

## Module Amenability of the Projective Module Tensor Product

**Abasalt Bodaghi**

*Department of Mathematics, Garmsar Branch,  
Islamic Azad University, Garmsar, Iran*

*Institute for Mathematical Research, University Putra Malaysia,  
43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia*

*E-mail: abasalt@putra.upm.edu.my*

### ABSTRACT

Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents  $E$ . In the current paper, we show that the projective module tensor product  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(S)$  is  $\ell^1(E)$ -module amenable when  $S$  is amenable. This could be considered as the module version (for inverse semigroups) of a result of Johnson (1972) which asserts that for any (discrete) amenable locally compact group  $G$  (when  $\ell^1(E) = \mathbb{C}$ , the set of complex numbers), the projective tensor product  $\ell^1(G) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G) \cong \ell^1(G \times G)$  is amenable.

Keywords: Amenability, module amenability, module derivation, semigroup algebras.

### INTRODUCTION

Let  $G$  be a discrete group. It is well known that the group algebra  $\ell^1(G)$  is amenable if and only if  $G$  is amenable (1972). This fact fails for discrete semigroups. In fact, Duncan and Namioka (1988) proved that if the subsemigroup  $E$  of idempotent elements of inverse semigroup  $S$  is infinite, then the semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  is not amenable. Amini (2004) introduced the concept of module amenability for a class of Banach algebras and showed that under some natural conditions for an inverse semigroup  $S$  with the set of idempotents  $E$ , the semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  is module amenable as a Banach module on  $\ell^1(E)$  if and only if  $S$  is amenable. Now, for an amenable discrete group  $G$ , it follows from the celebrated Johnson's theorem (1972) that the projective tensor product  $\ell^1(G) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G) \cong \ell^1(G \times G)$  is amenable. This is not true for any discrete semigroup. In this paper, we prove that if  $S$  is an amenable inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents  $E$ , then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1(S \times S)$  is module amenable as an  $\ell^1(E)$ -module. As a consequence, we prove that Banach  $\ell^1(E)$ -module  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable.

## NOTATIONS AND PRELIMINARIES RESULTS

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a Banach algebra and  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule. A derivation from  $\mathcal{A}$  into  $X$  is a bounded linear map  $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  satisfying:

$$D(ab) = D(a).b + a.D(b) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}).$$

For each  $x \in X$  the map  $ad_x(a) = a.x - x.a$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{A}$ , is a derivation which is called an inner derivation. If  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule, so is  $X^*$ (the dual space of  $X$ ). A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called amenable if for any  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule  $X$ , every derivation  $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$  is inner.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be Banach algebras such that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with compatible actions as follows:

$$\alpha.(ab) = (\alpha.a)b, \quad (ab).\alpha = a(b.\alpha), \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}).$$

Let  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule with the following compatible actions:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha.(a.x) &= (\alpha.a).x, \quad a.(\alpha.x) = (a.\alpha).x, \\ \alpha.(x.a) &= (\alpha.x).a \quad (x \in X, a \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}), \end{aligned}$$

and similar for the right or two-sided actions. Then we say that  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. If  $X$  is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module and  $\alpha.x = x.\alpha$  for all  $x \in X$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , then we say that  $X$  is a *commutative*  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module.

Let  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathfrak{A}$  be as above and  $X$  be a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. A bounded map  $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X$  is called a *module derivation* if

$$\begin{aligned} D(a \pm b) &= D(a) \pm D(b), \\ D(ab) &= D(a).b + a.D(b), \\ D(\alpha.a) &= \alpha.D(a), \quad D(a.\alpha) = D(a).\alpha, \end{aligned}$$

for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ . If  $X$  is a commutative  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module, then each  $x \in X$  define a module derivation as follows:

$$D_x(a) = a.x - x.a \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}),$$

and that is called *inner derivation*. A Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  is called *module amenable* (as an  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module) if for any commutative Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module  $X$ , each module derivation  $D: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow X^*$  is inner; Amini (2004).

