

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METPAHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH

WEI LIXIA

FBMK 2012 10

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METAPHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH



WEI LIXIA



DOCTOR OF PHILOSOPHY UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2012

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METPAHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH



Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

May 2012

Abstract of Thesis Presented to the Senate of Putra University of Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

A COGNITIVE ANALYSIS OF SNAKE AND LION METAPHORS IN MANDARIN CHINESE AND BRITISH ENGLISH

By

WEI LIXIA

May 2012

Chairperson: Associate Professor Wong Bee Eng, PhD

Faculty: Modern Languages and Communication

Not only are studies on animal expressions relatively few (Hsieh, 2004; Hsieh, 2006), but studies conducted cross-linguistically are scarce (Talebinejad and Dastjerdi, 2005). In addition, most previous studies on animal metaphors have been based on data collected from dictionaries or surveys and they have focused on the aspect with human beings as the target domain. This study is an effort to add to findings of the previous studies. Based on the Conceptual Metaphor Theory (CMT) and the Conceptual Blending Theory (CBT), this study investigated snake and lion metaphors based on data collected from authoritative corpora in Mandarin Chinese and British English. Another added dimension of the study is the target domain includes both human beings and non-human beings. The main aim of the study was to identify similarities and differences between snake and lion metaphors in the two languages.

Six steps were involved in the collection and analysis of the data. First, the data comprising snake and lion expressions in Mandarin Chinese and British English were collected from the Modern Chinese Corpus compiled by the Centre for Chinese Linguistics of Peking University (CCL Corpus) and the British National Corpus (BNC) respectively. Then, the snake and lion metaphorical expressions were identified. Next, the metaphorical expressions were categorized according to their source domains and target domains. Meanwhile, the evaluation of each metaphorical expression was ascertained. Subsequently, particular metaphors were generalized from the data. Following that, the CMT and the CBT were applied to the interpretation of typical metaphorical expressions and metaphors. Finally, the results obtained from the two languages were compared.

Both similarities and differences between the snake and lion metaphors in Chinese and English were found. In terms of similarities, first, the animal's characteristic and appearance are the main aspects of the source domain when the target domains are human beings and non-human beings respectively. Second, human beings, daily items, and economy are the three main shared target domains. Third, more conceptual metaphors were generalized for human beings than any non-human domains. Fourth, the conceptual metaphors generalized from the animal's appearance are image-based, from the animal's behavior are both image-based and knowledge-based, and from the animal's characteristic are knowledge-based. In terms of differences, first, the animal expressions can be mapped onto more domains in Chinese than in English and more conceptual metaphors were generalized in Chinese than in English. Second, the snake metaphorical expressions have a much more derogative meaning of man in Chinese but a much more derogative meaning of woman in English. Third, the lion metaphorical expressions convey more negative meaning in Chinese than in English when they were applied to woman. Moreover, this study also found support for Kövecses's (2002; 2005; 2010) claim that both

cultural contexts and the natural and physical environment play a role in explaining the causes for metaphor universality and variation in Chinese and English. Thus, the study on snake and lion metaphors provides evidence to show that universality and variation between animal metaphors exist in Chinese and English.

Apart from its contribution to the literature on studies of animal metaphors, the findings from this study seem to have modified Kövecses's (2002, 2010) dichotomy categorization of metaphors by adding another type, that is metaphors that are both image and knowledge-based at the same time. The findings from this study also have some contribution in terms of refining the CBT. In this case, a revised version of the CBT is obtained by allocating more attention to context in the process of interpreting metaphorical expressions and metaphors and by adding a new space, that is, the output space.

Keywords: metaphor, snake metaphors, lion metaphors, Mandarin Chinese, British English, Conceptual Metaphor Theory, Conceptual Blending Theory

Abstrak Tesis yang Dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia untuk memenuhi Keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

ANALISIS KOGNITIF METAFORA ULAR DAN SINGA DALAM BAHASA CINA MANDARIN DAN BAHASA INGGERIS BRITISH

Oleh

WEI LIXIA

Mei 2012

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Wong Bee Eng, PhD

Fakulti: Bahasa Moden dan Komunikasi

Secara relatif, kajian tentang ungkapan berunsur haiwan bukan sahaja sedikit (Hsieh, 2004; Hsieh, 2006), malah kajian yang sedemikian jarang sekali dilakukan secara rentas linguisitik (Talebinejad and Dastjerdi, 2005). Di samping itu, kebanyakan kajian terdahulu tentang metafora haiwan berdasarkan data yang dikumpul daripada kamus atau penyelidikan, dan mereka telah memberikan tumpuan kepada aspek manusia sebagai mandala sasaran. Kajian ini berusaha untuk menambah dapatan kajian yang terdahulu. Dengan berdasarkan Teori Konsep Metafora (Conceptual Metaphor Theory - CMT) dan Teori Paduan Konsep (Conceptual Blending Theory - CBT), kajian ini meneliti metafora ular dan singa dengan berdasarkan data yang dikumpulkan daripada sumber berwibawa dalam bahasa Cina Mandarin dan bahasa Inggeris British. Satu lagi mandala sasaran ditambahkan dalam kajian ini supaya

