



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**BACTERIAL FLORA ASSOCIATED WITH HATCHERY-
REARED JUVENILE GIANT TIGER PRAWN, *Penaeus
monodon* (FABRICIUS), AND SCREENING OF PUTATIVE
BACTERIA AS PROBIOTIC CANDIDATE**

SHAHRAM SHAKIBA ZADEH

FP 2012 18

BACTERIAL FLORA ASSOCIATED WITH HATCHERY-REARED
JUVENILE GIANT TIGER PRAWN, *Penaeus monodon* (FABRICIUS),
AND SCREENING OF PUTATIVE BACTERIA AS PROBIOTIC
CANDIDATE



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfillment Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy

February 2012

DEDICATION



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of philosophy

BACTERIAL FLORA ASSOCIATED WITH HATCHERY-REARED JUVENILE GIANT TIGER PRAWN, *Penaeus monodon* (FABRICIUS), AND SCREENING OF PUTATIVE BACTERIA AS PROBIOTIC CANDIDATE

By

SHAHRAM SHAKIBA ZADEH

Chairman:

Faculty:

Post larva

hatchery

flora of c

using cor

system. I

Pseudomonas

system

identified from

72% Gram negative

by *Shewanella*,

considerably more

than sediment,

colonization in

flora isolates was



in Puchong

je. Bacterial

determined

g microplate

int and total

y digestive

genera were

from different body parts, sediment and rearing water comprised of

Vibrio followed by *Shewanella*, while incident of *Shewanella* in digestive system was

considerably more than sediment, which is indirect evidence for its

colonization in digestive system. Thereafter, antagonistic ability of bacterial

pathogens



(*Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, and *Vibrio alginolyticus*) using well plate diffusion technique and cross streak technique. The largest inhibitory zones against mentioned shrimp pathogen were produced by *Shewanella algae* followed by *Burkholderia glumae* and *Bravibacterium linens*. Further antagonistic studies were conducted on *S. algae* in different pH, Salinity, temperature and time using Response Surface methodology. *S.alga* was able to induce its antagonistic ability against mentioned shrimp pathogens in



2^{nd} treatment (10^{10} cfu/g) which was significantly different with controls. Low and high salinity stress tests and low temperature stress test were performed within treatment groups to evaluate the effect of candidate probiotic during stressful condition. The 2^{nd} treatment (10^{10} cfu/g) exhibited significantly higher survival rate within treatments in all stress tests. Consequently, *in*

The figure is a bar chart titled "Effect of UPM probiotic on *V. harveyi* biofilm formation". The y-axis is labeled "biofilm formation (%)" and ranges from -20 to 100. The x-axis lists three treatments: "Control", "UPM 10⁴ CFU mL⁻¹", and "UPM 10⁶ CFU mL⁻¹". The bars show biofilm formation values of approximately -10% for Control, -50% for UPM 10⁴, and -70% for UPM 10⁶. A large black "COPYRIGHT" watermark is diagonally across the chart area.

Treatment	Biofilm formation (%)
Control	-10
UPM 10 ⁴ CFU mL ⁻¹	-50
UPM 10 ⁶ CFU mL ⁻¹	-70

positive controls for 3 weeks. The highest survival rate was observed in the 2nd treatment (10^{10} cfu/g) which was significantly different with controls. Low and high salinity stress tests and low temperature stress test were performed within treatment groups to evaluate the effect of candidate probiotic during stressful condition. The 2nd treatment (10^{10} cfu/g) exhibited significantly higher survival rate within treatments in all stress tests. Consequently, *in*

vivo study of candidate probiotic was assessed through feeding shrimp treatment groups by supplementing commercial shrimp feed with 4 levels of candidate probiotic (T_1 ; 10^4 , T_2 ; 10^7 , T_3 ; 10^{10} and T_4 ; 10^{13} cfu/g). The significant highest feed conversion ratio, specific growth rate, protein efficiency ratio and survival was observed in 3rd treatment, this treatment consisted of significantly better bacterial balance and exhibited highest survival rate after 2 weeks challenging with *V. harveyi*. The possible human risk asso

LD₅₀, on

about 10

mammali

and can k

dose 50%,

probiotic was

safety for

aracteristics

:s.



