# Sheep-Oil Palm Integration: Growth Performance of Dorset x Malin and Dorset x Siamese Long Tail Sheep

C. WATTANACHANT, I. DAHLAN, A. ZULKIFLI<sup>1</sup> and M.A. RAJION<sup>2</sup>

Department of Animal Science Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor D.E., Malaysia

<sup>1</sup>Far East Holdings Bhd P.O. Box 35, Kompleks Teruntum Jalan Mahkota 25000 Kuantan, Pahang D.M., Malaysia

<sup>2</sup>Department of Biomedical Science Universiti Putra Malaysia 43400 UPM Serdang, Selangor D.E., Malaysia

Keywords: sheep, growth, production, integration, oil palm

#### **ABSTRAK**

Kajian ini melibatkan integrasi bebiri dengan peladangan kelapa sawit. Penilaian kadar pertumbuhan bebiri jenis 25% Dorset x 75% Malin (DMalin) dan 25% Dorset x 75% Siamese Long Tail (DSLT) dipelihara di dalam ladang kelapa sawit berumur 9, 13, 16 dan 21 tahun telah dijalankan. Ukuran-ukuran telah diambil secara rawak dari kumpulan bebiri pada umur lahir 2, 4, 6, 8 dan 10 bulan. Pertalian antara berat badan dan umur ditentukan oleh model Brody. Model pertumbuhan bebiri adalah 1) BW = 17.9715 (1-0.9113 exp (0.0049\*AGE)) untuk DMalin jantan, 2) BW = 17.7792 (1-0.9230 exp(-0.005\*AGE)) untuk DMalin betina, 3) BW = 21 (1-0.8778 exp(-0.0049\*AGE)) untuk DSLT jantan dan 4) BW = 18.7301 (1-0.8613 exp(-0.0059\*AGE)) untuk DSLT betina. Kenaikan berat harian bebiri DMalin dan DSLT dari umur lahir ke 10 bulan ialah 41.0 dan 54.1 gram/ekor/hari, berturutan. Bebiri jantan adalah lebih berat sedikit daripada bebiri betina. Kenaikan berat harian bebiri adalah berhubungkait dengan masa ragutan yang kurang dan kualiti rumpai yang rendah didalam ladang kelapa sawit tua.

## ABSTRACT

This study involved the integration of sheep into oil palm plantations. The growth rates of 25% Dorset x 75% Malin (DMalin) and 25% Dorset x 75% Siamese Long Tail (DSLT) sheep raised in 9, 13, 16 and 21 year-old oil palm plantations were evaluated. The measurements were taken by randomly sampling from the flock at birth, 2, 4, 6, 8 and 10 months of age. The relationship between body weight and age was determined by Brody's model. Consequently, the growth models of sheep were 1) BW = 17.9715 (1-0.9113 exp(-0.0049\*AGE)) for DMalin male, 2) BW = 17.7792 (1-0.9230 exp(-0.005\*AGE)) for DMalin female, 3) BW = 21 (1-0.8778 exp(-0.0049\*AGE)) for DSLT male and 4) BW = 18.7301 (1-0.8613 exp(-0.0059\*AGE)) for DSLT female. The average daily gain of DMalin and DSLT sheep from birth to 10 months of age was 41.0 and 54.1 gm/head/day, respectively. The males were slightly heavier than the females. Daily weight gains of sheep were related to the limited grazing period and low quantity of herbage available in old oil palm plantations.

## INTRODUCTION

Sheep production under oil palm is a viable venture in Malaysia (Chen et al. 1996). This production system is popular because of its

symbiotic nature. The integrated system has two main objectives, namely, to convert the unwanted herbage under the oil palm canopy into a useful feed resource (Pillai *et al.* 1985), and to

utilize free space for animal production (Chen and Dahlan 1996). The breeds of sheep such as Malin (Malaysia indigenous breed), Siamese Long Tail and its crosses with the Dorset used for this integrated system have performed well in the Malaysian environment and management systems (Tajuddin and Chong 1988; Rajion et al. 1993). However, information on the productivity of an integrated sheep-oil palm production system is very limited and is mostly related to fertility, mortality and management problems. Recently, the interest of plantation owners towards sheep-oil palm integration is declining because of the poor performance of the animals in the plantation and inadequate information on the relevant technology in livestock production (Chen et al. 1996). More information on this system is needed through proper data collection and field experiments.

This study was conducted to evaluate the growth performance of 25% Dorset x 75% Malin (DMalin) and 25% Dorset x 75% Siamese Long Tail (DSLT) sheep integrated with oil palm.