mencakupi kedua-dua makhluk, iaitu manusia dan bukan manusia. Tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengenal pasti persamaan dan perbezaan antara metafora ular dengan metafora singa dalam kedua-dua bahasa berkenaan.

Pengumpulan dan analisis data melibatkan enam langkah. Pertama, data yang mengandungi ungkapan ular dan singa bahasa Cina Mandarin dan bahasa Inggeris British telah dikumpulkan daripada korpus Cina moden yang disusun oleh Pusat Linguistik Cina, Universiti Peking dan *British National Corpus*. Kemudian, ungkapan metafora ular dan singa dikenal pasti. Seterusnya, ungkapan metafora tersebut dikategorikan mengikut mandala sumber dan mandala sasaran. Sementara itu, penilaian terhadap setiap ungkapan metafora dilakukan. Selanjutnya, metafora terpilih digeneralisasikan daripada data. Kemudian, Teori Konsep Metafora dan Teori Paduan Konsep diterapkan untuk menafsirkan ungkapan metafora dan metafora yang tipikal. Akhirnya, keputusan yang diperoleh daripada kedua-dua bahasa dibandingkan.

Kedua-dua, iaitu persamaan dan perbezaan antara metafora ular dan metafora singa dalam bahasa Cina dan bahasa Inggeris telah ditemui. Dari segi persamaan, pertama, ciri dan rupa haiwan menjadi aspek utama dalam mandala sumber jika mandala sasarannya makhluk manusia dan bukan manusia. Kedua, manusia, barangan harian, dan ekonomi merupakan tiga aspek yang sama-sama digunakan dalam mandala sasaran. Ketiga, lebih banyak metafora konsep digeneralisasikan untuk mandala manusia berbanding dengan mana-mana mandala bukan manusia. Keempat, metafora konsep yang digeneralisasikan daripada rupa haiwan adalah dengan berasaskan imej, sementara yang digeneralisasikan daripada tingkah laku haiwan adalah dengan berasaskan imej dan pengetahuan, dan yang digeneralisasikan daripada ciri haiwan adalah dengan berdasarkan pengetahuan. Dari segi perbezaan, pertama, ungkapan metafora haiwan dalam bahasa Cina boleh ditunjukkan dalam mandala yang lebih banyak berbanding dengan ungkapan dalam bahasa Inggeris, dan lebih banyak metafora konsep dapat digeneralisasikan dalam bahasa Cina berbanding dengan bahasa Inggeris. Kedua, ungkapan metafora ular lebih banyak maksud yang negatif terhadap orang lelaki, sementara ungkapan metafora ular bahasa Inggeris lebih banyak memberikan maksud negatif kepada wanita. Ketiga, ungkapan metafora singa yang ditujukan kepada wanita dalam bahasa Cina lebih banyak menyampaikan makna yang negatif berbanding dengan bahasa Inggeris. Selain itu, kajian ini juga memperkukuh penemuan Kövecses (2002; 2005; 2010) yang menyatakan bahawa konteks budaya dan sekitaran semula jadi dan fizikal memainkan peranan dalam menjelaskan natijah kesejagatan dan kepelbagaian metafora dalam bahasa Cina dan bahasa Inggeris. Sebagai kesimpulannya, kajian terhadap metafora ular dan singa ini dapat membuktikan bahawa kesejagatan dan kepelbagaian antara metafora haiwan wujud dalam bahasa Cina dan bahasa Inggeris.

Selain sumbangan terhadap khazanah kajian tentang metafora haiwan, hasil kajian ini dapat juga menambah baik pengkategorian metafora Kövecses (2002, 2010) yang agak umum dengan menambah satu lagi kategori, iaitu metafora yang digeneralisasikan dengan berasaskan dua aspek iaitu imej dan pengetahuan. Dapatan kajian ini juga memberikan sumbangan dari segi pemurnian Teori Paduan Konsep. Dalam hal ini, Teori Paduan Konsep versi semakan dapat diwujudkan dengan memberikan lebih banyak tumpuan terhadap konteks apabila membuat penafsiran terhadap ungkapan metafora dan metafora, serta menambahkan satu ruang baharu, iaitu ruang *output*.

