



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**RICE PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH AND INSTABILITY IN SELECTED SOUTHEAST
ASIAN COUNTRIES**

MAMMA SAWANEH

FP 2013 34



**RICE PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH AND INSTABILITY IN SELECTED
SOUTHEAST ASIAN COUNTRIES**

By

MAMMA SAWANEH

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Fulfillment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

November 2013

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of University Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of University Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my lovely wife, my daughter and my parents.

Firstly, to my wife Ramatoulie Jallow who have been very instrumental in taking care of my family during my absence from home.

Secondly, to my loving daughter Mariama Sawaneh for patiently been there for me with your lovely mum.

Thirdly, to my Parents for their continuous prayers at all the time most especially during my stay in Malaysia. Mum and Dad I

sincerely love you all

Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**RICE PRODUCTIVITY GROWTH AND INSTABILITY IN SELECTED
SOUTHEAST ASIAN COUNTRIES**

By

MAMMA SAWANEH

November 2013

Chairman: Ismail Abd Latif, PhD

Faculty: Agriculture

Productivity estimates are indicators of success of any individual firm from one period to another. It gauges the performance of a Decision Making Unit (DMU) for a period of time. This study aims to investigate rice productivity growth as well as measure production instability among five rice producing countries in Southeast Asia from 1980 to 2010. Contextually, a panel data was used to evaluate the Total Factor Productivity (TFP) growth and production instability in the rice sector from 1980 to 2010. The study measures Malmquist productivity indices as well as its decomposition into efficiency change and technical change using non parametric (Data envelopment analysis) approach. It examines the rice productivity growth of five (5) rice producing countries namely; Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam in Southeast Asia from 1980 to 2010. The study utilized linear programming methods to give estimates of distance functions and thus compute Malmquist productivity indices. The study also use

statistical tools such as coefficient of variations and variance using SPSS, STATA and MS Excel softwares to evaluate the extent of production instability and the sources of instability in each country.

The results indicated that, all the countries with the exception of Malaysia exhibit positive growth in rice productivity over the reference period of 1980 to 2010. A broader examination of total factor productivity in different periods shows that growth was greater in the period 2001-2005. Though on average, the productivity growth in all periods are sustained through technological improvement. The result of this study can now give inferences that there exists efficiency and productivity improvement among rice producing countries in Southeast Asia. However, the differences in the extent of productivity improvement vary from period to period as well as from country to country. In addition to that, the components relating to total factor productivity that is Technical Change (TC) and Efficiency Change (EC), the former turned out to be a more influential source of growth.

In reference to production instability, a time series data on rice harvested area, yield and production of the same countries was used to analyze the components of production changes (area, yield and interaction effects) from 1980 to 2010. The production data was categorized into two (2) periods: (i) 1980/81 to 1994/95 and (ii) 1995/96 to 2009/10. The designated two periods in reference to the post Green Revolution, allow for an examination of sources and changes in instability intra and inter the two periods.

The results showed that a significant increase in rice production in all countries has been witnessed during the reference period. However the effect of area and yield to increase

production differs from one country to another. In Myanmar, mainly due to area increase whereas in others (Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam), yield played a dominant role in increasing rice production. It is worthy mention from this instability study that variability in area, yield and production in all countries (except for Malaysia) were positively related. That is to say their decreasing/increasing trend result in decrease/increase instability. Thus, in these countries, an increase in rice production due to an increase in either area or yield would subsequently increase instability. However, in Vietnam, an increase in yield would further help to reduce production instability.

Furthermore, the decomposition analysis indicated that changes in mean yield mainly drove mean production in Malaysia, Philippines, Thailand and Vietnam. However, change in mean area contribution was larger in the case of Myanmar. On other hand, changes in area-yield covariance between periods in the countries made a negligible contribution to the change in rice production. Thus in order to stabilize instability in the region, government policies and programs that increase productivity should be encouraged. These could be done through provision of basic inputs for rice production and also intensifying agricultural research and development in the coming decades. It is hoped that through such programs an increase in mean yield in the countries will be attained thereby stabilizing rice production in the region.