### MATERIALS AND METHODS

Animals and Management

The research was conducted at Sungai Seraya Plantation belonging to Far East Holdings Bhd at Keratong, Pahang. The size of the plantation was 376 ha and the ages of oil palms were 9, 13, 16 and 21 years. The plantation raised 1,460 head of sheep. Lambs were kept in the sheds and fed with natural herbage ad libitum through a cut and carry system supplemented with commercial pellets containing 16.7% crude protein, 7.5% ash, 6.9% crude fibre, and 6.5% ether extract at 80 gm/head/day from 3 weeks of age until weaning at four months of age. After weaning, the lambs were divided into two groups according to sex. They were kept in the sheds in groups of 35-45 until six months of age when they were allowed to graze under oil palms from 0900 to 1400 hours without supplementation. The grazing area was rotated every day.

#### Data Collection

Measurements of body weight related to age were randomly sampled from 220 DMalin and 275 DSLT sheep. The relationship between weight and age was determined using the model of Brody (Brown *et al.* 1976). The following model was used; body weight (BW) = AWT \* (1-CON \* exp (-MR \* AGE)); where AWT = the

asymptotic weight, CON = constant, MR = rate of maturity, and AGE = age of sheep (days).

Herbage was sampled from experimental plots measuring 3.5 x 3.5 m under 9-year-old oil palm canopy and from experimental plots measuring 4 x 4 m under 16-21 year-old oil palm canopy. Herbage was cut about 1.5 inches above the ground at monthly intervals. The samples were separated into 2 groups to analyse for dry matter yield and chemical composition (AOAC 1984).

# Statistical Analysis

The relationship between body weight and age was determined by a non-linear procedure. Statistical analysis was performed according to SAS (1988).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Nutritive Value of Herbage Yield under Oil Palm

The herbage in the oil palms plantation was a mixture of mainly grasses, broad leaves, legumes and ferns (Dahlan et al. 1993; Chen et al. 1996). Table 1 shows the chemical composition of the herbage. The crude protein content of herbage under oil palm of 7.6-12.7%, and gross energy of 16.0-16.3 MJ/kg dry matter were similar to the report of Dahlan et al. (1993). The average dry matter (DM) yield of herbage under oil palm from 9-21 years was 74.8 kg/ha/month. This DM yield was higher than that of Dahlan et al. (1993) (76.1 vs. 66.7 kg/ha/month). Nevertheless, the herbage DM yield (DMHYA) decreased as the age of the oil palms increased. This corresponded with lower light penetration under the oil palm canopy (Dahlan et al. 1993; Chen et al. 1996). The average DM yield of herbage was  $1.7-3.7 \text{ kg/ha/day} (0.17-0.37\text{g/m}^2/\text{day})$ . The DM intake requirement for maintenance and growth by sheep in the tropics is about 74.9 g/head/ day/kg W<sup>0.75</sup> (Kearl 1982). Thus, the estimated DM intake per W kg<sup>0.75</sup> of sheep aged 2-10 months in this present study was 256.3-545.0 g/ head/day for DMalin and 359.6-662.7 g/head/ day for DSLT, respectively. However, the available herbage in the old oil palm plantation cannot supply sufficient daily DM intake for the sheep.

# Growth Performance

Table 2 shows the growth performance of DMalin and DSLT sheep from birth to 10 months of age. The DMalin grew significantly slower than

TABLE 1 Chemical composition of herbage under oil palm

Palm age	%		% of $DM^{1/}$				$ME^{6/}$	DMYHA <sup>7/</sup>	
(years)	DM	$\mathbb{C}\mathrm{P}^{2/}$	$\mathrm{ADF}^{3/}$	CF <sup>4/</sup>	Ash	(MJ/kg)	(MJ/kg)	(kg/ha/mo)	
9	18.9	12.7	44.3	24.9	12.7	16.0	8.9	110.9	
13	19.8	10.7	45.7	26.9	12.5	16.2	8.0	75.4	
16	22.8	9.2	48.4	23.2	10.7	16.1	6.4	62.2	
21	25.0	7.6	46.5	28.4	11.7	16.3	6.0	50.8	
Mean	21.6	10.1	46.2	25.9	11.9	16.2	7.3	74.8	

<sup>1/</sup> DM = dry matter; 2/ CP = crude protein; 3/ ADF = acid detergent fibre; 4/ CF = crude fibre;

