Pertanika 9(1), 51-56 (1986)

# Newton Polyhedra and p-Adic Estimates of Zeros of Polynomials in $\Omega_p[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$

### KAMEL ARIFFIN MOHD ATAN

Mathematics Department, Faculty of Science and Environmental Studies, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

Key words: p-adic estimates, zeros of polynomials, indicator diagrams.

### ABSTRAK

Polihedron Newton yang disekutukan dengan suatu polinomial dalam  $\Omega_p[x, y]$  diperkenalkan. Dibuktikan bahawa wujud hubungan antara polihedron Newton dengan pensifar-pensifar polinomial yang disekutukan dengannya itu. Hubungan ini digunakan untuk mendapatkan anggaran p-adic pensifar-pensifar tersebut. Suatu batas atas bagi peringkat p-adic pensifar-pensifar ini diperolehi dengan menggunakan kaedah polihedron Newton.

#### ABSTRACT

Newton polyhedron associated with a polynomial in  $\Omega_p[x, y]$  is introduced. Existence of a relationship between a Newton polyhedron and zeros of its associated polynomial is proved. This relationship is used to arrive at the p-adic estimates of the zeros. An upper bound to the p-adic orders of these zeros is found using the Newton polyhedron method.

1. INTRODUCTION

The role of the Newton polygon in obtaining properties of zeros of polynomials in one variable is quite well-known. For example, the Newton polygon can be usefully applied in proving Puiseux's theorem (Walker, 1962; Lefschetz, 1953). A Sathaye (1983) also considered generalised Newton-Puiseux expansion.

In the p-adic case Koblitz (1977) discusses the Newton polygon method for polynomials and power series in  $\Omega_p[x]$  where  $\Omega_p$  denotes the completion of the algebraic closure of the field of p-adic numbers  $Q_p$ . Here estimates concerning zeros of polynomials are derived from the properties of the associated Newton polygon. Loxton and Smith (1982) investigated the application of the Newton polygon technique although a different method was eventually used to arrive at their result. In this paper we consider extending the Newton polygon idea in the p-adic case to polynomials in two variables and call it the Newton polyhedron method. We will derive p-adic properties of zeros of such polynomials from their associated Newton polyhedrons, as asserted in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

With p denoting a prime, we define the valuation  $\| on Q$  as usual. That is

$$|x|_{p} = \begin{cases} p^{-\operatorname{ord}}p^{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0\\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0 \end{cases}$$

where ord  $_{p}x$  denotes the highest power of p dividing x and ord  $_{p}x = \infty$  if x = 0. This valuation extends uniquely from Q to  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  the algebraic closure of Q and to  $\Omega_{p}$ , and  $\Omega_{p}$  is complete and algebraically closed.

ERTANIKA VOL. 5NO. I.

Birch and Mc Cann (1967) showed that if  $f(x_1, ..., x_n)$  is a polynomial with integral coefficients and ord  $p(f(a_1, ..., a_n)) > ord p D_n(f)$  where  $D_n(f)$  is the discriminant of f for some integers  $a_1, ..., a_n$ , then there are p-adic integers  $\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n$  such that  $f(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_n) = 0$  and  $ord_p(a_i - \alpha_i) > 0$  for i = 1, ..., n.

From the properties of p-adic zeros established for polynomials in two variables from the associated Newton polyhedron, we give an estimate for the p-adic order of zeros of polynomials in two variables with coefficients in  $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p$ . Our assertion is as follows.

