UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

PERFORMANCE OF FRONT BUMPER AND HOOD SYSTEM WITH PEDESTRIAN SAFETY SUBJECTED TO FRONTAL IMPACTS

MAI NURSHERIDA BINTI JALALUDDIN

FK 2011 116
PERFORMANCE OF FRONT BUMPER AND HOOD SYSTEM WITH PEDESTRIAN SAFETY SUBJECTED TO FRONTAL IMPACTS

By

MAI NURSHERIDA BINTI JALALUDDIN

Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science

September 2011
DEDICATIONS

My dearest parents;
Haji Jalaluddin Bin Haji Udin and Hajjah Sharipah Sapiah Binti Syed Hassan Al-Jamalulail.

My husband;
Mohd Rosdan Bin Sulaiman

My dearest children;
Aishah Umairah Binti Mohd Rosdan & Akid Naqiuddien Bin Mohd Rosdan.

My beloved brother and sisters;
Mai Juzilin Binti Haji Jalaluddin, Mai Jasmina Binti Haji Jalaluddin, Mai Shahzima Binti Haji Jalaluddin, Mior Nur Izam Bin Haji Jalaluddin and Allahyarhammah Leftenan Mai Elny Sefrina Binti Haji Jalaluddin
Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

PERFORMANCE OF FRONT BUMPER AND HOOD SYSTEM WITH PEDESTRIAN SAFETY SUBJECTED TO FRONTAL IMPACTS

By

MAI NURSHERIDA BINTI JALALUDDIN

September 2011

Chairman: Professor Barkawi Bin Safari, Ir. PhD

Faculty: Faculty of Engineering

A performance of front bumper and hood system with pedestrian safety subjected to frontal impacts is presented and discussed in this project. The aim of this study is to analyze the effect of steel, aluminum and composite material on energy absorption and pedestrian safety of automotive front bumper system and hood. The front bumper beams and hood made of e-glass/epoxy composite and carbon epoxy composite are studied and characterized by impact modeling using LS-DYNA V971, according to United States New Car Assessment Program (US-NCAP) frontal impact velocity and based on European Enhanced Vehicle-safety Committee.

The most important variable of this structure are mass, material, head injury criteria, leg injury criteria and Specific Energy Absorption (SEA). The results are compared with bumper beam and hood made of mild steel. Three types of materials are used in the present study which consists of mild steel as references material, Aluminum AA5182, E-glass/epoxy composite and carbon fiber/epoxy composite with three different fiber orientations $[0^\circ/60^\circ]_S$, $[0^\circ/30^\circ/60^\circ]_S$ and $[0^\circ/30^\circ/60^\circ/90^\circ]_S$. 
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The beams were subjected to impact loading to determine the internal energy and SEA. The in-plane failure behaviors of the composites were evaluated by using Tsai Wu failure criterion. The results for the composite beams are compared to that of the reference beam to find the best material with highest SEA. LS-DYNA Finite Element Analysis software was used. The results showed that carbon fiber/epoxy composite bumper can reduce the bumper mass and has the highest value of SEA followed by glass fiber/epoxy composite, aluminum AA5182 and mild steel.

For the pedestrian safety, the dummy was subjected to frontal impact to determine the head injury criteria. The results for the composite materials and aluminum AA5182 are compared to that of the reference material to find the best material with lowest value of Head Injury Criterion (HIC). The results showed that aluminum AA5182 with thickness 1.0 mm hood has the lowest HIC value with 549.70 for HIC15 and 883.00 for HIC36 followed by mild steel with 657.40 for HIC15 and 980.90 for HIC36, glass fiber/epoxy composite with 639.60 for HIC15 and 921.70 for HIC36 and carbon fiber/epoxy composite bumper with 1197.00 for HIC15 and 1424.00 for HIC36. From the present work, the carbon glass fiber/epoxy bumper beams and aluminum AA5182 hood are proposed since it met the European New Car Assessment Programme (N-CAP) criteria.