TABLE 2
Growth performance of DMalin and DSLT sheep under oil palm

Birth wt. (kg)  M 2.1 ± 0.2a 45 1.7 ± 0.2b 30 0.01 F 2.0 ± 0.3a 45 1.6 ± 0.3b 20 0.01  MeanSE 2.1 ± 0.1 1.7 ± 0.1  Wt. at 60 days (kg) M 8.1 ± 0.5a E 8.0 ± 0.4a 20 4.9 ± 0.5b 20 0.01  MeanSE 8.1 ± 0.1 5.1 ± 0.3  Weaning wt (120 days) (kg) M 10.8 ± 1.1a 20 8.9 ± 1.9b 20 0.05 F 9.0 ± 0.5 20 8.6 ± 1.1 20 NS  MeanSE 10.3 ± 0.7 8.8 ± 0.2  Wt. at 180 days (kg) M 13.1 ± 0.3a 20 11.9 ± 0.4b 20 0.05  F 12.7 ± 0.5a 20 11.3 ± 0.4b 20 0.05  MeanSE 13.1 ± 0.7 11.6 ± 0.4  Wt. at 240 days (kg) M 18.9 ± 0.4a 10 14.7 ± 0.6b 15 0.01  MeanSE 18.3 ± 0.9 14.1 ± 0.8  ADG, gm/day  - At weaning M 72.5a MeanSD 65.4 ± 10.0  MeanSD 65.4 ± 10.0	Items	Sex	DSLT (MeanSD)	n	DMalin (MeanSD)	n	Level of Significance
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Birth wt. (kg)	M	$2.1 \pm 0.2^{a}$	45	$1.7 \pm 0.2^{\rm b}$	30	0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F	$2.0 \pm 0.3^{a}$	45	$1.6 \pm 0.3^{b}$	20	0.01
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MeanSE	$2.1 \pm 0.1$		$1.7 \pm 0.1$		
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wt. at 60 days (kg)	M	$8.1 \pm 0.5^{a}$	20	$5.4 \pm 0.6^{b}$	20	0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	,	F	$8.0 \pm 0.4^{a}$	20	$4.9 \pm 0.5^{\rm b}$	20	0.01
F   9.0 ± 0.5   20   8.6 ± 1.1   20   NS		MeanSE	$8.1 \pm 0.1$		$5.1 \pm 0.3$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Weaning wt (120 days) (kg)	M	$10.8 \pm 1.1^{a}$	20	$8.9 \pm 1.9^{b}$	20	0.05
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	F	$9.0 \pm 0.5$	20	$8.6 \pm 1.1$	20	NS
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MeanSE	$10.3 \pm 0.7$		$8.8 \pm 0.2$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wt. at 180 days (kg)	M	$13.1 \pm 0.3^{a}$	20	$11.9 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	20	0.05
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	, to de 200 may (-8)	F	$12.7 \pm 0.5^{a}$	20	$11.3 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	20	0.05
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MeanSE	$13.1 \pm 0.7$		$11.6 \pm 0.4$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wt. at 240 days (kg)	M	$15.4 \pm 0.4^{a}$	20	$13.0 \pm 0.6^{b}$	15	0.01
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	F	$14.7 \pm 0.6^{a}$	20	$13.0 \pm 0.9^{b}$	15	0.05
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MeanSE	$15.0 \pm 0.6$		$13.0 \pm 0.0$		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Wt at 300 days (kg)	M	$18.9 \pm 0.4^{a}$	10	$14.7 \pm 0.4^{\rm b}$	10	0.01
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		F	$17.6 \pm 0.5^{a}$	15	$13.5 \pm 1.0^{\rm b}$	10	0.01
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		MeanSE	$18.3 \pm 0.9$		$14.1 \pm 0.8$		
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	ADG, gm/day						
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	_	M	$72.5^{a}$		57.1 <sup>b</sup>		0.01
MeanSD $65.4 \pm 10.0$ $58.8 \pm 2.4$ - After birth M $56.2^a$ $43.3^b$ $0.05$ to $10$ M. F $51.9^a$ $39.5^b$	O		58.3		60.5		NS
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(Dit til-Tivi)	~					
to 10 M. F 51.9 <sup>a</sup> 39.5 <sup>b</sup> 0.01	After birth						0.05
10 10 141.							
	to 10 M.	MeanSD	$54.1 \pm 3.0$		$41.4 \pm 2.7$		0.01

Means within column with different superscripts differ significantly

DSLT in both sexes (P<0.01). The birth weight of the DMalin lamb was lighter than the weight of DSLT (1.7 vs. 2.1 kg, respectively). The weaning weight of DMalin was lower than DSLT lambs (8.8 vs. 10.3 kg, respectively). The ADG from birth until 10 months of age of the DMalin and the DSLT male was 42.4 and 56.2 gm/day, respectively, while the female was 39.5 and 52.0

gm/head/day, respectively. In both breeds, the males tended to grow faster than the females.