### Theorem 1.1

Let f be a polynomial in  $\overline{Q}_{p}[x, y]$ . Let

$$\delta = \max_{r, s} \frac{1}{r + s} \left\{ \operatorname{ord}_{p} f(\alpha, \beta) - \operatorname{ord}_{p} \frac{f^{(r+s)}(\alpha, \beta)}{r! s!} \right\}$$

for some  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  in  $\overline{Q}_p$  where the maximum is taken over all pairs of non-negative integers (r, s). Then f has a zero ( $\xi_o$ ,  $\eta_o$ ) in  $\overline{Q}_p^2$  with ord  $_p(\xi_o - \alpha, \eta_o, -\beta) = \delta$  and every zero ( $\xi, \eta$ ) of f satisfies ord  $_p(\xi - \alpha, \eta - \beta)$  $\leq \delta^*$ 

## 2. NEWTON POLYHEDRON AND ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL IN $\Omega_{p}[x, y]$

Definition 2.1

Let  $f(x, y) = \sum a_{ij} x^i y^j$  be a polynomial of degree n in  $\Omega_p[x, y]$ . We map the terms  $T_{ij} =$ 

 $a_{ij}x^{i}y^{j}$  of f to the points  $P_{ij} = (i, j, ord_{p}a_{ij})$ in the Euclidean space. The Newton polyhedron of f is defined to be the lower convex hull of the set S of points  $P_{ij}$ ,  $0 \le i, j \le n$ . It is the highest convex connected surface which passes through or below the points in S. If  $a_{ij} = 0$  for some (i, j) then we take ord  $a_{ij} = \infty$ . By the above definition the Newton polyhedron of a polynomial f in  $\Omega_p[x, y]$  which we will denote by N<sub>f</sub> will consist of polyhydral faces possessing edges and vertices on and above which lie all the points P<sub>ij</sub> corresponding to each term T<sub>ij</sub> in f. It is the highest polyhedral surface obtained by raising the horizontal plane until it bends around various points P<sub>ij</sub> and eventually reaches the outermost points P<sub>ij</sub> which correspond to the points (i, j) on the classical Newton polygon of f. Around these points the plane bends up to form several vertical faces perpendicular to the 1-2 plane passing through the terminal edges determined by the outermost points P<sub>ij</sub>.

### Theorem 2.1

Let p be a prime and f be a polynomial in  $\Omega_p[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ . If  $(\xi, \eta)$  is a zero of f then  $(\text{ord}_p \xi)$ , ord  $\eta, \eta$  is normal to an edge in N<sub>f</sub> and falls between the upward-pointing normals to the faces of N<sub>f</sub> adjacent to this edge.

4.4

**Proof:** Let 
$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i, j=0}^{n} a_{ij} x^{i} y^{j}$$
 where the  $a_{ij}$ 

are in  $\Omega_p$ . Let  $T_{ij} = a_{ij} \xi^i \eta^j$ . Since  $(\xi, \eta)$  is a zero of f(x, y) it follows that there are at least two terms  $T_p$ ,  $T_{mn}$  say of  $f(\xi, \eta)$  which attain the minimum ord p, that is ord  $p_p T_p = 0$  ord  $p_p T_m = min \text{ ord } p_{ij} T_{ij}$ . Thus, the corresponding points  $P_p$ , i, j

and  $P_{mn}$  to  $T_{rs}$  and  $T_{mn}$  respectively satisfy the equation:

$$x \text{ ord}_{n} \xi + y \text{ ord}_{n} \eta + z = M$$
 (1)

where  $M = \min_{p} \operatorname{ord}_{p} T_{ij}$ . That is  $P_{rs}$  and  $P_{mn}$  lie i, j

on the plane Z say whose equation is given in (1).

Since  $M \leq \operatorname{ord}_p T_{ij}$  for every  $0 \leq i, j \leq n$ , it follows that every point  $P_{ij}$  in S lies on or above the plane Z, and the line segment E joining  $P_{rs}$  to  $P_{mn}$  is either an edge or lies on a face F of  $N_f$ . In either case E lies in the plane Z. The normal u =(ord  $p \xi$ , ord  $p \eta$ , 1) to Z is normal to F containing E. Clearly u is normal to at least one of the edges of F. Further, since  $N_f$  lies above Z, u must lie between the upward-pointing normals to the faces of N adjacent to the edges of F.

