



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

***AFFECTIVE FACTORS IN SECOND LANGUAGE WRITING ABILITY
ATTRITION AMONG ENGLISH AS FOREIGN LANGUAGE LEARNERS***

AZADEH ASGARI

FPP 2012 24

**AFFECTIVE FACTORS IN SECOND LANGUAGE WRITING ABILITY
ATTRITION AMONG ENGLISH AS FOREIGN
LANGUAGE LEARNERS**



AZADEH ASGARI

**DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY
UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

2012

“A Journey of a Thousand Miles Begins With a Single Step.”

Lao-tzu
Chinese philosopher (604 B.C. - 531 B.C.)



**AFFECTIVE FACTORS IN SECOND LANGUAGE WRITING ABILITY
ATTRITION AMONG ENGLISH AS FOREIGN
LANGUAGE LEARNERS**



**By
AZADEH ASGARI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

June 2012

To My Heart And Soul: Nasrin & Morteza.

Your Love And Support Sustained Me

Throughout The Journey.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**AFFECTIVE FACTORS IN SECOND LANGUAGE WRITING ABILITY
ATTRITION AMONG ENGLISH AS FOREIGN
LANGUAGE LEARNERS**

By

AZADEH ASGARI

June 2012

Chairman: Ghazali Bin Mustapha, PhD

Faculty: Educational Studies

The prominent intention of this study is to investigate the influence of affective factors on second language (L2) writing ability attrition among English foreign language learners. The research objectives were investigated to identify a) the differences between learners' writing performance at the two stages, b) the effect of proficiency levels and groups of learners on L2 writing attrition, c) the relationship among affective factors and second language writing ability attrition, and d) the determinant predictor factors on L2 writing ability attrition among Persian language learners.

The intended research design methodology for this dissertation was as a mixed method design starting with a quantitative method followed by a qualitative method. In the quantitative phases, after making an initial pilot study, a TOEFL

writing test, the General Background Question (GBQ) survey, and the Attitude/Motivation Test Battery (AMTB) questionnaire were conducted among 366 learners who were randomly assigned among the EFL learners at the Kish English Institute's (KEI) at the two stages. The dependent variables are the comparison of scores in the learners' TOEFL writing performance based on the five aspects of Jacobs' rubric including the overall score of the essay and the score of each component between two groups across the three levels of language proficiency. The Statistical Package for Social Science software version 16 was used to assist in coding the raw quantitative data. After the analysis of quantitative phase was done, the structured interview was conducted among 12 volunteers at the Kish English Institute's (KEI) and recorded with their permission to explore the in-depth perception of the EFL learners regarding the L2 writing experience. The outcomes from the qualitative data were used to determine common responses to compare perceived issues and recommendations in language attrition and other learning factors.

Consequently, the quantitative data analysis consistently illustrated statistically significant L2 writing aspects (content, organization, vocabulary, language use, and mechanics) along with their performance in the two various stages within a period of six months interval. Likewise, the null hypothesis regarding the impact of proficiency levels and groups of respondents was rejected for four aspects of L2 writing and this hypothesis was not supported by the mechanics factors. The length of L2 exposure was also significantly affective in the advanced level of the EFL learners. The other affective factors also have high associations in

predicting L2 writing attrition. The qualitative interview data in the following phase was consistent with the detected quantitative results in the first phases: participants admitted that lack of specific knowledge, specific vocabulary for an unfamiliar topic, lack of confidence in speaking, age, educational level, lack of motivation and negative attitude toward learning and speaking can be major factors leading to the attrition. Moreover, they also emphasized the effect of anxiety in the English classes.

The results of this study will enlighten educators, administrators and support staff of the barriers to complete the ESL/EFL program and to propose solutions that will help learners to succeed. Finally, some pedagogical implications that would prevent the L2 writing attrition were presented.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia bagi memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**FAKTOR AFEKTIF ATAS BAHASA PENULISAN KEDUA KEBOLEHAN
KECICIRAN ANTARA INGGERIS SEBAGAI PELAJAR
BAHASA ASING**

Oleh

AZADEH ASGARI

Jun 2012

Pengerusi: Ghazali Bin Mustapha, PhD

Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan

Matlamat utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengkaji peranan faktor afektif terhadap kadar keciciran keupayaan menulis dikalangan pelajar EFL Parsi. Objektif utama telah dibincangkan bagi mengenal pasti a) perbezaan antara prestasi penulisan pelajar pada dua peringkat, b) kesan tahap penguasaan dan kumpulan pelajar terhadap keciciran penulisan L2, c) hubungkait antara faktor afektif dan keciciran kebolehan menulis L2, d) dan faktor-faktor penentu keciciran kebolehan menulis L2 dikalangan pelajar bahasa Parsi.