Abstrak tesis ini dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PERTUMBUHAN PRODUKTIVITI DAN KETIDAKSTABILAN PADI NEGARA
TERPILIH DI ASIA TENGGARA**

Oleh

MAMMA SAWANEH

November 2013

Pengerusi: Ismail Abd Latif, PhD

Fakulti: Pertanian

Anggaran produktiviti adalah petunjuk kejayaan sesebuah entiti dari satu masa ke masa yang lain. Ia mengukur prestasi sesuatu unit pembuat keputusan (DMU) bagi satu tempoh jangka masa yang berbeza. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji pertumbuhan produktiviti padi serta paras ketidakstabilan pengeluaran antara lima negara di Asia Tenggara dalam tahun 1980-2010. Data panel telah digunakan untuk menilai jumlah pertumbuhan produktiviti faktor serta ketidakstabilan dalam sektor pengeluaran padi dari tahun 1980 hingga 2010.

Kajian ini mengukur indeks produktiviti Malmquist serta penguraian perubahan kecekapan teknikal dan perubahan kecekapan menggunakan pendekatan bukan parametrik (analisis *Data Envelopment Analysis*). Kajian ini melibatkan pertumbuhan produktiviti padi untuk lima (5) negara pengeluar beras (Malaysia, Myanmar, Filipina,

Thailand dan Vietnam) di Asia Tenggara selama tiga (3) dekad, (1980-2010). Kajian ini menggunakan kaedah pengaturcaraan linear untuk memberi anggaran fungsi jarak dan dengan pengiraan indeks produktiviti Malmquist. Kajian ini juga menggunakan kaedah statistik seperti pekali variasi dan perbezaan menggunakan perisian SPSS, Stata dan MS Excel untuk menilai sejauh mana ketidakstabilan pengeluaran dan sumbernya di antara Negara yang dikaji.

Hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa, semua negara kecuali Malaysia menunjukkan pertumbuhan positif dalam pengeluaran beras untuk tempoh tahun rujukan 1980 hingga 2010. Pertumbuhan produktiviti didapati lebih besar bagi tempoh 2001-2005. Pertumbuhan produktiviti dalam semua tempoh lebih dipengaruhi secara berterusan melalui peningkatan teknologi. Kesimpulannya adalah wujudnya pertumbuhan kecekapan dan peningkatan produktiviti di kalangan negara-negara pengeluar beras di Asia Tenggara. Walaupun, perbezaan dalam tahap peningkatan produktiviti berbeza dari semasa ke semasa serta dari negara ke negara. Di samping itu, faktor perubahan teknikal (TC) ternyata menjadi sumber pertumbuhan yang lebih berpengaruh berbanding perubahan kecekapan (SPR) diantara komponen jumlah produktiviti.

Merujuk kepada ketidakstabilan pengeluaran, data siri masa padi kawasan tuaian, hasil dan pengeluaran untuk negara-negara yang sama telah digunakan untuk menganalisis komponen perubahan pengeluaran (kawasan, hasil dan kesan interaksi) dari tahun 1980 hingga 2010. Data pengeluaran telah dikategorikan kepada dua peringkat: (i) 1980/81 to 1994/95 dan (ii) 1995/96 to 2009/10. Kedua-dua tempoh yang merujuk kepada keadaan

selepas Revolusi Hijau membolehkan kajian perubahan dalam ketidakstabilan dalam setiap tempoh serta menilai sumber ketidakstabilan antara kedua-dua tempoh tersebut.

