



**EFFECTS OF DOMAIN MANIPULATION IN THE STAPHYLOCOCCAL
PHAGE 88 ENDOLYSIN ON LYTIC EFFICIENCY AND HOST RANGE**

By

MELVINA MAYURI A/P KRISHNAN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of
Science**

February 2024

FBSB 2024 2

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EFFECTS OF DOMAIN MANIPULATION IN THE STAPHYLOCOCCAL
PHAGE 88 ENDOLYSIN ON LYTIC EFFICIENCY AND HOST RANGE**

By

MELVINA MAYURI A/P KRISHNAN

February 2024

Chairman : Adelene Song Ai-Lian, PhD

Faculty : Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences

Endolysins are bacteriophage (phage) lytic enzymes responsible for phage-mediated lysis of bacterial peptidoglycan (PGN). Phage therapy for Multidrug-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MDRSA) infections is currently emerging due to antibiotic resistance. However, using whole phages for therapy has presented several drawbacks including resistance by the bacterial host hence the use of phage endolysins instead. Staphylococcal phage endolysins typically consist of two types of domains: Enzymatically-Active Domains (EAD) and Cell Wall-Binding Domain(s) (CBD). The EADs cleave PGN whereas the CBD localizes endolysins to PGN. The Staphylococcal Phage 88 endolysin (NXEndo88) consists of two EADS: an N-terminal Cysteine, Histidine-dependent Amidohydrolase/Peptidase (CHAP) domain and a central Amidase-2 domain, as well as one CBD: a C-terminal Src Homology 3b (SH3b) domain.

The host strain of the phage is *Staphylococcus aureus* PS88, an MDRSA. Domain manipulations encompassing truncations and swapping among different endolysins have been shown to alter endolysin lytic efficiency and host range. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to determine the effects of domain manipulations on the lytic efficiency and host range of NXEndo88. Five mutants were generated – three truncation mutants: 1) CHAP, 2) CHAPAmidase and 3) CHAPSH3; and two chimera mutants containing the tandem Cpl7 CBDs from a streptococcal endolysin, LambdaSa2-ECC: 4) CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 and 5) Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7.

The protein constructs were cloned into the pET28a plasmid vector and expressed in *E. coli* BL21(DE3). Proteins were then purified using Nickel-Nitrilotriacetic Acid (NTA) affinity chromatography for His-tagged proteins. Host range and lytic efficiency were subsequently assessed via plate lysis assays and turbidity reduction assays. All truncated mutants exhibited reduced lytic activity compared to NXEndo88 towards the host strain, *Staphylococcus aureus* PS88. The same pattern was observed in three other staphylococci (*Staphylococcus aureus* Mu50, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus hominis*) as well as *Enterococcus faecalis*.

Outside these two bacterial genera, no lytic activity was detected. The CHAPAmidase and CHAPSH3 constructs exhibited varying specific activities between *S. aureus* PS88 and *S. epidermidis*, which may be attributed to strain-specific PGN features. Next, the chimera mutants (CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 and Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7) were tested against two strains, *S. aureus* PS88 and *Streptococcus agalactiae*, as the addition of the Cpl7Cpl7 domains (specific to streptococci) were predicted to extend their host range to lyse streptococci. However, lytic activity was only detected against *S. aureus* PS88. Both chimeras had reduced lytic activity compared to NXEndo88, which may be attributed to structural instability.

In conclusion, all domains of the NXEndo88 endolysin are interdependent for maximum lytic efficiency, where removal of Amidase-2 and/or SH3b reduced lytic efficiency. As for the chimera constructs, it appears that swapping or adding the Cpl7 CBDs from a streptococcal endolysin was insufficient to expand the host range. Multi-faceted studies on the factors influencing endolysin functionality are necessary for the development and optimization of targeted antimicrobials against MDRSA.

Keywords: Endolysin, domain manipulation, DNA cloning, host range, lytic efficiency

