



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***AMELIORATION OF SOIL ACIDITY BY LIMING FOR RICE GROWN ON
ACID SULPHATE SOIL IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA***

ELISA AZURA BINTI AZMAN

FP 2012 65

**AMELIORATION OF SOIL ACIDITY BY LIMING
FOR RICE GROWN ON ACID SULPHATE SOIL
IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

ELISA AZURA BINTI AZMAN

MASTER OF AGRICULTURAL SCIENCE

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2012

**AMELIORATION OF SOIL ACIDITY BY LIMING FOR RICE GROWN ON
ACID SULPHATE SOIL IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA**

By

ELISA AZURA BINTI AZMAN

**Thesis submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Agricultural
Science**

April 2012

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Agricultural Science

AMELIORATION OF SOIL ACIDITY BY LIMING FOR RICE GROWN ON ACID SULPHATE SOIL IN PENINSULAR MALAYSIA

By

ELISA AZURA AZMAN

APRIL 2012

Chairman : Shamshuddin Jusop, PhD

Faculty : Agriculture

A study was conducted in Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia. The study area was a paddy field on acid sulfate soil classified as Merbok Series (*Typic Sulfaquents*). This soil is highly acidic containing high Al concentration. Around 3000 ha of land in Merbok, Kedah are cultivated with rice, variety MR 219. Currently, paddy yield is less than 2 t ha⁻¹ season⁻¹, this is below the national average of 4 t ha⁻¹ season⁻¹. Therefore, the objective of this study was to suggest ways of ameliorating an acid sulfate soil in Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia. A series of laboratory experiments, glasshouse studies and field trials were conducted.

The first study is laboratory experiment. The objective was to determine the effects of high Al concentration and low pH on rice seeds germination, root morphology and organic acids release. Rice seeds (MR 219) were separately exposed into two conditions which are in solutions containing various concentration of Al (10, 20, 30,

40 and 50 μM) and various water pH (3, 4, 5, 6 and 7) which the pH was adjusted using 0.01 M HCl or NaOH. Results of the study showed that root length decreased with increasing Al concentrations, while the opposite was true for the pH. The trend for the change of root surface area with Al concentration and pH is the same as that of the root length. The critical Al concentration for rice growth is 15 μM and the optimal pH for rice root to grow is 6. At low pH and high Al concentration, the rice roots secreted citrate and/or oxalate which subsequently formed Al-citrate and Al-oxalate, respectively. This, to a certain extent, had reduced Al toxicity. This is the mechanism of rice slight tolerance to Al toxicity.

The second study is glasshouse study. The objective was to determine the effects of lime application on the soil chemical properties and the growth of rice under glasshouse conditions. GML and hydrated lime were applied 2 weeks, while liquid lime was applied a day prior to rice seeding; MR 219 rice variety was used in this experiment. It was found that the application of 4 t ha⁻¹ of GML had produced the highest rice yield of 8.2 t ha⁻¹. Relative rice yield is negatively correlated with the soil pH. At harvest, the soil pH exceeded 6 for all the treated soils and this showed that as soil pH increased, exchangeable Ca increased. Soil treated with 2 t ha⁻¹ of hydrated lime gave the highest exchangeable Ca in the soil of 11.86 cmol_c kg⁻¹ soil. At this rate of application, the concentration of Ca in the root was 0.12%.

The third study was field trial conducted in Merbok. The objective was to increase rice production on an acid sulfate soil under rain-fed condition in Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia, using various lime sources. The soil was treated with ground magnesium limestone, hydrated lime and liquid lime at the specified rate. Rice variety MR 219

was tested which is the most common variety grown in Malaysia right now. The result showed that soil pH was < 3.5 and exchangeable Al was very high throughout the soil depth. Water pH in the rice field before treatment was 3.7, while Al concentration was $878 \mu\text{M}$. The first crop of rice was subjected to drought during the vegetative growth, while the subsequent crop was infested with rice blast. In spite of that, the results showed that application of 4 t GML ha^{-1} gave a reasonably good yield of 3.5 t ha^{-1} , the best among the treatments. The ameliorative effects of lime application had been continued into the second season. From this study, the suitable liming material to be used to alleviate acid sulfate soils at Merbok is 4 t ha^{-1} of GML costing about RM 1260.

Keywords: Rice (*Oryza sativa*), Acid Sulfate Soil, Aluminum toxicity, Liquid lime, Ground Magnesium Limestone

Abstrak thesis yg dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains Pertanian

**MEMBAIKPULIH KEASIDAN TANAH ASID SULFAT MELALUI
PENGAPURAN UNTUK PENANAMAN PADI DI SEMENANJUNG
MALAYSIA**

Oleh

ELISA AZURA AZMAN

APRIL 2012

Penyelia : Shamshuddin Jusop, PhD

Faculti : Pertanian

Suatu kajian telah dijalankan di Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia. Kawasan kajian tersebut ialah kawasan penanaman padi di tanah asid sulfat diklasifikasikan sebagai Siri Merbok (*Typic Sulfaquents*). Tanah tersebut mengandungi kandungan Al yang tinggi. Sekitar 3000 ha tanah di Merbok, Kedah ditanam dengan padi, variety MR 219. Pada masa sekarang, penghasilan padi adalah kurang 2 t ha^{-1} musim⁻¹, di bawah paras national iaitu 2 t ha^{-1} musim⁻¹. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini mencadangkan cara untuk menambah baik tanah asid sulphate di Merbok, Kedah, Malaysia. Kajian makmal, kajian rumah kaca dan kajian lapangan telah dijalankan.