Keputusan menunjukkan peningkatan yang ketara dalam pengeluaran beras di semua negara dalam tempoh rujukan. Walau bagaimanapun, kesan terhadap kawasan dan hasil untuk meningkatkan pengeluaran berbeza dari satu negara ke negara lain. Terutamanya di Myanmar yang disebabkan oleh peningkatan kawasan manakala di lain-lain negara (Malaysia, Filipina, Thailand dan Vietnam), hasil memainkan peranan yang utama dalam meningkatkan pengeluaran padi. Kajian kestabilan ini menunjukkan data kawasan, hasil dan pengeluaran di semua negara (kecuali Malaysia) bergerak dalam arah yang sama. Jika berlaku pengurangan / meningkatkan dalam tren hasil, ketidakstabilan juga akan menunjukkan tren penurunan / peningkatan. Oleh itu, peningkatan dalam pengeluaran padi di kebanyakan negara disebabkan oleh peningkatan dalam kawasan atau hasil akan kemudiannya meningkatkan ketidakstabilan pengeluaran. Terkucuali di Vietnam, peningkatan dalam hasil akan terus membantu untuk mengurangkan ketidakstabilan pengeluaran.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

K" o wuv" uc { " :Cn j c o f w n k n c j ø . " c m n " v j c p m u " c p f " r t c k u g " d g " v q " C m c j . " v j g " o q u v " i t c e k q w u " c p f " benevolent for giving me the required good health and steadfastness throughout my academic pursuit.

I would like to express my greatest gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. Ismail Abd Latif for his guidance, patience, support, careful criticism, respect, and his continuous faith in me. During the time that I worked with him, he had a tremendous impact on me and helped me to work independently as a student, a researcher, and most importantly as a person. The struggle and experience has been indescribably meaningful to my life. I am very grateful for the wonderful opportunity that allowed me to work alongside with him in the department. Thank you, Dr. Ismail Abd Latif for everything you rendered to me in the Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Special thanks go to Associate Professor Dr. Amin Mahir Abdullah for serving in my thesis committee as well as being a great instructor. I would like to thank Professor Dr. Zainal Abidin Mohamed (Head of Department) and Dr. Nitty Hirawaty Kamarulzaman (Coordinator of Postgraduate studies) for all their willingness to help me during my candidature. In addition, they exposed me to invaluable research experiences from multiple perspectives, which inspired me immensely during my graduate studies. They have exceeded my expectations as instructors and I cannot thank them enough for all their guidance.

Sincerely, I must confess that my dream for MSc degree could not have easily materialised at this time without the timely intervention of Islamic Development Bank (IDB Group). My warmest gratitude and appreciation goes to the Islamic Development Bank (IDB) for sponsoring me entirely under the M.Sc. Scholarship Programme in Science and Technology for IDB Least Developed Member Countries. Special regards to Brother Lakdhar M Kadhadi, the Scholarship Programme Officer for the swift responses to my mails. I am indeed honoured to be called an IDB Scholar. My sincere appreciation and thanks are extended to The University of the Gambia (UTG), my employer for granting me study leave, an opportunity that equipped me to serve better on resumption of duties as a lecturer.

The priceless assets I had are my colleagues and friends in the Department of Agribusiness and Information Systems and the Faculty of Economics for their help, support, encouragement, and great companionship. Particularly, I would like to show my sincere appreciation to Mr Bashir Muse, Mr Zahid Zainal, Mr Abdullah Illiaysu Abdulrazak Muaz and Mr Bashir Hamman Gabdo who have been so inspiring especially during the peak of this research. The quality times we spent together at the faculty in order to get things done are indeed unforgettable. They were always caring towards me and willing to share with me even when they had responsibilities of their own. Also worthy of mention are Ms Rashidat Busayo, Ms Nan Wutyi, Mr Hafiz and the rest of my classmates in the department for sharing thoughts during class discussions. I am indeed proud to be your mate in UPM.

Special regards to my housemates Abdoulie Ceesay, Anthony G Mendy, Ismail Lakin and Muhammad Abubakar Amali for their moral support and understandings; and the entire Gambian and Nigerian community in Malaysia for the high standard of cooperation and brotherhood extended to me during my stay in Malaysia.

