REVIEW ARTICLE

Is Clinical Pelvimetry Still Relevant in the Modern Era? A Narrative Review

Noorkardiffa Syawalina[1], Razif Abas[2]

[1] Department of Obstetric and Gynaecology, Faculty of Medicine, Universiti Teknologi MARA, Kampus Sungai Buloh, 47000 Sungai Buloh, Selangor, Malaysia.
[2] Department of Human Anatomy, Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

ABSTRACT

Clinical pelvimetry involves the manual examination and measurement of bony landmarks to estimate pelvic diameters. Anatomically, this practice evaluates pelvic structures crucial for childbirth, aiding in anticipating challenges during delivery. However, evolving technologies such as ultrasonography and AI-driven imaging challenge its conventional role. Skepticism regarding reliability, proficiency, ethical considerations, and cost-effectiveness poses dilemmas regarding its contemporary use. Despite reduced routine application, clinical pelvimetry retains significance in specific scenarios, guiding decisions for high-risk pregnancies and assessing recurrent complications. Striking a balance between traditional and innovative approaches while considering guidelines, continuous education, cost-benefit ratios, and patient autonomy defines its relevance. Embracing its predictive value in select cases ensures improved obstetric outcomes. This article navigates the landscape of clinical pelvimetry, highlighting its evolution, challenges, and ongoing relevance, envisaging its vital role in modern obstetrics.
Malaysian Journal of Medicine and Health Sciences (2025) 21(3): 522-528. doi:10.47836/mjmhs.21.3.60

Keywords: Anatomical landmarks, Healthcare, Maternal, Obstetric, Pelvimetry

Corresponding Author:
Razif Abas, PhD
Email: razifabas@gmail.com
Tel : +60133946543

INTRODUCTION

Pelvimetry encompasses various techniques used to assess maternal pelvic dimensions. These methods include clinical pelvimetry, which involves manual examination and measurement of bony landmarks by healthcare professionals to estimate pelvic diameters (1). Radiological pelvimetry utilizes imaging techniques such as X-rays, computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and ultrasound to obtain detailed and accurate measurements of pelvic structures (2). Ultrasound pelvimetry, a non-invasive method, employs ultrasound imaging to assess pelvic dimensions, providing insights into specific parameters like the obstetric conjugate and transverse diameter (3). Each type of pelvimetry offers distinct advantages and limitations, and the choice of method depends on factors such as availability, safety considerations, and the need for precise pelvic assessments in obstetric practise.

Clinical pelvimetry, from an anatomical standpoint, encompasses the meticulous assessment of the maternal pelvis to comprehend its dimensions and configuration. The pelvis, a complex bony structure, comprises the hip bones (ilium, ischium, and pubis), sacrum, and coccyx, forming a basin-like cavity (4). Its primary function lies in providing support to the spinal column and bearing the weight of the upper body. However, in obstetrics, the pelvis assumes a critical role as the passageway for childbirth. Understanding its anatomical intricacies through clinical pelvimetry is fundamental in anticipating potential challenges or complications during delivery.

In clinical practise, obstetricians employ palpation and measurements of specific bony landmarks to gauge pelvic dimensions. These landmarks include the sacral promontory, pubic symphysis, ischial spines, and the anterior superior iliac spines. Crucial pelvic measurements, such as the diagonal conjugate, obstetric conjugate, and transverse diameter, offer insights into the adequacy of the pelvic inlet and its ability to accommodate foetal passage during birth (1). This anatomical assessment aids in estimating the size, shape, and capacity of the maternal pelvis, serving as a predictive tool for obstetric outcomes.

Anatomically, the pelvis exhibits sexual dimorphism, with distinctions between the male and female pelvis. The female pelvis, characterized by a wider and more spacious configuration, is adapted to accommodate childbirth. Its greater pelvic inlet, broader pubic arch, and

wider subpubic angle facilitate the passage of the infant through the birth canal (4). Clinical pelvimetry, rooted in anatomical understanding, recognises and utilizes these structural differences to evaluate the maternal pelvis suitability for parturition. This assessment not only involves identifying variations in pelvic types but also considers the potential impact of pelvic anomalies or abnormalities on the birthing process.

