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The demand for a flexible operating system have increased with the variation of working environments i.e. interactive systems, real-time systems, batch systems, distributed systems or embedded systems. This variation of working environments has generated different applications with different user requirements.

Process scheduler, or CPU scheduler is the component of the operating system that plays the role of processes coordination and synchronization. The CPU scheduler uses different scheduling policies (algorithms) to manage such processes. Each scheduling policy has its own properties and suits specific applications or a specific environment.

In this thesis a Linux based framework, Runtime Pluggable Scheduling Policies framework, has been proposed to allow switching between the scheduling policies on the CPU scheduler during run-time. The framework purpose is to enable the operating system to use suitable scheduling policy for particular applications and user requirements.

This framework allows Linux users to plug and unplug a preferred scheduling policy available in the CPU scheduler while the system is running without the need to reboot or recompile the system.
It also provides a flexible interface for kernel developers which facilitates the evaluation and testing for their newly developed scheduling algorithms. Kernel programmers could add and remove a scheduling policy to the kernel then set the CPU scheduler to run on a specific policy at run-time as well.

This framework has been developed on top of Linux 2.6.25 kernel, and supports future kernel releases. It added more flexibility to the Linux CPU scheduler. It has been implemented in three layers, kernel, modules, and user interface layer, to simplify the framework development and maintenance as well as to maintain performance.

Testing and evaluating the framework have proved that it works properly in a reliable way. Various metrics have been measured with an accurate benchmarks. Benchmarking the framework has assured that it has not introduced a deterioration to the overall CPU scheduler performance.

The kernel build time of vanilla kernel and the modified kernel were extremely close to each other regardless of the small percentage difference in some cases which is not higher than 0.2%. CPU throughput was almost similar in the modified kernel and the vanilla. CPU utilization was 95.5%, and 95.7% in vanilla kernel and RPSP respectively. The total memory was utilized by the framework was almost negligible at 396 KB. RPSP achieves the process communication in all the cases with 3.5% better than the vanilla kernel. Executing RPSP had some impact on the performance of communication. However, the difference percentage does not exceed 1%. The latency difference was not significant, where the maximum value of difference was 1.6 ms only in few cases. This deterioration in response time does not exceed the noticeable value of 7 ms. Scalability of RPSP were close to the vanilla with a maximum difference of 5% higher than vanilla. However, this percentage difference is not noticeable since it is in a fraction of microsecond.
Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master sains.
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Permintaan untuk sistem operasi yang fleksibel telah meningkat dengan variasi persekitaran tugas contohnya sistem interaktif, sistem masa nyata, sistem kelompok, sistem teragih atau sistem terbenam. Variasi dalam persekitaran tugas ini telah menjana pelbagai aplikasi dengan keperluan pengguna yang berbeza.

Penjadual proses, atau penjadual CPU ialah komponen sistem operasi yang memainkan peranan penyelarasan dan penyegerakan proses-proses ini. Penjadual CPU menggunakan polisi penjadualan (algoritma) yang berbeza untuk menguruskan proses-proses sedemikian. Setiap polisi penjadualan mempunyai ciri-ciri tersendiri dan bersesuaian dengan aplikasi atau persekitaran tertentu.

Dalam tesis ini rangka kerja berasaskan Linux, rangka kerja Polisi Penjadualan Masa Jalanan Boleh Palam (Runtime Pluggable Scheduling Policies), telah dicadangkan untuk membenarkan penukaran polisi penjadualan pada penjadual CPU sewaktu masa jalanan. Tujuan rangka kerja ini adalah bagi membolehkan sistem operasi menggunakan polisi penjadual yang sesuai untuk keperluan sesuatu aplikasi dan pengguna.
Rangka kerja ini membolehkan pengguna Linux untuk membolehkan pengguna Linux untuk membolehkan pengguna Linux untuk menarik polisi penjadualan pilihan yang tersedia dalam penjadual CPU semasa sistem itu berjalan tanpa perlu memasang semula atau mengumpul semula sistem tersebut.

Ia juga menyediakan suatu antara muka yang fleksibel untuk pembangun kernel dan bagi memudahkan penilaian dan pengujian algoritma penjadualan yang baru dibangunkan. Pengaturcara kernel boleh menambah dan membuang polisi penjadualan pada kernel dan kemudiannya menetapkan penjadual CPU untuk menjalankan satu polisi tertentu pada masa jalan.

Rangka kerja ini telah dibangunkan di atas kernel Linux 2.6.25, dan menyokong kernel yang akan datang. Ia telah menambahkan kelenturan kepada penjadual CPU Linux. Ia telah dilaksanakan dalam tiga lapisan, kernel, modul dan lapisan aplikasi pengguna, untuk memudahkan pembangunan dan penyenggaraan rangka kerja serta untuk mengekalkan prestasi.

Ujian dan penilaian rangka kerja telah membuktikan yang ia berfungsi dengan baik dan boleh diharap. Pengujian tanda aras rangka kerja juga telah menjamin yang ia tidak menyebabkan kerosotan pada prestasi keseluruhan penjadual CPU.

Masa bangun kernel bagi kernel vanilla dan kernel yang telah diubahsuai hampir sama tanpa mengambil kira perbezaan peratusan yang sangat kecil dalam beberapa kes yang tidak melebihi 0.2%. Daya pemprosesan CPU hampir sama di dalam kernel yang telah diubah dan kernel vanilla. Penggunaan CPU adalah 95.5% di kernel vanilla dan 95.7% di RPSP. Jumlah memori yang digunakan oleh rangka kerja itu hampir boleh diabaikan pada 396 KB. RPSP mencapai proses komunikasi dalam semua kes dengan 3.5% lebih baik dari kernel vanila. Pelaksanaan RPSP memberikan beberapa kesan terhadap prestasi komunikasi. Walau demikian, peratusan perbezaan tidak melebihi 1%. Perbezaan penangguhan tidak ketara, dimana nilai maksimum perbezaan adalah 1.6 ms hanya dalam beberapa kes. Kerosotan dalam waktu respon ini tidak melebihi nilai ketara pada 7 ms. Kebolehskalaan RPSP hampir sama kepada vanila dengan perbezaan
maksimum pada 5% lebih tinggi daripada vanila. Bagaimanapun, perbezaan peratusan ini tidak ketara kerana ia sebahagian dari mikrosaat.
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