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The study was an attempt to explore relationships between learning organization and organizational commitment among lecturers in Technical and Vocational Colleges (TVCs) in Iran. Watkins and Marsick’s (1993) learning organization model with associated questionnaire; Learning Organization Dimensions Questionnaire (LODQ) was employed to collect data to determine lecturers’ perception on learning organization dimensions. Allen and Meyer’s (1996) tridimensional model of organizational commitment with associated questionnaire; Organizational Commitment Questionnaire (OCQ) was also utilized to collect data and determine lecturers’ perception on organizational commitment. The study was designed to determine levels of learning organization dimensions, organizational commitment scale and subscales, differences in respondents’ perception based on selected demographic variables (age, gender, marital status, teaching experience, employment type, level of education, and monthly income), relationship between learning
organization dimensions and organizational commitment and significant predictors of affective, continuance, normative and overall organizational commitment. The research design was descriptive correlational study and data were collected employing questionnaires. The study utilized proportional and simple random sampling methods to select 295 respondents with the response rate of 95.16% from population in four provinces of Fars, Khouzestan, Boushehr, and Kohgiloya and Boyerahmad in Iran.

The findings showed that lecturers’ perception on learning organization was at moderate level. Out of seven learning organization dimensions, three dimensions of continuous learning, dialogue and inquiry and strategic leadership were rated at high level, and four dimensions of collaboration, embedded system, system connection, and empowerment were rated at moderate level. Level of lecturers’ perception in overall organizational commitment was found to be at moderate level, affective commitment at high level, whereas continuance and normative commitment were rated at moderate level. Differences in affective, continuance, normative and overall organizational commitment by demographics showed that lecturers who were full time, had doctorate degree, were in the age of 50 and more, and had more than $ 800 monthly income had higher affective, continuance, normative and overall organizational commitment than their counterparts. Positive, significant and small to high relationships were found to exist between learning organization dimensions and organizational commitment scale and subscales. Overall correlation between learning organization dimensions and organizational commitment showed to be high, and positive. All seven learning organization dimensions were found to be predictors of organizational commitment, except collaboration. Significant predictors including continuous learning, dialogue and inquiry, embedded system, empowerment, system connection and strategic
leadership accounted for 50.3% of variance in overall organizational commitment. It is recommended that to improve organizational commitment scale and subscales, TVCs leaders should develop and practice learning organization dimensions especially three dimensions of dialogue and inquiry, continuous learning and strategic leadership and associated practices. A human resource development system should also be created to promote a learning culture based on learning organization dimensions and at the same time, to develop, maintain and enhance organizational commitment scale and subscales.
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komitmen organisasi serta peramal signifikan bagi sub skala afektif, penerusan, normatif dan keseluruhan skala komitmen organisasi. Reka bentuk kajian adalah kajian deskriptif korelasi dan data telah dikumpulkan menggunakan soal selidik. Kajian ini menggunakan kaedah pensampelan rawak mudah berstrata untuk memilih 295 pensyarah sebagai responden. Kadarr maklum balas adalah 95,16% daripada populasi di empat wilayah Fars, Khouzestan, Boushehr, dan Kohgiloya dan Boyerahmad di Iran. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa persepsi pensyarah terhadap dimensi organisasi pembelajaran adalah pada tahap sederhana. Daripada tujuh dimensi organisasi pembelajaran, tiga dimensi iaitu pembelajaran berterusan; dialog dan inkuiri; dan kepimpinan strategik telah diberi nilai skor pada tahap yang tinggi, dan empat dimensi lagi iaitu kerjasama, “embedded system”, “system connection” dan pengupayaan telah diberi nilai skor pada tahap sederhana oleh responden. Tahap persepsi pensyarah dalam komitmen organisasi secara keseluruhannya didapati berada pada tahap sederhana, komitmen afektif berada di peringkat tinggi, dan komitmen berterusan dan normatif berada pada tahap sederhana. Perbezaan dari segi komitmen afektif, komitmen penerusan, komitmen normatif dan komitmen organisasi secara keseluruhan berdasarkan demografi menunjukkan bahawa pensyarah yang sepenuh masa, mempunyai ijazah doktor falsafah, dalam usia 50 dan lebih, dan berpendapatan bulanan lebih daripada $ 800 mempunyai lebih tinggi komitmen afektif, komitmen penerusan, komitmen normatif dan komitmen organisasi secara keseluruhan berbanding dengan rakan-rakan mereka yang lain. Hubungan yang positif, signifikan pada tahap rendah ke tinggi didapati wujud di antara dimensi-dimensi organisasi pembelajaran dan skala dan sub skala komitmen organisasi. Korelasi keseluruhan antara dimensi-dimensi organisasi pembelajaran dan komitmen organisasi menunjukkan tinggi, dan positif. Kesemua tujuh dimensi organisasi...
pembelajaran telah didapati menjadi peramal komitmen organisasi, kecuali dimensi kerjasama. Peramal yang signifikan termasuk pembelajaran berterusan, dialog dan inkuiri, “embedded system”, pengupayaan, “system connection” dan kepimpinan strategik menyumbang 50.3% daripada varians dalam komitmen organisasi secara keseluruhan.

Untuk meningkatkan skala dan sub skala komitmen organisasi, pemimpin TVCs disyorkan supaya membangun dan mengamalkan dimensi-dimensi pembelajaran organisasi terutamanya dalam tiga dimensi ia itu dialog dan inkuiri, pembelajaran berterusan dan kepemimpinan strategik serta amalan yang berkaitan dengannya. Sistem pembangunan sumber manusia juga perlu diwujudkan bagi mempromosikan budaya pembelajaran berdasarkan dimensi-dimensi pembelajaran organisasi dan pada masa yang sama untuk membangun, mengekal dan memperkasakan skala dan sub skala komitmen organisasi.
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