



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***ECONOMIC VALUATION OF CONSERVATION OF LIVING HERITAGE
IN MELAKA CITY, MALAYSIA***

CHIAM CHOOI CHEA

FEP 2013 9



**ECONOMIC VALUATION OF CONSERVATION OF LIVING HERITAGE IN
MELAKA CITY, MALAYSIA**

By

CHIAM CHOOI CHEA

**Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2013

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement of the Doctor of Philosophy.

**ECONOMIC VALUATION OF CONSERVATION OF LIVING HERITAGE IN
MELAKA CITY, MALAYSIA**

By

CHIAM CHOOI CHEA

December 2013

Chair: Associate Professor Alias Radam, PhD

Faculty: Economics and Management

Melaka living heritage is a unique living testimony to the multi-cultural heritage and tradition of Asia from the past with great national and international significance, recognized by UNESCO as World Heritage Site in year 2008. Melaka is located at the southern part of Peninsular Malaysia bearing economic functions as a surviving historic city of the country. Despite the great opportunities for conserving the living heritage in Melaka, the city is currently facing threats of high traffic, excessive depletion of the natural environment in the city. This is due to underestimation on the non-market values of living heritage in development decisions. The aim of this study is to estimate the economic benefit of living heritage in Melaka city as the results would be able to provide insight to the value of this unique heritage to the society. The methods employed are Contingent Valuation Method Single-Bounded (Single-Bounded CVM), Contingent Valuation Method Double-Bounded (Double-Bounded CVM) and Choice Experiment (CE). The payment vehicle opted in this study is via accommodation, where a fixed heritage charge per night was included in the total accommodation bill in Melaka. In CVM, the logit model was defined based on dichotomous choice method to estimate the willingness-to-pay (WTP) randomly with five different starting bid value (RM3/RM4/RM5/RM6/RM7). Meanwhile, in CE, four attributes of non-market value of the city were defined; living heritage, natural environment, crowded recreational activities and heritage charge value. A total of 502 respondents were interviewed in person, using random stratified sampling method.

The results demonstrated positive WTP for living heritage conservation by the respondents in this study. The findings also indicated that respondents who are married and of foreign nationality were more likely to pay for the living heritage conservation. The estimated mean for the WTP was RM3.70 per night from single-bounded CVM and RM5.60 per night from double-bounded CVM. While in CE, the attribute for crowded recreational activities in the city provides the highest probability for the respondents for

this study to pay for an improvement level. While living heritage attribute has a negative probability of the respondents to pay for a higher level of this attribute.

The findings of this study can provide useful information to policy-makers, Majlis Bandaraya Melaka Bersejarah (MBMB), public and communities to better consideration of the consequences of present policies on the real value of living heritage in the city. Besides that, the results of valuation can help to convince the government and other decision makers to allocate more resources for conservation. Quantifying the major benefits provided by living heritage can provide invaluable support to the conservation efforts and the WTP results could encourage policy-makers to set priorities to ensure that the living heritage would be conserved in proper manner.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENILAIAN EKONOMI UNTUK PEMULIHARAAN WARISAN HIDUP DI BANDARAYA MELAKA, MALAYSIA

Oleh

CHIAM CHOOI CHEA

Disember 2013

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Alias Radam, PhD
Fakulti: Ekonomi dan Pengurusan

Warisan hidup Melaka adalah satu bukti kehidupan warisan yang unik dengan pelbagai budaya dan tradisi Asia dari masa lalu yang mempunyai kepentingan nasional dan antarabangsa yang besar, yang telah diiktiraf oleh UNESCO sebagai Tapak Warisan Dunia pada tahun 2008. Melaka terletak di bahagian selatan Semenanjung Malaysia yang mempunyai fungsi ekonomi sebagai satu bandar bersejarah untuk negara. Walaupun didapati banyak peluang bermanfaat besar hasil pembangunan secara mapan warisan hidup di Melaka, bandaraya ini sedang menghadapi ancaman yang tidak mapan terutamanya yang berhubungkait dengan ancaman trafik yang tinggi, deplesi yang melampau terhadap alam semulajadi di bandaraya tersebut. Ini adalah disebabkan oleh kegagalan penterjemahan nilai bukan pasaran warisan hidup di Melaka dalam keputusan untuk pembangunan. Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk mengira pelbagai nilai kewangan daripada warisan hidup ini sebagai satu alat yang sangat berguna untuk memberi gambaran nilai warisan hidup yang unik ini kepada masyarakat. Kaedah-kaedah yang digunakan dalam kajian ini adalah “Kaedah Penilaian Kontinjen Satu Sempadan (CVM Satu Sempadan)”, “Kaedah Penilaian Kontinjen Dua Sempadan (CVM Dua Sempadan)” dan “Kaedah Eksperimen Pilihan” (CE). Kaedah pembayaran yang dipilih dalam kajian ini adalah melalui penginapan, di mana caj warisan tetap untuk setiap malam akan dimasukkan di dalam bil penginapan di Melaka. Di dalam bahagian CVM, model logit didefinisi berdasarkan jawapan “Ya” atau “Tidak” untuk menunjukkan kesanggupan membayar (WTP) mereka secara rawak dengan lima nilai tawaran permulaan yang berbez-beza (RM3/RM4/RM5/RM6/RM7). Manakala, di dalam CE, empat atribusi nilai bukan pasaran didefinisikan iaitu persekitaran semulajadi, warisan hidup, aktiviti rekreasi kesesakan dan nilai caj warisan. Sejumlah 502 responden telah ditemuramah secara terus dengan menggunakan persampelan secara rawak.

