



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**VALUING RECREATIONAL BENEFITS OF PERLIS STATE PARK,  
MALAYSIA USING TRAVEL COST METHOD**

**SYAMSUL HERMAN BIN HJ. MOHAMMAD AFANDI**

**FEP 2010 14**



**VALUING RECREATIONAL BENEFITS OF PERLIS STATE PARK,  
MALAYSIA USING TRAVEL COST METHOD**

**By**

**SYAMSUL HERMAN BIN HJ. MOHAMMAD AFANDI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti  
Putra Malaysia in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**October 2010**



# *Dedication*

---

*I dedicated this work to  
my wife Mashitoh binti Hamzah,  
my son Muhammad Adham Haziq and  
my late daughter Atikah Hannani*

Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**VALUING RECREATIONAL BENEFITS OF PERLIS STATE PARK,  
MALAYSIA USING TRAVEL COST METHOD**

By

**SYAMSUL HERMAN BIN HJ MOHAMMAD AFANDI**

**October 2010**

**Chairman : Mohd. Rusli bin Yacob, PhD**

**Faculty : Economics and Management**

Outdoor recreational experience is one of the services provided in many natural areas. In planning for the development and operation of outdoor recreational opportunities of public land, valuation of the area is mostly done by establishing a recreational demand model curve. Not only does the demand model illustrate the actual recreational behaviour, it also estimates the economic value generated by outdoor recreation.

This study is conducted in the state of Perlis. It is a small state with approximately 14% forest cover. Perlis State Park (PSP) was chosen as the study site, because there has been no forest production for the state of Perlis for several years, even though it still has forested areas. This study, on the recreational use of PSP, seeks to determine its economic value as an alternative income for Perlis. In terms of method, the



geographical location of PSP provides variations in distance, and thus, a variety of travelling costs, which are necessary for regression analysis. The estimation of the recreational use value for Perlis State Park (PSP) is conducted by applying the Zonal Travel Cost Method (ZTCM) and the Individual Travel Cost Method (ITCM). In the study, the ZTCM used, is a modification of the basic traditional Clawson model. Modifications were made in terms of including the elements of time, alternative locations, perceptions, and demographic variables. Adding relevant variables enriches the explanatory power of the model, especially the variables that will affect visitation rates. Regression analysis found that visit per capita, is influenced by existing alternative recreational locations, the cost of travelling time, length of stay, expenditure at the site, and monthly income. The motive variables do not capture implicit intention, suggesting that visits may be a combination of the listed benefits or other benefits that were not captured by the model. This transformation has improved the statistical properties of the model. The second type of model (ITCM) is an advanced type of travel-cost method. It is considered better, as it uses actual visitations per year as a dependent variable. To counter endogenous stratification problems and truncation, the model is based on a count-data model, and this has also improved the statistical properties.

The economic value of PSP, as a recreational area, is estimated at RM 5.3 million with the modified ZTCM; and at RM 19.5 million by applying ITCM. The estimates found from the study, confirm that there is a substantial recreational use value for PSP, which suggests that outdoor recreational activities provide benefits to its visitors. Despite the difference in monetary values, in the ZTCM and the ITCM, the calculated values from both models are dependent on visitation rates. Therefore, it is imperative for the management to maintain an optimum visitation rate to PSP. To this effect, not only are the efforts by the managing agency to promote outdoor recreation in PSP justified, but also, extra effort may be required to attract more tourists to the area as this will increase the economic value.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk mendapatkan ijazah  
Doktor Falsafah

**MENILAI FAEDAH-FAEDAH REKREASI TAMAN NEGERI PERLIS  
MENGUNAKAN KAEDAH KOS PERJALANAN**

Oleh

**SYAMSUL HERMAN B. HJ. MOHAMMAD AFANDI**

**Oktober 2010**

**Pengerusi : Mohd. Rusli bin Yacob, PhD**

**Fakulti : Ekonomi dan Pengurusan**

Pengalaman rekreasi luar merupakan satu daripada perkhidmatan yang ditawarkan oleh banyak kawasan alam semulajadi. Di dalam perancangan pembangunan dan operasi sesebuah tanah awam, penilaian kawasan kebiasaanya dilaksanakan dengan mewujudkan satu keluk model permintaan rekreasi. Model tersebut tidak hanya menggambarkan perilaku sebenar rekreasi, malah ia penganggaran nilai ekonomi yang terjana oleh rekreasi luar.