Kajian pertama ialah kajian makmal. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan kesan Al dan/atau pH ke atas pertumbuhan benih padi, morfologi akar dan perembesan asid organik. Benih padi (MR 219) dicambahkan berasingan dalam larutan 0.5 mM CaCl_2 dengan kepekatan Al yang berbeza (10, 20, 30, 40 dan 50

μM); dan dalam air yang diambil dari kawasan tanah asid sulfat Malaysia di mana pH diubah dengan menggunakan 0.01 M HCl atau NaOH. Hasil kajian menunjukkan pemanjangan akar berkurang dengan peningkatan kepekatan Al, manakala sebaliknya berlaku untuk pH. Perubahan bagi luas permukaan akar dengan kepekatan Al dan pH adalah sama seperti pemanjangan akar. Kepekatan kritikal Al bagi pertumbuhan padi adalah 15 μM manakala pH yang optima untuk pertumbuhan akar padi adalah 6. Pada pH yang rendah dan kepekatan Al yang tinggi, akar padi merembeskan citrat dan/atau oksalat yang akan membentuk Al-citrat dan Al-oksalat. Justeru, ini mengurangkan ketoksikan Al ke suatu tahap yang lebih rendah. Ini merupakan mekanisme toleransi padi terhadap ketoksikan Al.

Kajian kedua adalah kajian di rumah kaca. Objektif kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan kesan aplikasi kapur ke atas sifat kimia tanah dan pertumbuhan padi di bawah keadaan rumah kaca. GML dan *hydrated lime* diletakkan 2 minggu, manakala *liquid lime* diletakkan sehari sebelum penanaman padi; varieti padi yang digunakan ialah MR 219. Didapati bahawa rawatan dengan menggunakan 4 t/ha GML memperoleh hasil padi tertinggi iaitu 8.2 t/ha dalam keadaan rumah kaca. Relatif hasil padi adalah berkadar songsang dengan pH tanah. Pada waktu menuai, pH tanah melebihi 6 bagi ke semua tanah yang dirawat dan ini menunjukkan tukargantian Ca meningkat dengan peningkatan pH tanah. Tanah yang dirawat dengan 2 t/ha *hydrated lime* memberikan tukargantian Ca tanah yang tinggi iaitu 11.86 cmol/kg tanah. Pada kadar ini, kepekatan Ca dalam akar adalah 0.12%

Kajian ketiga dijalankan di Merbok. Objektif kajian ini ialah meningkatkan hasil padi di atas tanah asid sulfat di bawah hujan semula jadi di Merbok, kedah, Malaysia

dengan menggunakan pelbagai jenis kapur. Tanah tersebut dirawat dengan menggunakan ground magnesium limestone, hydrated lime dan liquid lime pada kadar yang spesifik. Varieti padi MR 219 digunakan iaitu varieti yang biasa digunakan di Malaysia pada masa sekarang. Hasil kajian menunjukkan pH tanah adalah < 3.5 dan tukargantian Al adalah sangat tinggi mengikut kedalaman tanah. pH air sebelum rawatan adalah 3.7, manakala kepekatan Al ialah $878 \mu\text{M}$. Tanaman padi pada musim pertama berhadapan dengan keadaan kemarau di peringkat vegetatif, manakala tanaman musim berikutnya diserang oleh karah padi. Oleh yang demikian, hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa rawatan 4 t/ha GML memberikan hasil yang memberangsangkan iaitu 3.5 t/ha berbanding rawatan lain. Kesan pengapuran ini berlarutan sehingga musim kedua. Dari kajian ini, kapur yang sesuai untuk digunakan bagi membaik pulih tanah asid sulphate ialah 4.4 t ha^{-1} GML dengan kos RM 1260.

Kata kunci: Padi (*Oryza sativa*), Tanah asid sulfat, Keracunan aluminium, Liquid lime, Ground magnesium limestone

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

“In the Name of Allah, the Most Gracious and Most Merciful”. Peace and blessings of Allah be upon Prophet Muhammad. Praised be to Allah SWT, with His grace, I am able to complete this thesis. I also would like to express my gratitude to my parents, Azman bin Omar and Zainab bt Husin for their support and being so helpful. Special thanks to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Shamsuddin Jusop and my co-supervisor Assoc. Prof. Dr. Che Fauziah Ishak for their guidance, advise encouragement and support throughout the completion of this study.