Clinical pelvimetry, therefore, delves into the anatomical dimensions and variations of the maternal pelvis, integrating this knowledge to foresee potential obstetric challenges. By grounding obstetric decision-making in an anatomical context, clinicians aim to optimize maternal-foetal outcomes by anticipating, assessing, and preparing for the dynamic interplay between foetal presentation and the anatomical constraints of the birth canal.

EVOLUTION OF OBSTETRIC PRACTISE

The landscape of obstetric practise has undergone a profound transformation over the years, significantly impacting the utilisation and relevance of clinical pelvimetry. Historically, clinical pelvimetry stood as a cornerstone in obstetric decision-making, guiding clinicians in assessing the maternal pelvic dimensions and predicting potential challenges during childbirth (5). However, the evolution of obstetric care, marked by advancements in medical technologies, changes in birthing practises, and a paradigm shift toward evidence-based medicine, has reshaped the role and significance of traditional methods like clinical pelvimetry.

Advancements in imaging technologies have revolutionized obstetrics, offering clinicians a more detailed and precise understanding of maternal-foetal dynamics. The advent of ultrasonography, magnetic resonance imaging (MRI), and computed tomography (CT) scans has provided obstetricians with non-invasive tools to visualise and measure pelvic structures with enhanced accuracy (6). These imaging modalities enable a comprehensive assessment of the maternal pelvis, offering insights into pelvic dimensions and configurations that surpass the limitations of manual clinical pelvimetry.

Moreover, the evolution of childbirth practises and the increasing emphasis on patient-centered care have influenced obstetric decision-making (7). The shift toward a more holistic approach, focusing on individualised care and shared decision-making between healthcare providers and expectant mothers, has redefined the criteria for utilising techniques like clinical pelvimetry. Obstetric care now integrates multiple facets, including psychological, social, and cultural aspects, impacting the necessity and application of traditional obstetric assessments like clinical pelvimetry (8).

Furthermore, evidence-based medicine has driven a critical reassessment of the reliability and predictive value of clinical pelvimetry (9). As obstetrics gravitates toward data-driven decision-making, studies questioning the accuracy and clinical significance of pelvimetry measurements have surfaced (10). This critical evaluation prompts obstetricians to reevaluate the traditional reliance on clinical pelvimetry in the context of its precision and clinical utility within modern obstetric practise.

CONTEMPORARY OBSTETRIC AND IMAGING TECHNIQUES

In the realm of modern obstetrics, the integration of advanced imaging techniques has influenced the evaluation of maternal pelvic anatomy, redefining the landscape of clinical pelvimetry (6). Transabdominal and transperineal ultrasound scans provide clinicians with valuable information about specific parameters, including the obstetric conjugate, intercristal diameter, and transverse diameter, enabling a more detailed evaluation of the maternal pelvis (11, 12). This imaging modality not only facilitates a dynamic visualisation of pelvic structures but also allows for real-time assessments during pregnancy, guiding obstetric decision-making with precision.

Radiological imaging techniques, such as magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) and computed tomography (CT), have expanded the horizons of pelvic assessment by providing detailed cross-sectional images of the pelvis. MRI pelvimetry offers a comprehensive view of pelvic bony and soft tissue structures without the use of ionizing radiation (13). It enables precise measurements and evaluation of pelvic dimensions, contributing to a more accurate understanding of maternal pelvic morphology. However, MRI is typically reserved for complex cases due to its higher cost and the need for specialized interpretation skills.

Similarly, CT pelvimetry, although less commonly used due to radiation concerns, offers detailed three-dimensional reconstructions of pelvic anatomy, aiding in obstetric assessments in specific clinical scenarios (14). The use of CT pelvimetry is generally limited to situations where alternative imaging modalities are inadequate or unavailable.