Keywords: metafora, metafora ular, metafora singa, bahasa Cina Mandarin, bahasa Inggeris British, Teori Konsep Metafora, Teori Paduan Konsep

DEDICATION

То

My beloved parents, Wei Xiaozhen, Su Meiying;

То

My most beloved lecturer, Professor Zhou Zhen,

Who is as noble as a lion!



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Upon the completion of this thesis, I'd like to extend my sincere thanks and gratitude to those who have helped me during this interesting and arduous process.

I would first of all give my sincere thanks to my Thesis Supervisory Committee. Dr. Wong Bee Eng is the chairperson who gave me clear instructions on how to write the first three chapters of my thesis in the first semester, and required me to pass the Comprehensive Examination first if I wanted to participate in the Seminar to defense my research proposal, which forced me to speed up so that I could fulfill her requirement and my purpose at the same time. In addition, she read my thesis carefully and gave me constructive feedback for me to improve it accordingly. Dr. Khalil had been an internal member of my Thesis Supervisory Committee for nearly two semesters. Upon his question that if the data I collected from Mandarin Chinese and British English were too imbalanced, some doubts might be cast upon the findings of my thesis. Therefore, I set up our mind to change the animals I should focus on my thesis. I am also indebted to Dr. Lim and Dr. Mardziah. As an expert of Chinese, Dr. Lim gave me help in this aspect. In addition, she read my thesis carefully and gave me practical suggestions for me to revise my language and content. I am very thankful for that. Also, I am thankful to Dr. Mardziah who is a new member of my Supervisory Committee. She finished reading my thesis quickly and gave me constructive feedback for me to improve my thesis.

Additionally, I'd like to express my sincere thanks to Ningxia University because it offered me opportunity to be a candidate for the GRF scholarship provided by Universiti Putra Malaysia. In addition, I'd also like to thank Universiti Putra Malaysia for granting me the GRF scholarship so that I could focus on my study here without too much financial burden.

Apart from this, the three conferences on Cognitive Linguistics in China are also of great help to my Ph.D study here. They are: the 8th China International Forum on Cognitive Linguistic in November, 2010, the 7th China National Conference in Cognitive Linguistics in July, 2011, and the 11th International Cognitive Linguistics Conference in July, 2011. I benefited from the first conference by listening to the ten lectures on figurative meaning-making delivered by Zoltán Kövecses and benefited from the other two conferences by presenting my papers on lion metaphors with human beings and non-human beings as the target domains respectively, and collecting feedback. Moreover, I'd like to thank Zoltán Kövecses for his positive comment on the target domains that I've identified from my study and the tables I adopted to list the domains. Meanwhile, I'd also like to thank Daniel Casasanto for his help in offering me the latest articles concerned with embodiment.

Furthermore, the help from my friends, academic sisters and classmates in China should not be forgotten either. They are Li Dayan, Li Qiqi, Ma Minjie, Ma Xiaoyu, Ma Yanhong, Meng Li, Wang Furong and Zhou Zihan, who either downloaded journal articles in Chinese and sent them to me or purchased books from China and posted them to me. What they have done is of great help for me to write the chapters concerned with the literature review as well as the methodology.

Last but not least, I am very grateful to my family members and my teacher parents Professor Zhou Zhen and Professor Ding Wenying. In particular, I own sincere thanks and gratitude to my parents for their understanding and support to my study far away from them. I am indebted to my teacher parents for the generous encouragement they consistently elaborated upon me which is the spine of my spirit and uplifted me to head forward all the time.

In close, I am very thankful for all kinds of help I've obtained from those I have mentioned above but I myself am responsible for all the blemishes and inappropriate opinions in the present thesis. I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on (11 May, 2012) to conduct the final examination of Wei Lixia on her thesis entitled "A Cognitive Analysis of Snake and Lion Metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and British English" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Mohd, Faiz Sathivellu Bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Tan Bee Hoon, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Noritah Omar, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Winnie Cheng, PhD

Professor Faculty of Humanities Hong Kong Polytechnic University China (External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Wong Bee Eng, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairperson)