Kaedah reka bentuk kajian yang digunakan untuk disertasi ini adalah kaedah reka bentuk campuran yang dimulakan dengan kaedah kuantitatif dan diikuti dengan kaedah kualitatif. Di dalam fasa kuantitatif, selepas membuat kajian rintis awal, ujian penulisan TOEFL, soalselidik GBQ dan soalselidik AMTB telah dijalankan terhadap 366 pelajar yang telah dipilih secara rawak dikalangan

pelajar dewasa EFL pada dua peringkat di Institut Kei. Pembolehubah bersandar bagi kajian ini adalah perbandingan skor dalam prestasi penulisan TOEFL pelajar berdasarkan lima aspek rubrik Jacob termasuk skor keseluruhan esei dan skor bagi setiap komponen antara dua kumpulan merentasi tiga tahap penguasaan bahasa. Perisian analisis kandungan SPSS versi 16 telah digunakan bagi membantu dalam pengekodan data mentah kuantitatif. Selepas analisis fasa kuantitatif telah dilakukan, temubual berstruktur telah dijalankan di kalangan 12 sukarelawan di KISH Bahasa Inggeris di Institut (KEI) dan direkodkan dengan kebenaran mereka bagi mengkaji persepsi mendalam pelajar EFL berkenaan pengalaman penulisan L2. Hasil dari data kualitatif telah digunakan bagi menentukan respon umum untuk membandingkan tanggapan isu-isu dan cadangan dalam keciciran bahasa dan faktor pembelajaran yang lain.

Secara kesimpulannya, analisis data kuantitatif secara konsisten menggambarkan secara statistik signifikan aspek penulisan L2 (kandungan, organisasi, perbendaharaan kata, penggunaan bahasa, dan mekanik) bersama-sama dengan prestasi mereka dalam dua peringkat yang pelbagai dalam tempoh enam bulan berselang. Hipotesis nul kajian mengenai kesan tahap penguasaan dan kumpulan responden ditolak bagi empat aspek penulisan L2 dan hipotesis ini tidak disokong oleh faktor-faktor mekanik. Kepanjangan pendedahan L2 juga memberi kesan signifikan terhadap pelajar EFL tahap tinggi. Faktor-faktor afektif lain juga mempunyai perkaitan yang tinggi dalam menentukan keciciran penulisan L2. Data temubual kualitatif dalam fasa yang berikutnya adalah selaras

dengan keputusan kuantitatif yang dikesan dalam fasa yang pertama: peserta mengakui bahawa kurang pengetahuan tertentu, perbendaharaan kata tertentu bagi topik yang tidak biasa, kekurangan keyakinan dalam pertuturan, umur, tahap pendidikan, kekurangan motivasi dan sikap negatif terhadap pembelajaran dan pertuturan boleh menjadi faktor utama yang mendorong kepada keciciran. Selain itu, faktor-faktor tersebut turut menekankan kesan kebimbangan di dalam kelas bahasa Inggeris.

Hasil kajian ini diharapkan agar dapat memberi pengetahuan kepada para pendidik, pentadbir dan kakitangan sokongan terhadap halangan dalam melengkapkan program ESL/EFL, juga untuk mencadangkan penyelesaian yang dapat membantu pelajar untuk berjaya. Akhir sekali, beberapa implikasi pedagogi yang boleh menghalang keciciran penulisan L2 turut dibentangkan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Completing this dissertation was a long process whose success depended on the advice and encouragement I benefited from the assistance of a number of people, without whom this project would not have been possible. First of all, I would like to thank Associate Prof. Dr. Ghazali bin Mustapha, the chair of my dissertation committee, for his guidance, suggestions, and support in developing my vision and reaching my audience as well as the beginning of my academic career. I extend my sincere gratitude to the other members of my committee, Prof. Dr. Jayakaran Mukundaran, and Prof. Dr. Bahaman Abu Samah for their thoughtful words, comments, and advice during this project.

