



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSIONS OF PROTEOMES OF OIL PALM  
(*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS* JACQ.) AND *ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA*  
TISSUES DURING CALLOGENESIS**

**ROZITA BINTI ZAMRI**

**FBSB 2010 7**



**DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSIONS OF PROTEOMES OF OIL PALM (*ELAEIS  
GUINEENSIS* JACQ.) AND *ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA* TISSUES  
DURING CALLOGENESIS**

**By**

**ROZITA BINTI ZAMRI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Master of Science**

**July 2010**



Abstract of thesis is presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science.

**DIFFERENTIAL EXPRESSIONS OF PROTEOMES OF OIL PALM (*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS* JACQ.) AND *ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA* TISSUES DURING CALLOGENESIS**

By

**ROZITA BINTI ZAMRI**

**July 2010**

**Chairman : Assoc. Prof. Mohd Puad Abdullah, PhD**

**Faculty : Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences**

In *in vitro* propagation, callus is initiated by exposing explants to the appropriate types and concentrations of plant growth regulators, and the use of suitable medium. The efficiency of callogenesis varies from plant to plant, and is dependent on the ability of each and every key player in the particular cells or tissues to respond to the inductive stimuli. The key players in this process are active functional proteins required, and should be available on time and in the right amount for completing the process. This study focuses on differentially expressed proteins in oil palm and *A. thaliana* tissues during callogenesis. Micropropagation is commercially desired for cloning and multiplication of a very high yielding planting material with elite characteristics. However, oil palm micropropagation is rather a slow and inefficient process. Oil palm callogenesis



rate is low in comparison to other plants. Using *A. thaliana* as a model plant, this study aims to discover the active candidate proteins which have the potential to trigger *in vitro* callogenesis. The first step in the investigation using Proteinchip®-SELDI-TOF-MS approach was to generate complete protein profiles of both plants tissues during callogenesis based on distinct morphological appearances. Ten oil palm ortets, 4 replicates each were sampled. Two hundred explants per replicate cultured, producing a total of 800 explants from each lines. A time course protein extraction and profiling were conducted at monthly intervals at month 0 (before media treatment), month 1, 2 and 3 until callus was formed at month 4 (after media treatment). At least 37 protein peaks were up-regulated when most of the explants induced callus during the fourth month. Out of the 37 proteins, 14 are differentially expressed only at callus stage. Ten replicates of *A. thaliana* samples were cultured. Explant development and callus induction were observed until callus was formed at 20<sup>th</sup> day. Protein profiling were conducted at five distinct developmental stages, which are at day 0 (before media treatment), day 5, day 10, day 15 and day 20 (after media treatment). Six potential up-regulated protein peaks were recognized to be present at the critical stage of callusing in *A. thaliana*. Differentially-expressed proteins from both oil palm and *A. thaliana* were compared. Six potential callogenesis protein candidates were identified. The proteins were not present in the leaf explants suggesting that they were induced as a result of *in vitro* callus induction.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains.

**EKSPRESI BERBEZA PROTEOM TISU KELAPA SAWIT (*ELAEIS GUINEENSIS* JACQ.) DAN *ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA* SEMASA KALOGENESIS**

Oleh

**ROZITA BINTI ZAMRI**

**Julai 2010**

**Pengerusi : Prof. Madya Mohd Puad Abdullah, PhD**

**Fakulti : Bioteknologi dan Sains Biomolekul**

Di dalam propagasi *in vitro*, kalus diperolehi secara mendedahkan eksplan kepada jenis dan kepekatan pengaruh tumbesaran tumbuhan dan penggunaan medium yang bersesuaian. Keberkesanan kalogenesis berbeza dari satu tumbuhan ke tumbuhan yang lain, dan bergantung kepada kebolehan setiap satu pemain utama di dalam sel atau tisu tertentu untuk bertindak balas terhadap pengaruh induksi. Pemain utama dalam proses ini merupakan protein-protein berfungsi aktif yang diperlukan dan mestilah hadir pada waktu dan jumlah yang tepat bagi melengkapkan proses tersebut. Kajian ini memberi fokus kepada protein yang diekspres berbeza di dalam tisu kelapa sawit dan *A. thaliana* semasa kalogenesis. Mikroperambatan adalah diminati secara komersil untuk pengklonan dan penggandaan bahan tanaman berhasil tinggi dengan ciri-