The amalgamation of these contemporary imaging techniques has revolutionized obstetric care, supplementing or sometimes supplanting traditional clinical pelvimetry. These technological advancements empower obstetricians with a wealth of detailed information about pelvic dimensions and anatomical variations, potentially enhancing diagnostic accuracy and guiding decisions regarding the management of pregnancies. However, while these imaging modalities

offer superior visualisation and precise measurements, their widespread integration into routine obstetric practise raises considerations regarding accessibility, cost, and the necessity for comprehensive training among healthcare professionals to interpret and utilize these imaging findings effectively (15).

Although some of these imaging techniques originated in research settings, they have gradually been adopted into clinical practice, particularly in specialized or high-risk cases. Continued research and technological advancements are expected to further refine these methods, enhancing their applicability and reliability in obstetric care.

CHALLENGES AND CONTROVERSIES SURROUNDING PELVIMETRY

Clinical pelvimetry, once considered fundamental in obstetrics, is now embroiled in a spectrum of challenges and controversies. One of the primary debates revolves around the predictive value and reliability of pelvimetry measurements. Critics argue that manual measurements obtained through palpation may lack consistency and precision, potentially leading to variability in results between practitioners (16). This inconsistency raises questions about the clinical significance of these measurements in accurately predicting obstetric outcomes, consequently fueling skepticism about the utility of clinical pelvimetry in modern obstetric practise.

Radiological pelvimetry, including techniques such as MRI and CT, offers a more reliable alternative to clinical pelvimetry due to the precision and detail provided by imaging (17). The reliability of radiological pelvimetry, however, is not absolute; it can be influenced by factors such as image resolution, patient positioning, and the specific parameters being measured. Sensitivity and specificity in predicting cephalopelvic disproportion (CPD) and other obstetric outcomes are generally high, but they are context dependent. For instance, MRI is particularly valued for its high sensitivity in assessing soft tissue structures, while CT is often preferred for bony pelvic measurements (15).

However, the learning curve associated with radiological pelvimetry poses a significant challenge. Accurate interpretation of MRI and CT pelvimetry requires specialized training and experience, which not all obstetric practitioners may possess (3). As the use of these advanced imaging modalities becomes more prevalent, the need for standardized training programs and continuous education becomes increasingly important to ensure the accuracy and consistency of interpretations.

Moreover, the diminishing emphasis on routine pelvimetry assessments in obstetric training programs contributes to the erosion of skills required for accurate measurements (18). The shift towards technology-driven obstetric care has led to a decline in the emphasis on teaching and practicing manual pelvic assessments (19). As a result, there is a concern that a lack of proficiency in clinical pelvimetry among healthcare professionals may compromise its accuracy and relevance in contemporary obstetrics.

The ethical considerations surrounding radiation exposure in radiological pelvimetry, particularly X-ray-based techniques, pose a challenge (20). While techniques like CT pelvimetry provide detailed images, concerns about potential harm from ionizing radiation have led to a reduction in their usage in routine obstetric care (21). This dilemma between obtaining accurate pelvic measurements and ensuring the safety of both the mother and foetus underscores the complexity surrounding the utilisation of certain pelvimetry methods.

Furthermore, the ongoing discourse on the clinical necessity and cost-effectiveness of pelvimetry in modern obstetrics adds to the controversies (15). With the availability of advanced imaging technologies offering more precise and comprehensive pelvic assessments, the practical value of manual pelvimetry measurements has been called into question. The debate lies in striking a balance between the traditional practise of clinical pelvimetry and the incorporation of newer, more sophisticated imaging modalities, considering their relative costs, accessibility, and impact on obstetric decision-making.

ADVANCEMENTS AND ALTERNATIVE APPROACHES

The evolution of obstetric practise has led to the emergence of alternative approaches and innovative advancements in pelvic assessment, influencing the role of traditional clinical pelvimetry. Non-invasive imaging modalities have gained prominence, offering more detailed and precise evaluations of pelvic dimensions. Ultrasonography, especially three-dimensional (3D) ultrasound pelvimetry or even four-dimensional (4D), has emerged as a valuable alternative, offering comprehensive visualisation and measurements of pelvic structures without radiation exposure (22). This technology offers a dynamic view of the pelvis and enables accurate assessments of specific parameters, potentially surpassing the limitations of manual clinical pelvimetry.