Let  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}$  be the projective tensor product of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  which is a Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -bimodule and a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -bimodule by the following actions:

$$\alpha.(a \otimes b) = (\alpha.a) \otimes b, c.(a \otimes b) = (ca) \otimes b \quad (a, b, c \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}),$$

and similar for the right actions. Then, the Rieffel's result (1978) shows that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}} \cong (\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes \mathcal{A}}) / I,$$

where  $I$  is the closed linear span of

$$\{a.\alpha \otimes b - a \otimes \alpha.b : a, b \in \mathcal{A}, \alpha \in \mathfrak{A}\}.$$

Consider  $\omega: \widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$  defined by  $\omega(a \otimes b) = ab$  and extend by linearity and continuity. Let also  $J$  be the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by  $\omega(I)$ . Then  $I$  and  $J$  are both  $\mathcal{A}$ -submodules and  $\mathfrak{A}$ -submodules of  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}$ , respectively. So  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}$  and  $\mathcal{A}/J$  are both Banach  $\mathcal{A}$ -modules and  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules. Specially,  $\mathcal{A}/J$  is always an  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module when  $\mathcal{A}$  acts on  $\mathcal{A}/J$  canonically.

Define  $\tilde{\omega}: (\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}) / I \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/J$  by  $\tilde{\omega}(a \otimes b + I) = ab + J$  and extend by linearity and continuity. Obviously,  $\tilde{\omega}$  and its dual conjugate  $\tilde{\omega}^{**}: (\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}})^{**} / I^{\perp\perp} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{**} / J^{\perp\perp}$  are  $\mathcal{A}$ -module homomorphisms and  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module homomorphisms.

The following result is similar to a classical case for module amenable Banach algebras which has been proved by Amini (2004).

**Proposition 1.** If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $B$  are Banach algebras and Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -modules with compatible actions, and there is a continuous Banach algebra homomorphism and module homomorphism from  $\mathcal{A}$  onto a dense subset of  $B$ , and  $\mathcal{A}$  is module amenable, then so is  $B$ .

**Corollary 2.** Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. Then module amenability of  $\widehat{\mathcal{A} \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}}$  implies module amenability  $\mathcal{A}/J \otimes_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}/J$ .

**Proof.** The map

$$\varphi: \mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}/J \widehat{\otimes} \mathcal{A}/J$$

defined by

$$\varphi(a \otimes b) = (a + J) \otimes (b + J) \quad (a, b \in \mathcal{A}),$$

is an epimorphism and  $\mathfrak{A}$ -module homomorphism. Now, we can apply Proposition 1. ■

The following definition is given by Amini (2004).

**Definition 3.** A bounded net  $\{\tilde{\xi}_j\}$  in  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}$  is called a module approximate diagonal if  $\tilde{\omega}(\tilde{\xi}_j)$  is a bounded approximate identity of  $\mathcal{A}/J$  and

$$\lim_j \|\tilde{\xi}_j \cdot a - a \cdot \tilde{\xi}_j\| = 0 \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

An element  $\tilde{M} \in (\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A})^{**}$  is called a module virtual diagonal if

$$\tilde{\omega}^{**}(\tilde{M}) \cdot a = a + J^{\perp\perp}, \quad \tilde{M} \cdot a = a \cdot \tilde{M} \quad (a \in \mathcal{A}).$$

Note that the ideal  $J$  in this paper is defined to be the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by elements of the form  $(a \cdot \alpha)b - a(\alpha \cdot b)$ , for all  $a, b \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{A}$ , whereas Amini *et al.* (2010), considered it as the closed ideal of  $\mathcal{A}$  generated by elements of the form  $\alpha \cdot ab - ab \cdot \alpha$ . These two ideals are the same for the inverse semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  with the corresponding actions of  $\ell^1(E)$ , but the definition Amini *et al.* (2010), has the advantage that  $J$  is also a Banach  $\mathfrak{A}$ -submodule of  $\mathcal{A}$ . However, Proposition 2.4 of Amini (2004), remain valid with this new definition of  $J$  when  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}$  is a commutative  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module as follows:

**Theorem 4.** Let  $\mathcal{A} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathfrak{A}} \mathcal{A}$  be an commutative  $\mathcal{A}$ - $\mathfrak{A}$ -module. Then the following are equivalent:

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}$  is module amenable and  $\mathcal{A}/J$  has a bounded approximate identity.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{A}$  has a module approximate diagonal.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{A}$  has a module virtual diagonal.