For Leg Injury criteria determination, the legform model was used to stimulate accidents against the front of the car. The European New Car Assessment Program (NCAP) parameter was used to simulate accidents involving pedestrian impact at 40km/h, where dummy models or legform served as impactors. For legform simulation results, the tibia acceleration 91.5 g, 4.2 mm shear displacement and 12.0°
bending angle graphs are below the regulation limit. It is because there are no contact between the front bumper beam and the legform, so that the injury is less. This is shows that the clearance between the bumper shell and front bumper beam are enough. The appropriate value is 75 mm. As a conclusion, the minimum clearance between the leg and the bumper
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Pembolehubah yang paling penting dari struktur ini adalah jisim, bahan, kriteria kecederaan kepala, kriteria kecederaan kaki dan “Specific Energy Absorption” (SEA). Keputusan yang diperolehi dibandingkan dengan rasuk bumper dan kap enjin yang diperbuat daripada besi keluli lembut. Tiga jenis bahan yang digunakan dalam
Kajian ini yang terdiri daripada besi keluli lembut sebagai bahan rujukan, Aluminium AA5182, E-glass/epoxy komposit dan karbon / epoxy komposit dengan tiga orientasi komposit yang berbeza iaitu $[0^\circ/60^\circ]_S$, $[0^\circ/30^\circ/60^\circ]_S$ dan $[0^\circ/30^\circ/60^\circ/90^\circ]_S$.

Rasuk menjadi subjek utama di dalam bebanan impak untuk menentukan tenaga dalaman dan SEA. Perilaku kegagalan dalam rasuk yang diperbuat daripada komposit dinilai dengan menggunakan kriteria kegagalan Tsai Wu. Keputusan untuk rasuk komposit dibandingkan dengan rasuk rujukan untuk mencari bahan terbaik dan diukur dengan nilai SEA yang tertinggi. Analisis dilakukan dengan menggunakan perisian “LS-DYNA Finite Element Analysis”. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa rasuk bumper yang menggunakan serat karbon/epoksi komposit dapat mengurangkan jisim rasuk dan mempunyai nilai SEA yang tertinggi diikuti dengan serat glass / epoxy komposit, aluminium AA5182 dan besi keluli lembut.

Untuk keselamatan pejalan kaki, “dummy” menjadi sasaran di dalam perlanggaran impak hadapan untuk menentukan kriteria kecederaan di kepala. Keputusan simulasi dibandingkan diantara bahan-bahan komposit, aluminium AA5182 dan bahan rujukan untuk mencari bahan terbaik dengan nilai “Head Injury Criteria” (HIC) yang terendah. Keputusan kajian menunjukkan bahawa kap enjin yang menggunakan bahan aluminium AA5182 dengan ketebalan 1.0 mm memiliki nilai HIC terendah dengan 549.70 untuk HIC15 dan 883.00 untuk HIC36 diikuti dengan bumper besi keluli lembut dengan 657.40 untuk HIC15 dan 980.90 untuk HIC36, serat glass / epoxy komposite dengan 639.60 untuk HIC15 dan 921.70 untuk HIC36 dan karbon komposit/epoksi komposit dengan 1197.00 untuk HIC15 dan 1424.00 untuk HIC36. Dari kajian ini, serat gelas karbon / epoxy bumper angka dan aluminium kap
AA5182 dicadangkan kerana memenuhi kriteria European New Car Assessment Programme (N-CAP).

Untuk penentuan kriteria kecederaan di kaki, model “legform” digunakan untuk merangsang kemalangan terhadap bahagian depan kereta. Parameter yang digunakan adalah berdasarkan “European New Car Assessment Program” (NCAP) untuk mensimulasikan kesan kemalangan yang melibatkan pejalan kaki pada 40km / j, di mana model “dummy” atau “legform” menjadi sebagai “impactor”. Untuk hasil simulasi “legform”, percepatan tibia 91.5 g, perpindahan luncurkan dengan nilai 4.2 mm dan 12.0 ° untuk pembengkokan sudut di bawah batas regulasi. Hal ini kerana tidak ada hubungan antara rasuk bumper depan dan legform, oleh sebab itu, kecederaan adalah kurang. Hal ini menunjukkan bahawa jarak antara rangka luar bumper dan rasuk bumper depan sudah mencukupi. Nilai yang sesuai dan diterima adalah 75 mm.
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