

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

OPTIMIZATION OF HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY AND PCR FOR DETERMINATION OF AFLATOXIN AND OCHRATOXIN A IN PEANUTS

LEILI AFSAH HEJRI

FSTM 2012 1

OPTIMIZATION OF HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY AND PCR FOR DETERMINATION OF AFLATOXIN AND OCHRATOXIN A IN PEANUTS



By

LEILI AFSAH HEJRI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

January 2012

DEDICATION

This thesis is dedicated to my husband and son, who were the greatest supporters throughout my doctoral program. They remind me every day just how precious the time is that we have together, and they make my heart full of love and joy. I

love you from depth of my heart.

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

OPTIMIZATION OF HIGH PERFORMANCE LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY AND PCR FOR THE DETERMINATION OF AFLATOXINS AND OCHRATOXIN A IN PEANUTS

By

LEILI AFSAH HEJRI

January 2012

Chairman : Professor Jinap Selamat, PhD Faculty : Food Science and Technology

Aflatoxins (AFs) are carcinogenic, mutagenic, and hepatotoxic compounds, and ochratoxin A (OTA) is a possible carcinogen to humans. Malaysia is a tropical country favoring the growth of aflatoxin and ochratoxin producer strains. To reduce the health risk from the consumption of aflatoxin and ochratoxincontaminated food, accurate and effective detection methods are highly needed. This research was conducted to optimize a reversed phase high performance liquid chromatography fluorescence detection method for the determination of AFs and OTA and to isolate potential aflatoxin and ochratoxin producer strains from raw peanuts marketed in Malaysia. Moreover, aflatoxin and ochratoxinproducer strains were also detected using polymerase chain reaction analysis. The effect of high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) conditions, including mobile phase composition, temperature and flow rate, on the peak areas of four target AFs (aflatoxin B₁ (AFB₁), aflatoxin B₂ (AFB₂), aflatoxin G₁ (AFG₁) and aflatoxin $G_2(AFG_2)$) from spiked peanuts was investigated using response surface methodology (RSM). The highest quantification value for target AFs was obtained with the following HPLC conditions: mobile phase composition of ACN/H₂O/MeOH (8:54:38), temperature of 24°C and flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The limits of detection (LOD) values were 0.03, 0.01, 0.09 and 0.06 ng/mL for AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂, respectively. The recovery values for AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ and AFG₂, were 91.57, 89.37, 89.42 and 76.14 %, respectively. The recommended optimal HPLC conditions provided peak areas for all target AFs by 1 to 2.5-fold higher than two other conditions that are generally used for aflatoxin detection. The level of AFB₁ contamination in six brands of raw peanuts ranged from 0.45 to 977.16 ng/g. A significant nonlinear response surface model was fitted to evaluate the effect of HPLC parameters (pH, temperature and flow rate) on the quantification level of OTA. All the HPLC variables had a significant (p < p0.05) effect on the quantification level of OTA. The highest quantification value for OTA was obtained with the following HPLC conditions: mobile phase consisting of 5 mM sodium acetate (pH 2.36)/ACN/MeOH (40:30:30), excitation of 333 nm, emission of 467 nm, temperature of 24°C, and flow rate of 0.4 mL/min. The recommended optimal HPLC conditions provided a 2.2-fold to 4.7fold higher peak area for OTA when compared to two other routinely used HPLC conditions. The recovery and LOD values of OTA under the recommended optimal conditions were 95.4% and 0.05 ng/g, respectively. The OTA contents of the analyzed peanut samples ranged from 2.82 to 7.41 ng/g. Sixty raw peanut samples were used for fungal isolation. Based on the results of the morphological studies, Aspergillus species were isolated from the peanut samples. A total of 23 Aspergillus (black and green aspergilli) isolates were obtained from the samples.

Production of AFB₁ and OTA by the isolates in culture media was analyzed using the optimized HPLC methods. Three-day-old purified green *Aspergillus* isolates grown at 30°C on potato dextrose agar (PDA) plates were screened for their aflatoxin-producing ability using ammonia vapor. The pink/red color of colony reverse represented aflatoxin-producing strains. The results of the screening test were in agreement with the HPLC results of toxin production in media. To detect aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic isolates, specific primers targeting the genes responsible for aflatoxin and ochratoxin production were used. Three primer sets were used for the detection of aflatoxigenic isolates, and three primer sets were used for the detection of ochratoxigenic isolates. The results of the PCR amplification were in agreement with the HPLC chromatogram of AFB₁ and OTA production of isolates. A total of 14 aflatoxigenic, 5 ochratoxigenic and 4 non-aflatoxigenic/non-ochratoxigenic *Aspergillus* were isolated from raw peanuts marketed in Malaysia. Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