Finally, my warmest thanks and appreciation goes to my beloved **parents, brothers and sisters** and all **family members** who constantly prayed for my success and safe return to The Gambia, my homeland.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ismail Abd Latif, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Amin Mahir Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision, supervision responsibilities as slated in Rule 41 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature -----

ISMAIL ABD LATIF, PhD

(Chairman)

Signature -----

AMIN MAHIR ABDULLAH, PhD

(Member)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	ix
APPROVAL	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
TABLE OF CONTENTS	xvi
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES	xxi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii

CHAPTER

1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background Information	1
1.3 Overview of Global Rice Production	6
1.3.1 Global and Regional Rice Trends	10
1.3.2 Rice Production, Area Harvested and Yields	12
1.4 Rice Economy in Southeast Asia	15
1.5 Rice Production and Consumption in Southeast Asia	18
1.6 Rice trade (Rice Exports and Imports in Asia)	23
1.7 Performance of Regional Rice Sector in Southeast Asia	25
1.7.1 Regional Rice Policies in Southeast Asia	27
1.8 Problem Statement	40
1.9 Objectives of the Study	43
1.10 Significance of the Study	43
1.11 Organization of Thesis	44
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	47
2.1 Introduction	47
2.2 Production and Productivity Theory	47
2.3 Concepts of Efficiency and Productivity analysis	50
2.4 Productivity Measurement	54
2.5 Types of Productivity Growth Measures	56
2.6 Traditional Approach to Measuring Productivity	57
2.7 Historical Development of Productivity Analysis	59
2.8 Overview of Comparative Efficiency Measurement Techniques	61

2.8.1	Stochastic Frontier Analysis Model (SFA)	62
2.8.2	Data Envelopment Analysis Model (DEA)	64
2.8.3	Remarks on Efficiency Measurement Techniques	69
2.9	Strengths and Weakness of Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)	71
2.10	Empirical works on Agricultural Productivity and Efficiency Measurement	72
2.11	Reviews on Production Instability Studies	80
2.12	Summary	83
3.0	METHODOLOGY	84
3.1	Introduction	84
3.2	Theoretical Issues in Estimating Productivity	84
3.3	Concept of Production Technology and Technical Efficiency	85
3.4	Data Envelopment Analysis (DEA)	87
3.4.1	Input-Oriented Approach	88
3.4.2	Output-Oriented Approach	90
3.5	The Malmquist TFP Index	93
3.5.1	Decomposition of Malmquist Productivity Index	96
3.6	The Productivity Model and Estimation	101
3.7	Definition of Variable and Source of Data	105
3.7.1	Source of Data	105
3.7.2	Description of Data	105
3.8	Production Instability Analysis	107
3.8.1	Method of Decomposition of Average Production	108
3.8.2	Methods of Decomposing of Changes in Variance of Production	110
4.0	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	114
4.1	Introduction	114
4.2	Results for Technical Efficiency Scores	114
4.3	Results for Total Factor Productivity	120
4.4	Summary on Technical efficiency and Total Factor Productivity	127
4.5	Results for Rice Production Instability and Components of Instability	128
4.5.1	Results for Changes in Area, Yield and Production of Rice from Period I (1980-1995) To Period II (1995-2010)	128
4.5.2	Measurement of Instability in Rice Production	131
4.5.3	Sources of Changes in Mean Production	136
4.5.4	Measurement of Sources of Variance in Rice Production	139
4.5	Summary on Production Instability	143

5.0	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	145
5.1	Introduction	145
5.2	Overall Summary	145
5.3	Policy Implications	149
5.4	Limitation of the Study	151
5.5	Recommendations for Future Research	152
5.5	Conclusion	153
	REFERENCES	155
	APPENDICES	172
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	182
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	183