



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**REAL-TIME HIGH RESOLUTION MELTING ANALYSIS-PCR FOR  
ASSESSMENT OF GENE MUTATIONS IN HUMAN AUTOSOMAL  
DOMINANT POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY DISEASE**

**RUSNI BINTI MOHD JAS**

**FPSK(m) 2011 31**

**REAL-TIME HIGH RESOLUTION MELTING ANALYSIS-PCR FOR  
ASSESSMENT OF GENE MUTATIONS IN HUMAN AUTOSOMAL  
DOMINANT POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY DISEASE**



**By**

**RUSNI BINTI MOHD JAS**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in  
Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science**

**September 2011**

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**REAL-TIME HIGH RESOLUTION MELTING ANALYSIS-PCR FOR ASSESSMENT OF GENE MUTATIONS IN HUMAN AUTOSOMAL DOMINANT POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY DISEASE**

By

**RUSNI BINTI MOHD JAS**

**September 2011**

**Chair: Patimah Ismail, PhD**

**Faculty: Medicine and Health Sciences**

Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) is one of the most common hereditary renal diseases that affect 1 in 400 to 1 in 1000 life birth and cause by mutations in two known genes; *PKD1* and *PKD2*. Although the manifestation of Type1 ADPKD is similar to Type2, it is more severe and patients suffer total renal failure by the fifth decade of life. In finding the mutations occurring in both genes, PCR-based methodology have been used and all require technical expertise, otherwise are time consuming and costly. Therefore, this study aims to develop a much rapid screening

procedure that is cost effective but have the same sensitivity to widely used methodology to differentiate ADPKD samples from control. Fourteen primers were selected and used to amplify the target genes by using Real-time High Resolution Melting Analysis PCR (Real-time HRMA PCR) and was compared to PCR-SSCP in terms of rapidity, sensitivity and reliability. PCR-SSCP revealed same number of bands in control and case sample, with different only in the bands' size. All primers successfully amplified respective genes in Real-time HRMA PCR. Ten primers had two apparent melting curves which enabled control and case samples to be differentiated. The mean melting temperature for control samples of the ten primers was  $81.38 \pm 1.70^{\circ}\text{C}$  whereas in case samples the mean was  $81.00 \pm 1.68^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The  $p$  value was 0.001. The melting curves of control were all shifted to the right having higher melting temperature while case melting curves were shifted to the left due to lower melting temperature. Possible mutations were found to be present in five of these primers due to lone case samples where the melting curve were shifted differently from control and case melting curves and cannot be classified either in control or case group ( $p < 0.001$ ). Three primers were found to be less useful to be used in Real-time HRMA PCR as control and case samples melted in the same manner with same exact temperature, resulting in inconclusive results. While other primers conferred single melting domain, a primer amplifying the *PKD1* gene was melted twice and evidence in both samples. The PCR-SSCP needs more time to be completed (mean;  $13.93 \pm 0.94$  hours) before analysis can be done while the Real-time HRMA PCR can be completed in a very short time (mean;  $2.40 \pm 0.54$  hours) including the analysis. Both methodologies are able to discriminate case from control but Real-time HRMA PCR is more rapid ( $p < 0.001$ ). Since Real-time

HRMA PCR is more rapid and less procedure is needed, it is proven to be better for gene mutation screening. In conclusion, the Real-time HRMA PCR is not only sensitive, but it can screen for control and ADPKD samples more rapidly and more reliable with the application of commonly used primers in conventional PCR.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**REAL-TIME HIGH RESOLUTION PCR UNTUK PENYARINGAN MUTASI  
GEN PESAKIT AUTOSOMAL DOMINANT POLYCYSTIC KIDNEY DISEASE**