SDG: GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-being

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

KESAN PENGUBAHSUAIAN DOMAIN ENDOLISIN FAJ STAFILOKOKUS 88 TERHADAP AKTIVITI LISIS DAN JULAT PERUMAH

Oleh

MELVINA MAYURI A/P KRISHNAN

Februari 2024

Pengerusi : Adelene Song Ai-Lian, PhD

Fakulti : Bioteknologi & Sains Biomolekul

Endolisin ialah enzim litik bakteriofaj (faj) yang bertanggungjawab bagi melisis peptidoglikan (PGN) bakteria oleh faj-perantara. Terapi faj untuk rawatan jangkitan *Staphylococcus aureus* Rintang Pelbagai Ubat (MDRSA) kini berkembang akibat rintangan antibiotik. Walau bagaimanapun, menggunakan faj utuh untuk terapi telah menunjukkan beberapa kelemahan termasuk rintangan oleh perumah bakteria. Oleh itu, penggunaan endolisin faj sebagai agen antimikrob lebih berpotensi. Endolisin faj *Staphylococcus* biasanya terdiri daripada dua jenis domain: domain pencernaan (EAD) dan domain pengikat dinding sel (CBD). EAD berfungsi dalam memutuskan ikatan PGN manakala CBD mempertingkat lokalisasi endolisin kepada PGN. Endolisin Faj Stafilocokus 88 (NXEndo88) terdiri daripada dua EAD: domain Sisteina, Amidohidrolase/Peptidase yang bergantung kepada Histidina (CHAP) dan domain Amidase-2 serta satu CBD: domain Src Homologi 3b (SH3b). Strain perumah faj adalah bakteria rintang antibiotik, *S. aureus* PS88.

Pengubahsuaian domain yang merangkumi pemangkasan domain serta penukaran domain antara endolisin berbeza terbukti dapat mengubah aktiviti lisis dan julat perumah endolisin. Hasil penemuan ini dapat menjelaskan fungsi domain serta membolehkan penghasilan agen antimikrob khusus dan efektif. Oleh itu, objektif kajian ini adalah untuk mengenalpasti kesan pengubahsuaian domain terhadap aktiviti lisis dan julat perumah endolisin NXEndo88. Lima mutan telah dihasilkan – tiga mutan pangkasan: 1) CHAP, 2) CHAPAmidase, 3) CHAPSH3 serta dua mutan kimera yang mengandungi CBD Cpl7Cpl7 endolisin streptokokus, LambdaSa2-ECC: 4) CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 dan 5) Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7. Konstruk protein telah diklonkan ke dalam vektor plasmid pET28a dan diekspreskan dalam *Escherichia coli* BL21(DE3). Protein seterusnya ditulenkan melalui kromatografi keafinan nikel-asid nitriloasetik (Ni-NTA) bagi protein yang mengandungi His-tag. Seterusnya, julat perumah dan kecekapan litik telah dinilai melalui ujian lisis plat dan ujian penurunan kekeruhan.

Semua mutan yang dipangkas menunjukkan aktiviti litik yang berkurangan berbanding dengan NXEndo88. Corak yang sama diperhatikan dalam tiga stafilokoki lain (*Staphylococcus aureus* Mu50, *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, *Staphylococcus hominis*) serta *Enterococcus faecalis*. Aktiviti lisis terhadap strain selain dua genera tersebut tidak dikesan. Konstruk CHAPAmidase dan CHAPSH3 menunjukkan tahap aktiviti lisis yang berbeza terhadap *S. aureus* PS88 dan *S. epidermidis*. Hal ini mungkin disebabkan oleh ciri-ciri spesifik PGN antara kedua-dua strain tersebut.

Seterusnya mutan kimera (CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 dan Endo88-Cpl7Cp7) telah diuji terhadap dua strain, *S. aureus* PS88 dan *Streptococcus agalactiae*, kerana penambahan domain Cpl7Cpl7 dijangka akan meluaskan julat perumahnya untuk melisis streptokokus. Walau bagaimanapun, aktiviti lisis hanya dikesan terhadap *S. aureus* PS88. Kedua-dua kimera menunjukkan aktiviti lisis yang lebih kurang berbanding dengan NXEndo88, yang mungkin disebabkan oleh reka bentuk konstruk yang kurang stabil. Kesimpulannya, kesemua domain endolisin NXEndo88 saling bergantung untuk mencapai aktiviti lisis maksimum, di mana pemadaman Amidase-2 dan SH3b mengurangkan kecekapan aktiviti lisis.

Bagi konstruk kimera pula, penambahan domain Cpl7Cpl7 kepada domain NXEndo88 mungkin tidak mencukupi untuk memperluas julat perumah. Kajian selanjutnya diperlukan untuk mengoptimumkan aktiviti kimera. Selain itu, kajian pengenalpastian faktor yang mempengaruhi aktiviti endolisin diperlukan untuk membolehkan pembangunan agen antimikrob yang berfungsi terhadap MDRSA.