Kajian ini dilaksanakan di negeri Perlis. Ia adalah sebuah negeri yang kecil dengan 14% litupan hutan. Taman Negeri Perlis (TNP) dipilih untuk kajian ini disebabkan oleh ketiadaan hasil hutan daripada negeri Perlis dalam beberapa tahun yang lepas walaupun ia memiliki kawasan

berhutan. Kajian penggunaan rekreasi di TNP ini adalah untuk menentukan nilai ekonominya sebagai hasil pendapatan alternatif kepada negeri Perlis. Dari segi kaedah penyelidikan, lokasi geografi PSP dapat memberikan variasi pada jarak, oleh itu, memberi variasi pada kos perjalanan yang mana ia diperlukan untuk analisis regresi. Penilaian penggunaan rekreasi TNP dibuat dengan mengaplikasi kaedah model kos perjalanan zonal (MKPZ) dan kaedah kos perjalanan individu (MKPI). Di dalam kajian ini, MKPZ adalah hasil ubahsuai daripada model asas tradisional Clawson. Ubahsuai dibuat dengan memasukkan pembolehubah-pembolehubah elemen masa, lokasi alternatif, persepsi dan demografik. Penambahan pembolehubah-pembolehubah ini meningkatkan keupayaan penerangan model terutamanya pembolehubah yang mempengaruhi kadar lawatan. Analisa regresi mendapati bahawa lawatan per kapita dipengaruhi oleh lokasi alternatif sedia ada, kos masa perjalanan, jangkamasa berada di lokasi, perbelanjaan di lokasi dan pendapatan bulanan. Pembolehubah motivasi didapati tidak menunjukkan sebarang tujuan lawatan yang jelas. Justeru itu, mencadangkan motif lawatan adalah hasil kombinasi faedah-faedah yang dinyatakan atau faedah-faedah lain yang tidak disertakan oleh model kajian ini. Transformasi model telah mempertingkatkan ciri statistik model. Model yang kedua, (MKPI) adalah model kos perjalanan yang maju. Ianya dianggap lebih baik kerana menggunakan kadar lawatan tahunan sebenar sebagai



pembolehubah bersandar. Untuk mengatasi masalah stratifikasi endogen dan data terpotong, model tersebut adalah didasarkan pada taburan data hitungan, dan ini juga telah memperbaiki ciri statistik model.

Melalui kaedah MKPZ, nilai ekonomi TNP dianggarkan pada RM5.3 juta, manakala pada RM19.5 juta dengan kaedah MKPI. Nilai anggaran yang diperolehi daripada kajian ini mengesahkan bahawa wujudnya nilai penggunaan rekreasi yang kukuh di TNP, yang mencadangkan bahawa rekreasi luar memberikan faedah kepada pengunjungnya. Walaupun terdapatnya perbezaan nilai kewangan pada MKPZ dan MPKI, nilai tersebut bergantung kepada kadar lawatan. Oleh itu, adalah penting untuk pengurusan memastikan kadar lawatan optima ke PSP. Oleh itu, bukan hanya semua usaha penggalakkan rekreasi luar di TNP adalah wajar, malah usaha lanjut perlu untuk menarik lebih banyak pelancong ke situ kerana ia akan meningkatkan nilai ekonomi.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

### **In the name of Allah, the Most Beneficent and The Most Merciful**

Firstly, I would to thank to Allah SWT for giving me the willpower and concentration to finalise the thesis while taking care of my very ill daughter Atikah Hannani when she was treated at Gleneagles Intan Medical Centre and the National Heart Institute, Malaysia.

I would like to take this opportunity to express my gratitude to the research supervisor, Dr. Mohd. Rusli b. Yacob who has provided me with lots of invaluable advices, guidance and comments in the preparation and completion of this research project.

I also wish to express my profound appreciation and sincere thanks to my committee members, Prof. Dr. Ahmad b. Shuib, Prof. Dr. Khalid b. Abdul Rahim, Dr. Sridar a/l Ramachandran and Dr. Mohhidin Othman.

I also like to show my gratitude to the sponsoring bodies, UPM and IRPA (MOSTI) their financial support during my study.