### TENSOR PRODUCT OF SEMIGROUP ALGEBRAS

In this section, we investigate the module amenability of  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(S)$  as  $\ell^1(E)$ -module, where  $S$  is an inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents  $E$ . A discrete semigroup  $S$  is called an inverse semigroup if for each  $s \in S$  there is a unique element  $s^*$  such that  $ss^*s = s$  and  $s^*s s^* = s^*$ . An element  $e \in S$  is called an idempotent if  $e^2 = e^* = e$ . The set of idempotents of  $S$  is denoted by  $E$ . There is a natural order on  $E$  defined by:

$$e \leq f \Leftrightarrow ef = e \quad (e, f \in E).$$

The set  $E$  is a semilattice and Howie (1976) showed that it is also a commutative subsemigroup of  $S$ . In particular  $\ell^1(E)$  could be regarded as a subalgebra of  $\ell^1(S)$ , and thereby  $\ell^1(S)$  is a Banach algebra and a Banach  $\ell^1(E)$ -module when  $\ell^1(E)$  act on  $\ell^1(S)$  by convolution from right and trivially from left, that is:

$$\delta_e \cdot \delta_s = \delta_s, \delta_s \cdot \delta_e = \delta_s * \delta_e = \delta_{se} \quad (s \in S, e \in E).$$

By the above actions, the ideal  $J$  is the closed linear span of

$$\{\delta_{set} - \delta_{st}; s, t \in S, e \in E\}.$$

We consider an equivalence relation on  $S$  as follows:

$$s \approx t \Leftrightarrow \delta_s - \delta_t \in J \quad (s, t \in S).$$

Since  $E$  is a semilattice, for given  $e, f \in E$ ,  $ef \in E$  and  $ef \leq e, f$ . By using the argument in the paragraph before Theorem 2.4 of Amini *et al.* (2010), we can show that  $S/\approx$  is a group. One should note that when  $S$  is a discrete group, then  $S = S/\approx$ . Now, consider the congruence relation  $\sim$  on  $S$  where,  $s \sim t$  if and only if there is an  $e \in E$  such that  $se = te$ . It is proved by Howie (1976) that the quotient semigroup  $G_s := S/\sim$  is then a maximal group homomorphic image of  $S$ . It is also proved that  $S/\approx$  is isomorphic to  $G_s$  by Pourmahmood (2010). For two Banach algebras  $\ell^1(S)$  and  $\ell^1(G_s)$ , Rezavand *et al.* (2009), showed that  $\ell^1(S)/J \cong \ell^1(G_s)$ . With the above observation  $\ell^1(G_s)$  has an  $\ell^1(E)$ -module structure.

Henceforth, for each  $s \in S$ , the equivalence class of  $s$  in  $G_s = S/\approx$  denotes by  $[s]$ . Bodaghi (2010) has proven that if  $S$  is amenable and  $E$  is an upward direct set, then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable. The upward directed condition for  $E$  is strong and in fact in the next theorem we showed that it is redundant. Consequently, the hypothesis on  $E$  being upward directed can be eliminated and  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable when  $S$  is amenable. We are now going to prove the main result in this paper.

**Theorem 5.** Let  $S$  be an inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents  $E$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i)  $\ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_s) \cong \ell^1(G_s \times G_s)$  is module amenable.
- (ii)  $\ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_s)$  is amenable.
- (iii)  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1(S \times S)$  is module amenable.

**Proof.** (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (ii) : Obviously, the left action  $\ell^1(E)$  on  $\ell^1(G_s)$  is trivial. Also it is shown in Lemma of Amini (2004) that right action is also trivial, that is:

$$\delta_{[s]} \cdot \delta_e = \delta_{[se]} = \delta_{[s]} \quad (t \in S, e \in E).$$

This shows that  $\ell^1(G_s)$  is a commutative Banach  $\ell^1(G_s)$ -  $\ell^1(E)$ -module and  $\ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(G_s) \cong \ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_s)$ . Thus every module approximate diagonal for Banach algebra  $\ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_s)$  is an approximate diagonal and vice versa. Therefore the result follows from Theorem 4 and Theorem 2.9.65 of Dales (2000).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i): In Corollary 2, put  $\mathcal{A} = \ell^1(S)$ ,  $\mathcal{A}/J = \ell^1(G_s)$  and  $\mathfrak{A} = \ell^1(E)$ .

(i)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii): Assume that  $X$  is a commutative Banach  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S)$ -  $\ell^1(E)$ -module with compatible actions. We consider the following module actions  $\ell^1(G_s) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_s)$  on  $X$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} (\delta_{[s]} \otimes \delta_{[t]}) \cdot x &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t) \cdot x \\ x \cdot (\delta_{[s]} \otimes \delta_{[t]}) &= x \cdot (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t), \end{aligned}$$

for all  $t, s \in S, x \in X$ . Indeed,  $\delta_s - \delta_{se} \in J$  if and only if  $\delta_{st} - \delta_{set} \in J$ , for all  $s, t \in S, e \in E$ .