PENGOPTIMUMAN KROMATOGRAFI CECAIR PRESTASI TINGGI DAN PCR UNTUK PENENTUAN AFLATOKSIN DAN OKRATOKSIN A DALAM KACANG TANAH

oleh

LEILI AFSAH HEJRI

Januari 2012

Pengerusi: Profesor Jinap Selamat, PhD Fakulti: Sains dan Teknologi Makanan

Aflatoksin (AFs) merupakan sebatian karsinogenik, mutagenik dan hepatotoksik manakala okratoksin A (OTA) berpotensi karsinogen terhadap manusia. Malaysia adalah negara tropika yang mempunyai potensi pertumbuhan jenis pengeluar aflatoksin dan okratoksin. Untuk mengurangkan risiko kesihatan daripada pengambilan makanan yang terkontaminasi dengan aflatoksin dan okratoksin, kaedah pengesanan yang tepat dan efektif sangatlah diperlukan. Kajian ini dijalankan untuk mengoptimumkan kaedah pengesanan menggunakan kromatografi cecair berprestasi tinggi fasa terbalik bagi penentuan AFs dan OTA, dan untuk mengasingkan kemungkinan pengeluar AFs dan OTA daripada kacang tanah mentah yang dipasarkan di Malaysia dan mengesannya dengan menggunakan tindak balas rantai polimer (PCR). Kesan keadaan HPLC iaitu komposisi fasa gerak, suhu dan kadar aliran terhadap luas puncak empat AF sasaran (aflatoksin B₁ (AFB₁), aflatoksin B₂ (AFB₂), aflatoksin G₁ (AFG₁) dan aflatoksin G₂ (AFG₂)) daripada kacang tanah yang dipakukan telah dikaji dengan menggunakan kaedah permukaan gerakbalas (RSM). Nilai penaksiran yang tertinggi bagi AFs sasaran telah didapati dalam keadaan HPLC seperti berikut: komposisi fasa gerak ACN/H₂O/MeOH: 8/54/38, suhu 24 °C dan kadar aliran 0.4 mL/min. Nilai had pengesanan (LOD) adalah 0.03, 0.01, 0.09 dan 0.06 ng / mL bagi AFB₁, AFB₂, AFG₁ dan AFG₂, masing-masing. Nilai dapatan semula bagi kaedah untuk aflatoksin AFB1, AFB2, AFG1 dan AFG2, adalah 91.57, 89.37, 89.42 dan 76.14%, masing-masing. Keadaan HPLC optimum yang dicadangkan menghasilkan luas puncak yang lebih tinggi bagi semua AFs sasaran sebanyak 1 hingga 2.5 kali berbanding dengan dua kaedah lain yang biasa digunakan dalam pengesanan AFs. Tahap kontaminasi AFB₁ dalam enam jenis kacang tanah mentah adalah dalam lingkungan 0.45 hingga 977.16 ng/g. Suatu model permukaan gerak balas tidak linear yang signifikan telah disesuaikan untuk menilai kesan parameter HPLC (iaitu pH, suhu dan kadar aliran) terhadap tahap penaksiran OTA. Semua parameter HPLC menunjukkan kesan yang signifikan (p <0.05) terhadap tahap penaksiran OTA. Nilai penaksiran tertinggi bagi OTA telah didapati dengan menggunakan kaedah HPLC seperti berikut: fasa gerak yang terdiri daripada 5 mM sodium asetat (pH 2.36) / ACN / MeOH (40:30:30); pengujaan pada 333 nm; pemancaran pada 467 nm; suhu 24 °C dan kadar aliran 0.4 mL / min. Keadaan HPLC optimum yang dicadangkan menghasilkan luas puncak 2.2 dan 4.7 kali lebih tinggi bagi okratoksin A apabila dibandingkan dengan dua kaedah HPLC lain yang biasa digunakan. Dapatan semula dan nilai