A special thank you goes to Mr. Aldrich Richards, the former Park Warden during the entire fieldwork. Not forgetting Mrs. Rabiatus Adawiyah, Mr. Mahat Shumsudin and all the team from Ecotourism and

Urban Forestry Section of FRIM and Mr. Aziz and the teams of the Perlis State Park, Wang Burma for their assistance during fieldwork. Thank you also goes to individuals like Mr. Baharom b. Hamid, Dr. Rahimatsah Amat, Dr. Norazlin Yahya, and Associate Prof. Dr. Alias Radam, Dennis Toh for their invaluable opinions and assistance.

Finally, to Mrs. Mashitoh bt. Hamzah, my supporting wife, and Muhammad Adham Haziq, my son, my late daughter Atikah Hannani and to my beloved parents for their endless supports and encouragements during the entire project. Last but not least, thanks to all who have involved direct or indirectly towards the completion of the project.

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 22 October 2010 to conduct the final examination of Syamsul Herman bin Hj. Mohammad Afandi on his thesis entitled “Valuing Recreational Benefits of Perlis State Park, Malaysia Using Travel Cost Method” in accordance with the University and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U. (A) 106] 15 March 1998. The committee recommends that the student be awarded the Doctor of Philosophy.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

**Law Siong Hook, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Khairil Wahidin Awang, PhD**

Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Zaiton Samdin, PhD**

Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

**Akira Ishida, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Life and Environmental Science  
Shimane University  
Japan  
(External Examiner)

---

**SHAMSUDDIN SULAIMAN, PhD**

Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 24 March 2011



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Rusli bin Yacob, PhD**

Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Ahmad bin Shuib, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Economics and Business  
Universiti Malaysia Sarawak  
(Member)

**Sridar a/l Ramachandran, PhD**

Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Khalid bin Abd. Rahim, PhD**

Professor  
Faculty of Economics and Management  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Mohhidin bin Othman, PhD**

Faculty of Food Science and Technology  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

## **DECLARATION**

I hereby declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which, have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or other institutions.

---

**SYAMSUL HERMAN B. HJ. MOHAMMAD AFANDI**

Date: 22 October 2010



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | <b>Page</b> |
|---|-------------|
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>   | iii         |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>  | vi          |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>   | ix          |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>   | xi          |
| <b>DECLARATION</b>  | xiii        |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>   | xix         |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>  | xxii        |
| <b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>  | xxiii       |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>  |             |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>   |             |
| 1.0 General Background  | 1           |
| 1.1 Outdoor Recreation and Natural Resources                              | 2           |
| 1.1.1 Outdoor Recreation and Protected Areas                              | 2           |
| 1.2 Importance of Valuation of Outdoor Recreation<br>in Natural Areas     | 4           |
| 1.2.1 The Potential Problem in Recreation Value                           | 7           |
| 1.3 Outdoor Recreational and Tourism in Perlis State Park                 | 9           |
| 1.4 Theoretical Framework   | 11          |
| 1.5 Problem Statement   | 12          |
| 1.5.1 Aspect 1: State Level   | 13          |
| 1.5.2 Aspect 2: The Agency Level  | 15          |
| 1.5.3 Aspect 3: The Visitors Level  | 16          |
| 1.6 Research Approach   | 16          |
| 1.7 General Objectives of the Study                                       | 19          |
| 1.7.1 Specific Objectives   | 19          |
| 1.8 Significance of the Study   | 19          |
| 1.8.1 State Park Perspective  | 19          |
| 1.8.2 Recreation Demand Modelling Perspective                             | 20          |
| 1.9 Organization of the Thesis  | 22          |
| <br>  |             |
| <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>  |             |
| 2.0 Introduction  | 24          |
| 2.1 The Concept of Recreation   | 24          |
| 2.1.1 Definition and Concept  | 24          |
| 2.1.2 The Concept of Recreational Use                                     | 26          |
| 2.1.3 Outdoor Recreation and Tourism Industry                             | 28          |
| 2.1.4 Incentives by the Malaysian Government on<br>Recreation and Tourism | 33          |
| 2.2 Non Economic Benefits of Outdoor Recreation to Users                  | 35          |
| 2.2.1 Psychological Benefit   | 36          |
| 2.2.2 Educational Benefit   | 36          |