Abstrak Tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi syarat untuk mendapatkan ijazah Doktor Falasafah

FLORA BAKTERIA TERLIBAT DALAM PELIHARAAN JUVENIL UDANG HARIMAU, *Penaeus monodon* (FABRICIUS), DARI HACERI DAN PENAPISAN BAKTERIA SEBAGAI CALON PROBIOTIK

Oleh

SHAHRAM SHAKIRA ZADEH



Pengerusi:

Fakulti: F

Pasca lai

Penyelidil

peringkat

sedimen

kaedah b

Jumlah te

Pseudom

perchudaman (hepatopancrease dan usus). Tujuh genus yang berbeza telah

dikenal pasti dari beberapa bahagian badan, sedimen dan air yang

merupakan 72% bakteria Gram negatif. Bakteria utama ialah *Vibrio* diikuti

oleh *Shewanella*, manakala kehadiran *Shewanella* dalam sistem pencernaan

adalah lebih banyak dari dalam sedimen, menunjukkan bukti kolonisasi

dalam sistem pencernaan. Seterusnya, keupayaan antagonis flora bakteria



yang diasingkan dilakukan terhadap patogen udang yang paling membahaya (*Vibrio harveyi*, *Vibrio parahaemolyticus*, and *Vibrio alginolyticus*) dengan menggunakan teknik resapan piring dan teknik coretan silang. Zon penghalang yang paling terbesar terhadap patogen udang tersebut telah dihasilkan oleh *Shewanella algae* dikikuti oleh *Burkholderia glumae* dan *Bravibacterium linens*. Kajian lanjutan kemampuan antagonis telah dilakukan terhadap *S. algae* didalam pH, saliniti, suhu dan masa yang berbeza

mampu

udang te

Pemerhat

Algae da

dengan k

bahan al

Seterusn

dengan :

Bakteria

bergant

probiotik

tau i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

berbeza

mampu

udang te

Pemerhat

Algae da

dengan k

bahan al

Seterusn

dengan :

Bakteria

bergant

probiotik

tau i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

berbeza

mampu

udang te

Pemerhat

Algae da

dengan k

bahan al

Seterusn

dengan :

Bakteria

bergant

probiotik

tau i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

g berbeza.

ibandingkan

IR indeks.

ian tempoh

lain. Calon

Igionolyticus

ta i tidak

lebih cepat

daripada *V.*

parahaemolyticus.

Keselamatan calon

probiotik untuk

udang harimau

telah kaji berikutnya,

dimana 4 rawatan

kumpulan juvenil *P. monodon*

diberi makan mengandungi calon probiotik

dalam 2 peringkat (10^7 dan 10^{10} cfu / g),

kawalan negatif dan positif selama 3

minggu. Kadar kemandirian yang tertinggi telah diperhatikan dalam rawatan

2 (10^{10} cfu / g) yang ketara berbeza dengan kawalan. Ujian tekanan rendah

i. *S. algae*

ja patogen

aan kajian.

probiotik, *S.*

h dilakukan

engeluarkan

dan tinggi bagi kemasinan dan suhu telah dijalankan dalam kumpulan rawatan untuk menilai kesan calon probiotik semasa keadaan tertekan. Rawatan 2 (10^{10} cfu / g) mempamerkan daya hidup yang lebih tinggi dengan ketara dalam tempoh rawatan dalam semua ujian tekanan. Sehubungan itu, dalam kajian *in vivo* calon probiotik telah dinilai melalui pemberian makanan tambahan komersil mengandungi 4 peringkat calon probiotik T1; 10^4 ; T2; 10^7 , T3; 10^{10} dan T4; 10^{13} cfu / g). Nilai ketara tertinggi nisbah perukaran, kadar pe

diperhatik

bakteria
selepas :

mungkin
telah diper-

BALB / C

melalui n

umumnya
boleh dip
perindust

ke mandirian

seimbangan

ng tertinggi

risiko yang

an *S. algae*
amalia, tikus

pemberian
alia. Secara
ip baik dan
omersil dan



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My full praise to the God for providing me with helpful supervisory team, cooperative real friends, supportive family and a heart filled with hope, which was enabled me to complete my study during toughest period of my life.