Lim Choon Bee, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Mardziah Hayati Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Modern Languages and Communication Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM ACAJ, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institutions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | | Page |
|------|--|------|
| ABST | RACT | ii |
| ABST | RAK | v |
| ACKN | IOWLEDGEMENTS | ix |
| APPR | OVAL | xi |
| DECL | ARATION | xiii |
| LIST | OF TABLES | xvii |
| LIST | OF FIGURES | xx |
| LIST | OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxi |
| | | |
| СНАР | TER | |
| 1 | INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| | 1.1 Background to the study | 1 |
| | 1.2 Statement of the problem | 8 |
| | 1.3 Objectives and research questions | 12 |
| | 1.4 Theoretical framework | 15 |
| | 1.5 Significance of the study | 24 |
| | 1.6 Summary | 26 |
| 2 | LITERATURE REVIEW | 29 |
| | 2.1 Conceptual Metaphor Theory | 29 |
| | 2.2 Conceptual Blending Theory | 42 |
| | 2.3 Causes for metaphor universality and variation | 57 |
| | 2.4 Studies on animal metaphors and metaphorical expressions | 63 |
| | 2.4.1 Studies on animal metaphors in China | 63 |
| | 2.4.2 Studies on animal metaphors outside China | 84 |
| | 2.5 Summary | 91 |
| 3 | METHODOLOGY | 93 |
| | 3.1 Rationale for adopting corpora as data sources | 93 |
| | 3.2 Data source | 98 |
| | 3.3 Research design | 100 |
| | 3.4 Summary | 119 |
| 4 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION I | 120 |
| | 4.1 Snake metaphors in Mandarin Chinese | 120 |
| | 4.1.1 Overview of the snake metaphors | 121 |
| | 4.1.2 Snake metaphors with human beings as the target | |
| | domain | 126 |
| | 4.1.3 Snake metaphors with non-human beings as the target | |

| | domain | 146 |
|---|---|-----|
| | 4.2 Snake metaphors in British English | 174 |
| | 4.2.1 Overview of the snake metaphors | 175 |
| | 4.2.2 Snake metaphors with human beings as the target | |
| | domain | 180 |
| | 4.2.3 Snake metaphors with non-human beings as the target | |
| | domain | 190 |
| | 4.3 A comparison of snake metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and | |
| | British English | 203 |
| | 4.3.1 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin | |
| | Chinese and British English | 204 |
| | 4.3.2 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin | |
| | Chinese and British English when the target domain is | |
| | human beings | 208 |
| | 4.3.3 The differences between snake metaphors in Mandarin | |
| | Chinese and British English when the target domains | |
| | are non-human beings | 224 |
| | 4.3.4 Causes for universality and variation of snake | |
| | metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and British English | 241 |
| | 4.4 Summary | 257 |
| | | |
| 5 | RESULTS AND DISCUSSION II | 262 |
| | 5.1 Lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese | 262 |
| | 5.1.1 Overview of the lion metaphors | 263 |
| | 5.1.2 Lion metaphors with human beings as the target | 267 |
| | domain | |
| | 5.1.3 Lion metaphors with non-human beings as the target | |
| | domain | 297 |
| | 5.2 Lion metaphors in British English | 310 |
| | 5.2.1 Overview of the lion metaphors | 311 |
| | 5.2.2 Lion metaphors with human beings as the target | 217 |
| | domain | 317 |
| | 5.2.3 Lion metaphors with non-human beings as the target | 220 |
| | domain | 329 |
| | 5.3 A comparison of lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and | 216 |
| | British English | 346 |
| | 5.3.1 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin | 347 |
| | Chinese and British English | 547 |
| | 5.3.2 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin Chinese and British English when the target domain is | |
| | human beings | 351 |
| | 5.3.3 The differences between lion metaphors in Mandarin | 551 |
| | Chinese and British English when the target domains | |
| | are non-human beings | 373 |
| | are non-numan ornigs | 515 |

| | 5.3.4 Causes for universal | ity and variation of lion metaphors | |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------------|-----|
| in Mandarin Chinese and British English | | 388 | |
| | 5.4 Summary | | 400 |
| 6 | CONCLUSION | | 403 |
| | 6.1 Major findings | | 403 |
| | 6.2 Implications | | 409 |
| | 6.3 Limitations of the study | | 413 |
| 6.4 Suggestions for further research | | | 414 |
| 6.5 Concluding remarks | | | 415 |
| DEEDD | | | 417 |
| REFERENCES | | | 417 |
| APPENDICES | | | 433 |
| BIODATA OF STUDENT | | | 437 |
| LIST OF PUBLICATIONS | | | 438 |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

G