In current obstetric practice, ultrasound pelvimetry is increasingly being utilized due to its accessibility, non-invasiveness, and ability to provide accurate, real-time data on pelvic dimensions. It has become a standard

tool in many clinical settings, particularly in cases where detailed pelvic assessment is required to inform obstetric decision-making (6).

However, the adoption of ultrasound pelvimetry also introduces a learning curve for obstetricians. Interpreting 3D and 4D ultrasound images requires specialized training and experience to accurately assess pelvic structures. The complexity of these imaging modalities necessitates ongoing education and hands-on experience to ensure that clinicians can consistently produce reliable and precise measurements (6). As ultrasound technology continues to advance, the need for standardized training programs becomes increasingly important to maximize the benefits of these imaging techniques.

Additionally, the integration of artificial intelligence (AI) and predictive modeling techniques has revolutionized obstetric imaging analysis (23). AI-driven algorithms facilitate automated measurements and analysis of pelvic dimensions obtained from imaging studies, enhancing accuracy and reproducibility. These technological advancements offer a standardised approach to pelvic assessment, reducing interobserver variability and potentially improving the reliability of measurements compared to manual clinical pelvimetry.

Moreover, alternative methods for assessing maternal-foetal compatibility have surfaced, focusing on a broader spectrum of parameters beyond traditional pelvic measurements. Biomechanical modeling and simulation techniques have gained attention, offering dynamic assessments of the interaction between maternal pelvic anatomy and foetal presentation during labour (24). These simulations provide insights into the mechanics of childbirth, allowing clinicians to predict and understand the impact of various factors on the birthing process, potentially complementing the information provided by traditional clinical pelvimetry.

CLINICAL RELEVANCE IN SPECIFIC OBSTETRIC SCENARIOS

Although the relevance of clinical pelvimetry in routine obstetric practise has undergone scrutiny, its significance persists in specific clinical scenarios. In cases of suspected CPD, where there is concern about the adequacy of the maternal pelvis to accommodate foetal passage, clinical pelvimetry retains its importance (25). It offers insights into pelvic dimensions and configurations, aiding clinicians in making informed decisions regarding the mode of delivery, especially in instances where vaginal delivery might pose risks to the mother or foetus.

Moreover, in pregnancies with a history of previous difficult or obstructed labour, clinical pelvimetry plays a role in assessing the likelihood of recurrent issues (5). The measurements obtained through pelvimetry help clinicians anticipate potential challenges and tailor obstetric management strategies accordingly. For instance, in cases where a woman previously underwent a caesarean section due to CPD, clinical pelvimetry could assist in determining the feasibility of a trial of labour after caesarean (TOLAC), guiding clinicians in their decision-making process (26).

Furthermore, clinical pelvimetry retains relevance in specific high-risk pregnancies, such as those complicated by foetal malpresentation or abnormalities (27). When foetal malpresentation, such as breech presentation, occurs, pelvic measurements obtained through clinical pelvimetry assist in evaluating the suitability of the pelvis for vaginal delivery in such non-vertex presentations. This information guides obstetricians in discussing the risks and benefits of attempting a vaginal delivery or opting for a planned caesarean section, aiming to ensure the safest possible outcome for both the mother and the baby.

In summary, while the routine use of clinical pelvimetry in contemporary obstetrics has diminished, its utility persists in specific clinical contexts. It serves as a valuable tool in guiding clinical decision-making in scenarios where there are concerns about the adequacy of the maternal pelvis or anticipated challenges during childbirth, aiding healthcare providers in optimizing obstetric management strategies for improved maternal and neonatal outcomes.

CONSIDERATIONS FOR MODERN OBSTETRIC PRACTISE

In the modern era of obstetrics, the role of clinical pelvimetry necessitates careful consideration within the framework of evolving medical practise. The integration of advanced imaging technologies and evidence-based medicine prompts a critical reassessment of the place of manual pelvimetry in routine obstetric care. As obstetricians navigate the balance between tradition and innovation, the need for comprehensive guidelines or protocols regarding the judicious use of clinical pelvimetry becomes increasingly apparent (28). Clear directives outlining the specific scenarios or clinical indications where manual pelvic assessments provide substantial value are essential to guide obstetricians in decision-making.

