showed that the externally bonded bi-directional Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) significantly enhances the shear enhancement of both the rectangular (without steel stirrups) and T-beam (with steel stirrups). The study also revealed that the contribution of externally bonded CFRP strips to the shear capacity was significantly influenced by the variables investigated.

A design equation was developed to compute the shear contribution of CFRP to the shear capacity of RC beams. The experimental results were compared with the existing models of Triantafillou, 1998; Khalifa 2002; and ACI 440, 2003 to verify the proposed design equation. The theoretical values calculated by the proposed model for rectangular beams without internal shear reinforcement showed good agreement with those of the T-beams with internal shear reinforcement. The study observed that the predicted results of the existing models by Khalifa (2002) and ACI 440 were slightly higher than that of the proposed one. However it was also observed that the model by
Triantafillou shows poor agreement in comparison to Khalifa (2002) and ACI 440 models. While the study contributed insights in terms of shear strength and modes of failure of reinforced concrete CFRP strengthened beams with respect to the variables such as shear span to effective depth ratio, longitudinal reinforcement ratio, spacing, and different orientations of CFRP strips, it developed a design equation and few recommendations.
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Kegagalan daya regangan pada binaan konkrit yang kukuh merupakan satu malapetaka dan boleh terjadi tanpa sebarang amaran awal. Terdapat banyak komponen binaan konkrit yang wujud kini perlu diperbaiki kerana kurang daya kekuatan regangan. Kekurangan kekuatan regangan ini boleh berlaku akibat beberapa faktor seperti pengukuhan kuasa regangan yang tidak mencukupi, bahagian besi atau keluli terhakis, penggunaan kod rekabentuk yang lama, penambahan beban servis, kekurangan kemahiran dan kesalahan rekabentuk. Penggunaan bahan Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP) sebagai pengukuhan luaran adalah teknologi bernilai yang ditemui untuk mempertingkatkan kekuatan struktur binaan dan hayat bangunan.

Kajian ini telah dijalankan untuk menyelidik tahap kemampuan kekuatan regangan dan penyebab kegagalan binaan konkrit yang telah diperkuatkan menggunakan pendekatan ikatan luaran bi-directional Carbon Fibre Reinforced Polymer (CFRP). Bagi mencapai
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### ACRYNOMS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$a_v$</td>
<td>Shear span</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_v$</td>
<td>Area of internal shear reinforcement within a distance $s$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_s$</td>
<td>Area of tensile reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A_f$</td>
<td>Area of CFRP reinforcement $= 2nt_fw_f$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f'_{c}$</td>
<td>Concrete cylinder compressive strength of concrete</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$b_w$</td>
<td>Width of beam cross section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d$</td>
<td>Effective depth of beam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_f$</td>
<td>Effective depth of the FRP shear reinforcement (usually equals to $d$ for rectangular cross section and $d-t_s$ for T-section)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_f$</td>
<td>Elastic modulus of FRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{ce}$</td>
<td>Effective average stress in the FRP sheet at ultimate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{tu}$</td>
<td>Tensile strength of FRP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_y$</td>
<td>Yield strength of steel reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$k_v$</td>
<td>Bond reduction coefficient relies on the modification factor $k_1$ (account for concrete strength); $k_2$ (account for type of wrapping scheme)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_{eff}$ or $L_e$</td>
<td>Effective bond length</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>$(R_1, R_2, \text{ and } R_3)$ reduction coefficient,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$M_u$</td>
<td>Factored bending moment at the section</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s$</td>
<td>Spacing of internal shear reinforcement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_f$</td>
<td>Spacing of FRP strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$s_{f,max}$</td>
<td>Maximum spacing of FRP strip</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_f$</td>
<td>Thickness of FRP strip</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
$V_c$ Nominal shear strength provided by concrete

$V_f$ Nominal shear strength provided by FRP shear reinforcement

$V_n$ Nominal shear strength

$V_s$ Nominal shear strength provided by steel stirrups

$V_u$ Factored shear force at the section

$w_f$ Width of FRP strip

$w_{fe}$ Effective width of FRP

$\alpha$ Angle of inclination of internal shear reinforcement

$\beta$ Angle between principal fibre orientation and longitudinal axis of the beam

$\gamma_f$ Partial safety factor for FRP in uniaxial tension (taken 1.15 for CFRP)

$\psi$ or $\psi_f$ Reduction factor applied to the shear contribution of the FRP

$\phi_f$ Material reduction factor for the FRP (0.8)

$\varepsilon_{fe}$ Effective strain of FRP at failure

$\varepsilon_{fu}$ or $\varepsilon_{fu}^*$ Ultimate tensile strain of FRP.

$\varepsilon_{cu}$ Ultimate vertical strain of concrete

$\rho$ Ratio of longitudinal tensile reinforcement

$\rho_f$ FRP fraction area = $\frac{(2nt_f b_w)(w_f s_f)}{M_1}$

AvgM1/M2-Average strain of M1 and M2

AvgM3/M2-Average strain of M1 and M2

AvgS1a/S1b-Average strain of S1a and S1b

AvgS2a/S2b-Average strain of S2a and S2b

AvgS3a/S3b-Average strain of S3a and S3b