Thanks to all the staff and laboratory assistant at the Faculty of Agriculture, UPM for all their advice and consultation time. To my entire course mates and friends, thanks so much for being so generous and supportive during my study at the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Last but not least, my gratitude to all my beloved family for their never ending love and always being there for me.

APPROVAL 1

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 24th April 2012 to conduct the final examination of **Elisa Azura bt Azman** on her **Master of Agricultural Science** thesis entitled "**Amelioration of soil acidity by liming for rice grown on an acid sulphate soil in Peninsular Malaysia**" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulation 1981. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Agricultural Science (Fertiliser Technology and Nutrient Management).

Members of the Examination Committee were as follows:

Siti Zaayah Darus, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Khanif b Yusop, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Anuar b Abdul Rahim, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Ranjith Bandara Mapa, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
University of Peradeniya
Sri Lanka
(External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of **Master of Agricultural Science**. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Shamshuddin Jusop, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Che Fauziah Ishak, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Agriculture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)



BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institutions.

ELISA AZURA AZMAN

Date: 24 April 2012



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Information on rice grown on an acid sulfate soil	2
1.3 Problem statement	3
1.4 Objectives of the study	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Acid Sulfate Soil	5
2.1.1 Distribution of acid sulfate soils in Malaysia	5
2.1.2 Development of acid sulfate soil	6
2.1.3 Characteristics of acid sulfate soil	6
2.1.4 Agronomic problems	8
2.2 Aluminum toxicity and plant growth	9
2.2.1 Aluminum toxicity in plants	9
2.2.2 Aluminium tolerance and the complexing role of organic acids in plants	9
2.2.3 Alleviation of Al	10
2.3 Rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i>)	11
2.3.1 Background	11
2.3.2 Structure and physiology of rice	11
2.3.3 Development and growth	12
2.3.4 Variety MR 219	13
2.4 Liming	14
2.4.1 Lime requirements	14
2.4.2 Effect of liming on plant growth	15

3	EFFECT OF ACID SULFATE SOILS ON RICE SEED GERMINATION, ROOT MORPHOLOGY AND ORGANIC ACID RELEASE	17
	3.1 Introduction	17
	3.2 Materials and Methods	20
	3.2.1 Location, materials and experimental set up	20
	3.2.2 Determination of root morphology	21
	3.2.3 Determination of organic acids in the root exudates	21
	3.2.4 Statistical analysis	21
	3.3 Results and Discussion	22
	3.3.1 Chemical properties of the water from the field	22
	3.3.2 Effects of Al and pH on root length	23
	3.3.3 Effects of Al and pH on root surface area	28
	3.3.4 Exudation of organic acids	29
	3.3.5 Mechanism of Al tolerance	31
	3.4 Conclusion	33
4	EFFECT OF LIMING ON CHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF ACID SULFATE SOILS AND GROWTH OF RICE	34
	4.1 Introduction	34
	4.2 Material and Methods	36
	4.2.1 Experimental site and preparation of media	36
	4.2.2 Experimental design and treatments	38
	4.2.3 Rice planting	39
	4.2.4 Grain yield and yield components	40
	4.2.5 Soil analysis	42
	4.2.6 Plant analysis	43
	4.2.7 Water analysis	43
	4.2.8 Statistical analysis	44
	4.3 Results and Discussion	45
	4.3.1 Initial chemical properties of the soil	45
	4.3.2 Rice yield and its component	45
	4.3.3 Effect of liming on soil pH	47
	4.3.4 Effect of liming on exchangeable Ca and Mg	48
	4.3.5 Phosphorus deficiency	50
	4.3.6 Iron toxicity	50
	4.3.7 Mineral composition of rice plant	51
	4.3.8 GML as liming material	53
	4.3.9 Changes of pH, Al and Fe in water	54
	4.4 Conclusion	58

5	EFFECT OF LIMING ON THE YIELD OF RICE GROWN ON ACID SULFATE SOILS	59
	5.1 Introduction	59
	5.2 Material and Methods	62
	5.2.1 Background of the study area	62
	5.2.2 Soil and site description	62
	5.2.3 Experimental design, treatments and field management	65
	5.2.4 Soil sampling and chemical analysis	67
	5.2.5 Harvesting and yield component measurements	68
	5.2.6 Plant tissue analyses	68
	5.2.7 Analysis of water from the field plots	69
	5.2.8 Statistical analysis	69
	5.3 Results and Discussion	70
	5.3.1 Changes in soil properties	70
	5.3.2 First season	71
	5.3.3 Second season	83
	5.3.4 General discussion	88
	5.3.4.1. Agronomic practices	88
	5.3.5. Cost analysis	92
	5.4 Conclusion	93
6	SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	94
	6.1 Summary	94
	6.2 Conclusion	96
	6.3 Recommendations	97
	6.3.1 Recommendations to the farming community at Merbok	97
	6.3.2 Recommendation for future research	98
	REFERENCES	100
	APPENDICES	106
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	111
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	112