The performance data of DMalin from this study were similar to those of Devendra (1975), Tajuddin and Chong (1988) and Khusahry and Gayah (1991) although the management practices were different. The growth pattern of the DSLT was similar to the report of Schrader

<sup>5/</sup> GE = gross energy; 6/ ME = metabolisable energy; DMYHA = DM yield per ha per month

	,	TABLE 3		
Comparison of actual	weight and es	stimated weight	(kg) of sheep	under oil palm

Age (days)	DSLT, male		DSLT, female		DMalin, male		DMalin, female	
	Actual	Estimate	Actual	Estimate	Actual	Estimate	Actual	Estimate
Birth	2.1	2.7	2.0	2.7	1.8	1.7	1.6	1.5
60	8.1	7.5	8.0	7.4	5.4	5.8	4.9	5.6
120	10.8	11.1	9.0	10.8	8.9	8.9	8.6	8.8
180	13.1	13.8	12.7	13.2	11.9	11.2	11.3	11.1
240	15.4	15.8	14.7	14.8	12.9	12.9	13.0	12.8
300	18.9	17.3	17.6	16.0	14.5	14.2	13.5	14.1

(1994) who observed that the SLT sheep grazing under oil palm with no supplements grew about 52.9 gm/day. However, Ramakrishnam *et al.* (1992) reported that the growth rate from birth until 12 months of male SLT sheep months grazing on native grasses and weeds under fruit trees from 0900 to 1700 hours each day was 82.2 gm/head/day.

Genetically, the Siamese Long Tail sheep is bigger than the Malin. When crossed with the Dorset, the DSLT grew faster than the DMalin sheep. The inferior growth performance of the DMalin in this study was similar to that in studies of Wan Mohamad (1977) and Davis *et al.* (1993). Thus, under the integrated system, the DSLT sheep were 29.8% heavier than the DMalin at 10 months of age.

The poor growth performance of both crossbreeds was probably due to insufficient feed from the plantation. During the pre-weaning stage (3-16 weeks of age) when supplementation was given, the animals showed faster growth rates (58.8 and 65.4 gm/head/day for DMalin and DSLT, respectively). These results were similar to those of Batubara et al. (1996) who reported that the ADG of North Sumatra sheep under oil palm was 45.3 gm/head/day. However, the results of the present study contrasted with the report of Rajion et al. (1994) who showed that Wiltshire x Malin sheep showed good performance when grazing for 7 hours under oil palm due to the availability of preferred digestible forage of high nutritive value.

Table 3 shows the actual weight per age of sheep compared with the estimated weight from Brody's model. The relationship between body weight and age of sheep in this study determined by the model of Brody were 1) DMalin male,  $BW = 17.9715 \ (1-0.9113 \ exp(-0.0049*AGE))$ ,

2) DMalin female, BW = 17.7792 (1-0.9230 exp(-0.005\*AGE), 3) DSLT male, BW = 21.6869 (1-0.8778 exp (-0.0049\*AGE)), and 4) DSLT female, BW = 18.7301 (1-0.8613 exp (-0.0059\*AGE)). The asymptotic weight (AWT) of all breeds was higher than the actual weight at 10 months of age. The AWT of males was higher than that of females while DMalin was lower than the DSLT sheep. The study indicated that the maturity rates (MR)of both crossbreeds were low, showing the late maturing of the sheep. MR was 0.0049-0.005 for DMalin and 0.0049-0.0059 for DSLT. The females showed slightly higher MR than the males but not significantly different (P>0.05). However, the models derived from this study are applicable to sheep from birth until 300 days of age.

# CONCLUSION

The growth rates of DMalin and DSLT sheep at Sungai Seraya plantation were low due to the lack of quality feed and a limited grazing period. The inferiority of genes was also a factor to consider. In order to improve the growth performance, supplementation is needed to provide sufficient nutrients for maintenance and production. The results of this study indicated that the grazing period should be increased. The model of Brody can be used to estimate the growth of sheep. However, the constant values in Brody's equations will change according to the breed type and feed supply.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors wish to thank Mohamad Azri bin Hamazah Senior Manager, and Ahmad Bahri, senior staff at Sungai Seraya Plantation, Far East Holdings Bhd, for their support, the Department of Veterinary Services, Pahang State for transportation, and the staff of Ladang Dua, Department of Animal Science, UPM.

