



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**IMPROVING MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING ALGORITHM FOR  
MATERIAL SELECTION**

**ALI JAHAN**

**FK 2011 36**

**IMPROVING MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING ALGORITHM FOR  
MATERIAL SELECTION**



**By**

**ALI JAHAN**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

**April 2011**

## DEDICATION

*“This thesis is dedicated to my ever-encouraging parents, my beloved wife and daughter for their love and support”*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia, in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**IMPROVING MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING ALGORITHM FOR MATERIAL SELECTION**

By

**ALI JAHAN**

**April 2011**

**Chairman: Associate Professor Faizal Mustapha, PhD**

**Faculty: Engineering**

The selection of a material for a specific engineering purpose is laborious and expensive process. Approximately always more than one material is suitable for an engineering application, and the final selection is a compromise between some advantages as well as the disadvantages. Screening and ranking are two vital steps in the material selection and variety of quantitative selection procedures have been developed to solve this issue. In this research, after classifying the current methods for screening and choosing of materials, advantages and disadvantages of the approaches were discussed. Review of literature provided evidence that the multi-criteria decision making (MCDM) approaches have the potential to greatly improve the material selection methodology. In this regard, this research focused on three problematic

aspects in material selection based on MCDM as described below.

Since existing tools and methods in material selection do not fully support designers during the preliminary design stages in which the designers encounter imprecise data (discrete or incomplete information) or in situations where material selection problems include intangible properties, multi-criteria decision making based on ordinal data (MCDM-BOD), which has root in linear assignment method, is proposed to rank the materials for a given engineering component.

In view of the fact that many of MCDM methods require quantitative weights for the criteria and it plays a very significant role in the ranking results of the materials, a framework for determining the importance degree of criteria was presented to overcome the shortcomings of this subject in material selection. Furthermore, the suggested framework covers the situation of interdependent relationship between the criteria which has not been surveyed yet in material selection.

Due to significance of compromising in material selection, VIKOR method (multi-criteria optimization and compromise solution) was extended in this research. It was performed by introducing a novel normalization method to address the shortcomings of the current methods for situation in which approaching the target values of criteria is important in material selection. The proposed comprehensive version of VIKOR, not only have covered all type of criteria effectively but also have been addressed the main error of traditional VIKOR by a simpler approach.

As a result, this study assists designers and engineers to make more reliable decisions in ranking stage of material selection.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia untuk memenuhi syarat ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENAMBAHBAIKAN KEPELBAGAIAN KRITERIA ALGORITHMMA DALAM PEMILIHAN BAHAN**

Oleh

**ALI JAHAN**

**April 2011**

**Pengerusi: Professor Madya Faizal Mustapha, PhD**

**Fakulti: Kejuruteraan**

Pemilihan bahan untuk tujuan kejuruteraan tertentu adalah satu proses yang mengambil masa dan melibatkan kos yang tinggi. Selalunya lebih daripada satu bahan adalah sesuai untuk sesuatu aplikasi kejuruteraan dan pemilihan terakhir bahan lebih merupakan kompromi di antara aspek yang mendatangkan kelebihan dan kekurangan. Penapisan dan penyusunan tahap kedudukan adalah dua langkah penting dalam pemilihan bahan dan beberapa cara pemilihan kuantitatif telah dibangun untuk menyelesaikan isu tersebut. Kajian literatur menunjukkan bahawa pendekatan melakukan keputusan kepelbagaian kriteria mempunyai potensi untuk lebih meningkatkan metodologi pemilihan, tetapi juga membantu para penyelidik dan pembuat keputusan dalam menerapkan pendekatan yang lebih berkesan. Sehubungan

dengan itu, kajian ini tertumpu kepada tiga aspek bermasalah dalam pemilihan bahan berdasarkan MCDM seperti yang dihuraikan di bawah.

Memandangkan alat-alat dan kaedah-kaedah masa kini dalam pemilihan bahan tidak membantu para pereka sepenuhnya di peringkat awal merekacipta di mana para pereka menghadapi data-data yang tidak tepat (maklumat diskrit atau tidak lengkap) atau dalam situasi di mana masalah-masalah pemilihan bahan yang tidak nampak sifatnya, pemilihan berdasarkan multi-kriteria oleh data ordinal (MCDM-BOD), di mana kaedah penugasan linier telah dicadangkan untuk menyusun bahan bagi komponen kejuruteraan tertentu.

Banyak kaedah MCDM memerlukan pertimbangan kuantitatif untuk kriteria dan memainkan peranan yang sangat penting dalam menentukan penyusunan kedudukan bahan. Satu kerangka untuk mengenalpasti darjah kepentingan kriteria telah diketengahkan untuk mengatasi kekurangan subjek ini dalam pemilihan bahan. Selanjutnya, kerangka yang disarankan meliputi situasi hubungan saling bergantung antara kriteria yang belum dikaji didalam pemilihan bahan.