Furthermore, the education and training of healthcare professionals in the practise of clinical pelvimetry remain pivotal. Despite the shift towards technology-driven assessments, maintaining proficiency in manual pelvic measurements becomes imperative. Obstetric training programs should emphasize the acquisition and refinement of skills related to clinical pelvimetry, ensuring that healthcare providers retain the capability to perform accurate pelvic assessments

when needed (1). Continuous professional development and standardisation of training protocols may aid in maintaining the clinical competence required for effective pelvimetry.

The cost-effectiveness and resource utilisation pertaining to clinical pelvimetry merit consideration within healthcare systems. In contexts where advanced imaging modalities are readily available, the practicality of routinely conducting manual pelvic assessments may be subject to scrutiny. Assessing the cost-benefit ratio of employing traditional clinical pelvimetry against the backdrop of alternative imaging techniques becomes essential, especially in healthcare settings where resources are limited (29-31). Striking a balance between the clinical necessity, accessibility, and cost implications of pelvic assessments remains a fundamental consideration in modern obstetric practise.

Moreover, the ethical dimensions surrounding patient autonomy and shared decision-making in obstetric care should be integral to the discussion of clinical pelvimetry. Engaging expectant mothers in discussions regarding the role of pelvic assessments, providing comprehensive information about the benefits and limitations, and ensuring their active involvement in decision-making processes align with patient-centered care. Respecting patient preferences while considering the utility and potential implications of clinical pelvimetry becomes a cornerstone of modern obstetric practise (32, 33).

CONCLUSION

The exploration of clinical pelvimetry offers a comprehensive understanding of its historical significance, anatomical foundations, and evolution in the context of modern obstetric practise. It is evident that pelvimetry, encompassing diverse techniques from manual assessments to advanced imaging modalities, continues to serve as a vital tool in obstetric care. Anatomically, the intricate assessment of maternal pelvic dimensions remains crucial for foreseeing potential challenges during childbirth, despite the evolving landscape of obstetrics.

Throughout history, obstetric practise have witnessed a transformative shift, driven by technological advancements and evidence-based approaches. While traditional clinical pelvimetry held a central position in obstetric decision-making, the integration of innovative imaging techniques has challenged its routine use. The emergence of ultrasonography, MRI, CT scans, and AI-driven analyses has broadened the horizons of pelvic assessment, offering detailed insights and precise measurements that transcend the limitations of manual assessments.

However, amidst these advancements, challenges and controversies encircle the relevance and practicality of traditional clinical pelvimetry. Concerns about reliability, proficiency, ethical considerations, and cost-effectiveness confront its widespread adoption in contemporary obstetric care. Despite these challenges, specific clinical scenarios, such as suspected cephalopelvic disproportion, previous difficult labours, or high-risk pregnancies, underscore the continued significance of pelvimetry in guiding obstetric decision-making.

Moving forward, considerations for modern obstetric practise demand a thoughtful balance between tradition and innovation. Establishing clear guidelines for judicious use, continuous training and education, assessing cost-benefit ratios, and respecting patient autonomy should define the role of clinical pelvimetry. Its utilisation in specific scenarios where its predictive value remains substantial reinforces its place in modern obstetric care, ensuring optimized management strategies and improved maternal and neonatal outcomes.

In conclusion, the narrative journey through clinical pelvimetry delineates its dynamic evolution, challenges, and enduring relevance in obstetric practise. While newer technologies expand diagnostic capabilities, clinical pelvimetry's distinct role in guiding obstetric decision-making remains rooted in its foundational anatomical insights. Striking a balance between embracing innovation and acknowledging the significance of traditional practise will shape the future landscape of clinical pelvimetry within modern obstetrics.