LOD bagi OTA pada keadaan optimum yang dicadangkan adalah 95.4% dan 0.05 ng / g, masing-masing. Kandungan OTA dalam sampel kacang tanah adalah dalam lingkungan 2.82 hingga 7.41 ng / g. Enam puluh sampel kacang mentah digunakan untuk pengasingan fungal. Berdasarkan hasil kajian morfologi spesis Aspergillus dapat diasingkan. Sejumlah 23 Aspergillus (aspergilli hitam dan hijau) didapati daripada sampel. Penghasilan AFB₁ dan OTA dalam media kultur dianalisa dengan menggunakan kaedah HPLC yang dioptimumkan. Aspergilli hijau tulen yang tumbuh selama 3 hari pada 30 °C pada plat potato dextrose agar (PDA) telah disaring untuk melihat kebolehan penghasilan aflatoksin dengan menggunakan wap ammonia. Koloni terbalik berwarna merah jambu mewakili pengeluar bagi aflatoksin. Hasil ujian saringan wap adalah selari dengan hasil HPLC bagi penghasilan toksin dalam media. Untuk mengesan ceraian aflatoksigenik dan okratoksigenik, primer khusus menyasarkan gen bertanggungjawab dalam perghasilan aflatoksin dan okratoksin A telah digunakan. Tiga set primer telah digunakan untuk pengesanan aflatoksigenik dan tiga pasang untuk ceraian okratoksigenik. Hasil amplifikasi PCR ceraian tersebut adalah selari dengan kromatogram HPLC bagi pengasilan AFB₁ dan OTA dalam ceraian tersebut. Keseluruhannya sebanyak 14 aflatoksigenik, 5 okratoksigenik dan 4 Aspergillus bukan aflatoksigenik/okratoksigenik yang diasingkan daripada kacang tanah mentah yang dipasarkan di Malaysia.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to sincerely thank my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Jinap Selamat, for her guidance, constant encouragement and valuable advices during my time in this program. I appreciate all her contributions of time, ideas, and funding to make my Ph.D. experience productive and stimulating.

I also wish to thank my supervisory committee, Prof. Dr. Son Radu and Dr. Farinazleen Mhd Ghazali, for their guidance, helpful suggestions and supports.

I gratefully acknowledge the funding sources that made my Ph.D. work possible. It was funded by Universiti Putra Malaysia (UPM), under Research University grants (RUGs) Fund project No. 02/01/07/0024RU.

None of this would have been possible without the laboratory technical assistance of Mrs Norliza (Food safety and Molecular Lab), Mrs Sorya and Mr Hamezan (Chromatography Lab), Mr Azman (Biochemistry Lab) and Mr Zulkefli, Ms Fatihah and Mrs Noratina (Microbiology Lab).

In particular, I wish to thank Dr. Hamed Mirhosseini for his helpful suggestions in statistical design and analysis. Certainly, I wish to acknowledge many friends of other labs whom I have known over the past years, and their assistance and suggestions made it possible to accomplish some difficult parts of my work and fine-tune the whole process; Dr. Farid Azizi, Nahid Babaei and Mahmodreza Shabani Mofrad.

It is a pleasure to thank my good friends Dr. Parvaneh Hajeb, Dr. Maryam Jalili, Dr. Anosheh Rahmani, Dr. Farhang Soleimany, Sahar Arzandeh and Diana Jafar, who have helped and encouraged me during my research work.

In particular, I would like to thank Gisia Daniali and Morvarid Akhavan Rezaei for being my good friends. Their friendship during these years really helped to smooth out some rough spots that I experienced.

I would also like to thank all of my lab mates: Dr. Afsaneh Farhadian, Dr. Elham Moazami, Farnaz Faridnia, Wendy Lim, Maimunah Sunny, Hapizah, Diyana Syamim, Babak Hatami, Wael Mousa and Jahurul Akanda in Center of Excellence for Food Safety Research (CEFSR) for their friendship and creating a wonderful environment for learning and living.

Last but certainly not least, my eternal gratitude goes to my parents, Atarod Kalantari Afsah Hejri and Sayed Abolfazl Afsah Hejri, for their unconditional love and never-ending support. They have always been a tremendous help in my life and have encouraged me to succeed academically while showing me by example that faith in God and love of family should always come first. You have always been a perfect role model for me to follow. Words cannot express how grateful I am to you.

My sisters (Maryam and Nahid) and brother (Sayed Ali) have always been there when I needed someone to talk to. Over the years, we have continued to grow closer and enjoy life together. Although we are living in different parts of the world now, I look forward to many happy moments yet to come. Thanks for your support and encouragement.

Finally, I am indebted to my husband Kazem for being supportive. His understanding is a firm foundation from which I attain my goals. Thank you for your love and for the way you show your love in all that you do. I am blessed to be able to share my life with you. Your understanding, sacrifices and endless love and constant support have sustained me during times of hardship. For all of this, I shall be always grateful.