Keputusan kajian menunjukkan responden positif dalam WTP untuk pemuliharaan warisan hidup. Hasil kajian juga menyatakan juga bahawa responden yang dah berkahwin dan kewarganegaraan asing adalah lebih bersetuju untuk membayar bagi

pemuliharaan warisan hidup. Purata taksiran untuk kesediaan membayar adalah RM3.70 semalam untuk CVM satu sempadan dan RM5.60 semalam untuk CVM dua sempadan.

Manakala untuk CE, atribusi aktiviti rekreasi kesesakan di bandaraya memberikan kebarangkalian yang paling tinggi kesanggupan bayar responden daripada kajian ini bagi tahap yang lebih tinggi untuk atribusi ini. Sementara itu, warisan hidup mempunyai kebarangkalian yang negative untuk responden membayar untuk tahap yang lebih tinggi untuk atribusi ini.

Hasil kajian ini boleh memperlengkapkan maklumat-maklumat berguna untuk penggubal polisi, Majlis Bandaraya Melaka Bersejarah (MBMB), awam dan masyarakat. Dengan ini, hasil kajian ini boleh digunakan untuk kesedaran masyarakat yang lebih besar tentang warisan hidup dan kepentingan faedah-faedah warisan hidup termasuk faedah ekonomi yang didapati di bandaraya tersebut. Hasil kajian ini juga berfaedah kepada penggubal polisi dalam mempertimbangkan akibat-akibat polisi sedia ada terhadap nilai sebenar warisan hidup. Selain itu, ia boleh membantu menyakinkan kerajaan dan pembuat keputusan lain untuk memperuntukan lebih banyak sumber-sumber untuk pemuliharaan. Pengiraan faedah-faedah utama yang terhasil daripada warisan hidup dapat menyediakan sokongan yang tidak ternilai terhadap usaha pemuliharaan. Oleh yang demikian, faedah-faedah dari warisan hidup terhadap masyarakat berdasarkan keputusan WTP dapat menggalakkan penggubal polisi untuk meletakkan keutamaam bagi memastikan warisan hidup dapat dipulihara dengan baik dan betul.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises to the Almighty God, for giving me the strength and determination to complete this study. This study was a fruitful learning experience over the years, although this research can be arduous at times. Nevertheless, many individual helped and supported me throughout this process. I would like to express my gratitude to all those made the completion of this study possible. Specifically, Associate Professor Dr. Alias Radam, my supervisor, who provided guidance, support, patience and understanding during this process. His guidance, calm, positive attitudes and routine progress meetings were most appreciated. It was an enriching learning experience in many ways to be under the supervision of Associate Professor Dr. Alias Radam.

I would also like to take this opportunity to say a big thank you to my committee members, Professor. Dr.Khalid Abdul Rahim and Associate Professor Dr. Rusli Yacob, from the Faculty of Economics and Management and Faculty of Environmental Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia respectively. They showed great patience in support of my research quest with their invaluable comments.

Thanks to Dr. Sara Kaffashi from Universiti Putra Malaysia, a post graduate from Faculty of Environmental Studies for her guidance in choice experiment analysis. Most importantly, I would like to express my deepest thanks to my parents, brothers, and nephew for things too numerous to mention. Their love, encouragement and support were the strongest motivation for me to continue and complete my studies. I too would like to express my sincere gratitude to all the lecturers and staffs at the Faculty of Economics and Management, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 27 December 2013 to conduct the final examination of Chiam Chooi Chea on her thesis entitle “ Economic Valuation of Conservation of Living Heritage in Melaka City, Malaysia” in accordance with the Universities and University College Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Muzafar Shah Habibullah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Tan Sri Datuk Nik Mustapha Raja Abdullah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Dato’ Tai Shzee Yew, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Indah Susilowati, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Economics and Business
Diponegoro University
Indonesia
(External Examiner)

NORITAH OMAR, PhD

Associate Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 10 March 2014

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement of the requirement for the Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Alias Radam, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Khalid Abdul Rahim, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Economics and Management
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Rusli Yacob, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published in book form;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: 27 December 2013