ciri elit. Walaubagaimanapun, mikroperambatan kelapa sawit merupakan proses yang perlahan dan tidak efisien. Kadar kalogenesis kelapa sawit rendah berbanding dengan tumbuhan lain. Dengan menggunakan *A. thaliana* sebagai tumbuhan model, matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti calon protein aktif yang berpotensi mengaruh kalogenesis *in vitro*. Langkah pertama dalam kaedah kajian ini yang menggunakan pendekatan Proteinchip®-SELDI-TOF-MS adalah untuk membina profil protein yang lengkap daripada tisu kedua-dua tumbuhan semasa kalogenesis berdasarkan kepada perbezaan penampilan morfologi. Sepuluh ortet kelapa sawit telah disampel, empat replikasi daun umbut diambil daripada setiap ortet untuk induksi kalus. Dua ratus eksplan dikultur dari setiap daun, memberi jumlah keseluruhan 800 eksplan daripada setiap ortet. Ekstraksi dan pemprofilan protein secara masa-berjadual dijalankan pada setiap bulan, iaitu bulan 0 (sebelum rawatan media), bulan pertama, kedua dan ketiga sehingga kalus terhasil pada bulan keempat. Sekurang-kurangnya 37 puncak protein 'up-regulated' apabila kebanyakan eksplan bertukar kepada kalus di bulan keempat. Daripada 37 protein tersebut, 14 protein telah diekspres berbeza hanya di peringkat kalus sahaja. Sepuluh replikasi *A. thaliana* telah dikultur. Perkembangan eksplan and induksi kalus *A. thaliana* diperhatikan sehingga kalus terhasil pada hari ke-20. Pemprofilan protein dijalankan pada 5 peringkat perkembangan yang berbeza, iaitu semasa hari 0 (sebelum rawatan media), hari ke-5, hari ke-10, hari ke-15 dan hari ke-20 (selepas rawatan media). Enam protein 'up-regulated' berpotensi dikenalpasti

hadir pada peringkat kritikal semasa kalogenesis *A. thaliana*. Protein yang dieskpres berbeza daripada kelapa sawit dan *A. thaliana* telah dibandingkan. Sebanyak enam calon protein kalogenesis berpotensi telah dikenalpasti. Protein-protein ini tidak hadir di dalam daun eksplan, lantas mencadangkan bahawa mereka diaruh daripada induksi kalus *in vitro*.

## **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

I wish to thank the management of Guthrie Biotech Laboratory Sdn Bhd for allowing me to enroll for this degree, and to my ex-colleagues for their assistance during my attachment there. A myriad of thanks is dedicated to my Supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Mohd Puad Abdullah, for his guidance and supervision throughout the years. I would also wish to express my deep appreciation to my dearest husband, Mr. Hafis Abd Jalil for his never-ending support and encouragement towards this achievement.





## APPROVAL I

I certify that a Thesis Examination Committee has met on 16<sup>th</sup> July 2010 to conduct the final examination of **Rozita Binti Zamri** on her degree thesis entitled "Differential expressions of proteomes of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) and *Arabidopsis thaliana* tissues during callogenesis" in accordance with the Universities and University Colleges Act 1971 and the Constitution of the Universiti Putra Malaysia [P.U.(A) 106] 15 March 1998. The Committee recommends that the student be awarded the Master of Science.

Members of the Thesis Examination Committee were as follows:

Dr. Parameswari Namasivayam, PhD  
Jabatan Biologi Sel & Molekul  
Fakulti Bioteknologi dan Sains Molekul  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

Prof. Madya Dr. Norihan Mohd Salleh, PhD  
Jabatan Biologi Sel & Molekul  
Fakulti Bioteknologi dan Sains Molekul  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

Dr. Janna Ong Abdullah, PhD  
Jabatan Mikrobiologi  
Fakulti Bioteknologi dan Sains Molekul  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Internal Examiner)

Prof. Madya Dr. Ismanizan Ismail, PhD  
Pusat Pengajian Biosains dan Bioteknologi  
Fakulti Sains dan Teknologi  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
43600 UKM Bangi, Selangor  
(External Examiner)

---

**BUJANG KIM HUAT, PhD**  
Professor and Deputy Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
Date:



This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of **Master of Science**. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Mohd Puad Abdullah, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Department of Cell and Molecular Biology  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd Arif Syed, PhD**

Professor  
Department of Biochemistry  
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Sciences  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Abdul Karim Abdul Ghani, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Science and Technology  
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**HASANAH MOHD GHAZALI, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: December 2010



## DECLARATION

I declare that the thesis is my original work except for quotations and citations which have been duly acknowledged. I also declare that it has not been previously, and is not concurrently, submitted for any other degree at Universiti Putra Malaysia or any other institution.