Kata Kunci: Endolisin, pengubahsuaian domain, pengklonan DNA, julat perumah, kecekapan litik

SDG: GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-being

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I thank God above all for enabling me to complete this project. All things have been possible because of His grace and mercy. Thank you, Dr Adelene Song for not only being an excellent supervisor but a friend to me. She has consistently embodied the word in her guidance, kindness, wisdom and mentorship towards her students. I would also like to acknowledge my supervisory committee – Dr Wan Nur Ismah and Prof Khatijah Yusoff, as well as the lecturers of the Department of Microbiology for their invaluable insights. I extend my deepest gratitude to Dr Siti Sarah Othman of Biotech 3, for so graciously allowing me to use her lab and instruments without restriction. This project owes much of its realization to her kindness. I would also like to thank my thesis examination committee for their contributions, especially Dr Norhayati Ramli for excellently guiding the refinement and correction of this manuscript.

I am indebted to my Viro2 lab-mates for their camaraderie and assistance throughout this project. My cohort members – Annie, Tham and Hamzah – thank you for your guidance. Heartfelt thanks to Annie Tee – for always going above and beyond to help me with everything. Additionally, I am grateful to Farah Najwa for attending to all my needs in Biotech 3, along with the contributions of Najwa, Sri, Sharleen and Farid. To my parents, thank you so much for your sacrificial support. Special thanks to Tata, Aemma, Jaiprada, Ilakiya, the Richards, the Rowlands, Deborah Clarence and Destiny C3 for their encouragements. Last but definitely not least, my final thanks are reserved for my beloved pets: Wilson, Oscar, Socks, Piranha, Koliman and my chickens.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Adelene Song Ai-Lian, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Wan Nur Ismah Wan Ahmed Kamil, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Khatijah Mohamad Yusoff, PhD

Professor Datin Paduka

Faculty of Biotechnology & Biomolecular Sciences

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 July 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xix
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxii
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Objectives	4
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 5
2.1 Endolysins as peptidoglycan hydrolases	5
2.1.1 Comparison of endolysins and bacteriophage properties	6
2.1.2 Endolysin domain types and enzyme architecture	12
2.1.3 Domain target sites and genetic conservation	13
2.2 Domain truncations in staphylococcal endolysins	16
2.2.1 Role of CHAP domain from truncation studies	16
2.2.2 Importance of Amidase-2 and SH3b domains	17
2.2.3 Endolysin host range alterations from domain truncations	19
2.3 Chimera-genesis	21
2.3.1 Effect of chimera-genesis on lytic efficiency and host range	22
2.3.2 Functional studies of LambdaSa2-ECC domains	28
2.4 Cell wall features affecting endolysin activity	31
2.4.1 Secondary cell wall surface polymers/carbohydrates	31
2.4.2 Effect of cell surface net charge on endolysin activity	33
2.4.3 Post-translational peptidoglycan modifications	34

3	MATERIALS AND METHODS	35
3.1	Plasmid vector and expression host	37
3.2	Overview of recombinant plasmid development	37
3.3	Design of recombinant genes	39
3.3.1	NXEndo88 truncated genes	39
3.3.2	Chimera genes	40
3.4	Polymerase-Chain Reaction (PCR) amplification of recombinant endolysin genes	42
3.5	PCR clean-up of amplified insert genes	48
3.6	Restriction enzyme (RE) double digestion	49
3.7	Agarose gel electrophoresis	50
3.8	Gel purification of double-digested DNA	51
3.9	Ligation	52
3.10	Preparation of competent <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) cells	53
3.11	Transformation of competent <i>E. coli</i> BL21 (DE3) cells	52
3.12	Screening of positive transformants	53
3.12.1	Plasmid extraction	53
3.12.2	Colony PCR	55
3.12.3	RE double digestion of recombinant plasmids	56
3.12.4	DNA sequencing	56
3.13	Optimization of protein expression	57
3.13.1	Overview	57
3.13.2	Protein expression and sonication	57
3.13.3	Expression of empty pET28a plasmid	58
3.14	Bicinchoninic assay (BCA)	58
3.15	Sodium dodecyl sulphate poly-acrylamide gel electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE) & Western blot	59
3.15.1	Sample preparation	59
3.15.2	SDS-PAGE	60
3.15.3	Western blot analysis	61
3.15.4	Immunoblotting	62
3.16	Protein purification	63
3.17	Protein desalting and concentration	64
3.18	Host range testing	65
3.18.1	Overview and bacterial growth conditions	65
3.18.2	Plate lysis assay (PLA)	67
3.18.3	Turbidity reduction assay (TRA)	68
4	RESULTS	70
4.1	Recombinant plasmid development overview	70
4.2	Preparation of pET28a plasmid vector	70
4.3	Development of recombinant insert genes	71
4.3.1	PCR amplification of insert genes	72
4.3.2	Double digestion of insert genes	76