We will prove the converse to the above theorem. First we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1: Let L be a finite extension of  $Q_p$ . m n  $x^i$  n  $p(x) = \sum b_i x^i$   $x^i$ 

Let 
$$f(x) = 2$$
  $a_i x$  and  $g(x) = 2$   $b_i x$   
 $i=0$   $i=0$   
be polynomials in  $L[X]$ . Let  $\lambda$  be in Q and let

 $\mu_{O} = \min (\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathbf{a}_{i} + i\lambda), \quad \mu_{1} = \min (\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathbf{b}_{i} + i\lambda), \quad \mu_{1} = \min (\operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathbf{b}_{i} + i\lambda).$ i  $\lambda$ . Then there is a  $\xi$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  with  $\operatorname{ord}_{p} \xi = \lambda$ and  $\operatorname{ord}_{p} f(\xi) = \mu_{O}$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_{p} g(\xi) = \mu_{1}$ .

**Proof:** Let K be a finite unramified extension of L with prime element  $\pi$  chosen so that the residue field is sufficiently large as required below. Let  $\Sigma$  be a set of representatives in K for the residue field. Write

$$a_{i} = \pi^{\bigcirc} \begin{array}{c} \mu & -i\lambda + \epsilon_{i} \\ \alpha_{i} & \Sigma \\ \ell \ge 0 \end{array} a_{i}^{(\ell)} \pi^{\ell} \quad (0 \le i \le m)$$

where  $\epsilon_i \geq 0$  and the  $a_i^{(\ell)}$  are in  $\Sigma$  and  $a_i^{(0)} \neq 0$ . Consider

$$\xi = \pi^{\lambda} \sum_{\varrho > 0} C_{\varrho} \pi^{\varrho}$$

where the  $C_Q$  are in  $\Sigma$  and  $C_O \neq 0$ . Then

$$G(\xi) = \pi^{\mu_{\odot}} \sum_{\substack{e_i = 0 \\ e_j = 0}} a_i (0) C_{\odot}^i + O(\pi^{\mu_{\odot} + 1}).$$

If the residue field is sufficiently large, we can find  $C_{_{\rm O}}{\rm in}~\Sigma$  so that

$$\sum_{a_i=0}^{\Sigma} a_i^{(0)} C_{\bigcirc}^i \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi}$$

and this gives the required  $\xi$  in  $\overline{Q}_p$  for the polynomial f.

Similarly, by letting

$$b_{i} = \pi^{\mu_{1}} - i\lambda + \epsilon_{i} \sum_{\substack{\emptyset \ge 0}} b_{i}^{(\emptyset)} \pi^{\emptyset} \quad (0 \le i \le n)$$

where  $\epsilon_i \ge 0$ , the  $b_i^{(\ell)}$  are in  $\Sigma$  and  $b_i^{(0)} \neq 0$ and considering

$$\xi = \pi^{\lambda} \sum_{\substack{\ell \ge 0}} C_{\ell} \pi^{\ell}$$

as above, we see that  $\xi$  can also be chosen to be the required element in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  for the polynomial g.

#### Theorem 2.2

Let f be a polynomial in  $\overline{Q}_{p}[x, y]$ . Let E be a non-vertical edge of N<sub>f</sub> common to two adjacent faces F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>. Suppose  $\underline{n} = (\lambda, \mu, 1)$ is normal to E and lies between the upwardpointing normals to F<sub>1</sub> and F<sub>2</sub>. Then there are  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  such that ord  $_{p}^{p} \xi = \lambda$ , ord  $_{p}^{p} \eta$  $= \mu$  and f( $\xi, \eta$ ) = 0.

**Proof:** Let  $f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  and let  $V_{rs}$  and

 $V_{mn}$  be the end-points of the edge E on  $N_{f}$ . Then

 $\underbrace{e}_{vector from V_{rs} to V_{mn}} = (m - r, n - s, ord_{p} a_{mn} - ord_{p} a_{rs}) \text{ is a}$ 

Choose  $\xi$ ,  $\eta$  in  $\overline{Q}_p$  with ord  $\xi = \lambda$ , ord  $\eta = \mu$ . We show first that the terms corresponding to  $V_{rs}$  and  $V_{mn}$  dominate in  $f(\xi, \eta)$ . Since  $\underline{n}$  is orthogonal to  $\underline{e}$ , we have

 $(m - r) \operatorname{ord}_{p} \xi + (n - s) \operatorname{ord}_{p} \eta + \operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{mn} - \operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{rs} = 0$ , that is

 $\operatorname{ord}_{p}a_{rs} \xi^{r} \eta^{s} = \operatorname{ord}_{p}a_{mn} \xi^{m} \eta^{n}.$ 

Let  $\underline{n}_1$  and  $\underline{n}_g$  be the upward-pointing normals to the faces  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  respectively, normalised to have third component equal to 1.