Now, for each  $t, s \in S, x \in X$ , and  $e, f \in E$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 ((\delta_s - \delta_{se}) \otimes (\delta_t - \delta_{tf})).x &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x - (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &\quad - (\delta_s \otimes \delta_{tf}).x + (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_{tf}).x \\
 &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x - (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &\quad - (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).(x.\delta_f) + (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).(x.\delta_f) \\
 &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x - (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &\quad - ((\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x).\delta_f + ((\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x).\delta_f \\
 &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x - (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &\quad - (\delta_f.\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x + (\delta_f.\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &= (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x - (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x \\
 &\quad - (\delta_s \otimes \delta_t).x + (\delta_{se} \otimes \delta_t).x = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus  $X$  becomes a commutative Banach  $\ell^1(G_S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_S) - \ell^1(E)$ -module with compatible actions. Suppose that  $D: \ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(S) \rightarrow X^*$  is a module derivation. Define the map

$$\tilde{D}: \ell^1(G_S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_S) \rightarrow X^*$$

via  $\tilde{D}(\delta_{[s]} \otimes \delta_{[t]}) := D(\delta_s \otimes \delta_t)$ , for all  $t, s \in S$ , and extend by linearity. Since  $G_S$  is a discrete group, the group algebra  $\ell^1(G_S)$  has an identity  $\mathcal{E} = e + J$  ( $e \in \ell^1(S)$ ). By definition of the map  $\tilde{D}$ , we get

$$D(\delta_s \otimes \delta_{tu}) = D(e.\delta_s \otimes \delta_{tu}) \quad (s, t, u \in S).$$

Using the above equality we can show that  $\tilde{D}$  is well-defined. Due to module amenability of  $\ell^1(G_S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_S)$ , the derivation  $D$  is inner. This completes the proof. ■

It is proved by Amini (2004) that if  $\ell^1(E)$  acts on  $\ell^1(S)$  by multiplication from right and trivially from left, then

$$\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(S) \cong \ell^1(S \times S)/I,$$

where  $I$  is the closed ideal of  $\ell^1(S \times S)$  generated by the set of elements of the form  $\delta_{(set,x)} - \delta_{(st,x)}$ , where  $s, t, x \in S, e \in E$ .

**Corollary 6.** If  $S$  is an amenable inverse semigroup with the set of idempotents  $E$ , then  $\ell^1(S) \widehat{\otimes}_{\ell^1(E)} \ell^1(S)$  is module amenable.

**Proof.** The semigroup algebra  $\ell^1(S)$  is  $\ell^1(E)$ -module amenable by Amini (2004), and so  $\ell^1(G_S)$  is amenable by Amini *et al.* (2010). Thus  $\ell^1(G_S) \widehat{\otimes} \ell^1(G_S)$  is amenable by Johnson's theorem (the projective tensor product of amenable Banach algebras is also amenable) . Now, the result follows from Proposition 1 and Theorem 5. ■

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper was prepared while the author was attending as Post Doctoral Researcher in Universiti Putra Malaysia. I would like to thank the staff and faculty of the Institute for Mathematical Research for their cooperation and hospitality.

### REFERENCES

- Amini, M. 2004. Module amenability for semigroup algebras. *Semigroup Forum*. **69**: 243-254.
- Amini, M. Bodaghi, A. and Ebrahimi Bagha, D. 2010. Module amenability of the second dual and module topological center of semigroup algebras. *Semigroup Forum*. **80**: 302-312.
- Bodaghi, A. 2010. Module amenability and tensor product of semigroup algebras. *Journal of Mathematical Extension*. **4**(2): 97-106.
- Dales, H. G. 2000. *Banach Algebras and Automatic Continuity*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Duncan, J. and Namioka, I. 1988. Amenability of inverse semigroups and their semigroup algebras. *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh*. **80A**: 309-321.
- Howie, J. M. 1976. *An Introduction to Semigroup Theory*. London: Academic Press.



- Johnson, B. E. 1972. Cohomology in Banach Algebras. *Memoirs Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**.
- Pourmahmood-Aghababa, H. 2010. A note on two equivalence relations on inverse semigroups, *unpublished manuscript*.
- Rezavand, R. Amini, M. Sattari, M. H. and Ebrahimi Bagha, D. 2008. Module Arens regularity for semigroup algebras. *Semigroup Forum.* **77**: 300-305.
- Rieffel, M. A. 1976. Induced Banach representations of Banach algebras and locally compact groups. *J. Funct. Anal.* **1**: 443-491