REFERENCES

1. Estrada Trejo F, Kuba K, Gurewitsch Allen E. Traditional obstetric pelvimetry in delivery and labor. Intrapartum Ultrasonography for Labor Management: Labor, Delivery and Puerperium. 2021:85-106. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-57595-3_9
2. Karantanas A. Pelvis/hip: adult. Measurements in Musculoskeletal Radiology. 2020:459-516.
3. Keller TM, Rake A, Michel SC, Seifert B, Efe G, Treiber K, et al. Obstetric MR pelvimetry: reference values and evaluation of inter-and intraobserver error and intraindividual variability. Radiology. 2003;227(1):37-43. https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2271011658.
4. Standring S. Gray's anatomy e-book: the anatomical basis of clinical practice: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2021.
5. Pavličev M, Romero R, Mitteroecker P. Evolution of the human pelvis and obstructed labor: new explanations of an old obstetrical dilemma. American journal of obstetrics and gynecology. 2020;222(1):3-16. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajog.2019.06.043
6. Iliescu DG, Belciug S, Gheonea IA. Simulation and Learning Curve of the Traditional and Sonographic

Pelvimetry. Practical Guide to Simulation in Delivery Room Emergencies: Springer; 2023. p. 289-308.
7. Johnson GE, Kan L, Nguyen J, Campbell K, Ralph L, Koenig N, et al. Development of patient-centered outcomes for labour and birth: a qualitative study. Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2022;49(1):21. https://doi.org/10.31083/j.ceog4901021
8. Davis-Floyd R, Premkumar A. Obstetric Violence and Systemic Disparities: Can Obstetrics be Humanized and Decolonized?: Berghahn Books; 2023.
9. D'Souza AP. Check for updates Newer Concept of Antenatal Care and Antenatal Examination/ Assessment Pramila D'Souza, Reena Wilma Frank, Joylene Diana D'Almeida, and Shashi Kabra Maheshwari. Labour and Delivery: An Updated Guide. 2023:135.
10. Organization WH. WHO labour care guide: user's manual. 2020.
11. Rizzo G, Ghi T, Henrich W, Tutschek B, Kamel R, Lees CC, et al. Ultrasound in labor: clinical practice guideline and recommendation by the WAPM-World Association of Perinatal Medicine and the PMF-Perinatal Medicine Foundation. Journal of Perinatal medicine. 2022;50(8):1007-29. https://doi.org/10.1515/jpm-2022-0160.
12. Carter P, Baker QF, Adds PJ. Anatomy of the Pelvis and Perineum. Anatomy: CRC Press; 2022. p. 197-233.
13. Bychenko VG, Kulabukhova EA, Baev OR, Babich DA. Magnetic resonance imaging pelvimetry. Obstetrics and Gynecology. 2021(9):190-8. https://doi.org/10.18565/aig.2021.9.190-198
14. Starrach T, Schmidhuber L, Elger L, Franz M, Buechel J, Ньbener C, et al. Pelvic inlet area is associated with birth mode. Acta Obstetricia et Gynecologica Scandinavica. 2023;102(1):59-66. https://doi.org/10.1111/aogs.14478.
15. Shimaoka R, Takahashi Y, Ono H, Matsui M, Asai K, Iwagaki S. Magnetic resonance imaging pelvimetric measurements as predictors for emergent cesarean delivery in obstructed labor. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology: X. 2023;19:100216. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurox.2023.100216
16. VanSickle C, Liese KL, Rutherford JN. Textbook typologies: challenging the myth of the perfect obstetric pelvis. The Anatomical Record. 2022;305(4):952-67. https://doi.org/10.1002/ar.24880
17. Shen P, Fu J, Zhang W, Chen C, Liu P. A comparative study of two pelvimetry methods: 3D models based on CT and MRI. European Journal of Obstetrics & Gynecology and Reproductive Biology. 2024;296:286-91. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejogrb.2024.02.017
18. Smith PP, Choudhury S, Clark TJ. The effectiveness of gynaecological teaching associates in teaching pelvic examination: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Medical education. 2015;49(12):1197-206. https://doi.org/10.1111/medu.12816.
19. Capelle C, Devos P, Caudrelier C, Verpillat P, Fourquet T, Puech P, et al. How reproducible are classical and new CT-pelvimetry measurements? Diagnostic and Interventional Imaging. 2020;101(2):79-89. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2019.07.011
20. Matjašič A, Meki N. Optimisation of pelvic imaging radiography protocols in plain radiology: a scoping review. Radiolo ke tehnologije. 2021;12(1):3-11. https://doi.org/10.48026/issn.26373297.2021.12.1.3
21. Semghouli S, Amaoui B, Hakam OK, Choukri A. Radiation exposure during pelvimetry CT procedures in Ibn Sina Children's Hospital of Rabat. Radiation Physics and Chemistry. 2020;175:108087. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.radphyschem.2018.12.007
22. Salsi G, Cataneo I, Dodaro G, Rizzo N, Pilu G, Sanz Gascyn M, et al. Three-dimensional/four-dimensional transperineal ultrasound: clinical utility and future prospects. International journal of women's health. 2017:643-56. https://doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S103789
23. Achiron R. Check for Simulation in Obstetric: From the History to the Modern Applications Reuven Achiron, Laura Adamo, and Tal Weissbach. Practical Guide to Simulation in Delivery Room Emergencies. 2023:3.
24. Bosschieter TM, Xu Z, Lan H, Lengerich BJ, Nori H, Sitcov K, et al. Using Interpretable Machine Learning to Predict Maternal and Fetal Outcomes. arXiv preprint arXiv:220705322. 2022. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2207.05322
25. Mendez-Dominguez N, Vazquez-Vazquez GG, Laviada-Molina HA, de Jesus Inurreta-Diaz M, Fajardo-Ruiz LS, Azcorra H. Cephalopelvic disproportion as primary diagnosis for cesarean section: Role of neonatal birthweight in relation to maternal height at a Hospital in Merida, Mexico. American Journal of Human Biology. 2021;33(2):e23463. https://doi.org/10.1002/ajhb.23463
26. Roux N, Korb D, Morin C, Sibony O. Trial of labor after cesarean and contribution of pelvimetry in the prognosis of neonatal morbidity. Journal of Gynecology Obstetrics and Human Reproduction. 2020;49(3):101681. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jogoh.2020.101681.
27. Singh SM, Singh T, Thakur V. Prospective study of primary cesarean section in multigravida. Parity. 2023;1(404):404. https://doi.org/10.21276/