Since  $\underline{n}$  is in the plane of  $\underline{n}_1$  and  $\underline{n}_2$  and lies between them, we can write

$$\underline{\mathbf{n}} = \gamma_{\underline{\mathbf{n}}_1} + (1 - \gamma)_{\underline{\mathbf{n}}_2}$$

with  $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$ .

Let  $V_{ij}$  be any point in  $N_f$  and let v be the vector from  $V_{rs}$  to  $V_{ij}$ . From the definition of  $N_f$ , the line segment from  $V_rs$  to  $V_{ij}$  lies on or above the planes determined by  $F_i$  and  $F_g$ . So, we have

$$v \cdot n = \gamma v \cdot n_1 + (1 - \gamma) v \cdot n_2 \ge 0$$
,

that is

$$\operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{ij} \xi^{i} \eta^{j} \geq \operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{rs} \xi^{r} \eta^{s}$$

Hence, as asserted

$$\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{ord}_{p} \mathbf{a}_{rs} \ \xi^{r} \ \eta^{s} \ = \ \operatorname{ord}_{p} \ \mathbf{a}_{mn} \ \xi^{m} \ \eta^{n} \\ = \ \min \ \operatorname{ord}_{p} \ \mathbf{a}_{ij} \ \xi^{i} \ \eta^{j} \ . \\ & i,j \end{array}$$

(2) We can suppose  $r \neq m$ . Otherwise the same argument applies after interchanging x and y. Choose  $\eta$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  with ord  $\eta = \mu$  as specified below, and write

 $g(x) = f(x, \eta) = \sum_{k} c_{k}(\eta)x^{k}$ where

$$c_{k} (\eta) = \sum a_{kj} \eta^{j}$$
  
By part (1), ord p a r  $\eta^{s}$  = min ord p a r  $\eta^{j}$   
and ord p a m  $\eta^{n}$  = min ord p a j  
j. By Lemma  
j  
(2.1) we can choose  $\eta$  in  $\overline{Q}$  with ord  $\eta^{j}$  =  $\mu$   
so that ord c  $(\eta)$  = ord a  $\eta^{s}$  and ord c  $(\eta)$ 

= ord  $_{pa} a_{mn} \eta^{n}$ . Using (1) again, we have

ord  $_{p}c_{r}(\eta) + \lambda r = \text{ord}_{p}c_{m}(\eta) + \lambda m \leq \text{ord}_{p}c_{k}(\eta) + \lambda k$ , for each k. Thus the line segment joining the points (r, ord  $_{p}c_{r}(\eta)$ ) and (m, ord  $_{p}c_{m}(\eta)$ ) having slope  $-\lambda$ , is part of the Newton polygon of g(x). By a standard theorem (see Koblitz (1977) lemma 4, page 90), therefore, there is a  $\xi$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  with ord  $_{p} \xi = \lambda$  and g( $\xi$ )

= 0. This choice of  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  satisfies the requirements of the theorem.