---

**ROZITA ZAMRI**

Date: 16 July 2010



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENT</b>	vi
<b>APPROVAL</b>	vii
<b>DECLARATION</b>	ix
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xvii
<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xx
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	
2.1 An overview of plant micropropagation	5
2.1.1 Tissue culture process	5
2.1.2 Somatic embryogenesis	6
2.1.3 Callogenesis	7
2.2 <i>Elaeis guineensis</i> , the 'golden crop' of Malaysia	8
2.2.1 Micropropagation of oil palm	10
2.2.2 Constraint in oil palm micropropagation	13
2.3 <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> as a model plant	14
2.4 Technology used to profile and analyse plant proteome	15
2.4.1 Two dimensional-Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis	16
2.4.2 Mass Spectrometry technology	16
2.4.3 SELDI-Proteinchip array technology processes	19
2.4.4 Types of proteinchip arrays	19
2.5 Plant proteomics in general	22
2.5.1 Proteins associated with plant stress	23
2.5.2 Proteins in wounded plant tissue	24
2.5.3 Proteins associated with callogenesis	25
2.5.4 Proteins of oil palm	27
2.5.5 Purification of proteins based on SELDI-proteinchip array technology	28
<b>3 OPTIMIZATION OF SELDI PROTEINCHIP ARRAY SYSTEM</b>	
3.1 INTRODUCTION	30
3.2 MATERIALS	31



3.2.1	Oil palm spear leaf samples	31
3.2.2	Chemicals	32
3.3	GENERAL METHODS	32
3.3.1	Spear leaf sampling	32
3.3.2	Extraction of crude protein	33
3.3.3	Preparation of matrix solution	33
3.3.4	NP20 proteinchip array protocol	34
3.3.5	H50 proteinchip array protocol	34
3.3.6	CM10 proteinchip array protocol	35
3.3.7	Calibration	35
3.3.8	SELDI data acquisition	36
3.4	EXPERIMENTAL METHODS	37
3.4.1	Effect of laser intensity on ionization of proteins	37
3.4.2	Effect of different matrices on protein crystallization and ionization	37
3.4.3	Effect of different ACN concentrations on protein binding capability on H50 proteinchip array	38
3.4.4	Effect of different types of buffers on protein binding capability on CM10 proteinchip array	39
3.4.5	Reproducibility of SELDI profiles	40
3.5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	40
3.5.1	Effect of laser intensity on ionization of proteins	40
3.5.2	Effect of different matrices on protein crystallization and ionization	43
3.5.3	Effect of different ACN concentrations on protein binding capability on the H50 proteinchip array	46
3.5.4	Effect of different types of buffers on protein binding capability on CM10 proteinchip array	47
3.5.5	Reproducibility of SELDI profiles	49
3.6	CONCLUSION	54
<b>4</b>	<b>PROFILING OF PROTEINS IN OIL PALM TISSUES DURING CALLOGENESIS</b>	
4.1	INTRODUCTION	56
4.2	MATERIALS	58
4.2.1	Research samples	58
4.2.2	Chemicals / Reagents	58
4.3	METHODS	58
4.3.1	Spear sampling	58
4.3.2	Tissue culture related methods	58
4.3.3	Crude protein extraction	59
4.3.4	Protein profiling related methods	59
4.3.5	Experimental design	60
4.3.6	Statistics and bioinformatics	61



4.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	61
4.4.1	Callogenesis frequency and duration	61
4.4.2	Morphological changes of oil palm tissues during callogenesis	62
4.4.3	SELDI profiles of protein signals of spear leaf explants during callogenesis	65
4.4.4	Differentially expressed proteins of oil palm during callogenesis	69
4.5	CONCLUSION	74
<b>5</b>	<b>PROFILING OF PROTEINS IN <i>ARABIDOPSIS THALIANA</i> TISSUES DURING CALLOGENESIS</b>	
5.1	INTRODUCTION	76
5.2	MATERIALS	77
5.2.1	Chemicals / Reagents	77
5.2.2	<i>A. thaliana</i> seeds	77
5.2.3	Equipments & materials	78
5.3	METHODS	78
5.3.1	Tissue culture related methods	78
5.3.1.1	Seed sterilization	78
5.3.1.2	Seed germination	78
5.3.1.3	Callus initiation	79
5.3.2	Crude protein preparation	79
5.3.3	Protein profiling related methods	80
5.3.4	Experimental design	80
5.3.5	Statistics and bioinformatics	81
5.4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	81
5.4.1	Morphological changes of <i>A. thaliana</i> during callogenesis	81
5.4.2	Proteomes profiles of <i>A. thaliana</i> tissues during callogenesis	84
5.4.3	Differentially-expressed protein peaks during callogenesis of <i>A. thaliana</i>	90
5.4.4	Potential candidates for callogenesis-related proteins from <i>A. thaliana</i>	92
<b>6</b>	<b>GENERAL DISCUSSION</b>	<b>95</b>
<b>7</b>	<b>SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH</b>	<b>99</b>
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>102</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>115</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>120</b>

