

**BEHAVIOUR OF COMPOSITE I-BEAMS UNDER CRUSHING AND BENDING
MODES**

By

FARAG ABDUSSALM ALI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in
Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

March 2004

بِسْمِ اللَّهِ الرَّحْمَنِ الرَّحِيمِ
وَقُلْ أَعْمَلُوا فَسِيرِي اللَّهُ عَمَلَكُمْ وَرَسُولُهُ وَالْمُؤْمِنُونَ

صدق الله العظيم

To my exemplary parents, wife and lovely son

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science

BEHAVIOUR OF COMPOSITE I-BEAMS UNDER CRUSHING AND BENDING MODES

By

FARAG ABDUSSALM ALI

March 2004

Chairman: Associate Professor Yousif A. Khalid, Ph. D.

Faculty: Engineering

Experimental and finite-element analyses for glass/epoxy composite I-beams were carried out to determine the effect of number of layers on load-carrying capacity and specific energy absorption. The loading modes used throughout this investigation were the axial compression, three and four point bending. The beams were fabricated from woven roving glass fibre and epoxy. The composite I-beams fabricated for axial compression tests were of 250 mm gauge length, 76 mm flange width and 125 mm web height, while the composite I-beams fabricated for three and four point bending tests were of 500 mm gauge length, 76 mm flange width and 125 mm web height. The matrix used was made of an epoxy resin (LECO 811-563-103) and a hardener (LECO 811-563-104) which were mixed at 8:1 ratio. Loading arrangements were also built to facilitate the experimental tests needed. The composite I-beams fabricated and tested were of 4, 6, 8 and 10 layers. Three samples were tested for each type and each load case. In addition, tensile samples were prepared and tested for the composite material used to evaluate the

mechanical properties needed in the theoretical analysis stage of this project. Load-displacement results were first obtained directly for each type of samples and for each loading mode. An average, for each three similar tests, were then tabulated for the next phase of results and calculations. Experimental results obtained from this study included the first crushing load, the energy absorption and the failure modes. The first crushing load values of composite I-beams with four, six, eight and ten layers under axial compression, three and four point bending are (41.67, 69.46, 133.1 and 222.89 kN), (5.94, 11.24, 16.57 and 23.86 kN) and (8.00, 16.39, 25.08 and 35.01 kN) respectively. The specific energy absorption values of composite I-beams with four, six, eight and ten layers under axial compression are 9.79, 14.70, 33.18 and 35.88 (kJ/kg), respectively. Whereas, the energy absorption capability values of composite I-beams with four, six, eight and ten layers under three and four point bending are (235.785, 485.791, 829.500 and 1163.771 (J)) and (201.425, 422.107, 803.622 and 1006.986 (J)), respectively. Moreover, the initial crushing bending moment values of composite I-beams with four, six, eight and ten layers under three and four point bending are (0.66, 1.26, 1.86 and 2.68 (kN.m)) and (0.6, 1.23, 1.88 and 2.62 (kN.m)) respectively. On the other hand, all composite I-beams tested under axial compression fail by local buckling followed progressive crushing failure modes. Whereas, those tested under three and four point bending fail by matrix cracking followed local buckling under the loading points.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai
memenuhi sebahagian keperluan untuk Ijazah Master Sains

KELAKUAN KOMPOSIT I-BEAM MOD MENGHANCUR DAN MELENTUR

Oleh

FARAG ABDUSSALM ALI

March 2004

Pengerusi: Profesor Madya Yousif A. Khalid, Ph.D.

Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Uji kaji dan analisis unsur terhingga bagi kaca/epoksi rasuk-I komposit telah dijalankan bagi menentukan kesan bilangan lapisan pada kapasiti membawa-beban dan penyerapan tenaga tentu. Mod beban yang digunakan sepanjang penyelidikan ini merupakan ujian mampatan paksi, tiga dan empat lentur titik. Rasuk tersebut distmktur daripada eksposi dan gentian kaca mengarah. Komposit yang distmktur untuk ujian rasuk-I ini ialah 250 mm panjang tolak, 76 lebar bebibir dan 125 mm tinggi web, manakala komposit rasuk-I dibuat untuk tiga dan empat titik ujian lentur daripada 500 mm panjang tolak, 76 lebar bebibir dan 125 mm tinggi web. Matriks yang digunakan dibuat daripada damar eksposi (LECO 811-563-103) dan pengeras (LECO 811-563-104) dan dicampur pada kadar 8:1. Susunan beban juga dibina bagi memudahkan ujian uji kaji yang diperlukan rasuk-I komposit yang distruktur dan diuji adalah 4, 6, 8 dan 10 lapisan. Tiga specimen telah diuji bagi setiap jems dan setiap kes beban. Di samping itu, spesimen tegangan juga disediakan dan diuji bagi bahan komposit yang digunakan untuk menilai sifat-sifat mekanik yang diperlukan pada peringkat analisis teori projek ini. Keputusan anjakan

beban pertama kali diperoleh bagi setiap jenis spesimen dan setiap mod beban- purata bagi tiga ujian yang sama kemudiannya dijadualkan bagi perkiraan dan keputusan fasa seterusnya. Keputusan uji kaji yang diperoleh daripada kajian ini meliputi beban hancur yang pertama, penyerapan tenaga dan mod kegagalan. Nilai beban penhancuran pertama **rasuk-I** komposit bagi empat, enam, lapan dan sepuluh lapis dibawah paksi mampatan, tiga dan empat titik lenturan masing-masing adalah (41.67, 69.46, 133.1 dan 222.89 kN), (5.94, 11.24, 16.57 dan 23.86 kN) dan (8.00, 16.39, 25.08 dan 35.01 kN). Nilai penyerapan tenaga tentu **rasuk-I** komposit bagi empat, enam, lapan dan sepuluh lapis di bawah beban mampatan adalah 9.79, 14.70, 33.18 dan 35.88 (kJ/kg). Sebaliknya nilai keupayaan penyerapan tenaga **rasuk-I** komposit bagi empat, enam, lapan dan sepuluh lapis dibawah tiga dan empat titik lenturan masing-masing adalah (235.785, 485.791, 829.500 dan 1163.771 J) dan (201.425, 422.107, 803.622 dan 1006.986 J). Lagipun, nilai momen lenturan penhancuran pertama **rasuk-I** komposit bagi empat, enam, lapan dan sepuluh lapis dibawah tiga dan empat titik lenturan masing-masing adalah (0.66, 1.26, 1.86 dan 2.68 (kN.m)) dan (0.6, 1.23, 1.88 dan 2.62 (kN.m)). Dengan erti kata lain, semua ujian **rasuk-I** komposit di bawah paksi mampatan adalah gagal dengan lengkokan asal diikuti peningkatan mode kerosakan penghancuran. Sebaliknya ujian yang dilakukan dibawah tiga dan empat titik lenturan adalah gagal dengan pemecahan matrik diikuti lengkokan asal dibawah titik bebanan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to all my supervisors, Associate Professor Dr. Yousif A. Khalid, Professor Ir. Dr. Barkawi Bin Sahari and Dr. Elsadig Mahdi Ahmed; for giving their greatest support and encouragement throughout the course of this project study. With their constant advice and guidance, this study was completed in a smooth and successful manner.

I also attribute my effort to all related parties, for their kind cooperation in providing me the valuable information concerning with my project and help me in one or another way during the project progress.

Last but not least, I would like to thank my family for their moral supports and encouragements that motivate me to relentless strive to succeed.

I certify that an Examination Committee met on 4th March 2004 to conduct the final examination of Farag Abdussalm Ali on his Master of Science thesis entitled “Behavior of Composite I-Beams Under Crushing and Bending Modes” in accordance Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Act 1980 and Universiti Pertanian Malaysia (Higher Degree) Regulations 1981. The committee recommends that the candidate be awarded the relevant degree. Members of the Examination Committee are as follows:

THAMIR SABIR YOUNIS, Ph.D.

Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

ABDEL MAGID S. HAMOUDA, Ph.D.

Associate Professor,
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

MOHD SAPUAN SALIT, Ph.D.

Associate Professor,
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ISKANDAR IDRIS BIN YAAKOB, Ph.D.

Associate Professor,
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti of Malaya
(Independent Examiner)

GULAM RUSUL RAHMAT ALI, Ph.D.

Professor/Deputy Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

This thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia has been accepted as partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee are as follows:

YOUSIF ABDULLAH KHALID, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

IR. BARKAWI BIN SAHARI, Ph.D.

Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ELSADIG MAHDI AHMED, Ph.D.

Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

AINI IDERIS, Ph.D.

Professor/Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

DECLARATION

I hereby declare that this thesis is based on my original work except for quotation and citation which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously or concurrently submitted for any other degree at UPM or other institutions.

FARAG ABDUSSALM ALI

Date:

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	v
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Problem Definition	2
1.2 Objectives	3
1.3 Thesis Layout	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 Composite Materials	5
2.1.1 Matrix	6
2.1.2 Glass Fiber	7
2.2 Fabrication of Composites	9
2.2.1 Hand Lay-up	10
2.3 Crushing Modes and Mechanisms of Composite Materials	12
2.3.1 Brittle Fracture	13
A Splaying/Lamina Bending	14
B Fragmentation/Transfer Shearing	14
2.3.2 Local Buckling	15
2.4 Bending Failure of Beams	16
2.5 Composite Structures	19
2.5.1 Composite Channels	19
2.5.2 Composite I-beams	21
A Effects of the Failure Modes	21
B Effect of Specimen Span	27
C Effects of Loading Conditions	29
2.6 Energy Absorption	31
2.6.1 Energy Absorption in Crushing Loading Mode	32
2.6.2 Energy Absorption in Bending Loading Mode	34
2.7 Finite Element and Theoretical Analysis	37

2.8	Discussion	42
3	METHODOLOGY	43
3.1	Introduction	43
3.2	Method	43
3.3	Experimental	43
3.3.1	Fabrication	43
3.3.2	Testing	48
3.4	Finite Element Work	52
3.4.1	Analysis	52
3.5	Discussion	56
4	EXPERIMENTAL WORK	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Material Properties	57
4.3	Axial Compression	60
4.3.1	Load-Displacement Relations	60
4.3.2	Effect of the Number of layers on the First Crushing Load	63
4.3.3	Specific Energy Absorption	64
4.3.4	Crushing History and Failure Modes	66
4.4	Three-Point Bending	70
4.4.1	Load-Displacement Relations	71
4.4.2	Effect of the Number of Layers on the First Crushing Load	73
4.4.3	Energy Absorption Capability	75
4.4.4	Bending History and Failure Modes	79
4.5	Four-Point Bending	84
4.5.1	Load-Displacement Relations	85
4.5.2	Effect of the Number of Layers on the First Crushing Load	87
4.5.3	Energy Absorption capability	91
4.5.4	Bending History and Failure Modes	92
4.6	Comparison between Three- and Four- Point Bending	96
4.7	Discussion	100
5	FINITE ELEMENT WORK	103
5.1	Modeling the Composite Materials Using the LUSAS Finite Element Software	103
5.5.1	Pre-Processing	104
5.5.2	Finite-Element Solver	105
5.5.3	Post-Processing	105
5.2	Axial Compression Tests	105
5.3	Three-Point Bending Tests	108
5.4	Four-Point Bending Tests	111
5.5	Comparing the Experimental and Finite Element Results	113
5.6	Discussion	117
6	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	119

6.1	Introduction	119
6.2	Axial Compressive Loading	119
6.3	Three-and Four-Point Bending	121
6.4	Finite Element Analysis	122
6.5	Recommendations for future work	123
REFERENCES		124
BIODATA OF THE AUTHOR		127