御

### p-adic ESTIMATE OF ZEROS OF A POLYNOMIAL IN Ω [x, y]

### Definition 3.1

Let  $(\mu_i, \lambda_i, 1)$  be the normalised upwardpointing normals to the faces  $F_i$  of  $N_f$ , of a polynomial f(x, y) in  $\Omega_p[x, y]$ . We map  $(\mu_i, \lambda_i, 1)$ to the point  $(\mu_i, \lambda_i)$  in the x - y plane. If  $F_r$  and  $F_s$  are adjacent faces in  $N_f$ , sharing a common edge, we construct the straight line joining  $(u_r, \lambda_i)$  and  $(\mu_s, \lambda_s)$ . If  $F_r$  shares a common edge with a vertical face F say  $\alpha x + \beta y = \gamma$  in  $N_f$ we construct the straight line segment joining  $(\mu_r, \lambda_r)$  and the appropriate point at infinity that corresponds to the normal of F, that is the segment along a line with slope  $-\alpha/\beta$ . We call the set of lines so obtained the Indicator diagram associated with  $N_f$ .

Hence by the above definition if  $(\mu, \lambda)$  is a point lying on the straight line segment joining  $(\mu_1, \lambda_1)$  and  $(\mu_2, \lambda_2)$  say in the Indicator diagram of an N<sub>f</sub> then  $(\mu, \lambda, 1)$  is normal to the common edge of the faces to which  $(\mu_1, \lambda_1, 1)$  and  $(\mu_2, \lambda_2, 1)$  are normal. It follows by Theorem 2.2 that the point  $(-\mu, \lambda)$  gives the p-adic order of a zero  $(\xi, \eta)$  of the associated polynomial f.

### Definition 3.2

We call the segment in an Indicator diagram of an  $N_f$  that corresponds to the initial edges passing through the vertical axis in  $R^3$ , the initial segments of the Indicator diagram.

Let 
$$f(x, y) = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} x^i y^j$$
 be a polyno-  
i,j

mial in  $\Omega_{p}$  [x, y] of degree n, and let  $\alpha_{ij} =$ ord  $_{p}a_{00} -$ ord  $_{p}a_{ij}$ . Then the equation of an initial segment of the Indicator diagram associated with N f is of the form rx + sy =  $\alpha_{rs}$  obtained by considering the relationships of normals (x, y, 1) to the edges  $_{00}E_{rs}$  of N f which join the points  $V_{00}: (0, 0, \text{ ord }_{p}a_{00})$  and  $V_{rs}: (r, s, \text{ ord }_{p}a_{rs})$ .

#### NEWTON POLYHEDRA AND p-ADIC ESTIMATES OF ZEROS OF POLYNOMIALS IN $\Omega_p[x, y]$

By making use of the Newton polyhedron method we give the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1

Let  $f(x, y) = \sum_{i, j} a_{ij} x^i y^j$  be a polynomial in

 $\overline{Q}_{p}[x, y]$  and let

δ

whe

$$= \max_{r,s} \frac{1}{(r+s)} (\operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{\circ\circ} - \operatorname{ord}_{p} a_{rs})$$

where the maximum is taken over all pairs (r, s). Then f has a zero  $(\xi_o, \eta_o)$  in  $\overline{Q}_p^2$  with ord  $_p(\xi_o, \eta_o) = \delta$  and every zero  $(\xi, \eta)$  satisfies ord  $_p(\xi, \eta) \le \delta$ .

**Proof:** We note first that the maximum defining  $\delta$  occurs for an initial edge in N<sub>f</sub>. Let  $_{00}E_{r_s}$  and  $_{00}E_{r_{j+1}s_{j+1}}$  denote a pair of initial edges common to an initial face F<sub>j</sub> in N<sub>f</sub> such that by the convexity of N<sub>f</sub> and the consecutive ordering of the initial edges,

$$\frac{r_j}{s_j} > \frac{r_{j+1}}{s_{j+1}}$$
.

Let  $\ell_i$  denote the segments in the Indicator diagram corresponding to the edges  ${}_{\circ\circ}E_{r_isi}$  in N<sub>j</sub>. Thus  $\ell_j$  and  $\ell_{j+1}$  in the Indicator diagram associated with N<sub>j</sub> are adjacent segments sharing a common vertex. Now the equation of  $\ell_i$  is given by