4.4	Ligation reactions and transformation	79
4.5	Verification of plasmid development	79
4.5.1	Colony PCR of recombinant plasmids	80
4.5.2	Double digestion of recombinant plasmids	83
4.5.3	Sequencing of target genes	88
4.6	Optimization of protein expression	88
4.6.1	NXEndo88 expression	88
4.6.2	Expression of negative control (pET28a)	93
4.7	Protein expression and purification	94
4.8	Protein functionality testing	103
4.8.1	NXEndo88 truncated constructs – against 11 bacterial strains	104
4.8.2	Chimera constructs – against two bacterial strains	115
5	DISCUSSION	119
5.1	Functionality of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs	119
5.1.1	Requirement of all NXEndo88 domains	119
5.1.2	Reduced functionality of the CHAP domain	120
5.1.3	CHAPAmidase and CHAPSH3 activities	122
5.1.4	Susceptibility pattern of <i>E. faecalis</i> and <i>S. aureus</i> Mu50	128
5.2	Host range of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs	130
5.3	Functionality of LambdaSa2-ECC and chimeras	133
5	CONCLUSION, LIMITATIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	136
6.1	Conclusion	136
6.2	Study limitations	137
6.3	Recommendations for future research	138
	REFERENCES	139
	APPENDICES	153
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	177
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	178

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Comparison of endolysins and bacteriophages for antibacterial therapy	9
2.2 Characteristics of chimera endolysins reported in the literature consisting of staphylococcal-targeting domains	25
2.3 Depiction of LambdaSa2 truncation constructs and corresponding plate lysis activity against <i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i> (host strain) and <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> USA300	29
3.1 List of plasmids used in this study	38
3.2 Primers for amplification of <i>CHAP</i> and <i>CHAPAmidase</i> insert genes	42
3.3 Primers for development of <i>CHAPSH3</i> through Splicing by Overextension PCR (SOE-PCR)	45
3.4 Primers for the development of chimera genes through Splicing by Overextension PCR (SOE-PCR)	47
3.5 Sizes of recombinant plasmid and inserts	56
3.6 Bacterial growth conditions	66
4.1 Plate lysis assays of NXEndo88 and respective truncated endolysins against 11 bacterial strains	104
4.2 Plate lysis assays of NXEndo88, LambdaSa2 and chimera endolysins against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> PS88 and <i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	116
5.1 Susceptibility of 11 bacterial strains to NXEndo88 and truncated constructs in this study	131

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Common tri-domain architecture in staphylococcal endolysins	13
2.2 <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> peptidoglycan subunit composition with putative domain target sites	14
2.3 Grouping system of staphylococcal endolysins based on protein sequence similarity and domain composition	15
2.4 Depiction of Ply187N-V12C chimera endolysin development	22
3.1 Flowchart of methodology overview in this study	36
3.2 Representation of NXEndo88 domain architecture	39
3.3 NXEndo88 truncated constructs domain architectures - (A) CHAP (208 aa); (B) CHAPAmidase (405 aa); (C) CHAPSH3 (316 aa)	40
3.4 Domain architectures of chimera constructs - (A) Parental LambdaSa2-ECC endolysin (275 aa); (B) CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 (497 aa); (C) Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7 (584 aa)	41
3.5 Depiction of <i>CHAPSH3</i> gene development through Splicing by Overextension PCR (SOE-PCR)	44
4.1 Agarose gel electrophoresis of digested and undigested pET28a plasmids	71
4.2 PCR amplification of NXEndo88 truncated insert genes	72
4.3 Development of <i>CHAPSH3</i> insert gene	73
4.4 Development of <i>CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7</i> insert gene	74
4.5 Development of <i>Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7</i> insert gene	75
4.6 Double-digested (A) <i>CHAP</i> , (B) <i>CHAPAmidase</i> and (C) <i>CHAPSH3</i> insert genes	77
4.7 Double-digested chimera inserts – (A) <i>CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7</i> and (B) <i>Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7</i>	78
4.8 Colony PCR products of (A) pET28a: <i>CHAP</i> transformants; (B) pET28a: <i>CHAPAmidase</i> transformant; (C) pET28a: <i>CHAPSH3</i> transformant	81