Lanjutan kaedah VIKOR dipertimbangkan juga dalam tesis ini untuk mengatasi kaedah kekurangan dalam pendekatan ke arah sasaran nilai kriteria adalah penting dalam pemilihan bahan. Ia dijalankan dengan memperkenalkan kaedah baru normalisasi untuk mengatasi kekurangan kaedah masa kini yang mengambilkira nilai-nilai target untuk ciri-ciri penting dalam pemilihan bahan. Versi baru dari VIKOR yang ditawarkan dalam kajian ini meliputi kesalahan utama VIKOR tradisional yang diberi pendekatan

yang lebih sederhana, sehingga mampu mengurangkan risiko yang terlibat dalam pemilihan bahan yang sesuai menurut semua kriteria yang telah ditentukan.

Hasilnya, kajian ini dapat membantu para pereka dan jurutera untuk membuat keputusan yang lebih tepat dalam penyusunan tahap proses pemilihan bahan.





## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

As one may expect, an undertaking such as this would not have been possible without the support and encouragement of others. There are some people who have helped me along the way and to whom I am most grateful.

First I would like to start with my loving wife because she enabled this opportunity to me and without her unfailing support, this would not have happened. I am immensely thankful with my family for their unconditional love and support. Also I would like to thank my friends and all the people who believe in me during these last years.

I would like to thank all my supervisory committee, especially Dr. Faizal Mustapha for sharing his invaluable expertise and knowledge. Furthermore, I am heartily thankful to Prof Md. Yusof Ismail, whose encouragement, guidance and support from the initial to the final level enabled me to develop an understanding of the subject, and finally I owe my deepest gratitude to Prof. Sapuan for suggesting topic of material selection and his technical support.

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 29 April 2011 to conduct the final examination of Ali Jahan on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled "IMPROVING MULTI-CRITERIA DECISION MAKING ALGORITHM FOR MATERIAL SELECTION PROCESS" in accordance with Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the PhD degree.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

**Zulkiflle Leman, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Napsiah Ismail, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Mohd Khairol Anuar Mohd Ariffin, PhD**

Senior lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Bermawi P. Iskandar, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Industrial Technology  
Bandung Insitute of Technology  
(External Examiner)

---

NORITAH OMAR, PhD

Associate Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Faizal Mustapha, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Md Yusof Ismail, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Manufacturing Engineering and Technology Management  
University Malaysia Pahang  
(Member)

**Mohd Sapuan Salit, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

---

**ALI JAHAN**

**Date: 29 April 2011**



## TABLE OF CONTENT

	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	iii
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	v
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	vii
<b>APPROVAL</b>	x
<b>DECLARATION</b>	xi
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xviii
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xix
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statements	2
1.3 Objectives	4
1.4 Scope	5
1.5 Structure of the thesis	7
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>9</b>
2.1 Introduction	9
2.2 Materials screening methods	14
2.2.1 Cost per unit property method	16
2.2.2 Chart method	16
2.2.3 Questionnaire method	17
2.2.4 Materials in products selection tools	19
2.2.5 Artificial intelligence methods	20
2.3 Materials comparing and choosing methods	25
2.3.1 Multiple attribute decision making approaches	28
2.3.2 Multiple objective decision making approaches	35

2.3.3	Optimization methods	40
2.4	Analysis and interpretation of information	43
2.5	Critical review on topic of research chapters	45
2.5.1	Material selection based on ordinal data	46
2.5.2	Weighting methods in material selection	47
2.5.3	Normalization methods in material selection	53
2.6	Summary	56
<b>3</b>	<b>MATERIAL SELECTION BASED ON ORDINAL DATA</b>	<b>57</b>
3.1	Introduction	57
3.2	Materials and Methods	58
3.3	Results and discussion	61
3.3.1	Example 1 (flywheel)	62
3.3.2	Example 2 (Human-Powered Aircraft)	67
3.3.3	Example 3 (sailing-boat mast)	72
3.4	Conclusion	77
<b>4</b>	<b>A FRAMEWORK FOR WEIGHTING OF CRITERIA IN MATERIAL SELECTION</b>	<b>78</b>
4.1	Introduction	78
4.2	Materials and Methods	79
4.3	Results and discussion	84
4.3.1	Example 1 (four alternatives-four criteria)	85
4.3.2	Example 2 (sailing-boat mast)	86
4.3.3	Example 3 (Selection of non-heat-treatable cylindrical cover material)	87
4.3.4	Example 4 (lubricated journal bearing)	91
4.4	Conclusion	99
<b>5</b>	<b>COMPREHENSIVE VIKOR METHOD FOR MATERIAL SELECTION</b>	<b>101</b>
5.1	Introduction	101
5.2	Materials and Methods	102

5.3	Results and discussion	107
5.3.1	Example 1 (Rigid pin for hip prosthesis)	107
5.3.2	Example 2 (metallic bipolar plates for polymer electrolyte fuel cell)	111
5.3.3	Example 3 (Five materials-four criteria)	113
5.3.4	Example 4 (Sailing-boat mast)	114
5.3.5	Example 5 (Three alternatives-two criteria)	116
5.4	Conclusion	117
<b>6</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS, AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	<b>118</b>
6.1	Conclusions and summary of contributions	118
6.2	Future Research	120
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>121</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>135</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>138</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>137</b>