|   |     |
|---|-----|
| 2.2.3 Physical Benefit  | 37  |
| 2.2.4 Social Benefit  | 38  |
| 2.2.5 Impacts of Outdoor Recreation on the Economy                          | 38  |
| 2.3 Recreation Demand Modelling   | 43  |
| 2.4 Valuing Recreational Use of the Environment                             | 45  |
| 2.4.1 The Stated Preference Technique                                       | 47  |
| 2.4.2 The Revealed Preference Technique                                     | 50  |
| 2.5 The Basic Travel Cost Model   | 53  |
| 2.5.1 The Zonal TCM   | 56  |
| 2.5.2 The Individual TCM  | 57  |
| 2.6 Advancement of the Basic TCM  | 58  |
| 2.6.1 The Time Elements   | 59  |
| 2.6.2 Effect of Alternative Sites   | 61  |
| 2.6.3 Site Quality and Congestion   | 63  |
| 2.6.4 Socio Demographic Variables   | 65  |
| 2.7 TCM Issues, Weaknesses and Some of the Solutions<br>to these Weaknesses | 67  |
| 2.7.1 Methodological Issues   | 67  |
| 2.7.2 Heteroscedasticity and Model Transformation                           | 71  |
| 2.7.3 Multicollinearity   | 74  |
| 2.7.4 Model Comparison and Selection  | 75  |
| 2.7.5 Truncation and Endogenous Stratification                              | 76  |
| 2.8 Strengths of TCM  | 80  |
| <br>  |     |
| 3 <b>METHODOLOGY</b>  |     |
| 3.0 Introduction  | 82  |
| 3.1 The Case Study Area – Perlis State Park                                 | 82  |
| 3.1.1 Background Information  | 82  |
| 3.1.2 Historical Development  | 84  |
| 3.1.3 Geographical Location   | 87  |
| 3.1.4 Climate   | 87  |
| 3.1.5 Geology and Topography  | 87  |
| 3.1.6 Biological Environment  | 88  |
| 3.1.7 Administration and Management   | 89  |
| 3.1.8 Land Use  | 90  |
| 3.1.9 Local Community   | 91  |
| 3.2 Resources for Outdoor Recreation and Tourism<br>Experiences             | 92  |
| 3.2.1 Caves   | 93  |
| 3.2.2 Trails  | 94  |
| 3.2.3 Lakes   | 95  |
| 3.2.4 Cross Border Shopping Experience                                      | 96  |
| 3.2.5 Existing and Proposed Outdoor Recreation and<br>Tourism Development   | 97  |
| 3.3 Specification of the Recreation Demand Modelling                        | 99  |
| 3.3.1 Zonal TCM   | 100 |



|        |  |     |
|--------|--|-----|
| 3.3.2  | Heteroscedasticity                             | 103 |
| 3.3.3  | Detecting and Correcting for Multicollinearity | 105 |
| 3.3.4  | Transforming the Model                         | 105 |
| 3.3.5  | Comparing and Selecting the Model              | 106 |
| 3.3.6  | Estimation of Consumer Surplus of ZTCM         | 107 |
| 3.3.7  | Individual TCM                                 | 109 |
| 3.3.8  | Model Specification for ITCM                   | 112 |
| 3.3.9  | Estimation of Consumer Surplus of ITCM         | 113 |
| 3.4    | Variables and Level of Measurement             | 114 |
| 3.4.1  | Dependent Variable                             | 115 |
| 3.4.2  | Travelling Cost                                | 116 |
| 3.4.3  | Cost to Alternative Sites                      | 117 |
| 3.4.4  | Time Cost                                      | 120 |
| 3.4.5  | On-Site Time                                   | 121 |
| 3.4.6  | On-Site Cost                                   | 121 |
| 3.4.7  | Motives of Visits                              | 122 |
| 3.4.8  | Sex  | 123 |
| 3.4.9  | Age  | 123 |
| 3.4.10 | Education Level                                | 123 |
| 3.4.11 | Ethnic Group                                   | 124 |
| 3.4.12 | Income   | 125 |
| 3.4.13 | Satisfaction Index                             | 125 |
| 3.4.14 | Crowding Level                                 | 127 |
| 3.5    | Design of the Questionnaire                    | 128 |
| 3.5.1  | Questionnaire Structure                        | 128 |
| 3.5.2  | Questionnaire Version                          | 131 |
| 3.6    | Fieldwork                                      | 131 |
| 3.6.1  | Initial Data Collection                        | 131 |
| 3.6.2  | Pretesting and Pilot Study                     | 132 |
| 3.6.3  | Survey and Sampling Procedure                  | 133 |
| 3.6.4  | Location of Survey Sites                       | 134 |
| 3.7    | Data Analysis                                  | 135 |
| <br>   |  |     |
| 4      | <b>RESULT AND DISCUSSION</b>                   |     |
| 4.0    | Introduction                                   | 137 |
| 4.1.   | Respondent Socio Demographic Profile           | 138 |
| 4.1.1  | Income   | 138 |
| 4.1.2  | Age  | 139 |
| 4.1.3  | Sex  | 142 |
| 4.1.4  | Education Level                                | 142 |
| 4.1.5  | Ethnic Group                                   | 144 |
| 4.2    | Visit and Travel Characteristics               | 145 |
| 4.2.1  | Frequency of Visitation                        | 145 |
| 4.2.2  | Distance                                       | 146 |
| 4.2.3  | Distance to Alternative Sites                  | 147 |
| 4.2.4  | Expenditure during Travelling                  | 148 |