$$r_{i}x + s_{i}y = \alpha$$

$$r_{i}s_{i}$$

$$re \alpha r_{r_{s_{i}}} = ord_{p}a_{oo} - ord_{p}a_{r_{i}s_{i}}$$
Since  $\frac{r_{j}}{s_{j}} > \frac{r_{j}}{s_{j}}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \displaystyle \frac{r_{j+1}}{s_{j+1}} &, \ l_j \ \text{is steeper than} \ \ell_{j+1}. \ \text{As this is true} \\ \text{for every j, } 1 \leq j \leq k \ \text{say, the set of initial seg-} \\ \text{ments in the Indicator diagram associated with} \\ N_f \ \text{has a convex shape. The line } y = x \ \text{intersects} \\ \text{some initial segment} \ \ell_m \ \text{say, at a point } ( \ \mu_m, \\ \mu_m). \ \text{Also, for every j, j } \neq m \ \text{the line } y = x \\ \text{intersects lines} \ \ell_j \ \text{produced at some points} ( \ \mu_j, \\ \end{array}$ 

$$\mu_{j}). \text{ Since } \frac{\frac{r_{m+t}}{s_{m+t}} < \frac{r_{m}}{s_{m}} < \frac{r_{m-t}}{s_{m-t}} \text{ it follows that} } \\ \mu_{m} > \mu_{m-t}, \mu_{m+t} \text{ for every } t, 0 < t < m, \\ m+t \leq k. \text{ Hence}$$

$$\mu_{\rm m} \ge \mu_{\rm i} \tag{1}$$

for every j,  $1 \le j \le k$ . By considering the equation of  $\ell_j$ , there are r<sub>j</sub>, s such that

$$\mu_{j} = \frac{1}{r_{j} + s_{j}} \text{ ord}_{p} \frac{a_{oo}}{a_{r_{j}s_{j}}}$$

Then, clearly by (1),

$$\mu_{\rm m} = \max_{\rm j} \frac{1}{r_{\rm j} + s_{\rm j}} \quad \text{ord}_{\rm p} \frac{a_{\rm oo}}{a_{\rm r_{\rm j}} s_{\rm j}}$$
(2)

By the convexity of the set of initial segments in the Indicator diagram associated with N<sub>j</sub>, for every point ( $\mu_j$ ,  $\lambda_j$ ) in the initial segments  $\ell_j$ , we have

$$\mu_{\rm m} > \min_{\rm j} (\mu_{\rm j}, \lambda_{\rm j}) \tag{3}$$

for every j, j  $\ddagger$  m. By Theorem 2.2 and Definition 3.1 there exist  $\xi_{o}$ ,  $\eta_{o}$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  such that ord  $\xi_{o} = \mu_{m}$ , ord  $\eta_{o} = \mu_{m}$  and f( $\xi_{o}$ ,  $\eta_{o}$ ) = 0, and there are  $\xi_{j}$ ,  $\eta_{j}$  in  $\overline{Q}_{p}$  with ord  $\xi_{j}$ =  $\mu_{j}$  ord  $\eta_{j} = \lambda_{j}$  and f( $\xi_{j}, \lambda_{j}$ ) = 0. Our assertion then follows from (2), (3) and letting  $\delta = \mu_{m}$ .

The above theorem is a special case of Theorem 1.1 whose proof is as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let g(X, Y) be the resulting polynomial on expanding  $f(X + \alpha, Y + \beta)$  using Taylor's theorem. That is,

$$g(X, Y) = f(\alpha, \beta) + \sum_{\substack{r,s \ge 0 \\ (r,s) \neq (0, 0)}} \frac{f^{(r+s)}(\alpha, \beta) X^r y^s}{r!s!}$$

By Theorem 3.1 there are  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  in  $\overline{Q}_p$  such that  $f(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = 0$  and  $\operatorname{ord}_p(\gamma_1, \gamma_2) = \delta$  and every zero (X', Y') of g satisfies  $\operatorname{ord}_p(X', Y') \leq \delta$ .

Set  $\xi_{\circ} = \gamma_{1} + \alpha$ ,  $\eta_{\circ} = \gamma_{2} + \beta$  and  $\xi = X' + \alpha$ ,  $\eta = Y' + \beta$ . Then  $(\xi_{\circ}, \eta_{\circ})$  and  $(\xi, \eta)$  are zeroes of f satisfying the requirements of the theorem.