I would like to thank a number of instructors and staffs of the KISH English language institute were generous with their time, classrooms, and ideas. I would also like to thank their students, those who volunteered as participants and those who did not, for their patience and attention. Without my participants, who gave their valuable time, this study would not be. I cannot begin to tell you how indebted I am to each and every one of you.

The last but not the least, I would like to express my deepest gratitude to my parents, Nasrin & Morteza, for their patience, love, and support.

Azadeh Asgari
June 2012

APPROVAL

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 5 June 2012 to conduct the final examination of Azadeh Asgari on her thesis entitled “Affective Factor in Second Language Writing Ability Attrition among English as a Foreign Language Learners” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Y. Bhg. Prof. Dr. Abu Daud b Silong, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Nooreen bt Noordin, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Shamsuddin b Ahmad, PhD

Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

Y. Bhg. Prof. Dr. Martin Cortazzi, PhD

Professor
Center for Applied Linguistics
University of Warwick
(External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 27 August 2012

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ghazali Bin Mostapha, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Jayakaran Mukundaran, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Bahaman Ab Samah, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

AZADEH ASGARI

Date: 5 June 2012




TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	viii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xi
APPROVAL	xii
DECLARATION	xiv
LIST OF TABLES	xix
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
I. CHAPTER INTRODUCTION	1
1.1. Introduction	1
1.2. Background of the Study	4
1.3. Statement of the Problem	14
1.4. Research Objectives	18
1.5. Research Hypothesis	19
Hypothesis 1	19
Hypothesis 2	20
Hypothesis 3	20
Hypothesis 4	20
Hypothesis 5	21
1.6. Significance of the Study	21
1.7. Limitations of the Study	25
1.8. Operational Definitions	27
1.8.1. Language Attrition	27
1.8.2. TOEFL Writing Test	27
1.8.3. Writing Skill	28
1.8.4. Affective Factors	28
1.8.4.1. Motivation	29
1.8.4.2. Attitude	30
1.8.4.3. Anxiety	30
1.8.5. Continuing & non-Continuing Groups	31
1.8.6. Proficiency Levels	31
1.8.7. EFL/ESL Learners	32
1.9. Overview of the Thesis	32
II. CHAPTER REVIEW OF THE LITERATUR	34
2.1. Introduction	34
2.2. Emergence of a Attrition Study	36
2.3. A Taxonomical Framework on Language Attrition	38
	xv

2.4. Stages in Language Attrition	39
2.5. Causes of the Language Attrition	39
2.6. Attrition from Different Linguistics Perspectives	43
2.7. Competing Hypotheses	45
2.7.1. The Regression Hypothesis	46
2.8. Rate & Pattern of Language Attrition	48
2.9. L1 Attrition vs. L2 Attrition	49
2.10. L2 Writing Ability and Language Attrition	54
2.10.1. Attrition in Vocabulary & Grammar	55
2.10.2. Models of L1 and L2 Writing	59
2.10.3. L1 Writer and L2 Writer	61
2.10.4. L2 Writing Ability Studies	62
2.11. Individual, Instructional Factors on the Rate of Language Attrition	64
2.11.1. Age	65
2.11.2. Gender	66
2.11.3. Proficiency Effect	67
2.11.4. Educational Level in L1	71
2.11.5. The Lengths Exposure to the L2	72
2.11.6. Linguistic Effect	73
2.11.7. Length of Stay Abroad	74
2.11.8. Social Networks	75
2.11.9. The Media and Reading	76
2.12. Affective Factors on the Rate of Language Attrition	78
2.12.1. Motivation	79
2.12.2. Attitude	81
2.12.3. Anxiety	83
2.13. English as a Foreign Language in Iran	86
2.13.1. English in the Iranian Educational System	86
2.13.1.1. Primary Education	86
2.13.1.2. Secondary Education	87
2.13.1.3. High Education	87
2.13.1.4. University Education and Above	87
2.13.2. Characteristics of EFL in Iran	87
2.14. Related Theory	90
2.15. Conceptual Framework of the Study	93
2.16. Summary	94

III. CHAPTER RESEARCH METHODOLOGY	95
3.1. Introduction	95
3.2. Research Design	96
3.3. Location of the Study	100
3.4. Population & Sampling Procedures	102