|  |     |
|--|-----|
| 4.2.5 Expenditure On-Site  | 148 |
| 4.2.6 Travelling Time  | 149 |
| 4.2.7 On-Site Time   | 150 |
| 4.2.8 State of Origin  | 152 |
| 4.3 Visitors' Perception   | 153 |
| 4.3.1 Perception over Crowd Level  | 153 |
| 4.3.2 Satisfaction towards Facilities                                      | 154 |
| 4.4 Recreational Demand Estimation   | 155 |
| 4.4.1 Zonal Travel Cost Model  | 156 |
| 4.4.2 Basic Travel Cost Model  | 156 |
| 4.4.3 The Modified Model   | 157 |
| 4.4.4 Heteroscedasticity   | 158 |
| 4.4.5 Multicollinearity  | 159 |
| 4.4.6 Comparison of Selected Functional Forms<br>of the Transformed Models | 161 |
| 4.5 The Effect of Explanatory Variables                                    | 162 |
| 4.5.1 Travelling Cost  | 162 |
| 4.5.2 The Effect of Alternative Locations                                  | 164 |
| 4.5.3 The Effect of Time   | 166 |
| 4.5.4 The Effect of On-Site Time   | 166 |
| 4.5.5 The Effect of On-Site Expenditure                                    | 167 |
| 4.5.6 The Motivational Factors   | 168 |
| 4.5.7 The Effect of Education Years  | 169 |
| 4.5.8 The Effect of Ethnic Group   | 169 |
| 4.5.9 The Effect of Age  | 169 |
| 4.5.10 The Effect of Sex   | 170 |
| 4.5.11 The Effect of Income  | 171 |
| 4.5.12 The Effect of Perceived Quality Factors                             | 171 |
| 4.6 Estimation of the Consumer Surplus                                     | 173 |
| 4.7 Individual Travelling Cost Model                                       | 176 |
| 4.7.1 Poisson Count Model  | 176 |
| 4.7.2 Negative Binomial Result   | 177 |
| 4.7.3 Recreational Value Estimation – ITCM                                 | 179 |
| 4.8 Comparing the Total Net Recreational Value                             | 180 |
| <br>   |     |
| 5 <b>CONCLUSION</b>  |     |
| 5.0 Introduction   | 183 |
| 5.1 Summary of Thesis  | 184 |
| 5.2 Conclusion of the Study  | 189 |
| 5.3 Implication to the Management  | 197 |
| 5.3.1 The Implications of the Socio-Demographic Variables                  | 197 |
| 5.3.2 Implications of Visit and Travel Characteristics                     | 199 |
| 5.3.3 Implications of Visitor's Perception towards<br>Site Quality         | 201 |
| 5.4 Contribution of the Study  | 203 |
| 5.5 Suggestions for Future Study   | 204 |

|                              |     |
|------------------------------|-----|
| 5.6 Limitations of the Study | 208 |
| <b>REFERENCES</b>            | 210 |
| <b>APPENDICES</b>            | 226 |
| <b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>    | 271 |
| <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>  | 276 |