My deepest gratitude is to the dearest person in my life, my little angel Parsa. For these years, we have been alone here most of the time, and he has always been with me in my difficult times. Sweetheart, I will never forget those days you stayed with mom in the lab to finish an experiment. I know that the time I have spent pursuing my doctorate was sometimes difficult, but we have persevered. Thanks for your understanding and being so helpful to mom. I love you more than words can express.

APPROVAL

I certify that an Examination Committee has met on 17/01 /2012 to conduct the final examination of Leili Afsah Hejri on her thesis entitled " **Optimization of High Performance Liquid Chromatography and PCR for determination of aflatoxin and ochratoxin A in peanuts** " in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the PhD of Food Safety.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Alfi Khatib, PhD

Doctor Faculty of Food Science and Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Nazamid Saari, PhD

Professor Faculty of Food Science and Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Abdulkarim Sabo Mohammed, PhD

Associate Professor Faculty of Food Science and Technology Universiti Putra Malaysia (Internal Examiner)

Russell M. Paterson, PhD

Professor IBB Institute of Biotechnology and Bioengineering University of Minho Portugal (External Examiner)

SEOW HENG FONG, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Jinap Selamat, PhD

Professor Faculty of Food science and Technology University Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Son Radu, PhD

Professor Faculty of Food science and Technology University Putra Malaysia (Member)

Farinazleen Mohamad Ghazali, PhD

Associate professor Faculty of Food science and Technology University Putra Malaysia (Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations, which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or at any other institutions.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

DEDICATIONiiABSTRACTiiiABSTRAKviACKNOWLEDGEMENTSixAPPROVALxiiDECLARATIONxivLIST OF TABLESxixVIT OF FIGURESxvi
DEDICATIONIIABSTRACTiiiABSTRAKviACKNOWLEDGEMENTSixAPPROVALxiiDECLARATIONxivLIST OF TABLESxixVIST OF FIGURESxvi
ABSTRACT III ABSTRACT VI ABSTRAK VI ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ix APPROVAL XII DECLARATION XIV LIST OF TABLES XIX
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS APPROVAL DECLARATION LIST OF TABLES LIST OF FIGURES
APPROVAL xii DECLARATION xiv LIST OF TABLES xix
DECLARATION xiv LIST OF TABLES xix
LIST OF TABLES xix
LIST OF ABBDEVIATIONS
CHAPTER
INTRODUCTION 1
Background of study 1
Importance of study 2
Objectives 4
LITRATURE REVIEW 5
Peanuts (Arachis hypogaea L_{i}) 5
Aspergillus species 7
Aspergillus Section Flavi
Pathogenicity 11
Clustering of aflatoxin genes
Genetic and regulation of aflatoxin biosynthesis
Aspergillus section Nigri 15
Pathogenicity 17
Genetics and regulation of OTA biosynthesis 17
Aflatoxins (AFs)
Chemical characteristics of AFs 18
Physical properties of AFs 21
Occurrence of AFs 24
Adverse effects of AFs 28
Toxicity Kinetics of AFs 31
International regulations for AFs 34
Ochratoxin A (OTA) 35
Chemical and Physical Properties of OTA 37
Occurrence of OTA 38
Adverse effects of OTA 40
Toxicity Kinetics of OTA 42
International regulations for OTA 44