3.5. Research Instruments	105
3.5.1. General Background Questionnaire (GBQ)	105
3.5.2. TOEFL Writing Test	106
3.5.2.1. The ESL Composition	107
3.5.2.2. Rater Training	109
3.5.3. Attitude/Motivation Test Battery (AMTB) Questionnaire	110
3.5.4. Structured Interview	111
3.6. Validity & Reliability of Instruments	113
3.7. Pilot Study	116
3.8. Ethical Considerations	117
3.9. Data Collection	118
3.10. Data Analysis	121
3.11. Summary	124
IV. CHAPTER RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	126
4.1. Introduction	126
PHASE A - QUANTITATIVE	127
4.2. Demographic Characteristics of the Respondents	127
4.2.1. Descriptive Statistics for the GBQ Questionnaire	130
4.2.2. Descriptive Statistics for the Aspects of L2 Writing Ability	130
4.2.3. Descriptive Statistics for the sub-Scales of AMTB Questionnaire	134
4.3. Inferential Analysis for Testing the Research Hypotheses	135
4.3.1. Checking the Assumptions of Normality	136
4.3.2. The Comparison of L2 Writing Performance at the Two Stages	137
4.3.3. The Aspects of Writing Attrition based on Levels & Groups	143
4.3.4. The Lengths of L2 Exposure on L2 Writing Ability Attrition	148
4.3.5. The Role of Affective Factors on L2 Writing Ability Attrition	151
4.3.6. The Correlation among Affective Factors and L2 Writing Attrition	166
PHASE B - QUALITATIVE	173
4.4. Discussion of Qualitative Phase	173
4.4.1. The Demographics of the Interviewees	174
4.4.2. The Experience with L2 Writing	183
4.4.2.1. The Topics Difficulty and L2 Writing Quality	183
4.4.2.2. Differences among L1 & L2 Writing Experience	186
4.4.3. The Role of Demographic Factors on L2 Writing Attrition	192
4.4.4. The Role of Affective Factors on L2 Writing Attrition	199
4.5. Summary	203
V. CHAPTER CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	205
5.1. Introduction	205
5.2. Summary of the Study	205
5.3. Objectives of the Study	207

5.4. Discussions & Conclusions on Hypotheses	208
5.4.1. Discussions & Conclusions on Descriptive Analysis	209
5.4.2. Discussions & Conclusions on Aspects of L2 Writing Performance	211
5.4.3. Discussions & Conclusions on Rate of L2 Writing Ability Attrition	217
5.4.4. Discussions & Conclusions on Exposure to the L2 & Writing	220
5.4.5. Discussions & Conclusions on Scales of the AMTB	223
5.4.6. Discussions & Conclusions of Predictor Variables on L2 Writing	231
5.4.7. Discussions & Conclusions on Interviews' Data Description	237
5.4.7.1. The Importance of English for Interviewees	238
5.4.7.2. The Experience of L2 Writing	239
5.4.7.3. The Role of Relevant Factors on L2 Writing Attrition	240
5.5. Implications of the Study	243
5.5.1. Pedagogical Implications	244
5.5.2. Methodological Implications	247
5.5.3. Implications for Teaching	248
5.6. Recommendations for Future Research	249
5.7. Summary	252
REFERENCES	254
APPENDICES	272
Appendix A: LOCATION OF THE STUDY	273
Appendix B: GENERAL BACKGROUND QUESTIONNAIRE (GBQ)	276
Appendix C: THE TOEFL WRITING TEST	279
Appendix D: ESL COMPOSITION PROFILE	280
Appendix E: ATTITUDE/MOTIVATION TEST BATTERY (AMTB)	281
Appendix F: KEY OF ITEMS FOR THE AMTB QUESTIONNAIRE	284
Appendix G: THE STRUCTURED INTERVIEW	285
Appendix H: INTERVIEW PROTOCOL	286
Appendix I: PANEL OF EXPERT	287
Appendix J: RESEARCH INFORMATION SHEET	288
Appendix K: CONSENT FORM FOR PARTICIPANTS	289
Appendix L: TEST OF NORMALITY ASSUMPTION	290
Appendix M: THE RATER'S BIO-DATA	291
Appendix N: THE RATER'S BIO-DATA	292
BIODATA OF STUDENT	293