I certify that an Examination Committee met on 22nd February 2012 to conduct the final examination of Shahram Shakiba Zadeh on his Doctor of Philosophy thesis entitled Bacterial flora Associated with Hatchery-Reared Juvenile Giant Tiger Prawn, *Penaeus monodon* (Fabricius), and Screening of Putative Bacteria as probiotic Candidate in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

Mihdzar Bin Abdul Kadir, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of
Universiti
(Chairman)

Aziz Bin

Professor
Faculty of
Universiti
(Internal I)

Muta Har

Associate
Faculty of
Universiti
(Internal I)

Mehdi D

Professor
Primary II
South Africa
Level 14,
(External)



SEOW HENG FONG, PhD
Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Che Roos Saad, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Agriculture

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Mohd Salleh Kamarudin, PhD

Associate

Faculty of

Universiti

(Member)

Annie Ch

Lecturer

Faculty of

Universiti

(Member)

Kamaruz

Associate

Faculty of

Universiti

(Member)



HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:



DECLARATION

I declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	ix
APROVAL	x
DECLARATION	xi
TABLE OF CONTANTS	xiii
LIST OF TABLES	xviii
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF A	xxiii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Objectives	4
1.3 Scope	5
1.4 Methodology	9
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	10
2.1 General Review	10
2.2 Probiotic in Aquaculture	10
2.2.1 Probiotic Definition	11
2.2.2 General Aspect of Improving Aquaculture Production Using Probiotic	12
2.2.3 Possible Mode of Action	12
2.2.4 Assessment of the Potential Candidates for Using as Probiotics	15
2.3 Probiotic in Shrimp Aquaculture	16
2.3.1 Probiotic in Shrimp Aquaculture	16
2.3.2 Human Pathogens	18
2.3.5 Antibiotic Residual	19
2.6 Probiotic	20
2.6.1 Probiotic Definition	22
2.6.2 General Aspect of Improving Aquaculture Production Using Probiotic	23
2.6.3 Possible Mode of Action	23
2.6.4 Assessment of the Potential Candidates for Using as Probiotics	25
2.7 Examples of Using Probiotics in Shrimp Aquaculture	27
3 BACTERIAL FLORA ASSOCIATED WITH DIFFERENT BODY PARTS OF JUVENILE <i>Penaeus monodon</i> , ITS REARING WATER AND SEDIMENT	41
3.1 Introduction	45
	48
	48



DEDICATION
ABSTRACT
ABSTRAK
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT
APROVAL
DECLARATION
TABLE OF CONTANTS
LIST OF TABLES
LIST OF FIGURES
LIST OF A

CHAPTER

1

INTRODUCTION

1.1

Introduction

1.

Objectives

1.

Scope

1.

Methodology

9.

LITERATURE REVIEW

10.

General Review

10.

Probiotic in Aquaculture

10.

Probiotic Definition

11.

General Aspect of Improving Aquaculture Production

12.

Using Probiotic

12.

Possible Mode of Action

15.

Assessment of the Potential Candidates for Using

as Probiotics

16.

Probiotic in Shrimp Aquaculture

16.

Human Pathogens

18.

Antibiotic Residual

19.

Probiotic

20.

Definition

22.

General Aspect of Improving Aquaculture Production

23.

Using Probiotic

23.

Possible Mode of Action

25.

Assessment of the Potential Candidates for Using

as Probiotics

41.

Probiotics

45.

in Shrimp Aquaculture

45.

Probiotics

48.

Shrimp Aquaculture

48.