### CONCLUSION

Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 assert the existence of relationships between zeros of polynomials in  $\Omega_p[x, y]$  and their associated Newton polyhedra. This relationship is already well-known for one-variable polynomials with coefficients in  $\Omega_p$ . Newton polyhedra associated with polynomials in two variables with coefficients in  $\Omega_p$  is treated in more detail in Mohd Atan (1984). The result of Theorem 1.1 gives an improvement to a result by Birch and McCann (1967) for polynomials in two variables.

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The author would like to express his gratitude to Assoc. Prof. J. Loxton of the University of New South Wales for suggesting the problem and for discussions leading to the result.

= 0, and there are  $\xi = \eta$  in Q with ord  $\xi = \mu$ , and  $\pi = \lambda$  and like  $\lambda = 0$ . Our -assertion then follows from (2)  $z^{(0)}$  and letting  $\xi = \mu_{\eta}$ .

The above theorym is a special case of Theorem 1.1 whose proof is as follows.

Proof of Theorem 1 L. Let g(X, T) be the resulting polynomial on expanding h X + z , Y + d ) using Taylor's theorem. That a,

$$g(X, V) = f(a, b) + \Sigma$$
  
 $f(a, V) = f(a, b) + \Sigma$   
 $f(a, V) + f(b, b)$   
 $f(a, V) + f(b, b)$ 

By Theorem 3.4 therefore  $\gamma_{1,-}\gamma_{2,-}\gamma_{$ 

Set  $\xi = \gamma_1 + \alpha_2 - \eta_2 = \gamma_2 - \beta_2$  and  $\beta = X^2 + \alpha_2 - \eta_2$  and  $(\xi_1, \eta_2)$  and  $(\xi_2, \eta_2)$  and  $(\xi_3, \eta_4)$  are zeroes of f satisfying the requirements

### REFERENCES

1

- WALKER, R.J. (1962): 'Fractional power series', Algebraic Curves, Dover Publ. Inc., pp. 97-106.
- LEFSCHETZ, S. (1953): 'Puiseux's Theorem', Algebraic Geometry, Princeton, Univ. Press. pp. 99-104.
- SATHAYE, A. (1983): 'Generalised Newton-Puiseux Expansion and Abhyyankar-Moh semigroup Theorem', *Inventiones Math.* 74: 149-157.
- KOBLITZ, N. (1977): 'p-adic power series', p-adic Analysis, and Zeta-Functions, Springer Verlag, New York, Berlin 1977.
- LOXTON, J.H. and SMITH, R.A. (1982): 'On Hua's estimate for exponential sums' J. London Math. Soc. 26(2): 15-20.
- BIRCH, B.J. and McCANN, K. (1967): 'A Criteria for the p-adic solubility of diophantine equations', Quart. J. Math. Oxford 18(2): 59-63.
- MOHD ATAN K.A. (1984): Newton polyhedra and estimates for exponential sums. Ph.D. Thesis, University of New South Wales, Kensington, Australia.

(Received 16 July, 1985)

Let  $P_i$  denote the segments in the Indicato diagram corresponding to the edges  $e_0 E_{i_1}$  in N Thus  $Q_i$  and  $Q_{i_1}$  in the Indicator diagram as sociated with N are adjacent segments sharing i common vertex. Now the equation of  $Q_i$  is given by

where  $a_{11} = a_{11} = a_{12} = a_{13} = a_{14} = a_{15} = a_{1$ 

$$\begin{array}{ll} \mu_{1} \end{pmatrix}, \mbox{Since} & \frac{t_{m+1}}{s_{m+1}} \leq \frac{t_{m}}{s_{m}} \leq \frac{t_{m-1}}{s_{m-1}} & \mbox{it follows that} \\ \mu_{m-1} & \mu_{m-1} & \mu_{m+1} & \mbox{for every } t, \ 0 < t < m, \\ m & + t \leq k, \ Hence \end{array}$$