obgyn.2023.10.1.36
28. Organization WH. WHO recommendations on intrapartum care for a positive childbirth experience: World Health Organization; 2018.
29. Chandrashekar K, Chandrasekhar T. A study to recognize and rule out the possibility of a CPD using simple maternal anthropometric measurements at an early stage of labor. 2021;5(5):28-32. https://doi.org/ 10.33545/gynae.2021.v5.i5a.1011
30. KALALOLO BP, GP TP, OKOCHA N. Holistic health care and maternal death in a hospital in south Nigeria: A case report. GSC Advanced Research and Reviews. 2023;16(2):094-8. https://doi.org/10.30574/gscarr.2023.16.2.0314
31. Kurian A, Roy I, Saikia N, Mihsill K, Halder S. Evaluation of maternal anthropometric measurements to predict cephalopelvic disproportion in nulliparous women in rural Meghalaya. International Journal of Clinical Obstetrics and Gynaecology. 2021;5(6):119-22. https://doi.org/10.33545/gynae.2021.v5.i6b.1071
32. Buttigieg GG, Micallef-Stafrace K. Shoulder dystocia: Updating some medico-legal issues. Medico-Legal Journal. 2022;90(1):13-6. http://doi.org/10.1177/00258172211066364
33. Habek D, Prka M, Čartolovni A, Cerovac A, Dokozić D. Caesarean section between doctrine to heresis. Medicoethical and deontological view of caesarology: an opinion. Clinical and Experimental Obstetrics & Gynecology. 2021;48(1):1-4. https://doi.org/10.31083/j.ceog.2021.01.2305