Culture techniques46Bioassay or Immunochemical techniques48Chromatographic techniques49Principles for the quantification of AFs and OTA by HPLC50Molecular techniques55Principles of conventional PCR57Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR60OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials and methods66Sample preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	Detect	ion methods for AFs, OTA and producer strains	46
Bioassay or Immunochemical techniques 48 Chromatographic techniques 49 Principles for the quantification of AFs and OTA by HPLC 50 Molecular techniques 55 Principles of conventional PCR 57 Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR 60 OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS 62 Introduction 62 Materials and methods 66 Sample preparation 66 Mobile phase preparation 67 Instruments 68 Experimental design and data analysis 68 Optimization and validation procedures 70 Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study 72 Moisture content measurement 72 Results and discussion 73 Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs 80 Verification of the final reduced models 83 Comparison study 83 Aflatoxin content of peanut samples 90		Culture techniques	46
Chromatographic techniques 49 Principles for the quantification of AFs and OTA by HPLC 50 Molecular techniques 55 Principles of conventional PCR 57 Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR 60 OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS 62 Introduction 62 Materials and methods 66 Materials 66 Sample preparation 67 Instruments 68 Experimental design and data analysis 68 Optimization and validation procedures 70 Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study 72 Moisture content measurement 72 Results and discussion 73 Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs 80 Verification of the final reduced models 83 Comparison study 83 Aflatoxin content of peanut samples 90		Bioassay or Immunochemical techniques	48
Principles for the quantification of AFs and OTA by HPLC50Molecular techniques55Principles of conventional PCR57Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR60OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTSIntroduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Chromatographic techniques	49
Molecular techniques 55 Principles of conventional PCR 57 Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR 60 OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS Introduction 62 Materials and methods 66 Materials and methods 66 Sample preparation 67 Instruments 68 Experimental design and data analysis 68 Optimization and validation procedures 70 Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study 72 Moisture content measurement 73 Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs 80 Verification of the final reduced models 83 Comparison study 85 Aflatoxin content of peanut samples 90		Principles for the quantification of AFs and OTA by HPLC	50
Principles of conventional PCR57Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR60OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Molecular techniques	55
Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and ochratoxigenic strains by PCR60OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials and methods66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Principles of conventional PCR	57
ochratoxigenic strains by PCR60OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Procedures involved in the detection of aflatoxigenic and	
OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		ochratoxigenic strains by PCR	60
OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		ç ,	
ANALYSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS62Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	OPTI	MIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR OUANTITATIVE	
Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	ANAL	YSIS OF AFLATOXINS IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS	62
Introduction62Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93			
Materials and methods66Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	Introdu	iction	62
Materials66Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of73Contification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	Materi	als and methods	66
Sample preparation66Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Materials	66
Mobile phase preparation67Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level oftarget AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Sample preparation	66
Instruments68Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Mobile phase preparation	67
Experimental design and data analysis68Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Instruments	68
Optimization and validation procedures70Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Experimental design and data analysis	68
Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study72Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Optimization and validation procedures	70
Moisture content measurement72Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Conditions and data analysis used for comparison study	72
Results and discussion73Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Moisture content measurement	72
Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level of target AFs80 Verification of the final reduced models83 Comparison study85 Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93	Result	Results and discussion	
target AFs80Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Optimization procedure for predicting the highest detection level o	of
Verification of the final reduced models83Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		target AFs	80
Comparison study85Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Verification of the final reduced models	83
Aflatoxin content of peanut samples90Conclusions93		Comparison study	85
Conclusions 93		Aflatoxin content of peanut samples	90
	Conclu	isions	93

OPTIMIZATION OF HPLC CONDITIONS FOR QUANTITATIVE ANALYSIS OF OCHRATOXIN A IN CONTAMINATED PEANUTS 95

Introduction	95
Materials and Methods	99
Materials	99
Sample preparation	100
Mobile phase preparation	101
Instruments	103
Experimental design and data analysis	104
Optimization and validation procedures	107
Conditions and data analysis used for comparison	study 108
Results and Discussion	
Preliminary test for mobile phase composition	109
Optimization study	115
Optimization procedure for predicting the highest	detection level of
OTA	122
Verification of the final reduced model	124
Method efficiency	125

OTA content of peanut samples	128
Conclusions	131
ISOLATION OF ASPERGILLUS FROM PEANUTS AND SCREENING	; 122
OF AFLATOAIGENIC STRAINS	133
Introduction	133
Material and methods	138
Fungal strains	138
Peanut samples	138
Isolation of fungal flora from peanuts	139
Screening for aflatoxigenic Aspergillus	140
AFB ₁ and OTA producing ability of the isolates	140
AFs and OTA standards	140
Extraction of AFs from media	141
Extraction of OTA from media	142
Quantification of AFB ₁ using HPLC	142
Quantification of OTA using HPLC	143
Statistical analysis	143
Results and discussion	144
Conclusion	158
DETECTION OF A FLATONIC FNIC AND OCHDATONICENIC	
ASPERGILLUS USING POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION	160
	1.60
Introduction	160
Materials and Methods	165
Materials	165
Instruments	166
Fungal isolates	100
DNA extraction	100
PCR primers	10/
PCR mixture	108
PCK protocol and PCK product	170
Popults and discussion	170
Optimization of PCR program	171 171
Application of PCR programs to samples	179
Conclusion:	187
SUMMARY, GENERAL CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION	
FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	188
Summary	188
Conclusion and recommendation	191
REFERENCES	194
APPENDICES	218
Appendix A: Standard calibration curve of AFs	218
	-

Appendix B: Standard calibration curve of OTA	221
BIODATA OF STUDENT	222
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	223

