



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EFFECT OF PATTERN RECOGNITION ABILITY TRAINING ON JUDGING
PERFORMANCE OF MALAYSIAN RHYTHMIC GYMNASTIC JUDGES**

TEH LAH HOONG

FPP 2010 34

**EFFECT OF PATTERN RECOGNITION ABILITY TRAINING ON JUDGING
PERFORMANCE OF MALAYSIAN RHYTHMIC GYMNASTIC JUDGES**



By

TEH LAH HOONG

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of
Philosophy**

January 2010

Dedicated to

Mom

(who supported me in whatever I do although sometimes grudgingly!),

Dad

(who had a lot of faith in my abilities but left before I could finish this work),

Choon, Shawn, Wayne and Joy

(for their unconditional love and understanding of me)

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EFFECT OF PATTERN RECOGNITION ABILITY TRAINING ON JUDGING
PERFORMANCE OF MALAYSIAN RHYTHMIC GYMNASTIC JUDGES**

By

TEH LAH HOONG

January 2010

Chairman: Dr. Mohd. Majid Bin Konting, PhD

Faculty: Educational Studies

Studies have shown that expert judges are typically very accurate in their evaluations as compared to non-experts. Although the judging task challenges the limited capacities of attention, memory and speed of processing of judges that are characteristic of humans, expert judges have learned to circumvent processing limitations encountered by novice judges by acquiring certain cognitive structures. One possible acquisition from the repeated judging tasks could be an improvement in the pattern recognition skills. This research examined the significance of pattern recognition ability in the judging performance of Malaysian rhythmic gymnastics judges who were correspondingly classified by the Malaysian Gymnastics Federation as expert, non-expert and novice.

In the first study, thirty participants categorised by the Malaysian Gymnastics Federation were subjected to a series of pattern recognition ability test (PRAT) and judging performance evaluation tasks. The initial results of the first study using ANOVA analyses showed that there were significant differences between the level of expertise for the pattern recognition ability of each of the four body movement groups (jumps, balances, pivots and flexibilities) but results of the 3 X 4 (Expertise X Movement Series) MANOVA indicated no significant main effects [Pillai's trace = 0.45, $F(8, 50) = 1.81$, $p > 0.05$].

However, for their judging performance, ANOVA analyses showed that there were significant differences between the level of expertise for the pattern recognition ability of each of the five apparatus (rope, hoop, ball, clubs and ribbon). The results of the 3 X 5 (Expertise X Apparatus) MANOVA indicated there were significant main effects [Pillai's trace = 0.79, $F(10, 48) = 3.10$, $p < 0.05$]. This finding was confirmed with a univariate ANOVA. Significant effects were observed for all components of the evaluation exercise as well as for the total ($p < 0.05$). Post-hoc comparisons revealed that there were significant differences between the experts and non-experts with the novices but there were no differences between the experts with the non-expert participants in their judging performance. However, when the participants were regrouped according to their movement pattern recognition ability; low, average and high, the results showed that there were very significant differences in the judging performances of the three groups classified according to their movement pattern recognition ability [$F(2, 27) = 127.55$, $p = 0.00$, $w = 0.93$].

In the second study, a selected group of non-expert judges had undergone a series of pattern recognition training for three weeks as treatment. The results of the main effects revealed that there were significant differences between the two groups of treatment and non-treatment participants on the PRAT scores [Pillai's trace = 0.93, $F(1, 8) = 9.81$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.93$]. This finding was confirmed with a univariate ANOVA. Significant effects were observed for all components of the PRAT as well as for the total ($p < 0.05$). A post-hoc test (Scheffe) verified that the treatment participants were improving significantly more than the non-treatment participants. As for the judging performance, the results of the main effects revealed that there were no significant differences between the two groups of treatment and non-treatment participants on the judging performance scores [Pillai's trace = 0.82, $F(5, 4) = 3.60$, $p > 0.05$]. However, univariate analyses revealed that there were significant effects to the judging performance of the ball [$F(1, 8) = 5.89$, $p < 0.05$] and clubs routines [$F(1, 8) = 7.21$, $p < 0.05$]. The data collected partially support the proposition that pattern recognition ability training will bring a significant improvement particularly in the judging performance of ball and clubs routines which have a dominance of the balance difficulties.

