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The study aims to obtain an overview of the multiple intelligence profile, creative learning styles, and creativity level of Form 4 students, as well as investigate the relationship and correlation between multiple intelligence profile, creativity profile, and creative learning styles of Form 4 students according to gender and subject stream in Selangor.

The design of the study was a descriptive correlation study. The sample of the study was selected through random sampling method. A total of 497 Form 4 students from five schools in Selangor were selected through convenience sampling. A Multiple Intelligence Profile, Creativity Profile, and Creative Learning styles questionnaire was used for data
collection. The data was analyzed using Statistical Packages for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 18.

Findings of the study shows that there were significant differences in the multiple intelligence profiles, creativity profile, and creative learning styles of students according to gender and subject stream. In terms of multiple intelligence profiles, male and female students showed significant difference in their visual spatial, linguistic, musical and kinaesthetic intelligence. On the other hand, Science and Art students showed significant differences in their overall multiple intelligences profile, naturalistic, logical-math, and interpersonal intelligence. Study findings on creativity profile showed that male and female students had significant difference in their playfulness and extroversion characteristics. On the other hand, Science and Art students demonstrated significant difference in students’ overall creativity characteristics, openness, playfulness, imagination and fantasy, and extroversion characteristics. In terms of creative learning styles, visual spatial creative learning style is the only learning style that has a significant difference between male and female students. On the other hand, Science and Art students showed significant difference in their overall creative learning styles, linguistic, kinaesthetic, interpersonal, and logical math creative learning styles.

In addition, study findings also indicate that there is a significant and positive relationship between multiple intelligence profile and creative learning style, as well as between creativity profile and creative learning style according to gender and subject stream. Male students were found to have a strong correlation between their linguistic intelligence and linguistic learning styles, followed by between their overall multiple intelligences with
logical-math learning style and between their overall creative learning styles with linguistic intelligence whereas female respondents were found to have a strong correlation between their overall multiple intelligences with interpersonal and intrapersonal learning style. In terms of subject stream variable, respondents from the Science and Art stream were found to have the strongest correlation between their linguistic intelligence and linguistic learning style.

Furthermore, study findings indicated that the strongest correlational relationship between creativity characteristics and creative learning styles among male and female students was between the overall creativity characteristics with both intrapersonal and interpersonal learning styles and followed by between the overall creative learning styles with playfulness. In terms of the subject stream variable, Science students showed highest correlation between their creative characteristics with intrapersonal learning style, followed by openness, passionate, as well as the overall creative characteristics with intrapersonal learning style. On the other hand, Art students showed the highest correlation between their overall creative characteristics with interpersonal learning style and intrapersonal learning style, and thirdly followed by between openness and interpersonal learning style.

This study would be useful in providing teachers and the society an overview of the multiple intelligence profile, creativity profile, and creative learning style of Form 4 students in Selangor based on gender and subject stream. Study findings on these variables are believed to aid curriculum designers, policy makers, and teachers in the planning and implementation of curriculum and instructional strategies as well as the
preparation of instructional materials that emphasised on individual differences. The outcome of the study findings can also be useful to students, where they can identify and use their strengths to succeed in their studies.
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Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji profil kecerdasan pelbagai, gaya pembelajaran kreatif, dan tahap kreativiti pelajar Tingkatan Empat di Selangor. Selain itu, kajian ini juga ingin menysiasat hubungan antara profil kecerdasan pelbagai, profil kreativiti, dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif bagi pelajar Tingkatan Empat berdasarkan pembolehubah jantina dan aliran di Selangor.

Rekabentuk kajian ini ialah kajian deskriptif korelasi. Sampel kajian diperoleh dengan menggunakan kaedah pemilihan rawak. Sebanyak 497 pelajar Tingkatan Empat dari lima sekolah di Selangor telah dipilih dengan menggunakan kaedah persampelan rawak mudah. Instrumen Profil Kecerdasan Pelbagai, Profil Kreativiti, dan Gaya Pembelajaran Kreatif
Data yang dikumpulkan dianalisis dengan *Statistical Packages for Social Science (SPSS)* versi 18.