Name and Matric No: Chiam Chooi Chea and GS24154



Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhere to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	ii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	vi
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
CHAPTER	
1. INTRODUCTION	
1.1 A brief history of Melaka	1
1.2 The “living” heritage of Melaka city	1
1.2.1 The threat to Melaka city’s living heritage	2
1.3 The UNESCO World Heritage Sites listing of Melaka city	2
1.4 The Research Problem	3
1.4.1 Tourist Arrivals	4
1.4.2 Tourist Receipts	4
1.4.3 Hotel Occupancy and Hotel Guests	5
1.5 The actual value of conserving Melaka city’s living heritage	6
1.6 The research objectives	6
1.6.1 The specific objectives	6
1.7 The significance of the research	6
1.8 Organization of the study	7
2. LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Heritage	8
2.1.1 Living heritage (intangible cultural heritage)	8
2.2 The economic valuation	8
2.2.1 Use value and non-use value	10
2.2.2 Stated preference (SP) method	13
2.2.3 Stated preference (SP) approaches in valuing living heritage	13
2.3 Welfare measurement	14
2.4 The contingent valuation method (CVM) application	15
2.5 CVM elicitation methods	17
2.5.1 Bidding game	18
2.5.2 Payment card	19
2.5.3 Open-ended	19
2.5.4 Dichotomous Choice (CVM-DC)	19
2.5.5 Several forms of CVM-DC	20
2.6 Single-bounded versus double-bounded dichotomous choice approach	23
2.7 The weaknesses of CVM	24
2.7.1 Strategic bias	25
2.7.2 Design and information bias	25
	xi

2.7.3	Starting point bias	25
2.7.4	Vehicle bias	26
2.7.5	Hypothetical bias	26
2.8	Advantages and disadvantages of CVM-DC compared to other approaches	27
2.9	Validity, Reliability of CVM	27
2.10	Assumptions used in CVM	28
2.11	Studies that uses CVM to assess environmental amenities	28
2.11.1	The Exxon Valdez oil spill on Prince William Sound	29
2.11.2	The Kakadu conservation zone in Australia	30
2.11.3	The Fes Medina Morocco	31
2.11.4	The My Son Heritage Site in Vietnam	32
2.11.5	The Inner Living Heritage in George Town, Penang Island	32
2.11.6	The relevance to the Melaka study	33
2.12	The application of attitudinal and behavioral variables	33
2.13	Choice Modeling (CM)	34
2.13.1	Choice Experiment (CE)	34
2.13.2	CE Steps	35
2.13.3	Advantages of CE	37
2.13.4	Advantages of CE compared to CVM-DC	37
2.13.5	Disadvantages of CE	38
2.14	The use of CM to assess environmental amenities	38
2.14.1	The My Son World Heritage Site in Vietnam	38
3	RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Methodology on Contingent valuation method (CVM)	40
3.2	Conceptual Framework	40
3.3	Payment Vehicle	42
3.4	Data Collection	43
3.4.1	Study Area	43
3.4.2	Sample size and survey administration	44
3.5	Designing the Questionnaire	45
3.6	Variables to be measured	46
3.7	Survey Instrument	47
3.8	Preliminary Testing	48
3.9	Hanemann's utility difference model	48
3.10	The cumulative density function	52
3.10.1	Choice welfare measure mean or median	53
3.10.2	Estimating mean WTP	54
3.10.3	Validity Test	54
3.11	Single-bounded CVM-DC model	55
3.12	The bid design	57
3.13	Double-bounded CVM-DC Model	57
3.14	Choice Experiment (CE)	59
3.14.1	Definition of attributes and levels in CE	59
3.14.2	Definition of attributes' levels	60
3.14.3	Experimental Design	62

3.14.4	Construction of choice sets	62
3.14.5	CE methodology	64
3.14.6	Independent Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA)	66
4	ANALYSIS AND DISCUSSIONS	
4.1	Descriptive Analysis	67
4.1.1	Socio-economic profile of respondents	67
4.1.2	Respondents' attitudinal perception on living heritage	69
4.1.3	Respondents' perception about Melaka city living heritage	69
4.1.4	Respondents' perception about heritage policies	70
4.1.5	Perception on the importance to conserve living heritage	72
4.1.6	Perception on the improvement of Melaka city living heritage	72
4.1.7	Respondents' perception on payment vehicle for the living heritage charges	73
4.1.8	Probability WTP	74
4.2	Variables used in the analysis	74
4.2.1	Preliminary overall regression models	75
4.2.2	Final regression model	76
4.2.3	Discussion of regression results	77
	4.2.3.1 Measuring mean and standard deviation WTP	77
	4.2.3.2 Measure of Regression Model Fit	77
4.3	Double-bounded CVM	78
4.3.1	Bid Responses	78
4.4	Choice Experiment analysis	81
4.4.1	Preliminary model	82
4.4.2	Basic multinomial model (MNL)	82
4.4.3	Simple multinomial model (MNL)	84
4.4.4	Interaction models	84
4.4.5	Final model	86
4.4.6	Marginal willingness-to-pay	87
4.4.7	Independent Irrelevant Alternatives (IIA)	89
5	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
5.1	Introduction	90
5.2	Summary	91
5.3	Conclusion	92
5.4	Policy Implications	93
	5.4.1 Policy makers	93
	5.4.2 Majlis Bandaraya Bersejarah Melaka (MBMB)	94
	5.4.3 Public and community	95
5.5	Limitations of the study	95
5.6	Recommendations for further studies	96
	REFERENCES	97
	APPENDICES	119
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	140
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	141