## REFERENCES

- AOAC. 1984. Official Methods of Analysis. 14th edn. Washington D.C.: Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Batubara, L.P., S. Karokaro and S. Elieser. 1996. Sheep integration with oil palm in north Sumatra, Indonesia. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on the Integration of Livestock to Oil Palm Production*, ed. Y.W. Ho, M.K. Vidyadaran and M.D. Sanchez, p. 115-120. Kuala Lumpur: MSAP & FAO.
- Brown, J.E., H.A.Fitzhugh, Jr. and T.C. Cartwright. 1976. A comparison of nonlinear models for describing weight-age relationship in cattle. *Journal of Animal Science* **42**: 810-818.
- CHEN, C.P. and I. DAHLAN. 1996. Tree spacing and livestock production. In *Proceedings of the 1st International Symposium on the Integration of Livestock to Oil Palm Production*, ed. Y.W. Ho, M.K. Vidyadaran and M.D. Saanchez, p. 35-50. Kuala Lumpur: MSAP & FAO.
- CHEN, C.P., I. TAJUDDIN and D.T. CHONG. 1996. Strategies for enterpreneurship of livestock integration in plantation systems. In *Proceedings of the Silver Jubilee Malaysian Society of Animal Production: New Perspectives in Animal Production*, ed. H. Sharif, C.C. Wong, J.B. Liang, W.E. Wan Khadijah and I. Zulkifli, p. 101-117, Kuching, Sarawak, Malaysia: MSAP.
- Dahlan I., Y. Yamada and M.D. Mahyuddin. 1993. Botanical composition and models of metabolizable energy availability from undergrowth in oil palm plantations for ruminant production. *Agroforestry Systems* 24: 233-246.
- Davis, M.P., M.A. Rajion and A.S. Zainur. 1993. Failure of Malin sheep to fatten beyond early adulthood despite optimal nutrition. *Journal of Veterinar Malaysian* 5: 37-39.
- Devendra, C. 1975. Indigenous sheep of Malaysia. *Malaysian Agriculture Journal* **50**: 48-66.
- Kearl, L.C. 1982. Nutrient Requirements of Ruminants in Developing Countries. Logan, Utah: International Feedstuff Institute, Utah State University.
- Khusahry, M.Y.M. and A.R. Gayah 1991. Comparative growth performance of indigenous goats and sheep under semi-intensive management. In *Proceedings of 14th Malaysian Society of Animal Production Annual Conference*, ed. Y. Ishak, H.

- Kassim, A. Engku Azahan and O. Abas Mazni, p. 34-37. Genting Highland, Pahang, Malaysia: MSAP.
- PILLAI, K.R., S. THIAGARAJAN and C. SAMUEL. 1985. Weed control by sheep grazing under plantation tree crops. In *The Proceedings of the 9th Malaysian Society of Animal Production Annual Conference*, ed. S. Sivarajasingam, R.I. Hutagalung and H. Kassim, p. 43-52. Selangor, Malaysia: MSAP.
- RAJION, M.A., A.R. ALIMON and M.P. DAVIS. 1993. Goat and sheep production. In *The Animal Industry in Malaysia*, ed. C.T.N.I. Fatimah *et al.*, p. 51-67. Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia: Faculty of Veterinary Medicine & Animal Science, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.
- RAJION, M.A., A.A TUEN, S. SHANMUGAM, K.K. PILLAI and A. SALAM ABDULLAH. 1994. Comparative performance of sheep integrated under rubber and oil palm. In *Proceedings of the 7th AAAP Animal Science Congress*, p. 167-168. Bali, Indonesia: AAAP.
- RAMAKRISHNAN, P., A.W. HASSAN and M. MURUGAIYAH. 1992. Relationship of the scrotal circumference to age and body weight in Siamese Long Tail sheep. In *The Proceedings of XV Malaysian Society of Animal Production Annual Conference*, p. 128-131. Kuala Terengganu, Malaysia: MSAP.
- SAS. 1988. The NLIN procedure. In SAS/STAT User's Guide Release 6.03, 3rd edn.. Cary, N.C.: SAS Institute Inc.
- Schrader, P. 1994. The performance of Siamese long tail lambs fed on an oil palm based ration: A preliminary report. In *Project Carried Out at MARDI Station Bukit Ridan*, Penang, Malaysia: MARDI.
- Tajuddin, I. and D.T. Chong. 1988. Rubber Research Institute of Malaysia research on sheep integration under rubber. In *Proceedings Symposium on Sheep Production in Malaysia*, p. 73-82. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: Universiti Pertanian Malaysia.
- Wan Mohamad, W.E. 1977. Utilization of ground vegetation for animal rearing. In *Proceedings of Rubber Research Institute*, p. 265-281. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia.

(Received 3 January 1997) (Accepted 20 December 1997)