Oleh

**RUSNI BINTI MOHD JAS**

**September 2011**

**Pengerusi: Patimah Ismail, PhD**

**Fakulti: Perubatan dan Sains Kesihatan**

Autosomal dominant polycystic kidney disease (ADPKD) merupakan salah satu penyakit genetik buah pinggang yang paling biasa dialami dengan nisbah 1 dalam 400 atau 1 dalam 1000 kelahiran hidup dan penyakit ini disebabkan mutasi yang berlaku kepada dua gen yang telah dikenalpasti; gen *PKD1* dan gen *PKD2*. Walaupun ciri-ciri Jenis1 dan Jenis2 adalah serupa, Jenis1 lebih serius dan pesakit akan mengalami kegagalan buah pinggang yang memerlukan rawatan dialisis sepanjang hayat semasa

mereka berumur lewat 50-an. Untuk mengenalpasti mutasi yang berlaku di dalam kedua-dua gen tersebut, teknologi yang berasaskan PCR telah digunakan dan kesemua teknologi ini memerlukan kemahiran teknikal, paling tidak pun memerlukan banyak masa dengan kos yang mahal. Oleh itu, tujuan utama kajian ini adalah untuk membina-uji satu kaedah yang lebih pantas dan efektif bukan sahaja daripada segi kos, tetapi juga mempunyai sensitiviti yang setaraf dengan kaedah yang biasa digunakan untuk membezakan sampel pesakit ADPKD daripada sampel kawalan. Sebanyak 14 primer dipilih dan digunakan untuk kaedah Real-time HRMA PCR dan dibandingkan dengan kaedah PCR-SSCP daripada segi kepantasan, sensitiviti dan 'reliability'. Hasil PCR-SSCP menunjukkan kehadiran jalur-jalur DNA dalam jumlah yang sama diantara pesakit dan kawalan, cuma berbeza daripada segi saiz jalur. Kesemua primer berjaya digunakan di dalam kaedah Real-time HRMA PCR. Sepuluh primer mempunyai dua lengkung pencairan yang berbeza, setiap satu mewakili sampel pesakit dan kawalan yang membolehkan perbezaan sampel dilakukan. Purata suhu pencairan bagi kawalan adalah  $81.37 \pm 1.70^{\circ}\text{C}$  manakala bagi sampel pesakit adalah  $81.01 \pm 1.68^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Lengkung pencairan untuk kawalan beranjak lebih ke kanan kerana suhu pencairan yang lebih tinggi manakala lengkung pencairan pesakit beranjak ke kiri disebabkan suhu pencairan yang lebih rendah ( $p < 0.001$ ). Tiga primer didapati tidak boleh digunakan dalam kaedah Real-time HRMA PCR kerana sampel pesakit dan kawalan mempunyai suhu dan lengkung pencairan yang sama menyebabkan tiada kesimpulan dapat dibuat. Hanya satu primer didapati mempunyai dua domain pencairan; kedua-dua sampel pesakit dan kawalan dicairkan dua kali, sementara yang lain hanya mempunyai satu domain pencairan. PCR-SSCP memerlukan lebih masa untuk disiapkan (purata masa;

13.93±0.94 jam) sementara Real-time HRMA PCR memerlukan masa yang sangat singkat (purata masa; 2.40±0.54 jam). Kedua-dua cara berupaya untuk membezakan sampel pesakit daripada sampel kawalan tetapi Real-time HRMA PCR adalah lebih pantas ( $p<0.001$ ). Disebabkan kepantasan dan kurangnya prosedur eksperimen, Real-time HRMA PCR dibuktikan lebih baik untuk penyaringan mutasi gen. Sebagai kesimpulan, Real-time HRMA PCR bukan sahaja sensitif, tetapi ia boleh menyaring sampel kawalan dan pesakit ADPKD dengan lebih cepat dan '*reliable*' menggunakan primer sedia ada yang digunakan di dalam PCR biasa.



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah swt, the most Gracious and most Merciful, and selawat to the prophet, Muhammad saw, Alhamdulillah.

I have completed my research.

Thank you to my supervisor, Prof. Dr. Patimah Ismail for her priceless guidance, help and patience throughout the research and to my co-supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Saidi Moin and Assoc. Prof. Dr. Abdul Halim Abdul Gafor.

Thank you to Dr. R.Vasudevan for the advice in my laboratory work and all the staffs in Molecular Biology Lab, FMHS, UPM.

To Mimi Soraya M and Aisyah A, all my labmates and friends, thank you for all the sweat, blood and tears we all went through. It is indeed, a journey of adding values.

With love to my family members, for their understandings and support.