These findings confirmed that pattern recognition ability was an important characteristic of the expertise of the rhythmic gymnastics judges. Besides, the findings gives hope that pattern recognition ability could be trained in order to contribute further to the advancement of the expertise of rhythmic gymnastics judges in their judging performance.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN LATIHAN KEUPAYAAN MENGENAL PASTI CORAK TERHADAP
PRESTASI PENGHAKIMAN PARA HAKIM GIMRAMA MALAYSIA**

Oleh

TEH LAH HOONG

Januari 2010

Pengerusi: Dr. Mohd. Majid Bin Konting, PhD

Fakulti: Pengajian Pendidikan

Kajian-kajian lepas telah membuktikan bahawa para hakim pakar senantiasa tepat dalam tugas penilaian berbanding dengan hakim-hakim bukan pakar. Walaupun tugas penghakiman mencabar keupayaan manusia yang terhad dari segi tumpuan, daya ingatan dan kepantasan pemprosesan dalam kalangan hakim-hakim, para hakim pakar dapat mengatasi had-had pemprosesan yang dihadapi oleh hakim-hakim baru dengan memperolehi struktur-struktur kognitif tertentu. Salah satu pemerolehan daripada pengulangan tugas penghakiman boleh merupakan peningkatan dalam kemahiran keupayaan mengenal pasti corak. Kajian ini memeriksa kesignifikan keupayaan mengenal pasti corak kepada prestasi penghakiman para hakim gimrama yang diklasifikasikan oleh pihak Persekutuan Gimnastik Malaysia sebagai pakar, bukan pakar dan novis.

Bagi kajian pertama, tiga puluh peserta yang dikategorikan oleh Persekutuan Gimnastik Malaysia telah melalui satu siri ujian keupayaan mengenal pasti corak (PRAT) dan prestasi tugas penghakiman. Keputusan awal kajian pertama dari rekabentuk ANOVA menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan tahap kepakaran untuk keupayaan mengenal pasti corak setiap jenis pergerakan badan (lompatan, imbangan, pivot dan kelenturan) tetapi keputusan 3 X 4 (Tahap Kepakaran X Pergerakan Badan) MANOVA menunjukkan bahawa tiada kesan utama yang signifikan [Pillai's trace = 0.45, $F(8, 50) = 1.81, p > 0.05$].

Bagi prestasi penghakiman, analisa ANOVA telah menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan tahap kepakaran untuk prestasi penghakiman setiap alatan gimrama (tali, gelung, bola, belantan dan reben). Keputusan 3 X 5 (Tahap Kepakaran X Alatan) MANOVA menunjukkan bahawa wujud kesan utama yang signifikan [Pillai's trace = 0.79, $F(10, 48) = 3.10, p < 0.05$]. Dapatan ini disahkan dengan ANOVA univariat untuk semua komponen penilaian prestasi penghakiman serta untuk keseluruhan penilaian tersebut ($p < 0.05$). Perbandingan *post-hoc* mendedahkan bahawa peserta pakar dan bukan pakar adalah jauh lebih baik daripada peserta novis dalam prestasi penghakiman tetapi tiada perbezaan wujud di antara peserta pakar dengan peserta bukan pakar. Walau bagaimanapun, apabila para peserta dikumpulkan semula mengikut keupayaan mengenal pasti corak; iaitu rendah, sederhana dan tinggi, adalah didapati terdapat perbezaan yang signifikan dalam prestasi penghakiman di antara ketiga-tiga kumpulan yang diklasifikasikan mengikut keupayaan mengenal pasti corak [$F(2, 27) = 127.55, p = 0.00, w = 0.93$].

Dalam kajian kedua, sebilangan hakim bukan pakar adalah terpilih untuk melalui satu siri latihan keupayaan mengenal pasti corak selama tiga minggu sebagai rawatan kajian. Keputusan tentang kesan utama telah menunjukkan bahawa terdapat perbezaan skor PRAT yang signifikan di antara peserta rawatan dan bukan rawatan [Pillai's trace = 0.93, $F(1, 8) = 9.81$, $p < 0.05$, $\eta_p^2 = 0.93$]. Dapatan ini disokong dengan ANOVA univariate yang mencatatkan kesan signifikan untuk semua komponen PRAT serta keseluruhan keupayaan ini ($p < 0.05$). Ujian *post-hoc* (Scheffe) mengesahkan bahawa peserta kumpulan rawatan meningkat prestasi mereka dengan signifikan berbanding dengan kumpulan bukan rawatan. Bagi prestasi penghakiman, keputusan kesan utama menunjukkan bahawa tiada perbezaan di antara peserta rawatan dan bukan rawatan [Pillai's trace = 0.82, $F(5, 4) = 3.60$, $p > 0.05$]. Walau bagaimanapun, analisa univariat menunjukkan bahawa terdapat kesan signifikan kepada prestasi penghakiman alatan bola [$F(1, 8) = 5.89$, $p < 0.05$] dan belantan [$F(1, 8) = 7.21$, $p < 0.05$]. Data yang dikumpulkan separa menyokong usul bahawa latihan keupayaan mengenal pasti corak akan membawa peningkatan dalam prestasi penghakiman para hakim gimrama terutamanya kepada rutin-rutin yang mempunyai lebih banyak kemahiran imbangan.

Dapatan kajian mengesahkan bahawa keupayaan mengenal pasti corak adalah ciri yang penting dalam kepakaran hakim gimrama. Keputusan kajian ini juga memberi harapan bahawa keupayaan mengenal pasti corak boleh dilatih supaya memberi sumbangan kepada peningkatan kepakaran para hakim dalam prestasi penghakiman mereka.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my most heartfelt appreciation to my thesis supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Majid bin Konting for his invaluable supervision, interest and support in my thesis research. I am also most grateful to Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Khairi bin Zawi, my previous supervisor for inspiring me to pursue this direction of studies as well as his thorough contribution and continual support for this research. This thesis represents great time and effort not only on my part but also on the part of these two key persons.