Analisis deskriptif menunjukkan bahawa secara keseluruhan, terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan bagi profil kecerdasan pelbagai, profil kreativiti, dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif pelajar berdasarkan jantina dan aliran. Dari segi profil kecerdasan pelbagai, pelajar lelaki dan perempuan menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan dalam kecerdasan visual ruang, bahasa, muzik dan kinaesthetik. Sebaliknya, pelajar Sains dan Sastera menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan dalam keseluruhan profil kecerdasan pelbagai, naturalistik, logik-matematik, dan kecerdasan interpersonal. Dapatkan kajian mengenai profil kreativiti menunjukkan bahawa pelajar lelaki dan perempuan mempunyai perbezaan yang signifikan dalam ciri suka main-main dan ciri extroversion mereka. Sebaliknya, pelajar Sains dan Sastera menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan dalam keseluruhan ciri-ciri kreativiti, keterbukaan, suka main-main, imaginasi dan fantasi, dan ciri-ciri extroversion.

Dari segi gaya pembelajaran kreatif, gaya pembelajaran visual ruang sahaja yang mempunyai perbezaan yang signifikan antara pelajar lelaki dan perempuan. Sebaliknya, pelajar Sains dan Sastera menunjukkan perbezaan yang signifikan dalam gaya pembelajaran kreatif keseluruhan, bahasa, kinaesthetik, interpersonal, dan gaya pembelajaran logik matematik.

Di samping itu, dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan bahawa terdapat hubungan yang signifikan dan positif antara profil kecerdasan pelbagai dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif, serta antara profil kreativiti dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif mengikut jantina dan aliran. Pelajar lelaki didapati mempunyai korelasi yang kuat antara kecerdasan linguistik dan
gaya pembelajaran bahasa mereka, diikuti oleh antara keseluruhan kecerdasan pelbagai dengan gaya pembelajaran logik-matematik dan antara gaya keseluruhan pembelajaran kreatif dengan kecerdasan linguistik manakala responden wanita didapati mempunyai korelasi yang kuat antara kecerdasan pelbagai keseluruhan dengan gaya pembelajaran interpersonal dan intrapersonal. Dalam segi pembolehubah aliran, responden dari aliran Sains dan Sastera telah didapati mempunyai korelasi yang kuat antara kecerdasan linguistik dan gaya pembelajaran bahasa mereka.

Di samping itu, dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa hubungan korelasi yang kuat antara ciri-ciri kreativiti dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif di kalangan pelajar lelaki dan perempuan adalah antara ciri-ciri kreativiti keseluruhan dengan gaya pembelajaran intrapersonal dan interpersonal dan diikuti dengan antara keseluruhan gaya pembelajaran kreatif dengan suka main-main. Dalam segi pembolehubah aliran, pelajar Sains menunjukkan korelasi yang tertinggi di antara ciri-ciri kreatif mereka dengan gaya pembelajaran intrapersonal, diikuti oleh keterbukaan, ghairah, serta ciri-ciri keseluruhan kreatif dengan gaya pembelajaran intrapersonal. Sebaliknya, pelajar Sastera menunjukkan korelasi yang tertinggi di antara ciri-ciri kreatif keseluruhan dengan gaya pembelajaran interpersonal dan intrapersonal, dan diikuti oleh antara keterbukaan dengan gaya pembelajaran interpersonal.

Dapatan kajian akan memanfaatkan guru dan masyarakat dengan memberi gambaran keseluruhan profil kecerdasan pelbagai, profil kreativiti, dan gaya pembelajaran kreatif pelajar Tingkatan empat di Selangor berdasarkan jantina dan aliran. Dapatan kajian juga akan memberi manfaat untuk golongan perancang kurikulum, pengajar dan guru dalam
process perancangan pengajaran dan penyediaan bahan bantu belajar yang menekankan perbezaan individu. Hasil dapatan kajian juga boleh memanfaatkan pelajar, di mana mereka boleh mengenal pasti dan menggunakan kekuatan mereka untuk berjaya dalam pelajaran mereka.
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