May Allah bless us all.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 30 September 2011 to conduct a final examination of Rusni binti Mohd Jas on her thesis entitled “**Real-time High Resolution Melting Analysis-PCR for Assessment of Gene Mutations in Human Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease**” in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.A(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Fauziah Othman, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Chong Pei Pei, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Abdul Aziz Dollah, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Srikumar Chakravarthy, PhD**

Lecturer  
Department of Pathology  
International Medical University (IMU)  
(External Examiner)

---

**SEOW HENG FONG, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 20 December 2011

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of **Master of Science**. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Patimah Ismail, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Saidi Moin, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Abdul Halim Abdul Gafor**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Medicine  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citation which have been dully acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or at any other institution.

**RUSNI BINTI MOHD JAS**

Date: 30 September 2011

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

<b>ABSTRACT</b>	<b>Page</b>
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	ii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
<b>APPROVAL</b>	viii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	x1
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvi
	xviii

### CHAPTER

<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Problem Statement	3
	1.3 Objectives	4
	1.4 Hypothesis	5
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>6</b>
	2.1 Autosomal Dominant Polycystic Kidney Disease	6
	2.1.1 Polycystic Kidney Disease	6
	2.1.2 Epidemiology	6
	2.1.3 Molecular Genetics	7
	2.1.3.1 Polycystic Kidney Disease (PKD) Genes and Polycystins	8
	2.1.3.2 Polycystins Roles in ADPKD	11
	2.1.4 Pathogenesis of Cyst	13
	2.1.4.1 The Two-hit Model	14
	2.1.4.1.1 Loss of Heterozygosity (LOH)	16
	2.1.4.2 The trans-heterozygous Mutations	16
	2.1.4.3 Pathological Features of ADPKD	17
	2.1.5 Complications, Renal and Extra Renal Manifestation	18
	2.1.6 Disease Outcome	20
	2.1.7 Clinical Diagnosis	21
	2.1.8 Factors Affecting Disease Progression	24
	2.1.9 Treatment	26
	2.2 Mutation Screening	26
	2.2.1 Introduction	26
	2.2.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	28
	2.2.2.1 Background	28
	2.2.2.2 Principle	28
	2.2.3 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	29

	2.2.3.1 Background	29
	2.2.3.2 Viewing the Gel	30
	2.2.4 Single Stranded Conformation Polymorphism (SSCP)	31
	2.2.4.1 Background	31
	2.2.4.2 The Principle of SSCP	31
	2.2.4.3 Applications in Genetics	33
	2.2.5 Real-Time PCR	33
	2.2.6 High Resolution Melting Analysis (HRMA)	34
	2.2.6.1 Background	34
	2.2.6.2 The Principle of HRMA	35
	2.2.6.3 Primer Design	36
	2.2.6.4 Saturating Dyes	37
	2.2.6.5 Applications of HRMA	39
	2.2.6.6 Advantages and Drawbacks of HRMA	42
<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>44</b>
	3.1 Approval of Study	44
	3.2 Location	44
	3.3 Study Design	45
	3.4 Sampling	45
	3.4.1 Study Population	45
	3.4.2 Sample Unit	45
	3.5 Instrument of Study	46
	3.5.1 Sample Collection and Preparation	46
	3.5.1.1 DNA Extraction	47
	3.5.1.2 DNA Quantification	48
	3.5.2 Polymerase Chain Reaction (PCR)	48
	3.5.2.1 Optimization of PCR	50
	3.5.2.2 Agarose Gel Electrophoresis	51
	3.5.3 PCR-SSCP	52
	3.5.4 Real-time HRMA with Pre-amplification	52
	3.6 Data Analysis	53
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>	<b>54</b>
	4.1 Subjects	54
	4.2 DNA Extraction from Buccal Samples	58
	4.3 PCR Optimizations	59
	4.4 PCR-SSCP Analysis	59
	4.5 DNA Amplification in Real-time PCR	62
	4.6 HRMA	68
	4.6.1 Primers with Distinguishable Melting Point for Control and Case	69
	4.6.2 Primers with a Single Melting Point for Control and Case	79

4.6.3 Primers with Two Melting Domains	83
4.7 Comparison of PCR-SSCP and Real-time HRMA PCR	86
<b>5</b>	<b>89</b>
<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	
5.1 Summary	89
5.1 Conclusion	90
5.2 Recommendations for Future Research	90
<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>92</b>
<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>100</b>
<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>114</b>