I extend my gratitude to Dr. Tengku Fadillah binti Tengku Kamalden for her constructive input to enable me to complete this thesis successfully. I am also grateful to the National Sports Institute of Malaysia and the Malaysian Gymnastics Federation for their assistance in the construction of the testing instruments used in this research.

I would also like to thank the rhythmic gymnastics judges from Malaysia and the Philippines for their participation in my research as well as Dr Katja Kleinveldt and Dr Kok Lian Yee for their encouragement and input.

Lastly, I would like to thank the Ministry of Education for providing me the opportunity to engage in this enlightening world of research.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 8 January 2010 to conduct the final examination of Teh Lah Hoong on her thesis entitled “**Effect of Pattern Recognition Ability Training on Judging Performance of Malaysian Rhythmic Gymnastics Judges**” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

HABIBAH ELIAS, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

CHEE CHEN SOON, PhD

Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

SAIDON AMRI, PhD

Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Internal Examiner)

ANDREW MARK WILLIAMS, PhD

Professor
School of Sport and Exercise Sciences
Faculty of Science
Liverpool John Moores University
England
(External Examiner)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 23 July 2010

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd. Majid Konting, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Tengku Fadillah Tengku Kamalden, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Khairi Zawi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Sports Science and Recreation
Universiti Teknologi MARA
(Member)


HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 August 2010

DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.



TEH LAH HOONG

Date: 8 January 2010

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DEDICATION		ii
ABSTRACT		iii
ABSTRAK		vi
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS		ix
APPROVAL		x
DECLARATION		xi
LIST OF TABLES		xvi
LIST OF FIGURES		xix
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	Research Background	1
	Problem Statement	8
	Research Significance	10
	Research Objectives	12
	Research Hypothesis	14
	Operational Definition	18
	Research Delimitations	21
	Research Limitations	22
2	LITERATURE REVIEW	24
	Background on Judging	24
	Judging As a Cognitive Process	31
	Knowledge-Based Paradigm	38
	Expertise Paradigm	42
	Expert Performance	43
	Postulation of 10-year Rule	56
	Advance Cue Utilisation	59
	Pattern Recognition Paradigm	64
3	METHOD	73
	Research Participants	73
	Experimental Design	75
	Procedure	76
	Instrument	78
	Pattern Recognition Test	78
	Evaluation Exercises	83
	Training Modules	84
	Data Analyses	85

4	RESULTS	89
	Tests of Normality	90
	Tests of Differences	91
	Pattern Recognition Ability Test Results	94
	Descriptive Statistics	94
	Multivariate Analysis of Variance of PRAT	96
	Findings	97
	Jump Movements in Pattern Recognition Ability Test	98
	Descriptive Statistics	98
	ANOVA of Jump Movement Series	100
	Post-Hoc Comparison of Significant Main Effects	101
	Findings	102
	Balance Movements in Pattern Recognition Ability Test	103
	Descriptive Statistics	104
	ANOVA of the Balance Movement Series	105
	Findings	106
	Pivot Movements in Pattern Recognition Ability Test	107
	Descriptive Statistics	107
	ANOVA of Pivot Movement Series	109
	Post-Hoc Comparison of Significant Main Effects	110
	Findings	111
	Flexibility Movements in Pattern Recognition Ability Test	112
	Descriptive Statistics	112
	ANOVA of the Balance Movement Series	114
	Findings	114
	Overall Judging Performance	115
	Descriptive Statistics	116
	Multivariate Analysis of Variance	119
	Univariate Analysis of Variance	120
	Post-Hoc Comparison of Significant Main Effects	122
	Findings	125
	Correlation Analyses	126
	After-Treatment PRAT Score Analyses	129
	Descriptive	129
	Multivariate Analysis of Variance	132
	Univariate Analysis of Variance	133
	Post-Hoc Comparison of Significant Main Effects	135
	Findings	137
	After-Treatment Judging Performance	138
	Descriptive	138
	Multivariate Analysis of Variance	143
	Univariate Analysis of Variance	144
	Findings	146
	Ad Hoc Analyses after Regrouping of Participants	146
	Descriptive	147
	ANOVA	149

	Post-Hoc Comparison of Significant Main Effects	150
	Findings	150
	Summary of All Findings	151
5	DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION	156
	Comparison of Judging Performance with Pattern Recognition	
	Ability of the Three Groups of Participants	157
	Comparison of the Judging Performance after Pattern	
	Recognition Training	158
	Interpretation	160
	Implications	164
	Future Directions	166
	Conclusion	168
	REFERENCES	169
	APPENDICES	181
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	189



COPYRIGHT