Probiotics

3.2 Materials and Methods	49
3.2.1 Cultivation	50
3.2.2 Sampling	50
3.2.3 Inoculation and Bacterial Culture	51
3.2.4 Isolation and Identification of Shrimp Putative	51
3.2.5 Statistical Analysis	55
3.3 Results and Discussion	52
3.3.1 Water Quality and Shrimp Body Weigh	52
3.3.2 Bacterial Count	52
3.3.3 Bacterial Flora	55
4 ANTAGONISTIC POTENTIAL OF PUTATIVE BACTERIA ON JUVENILE <i>Penaeus monodon</i> AGAINST VIBRIO spp. AND ASSESSMENT FOR ITS VIBRIO STATIC EFFECT IN DIFFERENT CONDITION	65
4.1 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	65
4.1.1 Qualitative Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	67
4.1.2 Quantitative Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp.	67
4.2 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	68
4.2.1 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	68
4.2.2 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	69
4.3 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	70
4.3.1 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	71
4.3.2 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	72
4.3.3 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	73
4.4 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	79
4.4.1 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	77
4.4.2 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	80
4.4.3 Evaluation of Putative Bacteria against <i>Vibrio</i> spp. under different condition	93
5 EVALUATION OF DIGESTIVE ENZYME PRODUCTION BY CANDIDATE PROBIOIC, <i>Shewanella algae</i>	93
5.1 Introduction	93
5.2 Materials and methods	95
5.2.1 Qualitative Evaluation of Digestive Enzyme Production by Candidate Probiotic, <i>S. algae</i>	95
5.2.2 Evaluation of Candidate Probiotic, <i>S. algae</i> , Growth at Different Cultural Condition	96
5.2.3 Statistical Analysis	97
5.3 Results and Discussion	98
5.3.1 Qualitative Evaluation of Digestive Enzyme Production by Probiotic, <i>S. algae</i>	98
5.3.2 Fitting the Model	98
5.3.3 Surface Response Analysis	102



Shewanella algae

6	EVALUATION OF PROBIOTIC POTENTIAL OF CANDIDATE PROBIOTIC, <i>Shewanella algae</i>, USING GROWTH CHARACTERISTIC	108
6.1	Introduction	108
6.2	Materials and Methods	110
6.2.1	Bacterial Strains	110
6.2.2	Determination of Standard Curves	110
6.2.3	Determination of the Bacterial Growth Parameters	111
6.3	Result and Discussion	118
6.3.1	Determination of Standard Curves	118
6.3.2	Estimation of Bacterial Growth Parameters	121
7	IN VIVO CHALLENGE OF JUVENILE GIANT TIGER PRAWN, <i>Penaeus monodon</i>, WITH CANDIDATE PROBIOTIC <i>Shewanella algae</i> AND STRESS TESTS	130
7.1	Introduction	130
7.2	Materials and Methods	134
7.2.1	Experimental Design	134
7.2.2	Experimental Setup	135
7.2.3	Experimental Procedure	137
7.2.4	Statistical Analysis	137
7.2.5	Experimental Data	137
7.3	Results and Discussion	139
7.3.1	Growth Parameters	146
7.3.2	Bacteriological Studies	149
7.3.3	Water Analysis	150
7.3.4	Feed and Shrimp Whole Body Tissue Proximate Analysis	150
7.3.5	Bacteriological Study and Survival Rate After Challenging With the Pathogen	151
7.3.6	Hepatopancreas Histopathology	151
8	ASSESSMENT OF POSSIBLE HUMAN RISK OF CANDIDATE PROBIOTIC, <i>Shewanella algae</i> , APPLICATION IN SHRIMP FARMING	152
8.1	Introduction	155
8.2	Materials and Methods	156
8.2.1	Experimental Design	157
8.2.2	Statistical Analysis	157
8.3	Results and Discussion	157
8.3.1	Growth Parameters	161
8.3.2	Bacteriological Studies	166
8.3.3	Water Analysis	166
8.3.4	Feed and Shrimp Whole Body Tissue Proximate Analysis	170
8.3.5	Bacteriological Study and Survival Rate After Challenging With the Pathogen	177
8.3.6	Hepatopancreas Histopathology	177
9	ASSESSMENT OF POSSIBLE HUMAN RISK OF CANDIDATE PROBIOTIC, <i>Shewanella algae</i>, APPLICATION IN SHRIMP FARMING	180

9.1 Introduction	180
9.2 materials and Methods	183
9.2.1 Bacteria Preparation	183
9.2.2 LD ₅₀ of <i>S. algae</i> in a Mammalian Model	184
9.2.3 Statistical Analysis	185
9.3 Results and Discussion	185
10 GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	190
10.1 General Discussion and Conclusion	190
10.2 Recommendations	193
BIBLIOGRAPHY	233
APPENDICES	236
BIODATA OF STUDENT	255
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	256