4.9	Colony PCR product of chimera constructs transformants	83
4.10	Recombinant plasmid double digestion for screening of a positive pET28a: <i>CHAP</i> transformant	84
4.11	Recombinant plasmid double digestion for screening of a positive pET28a: <i>CHAPAmidase</i> transformant	85
4.12	Recombinant plasmid double digestion for screening of a positive pET28a: <i>CHAPSH3</i> transformant	86
4.13	Recombinant plasmid double digestion for screening of a positive (A) pET28a: <i>CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7</i> transformant and a (B) pET28a: <i>Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7</i> transformant	87
4.14	SDS-PAGE of crude protein fractions from NXEndo88 expression optimization	90
4.15	Western blot of NXEndo88 crude protein fractions.	92
4.16	Empty pET28a as negative control - (A) SDS-PAGE and (B) Western blot.	93
4.17	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>NXEndo88</i>	94
4.18	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>CHAP</i>	95
4.19	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>CHAPAmidase</i>	96
4.20	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>CHAPSH3</i>	97
4.21	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7</i>	99
4.22	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7</i>	100
4.23	SDS-PAGE of expression and purification of pET28a: <i>LambdaSa2-ECC</i>	101
4.24	Western blots of purified (A) NXEndo88 and (B) NXEndo88 truncated constructs	102
4.25	Western blots of purified (A) LambdaSa2-ECC and (B) chimeras	103

4.26	Specific activities of NXEndo88 and respective truncated constructs against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> PS88	107
4.27	Specific activities of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> Mu50	108
4.28	Specific activities of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against <i>Staphylococcus epidermidis</i> .	109
4.29	Specific activities of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against <i>Staphylococcus hominis</i>	110
4.30	Specific activities of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against <i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>	111
4.31	Turbidity reduction graphs of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against 6 non-susceptible bacterial strains	113
4.32	Specific activities of NXEndo88, LambdaSa2-ECC and chimera endolysins against <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> PS88	117
4.33	Specific activities of NXEndo88, LambdaSa2-ECC and chimera endolysins against <i>Streptococcus agalactiae</i>	118
5.1	Visual comparison of relative endolysin specific activity against each susceptible bacterial strain	123
5.2	Depiction of N-acetyl-D-glucosaminyl(β 1,4)-N-acetylmuramyl-L-alanyl-D-isoglutamine (GMDP) subunit (grey box) in streptococcal PGN	134

LIST OF APPENDICES

Figure		Page
A	Plasmid map and sequence of pET28a(+), cloning and expression vector	153
B	List of chemical components and its compositions	156
C	Composition of buffers used in protein work	157
D	Buffer compositions for SDS-PAGE gel preparation and analysis	158
E	Full-length gene sequence of the <i>CHAP</i> gene cloned into the multiple cloning site (<i>NcoI/XhoI</i>) of pET28a aligned with the consensus (F-R) sequence obtained from sequencing	160
F	Full-length gene sequence of the <i>CHAPAmidase</i> gene cloned into the multiple cloning site (<i>NcoI/XhoI</i>) of pET28a aligned with the consensus (F-R) sequence obtained from sequencing	161
G	Full-length gene sequence of the <i>CHAPSH3</i> gene cloned into the multiple cloning site (<i>NcoI/XhoI</i>) of pET28a aligned with the consensus (F-R) sequence obtained from sequencing	163
H	Full-length gene sequence of the <i>CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7</i> gene cloned into the multiple cloning site (<i>NcoI/XhoI</i>) of pET28a aligned with the consensus (F-R) sequence obtained from sequencing	165
I	Full-length gene sequence of the <i>Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7</i> gene cloned into the multiple cloning site (<i>NcoI/XhoI</i>) of pET28a aligned with the consensus (F-R) sequence obtained from sequencing	167
J	Turbidity reduction graphs of NXEndo88 and truncated constructs against five susceptible bacterial strains	169
K	Turbidity reduction graphs of NXEndo88, LambdaSa2-ECC, CHAPAmidase-Cpl7Cpl7 and Endo88-Cpl7Cpl7 against two bacterial strains	172
L	Turbidity reduction graph of <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> PS88 treated with pET28a crude induced supernatant	173
M	Comparison of NXEndo88 specific activity among the susceptible strains in this study	174
N	Estimated net charge of proteins in this study at pH 7.5	175
O	BCA Standard Curve used to quantify protein concentration	176

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

~	Approximately
°C	degree Celsius
µg	Microgram
µl	Microlitre
µM	Micromolar
AP	Alkaline Phosphatase
BCIP	5-bromo-4-chloro-3-indolyl-phosphate
BHI	Brain Heart Infusion
BLAST	Basic Local Alignment Search Tool
bp	Base pairs
BSA	Bovine Serum Albumin
CaCl ₂	Calcium chloride
CBD	Cell Wall-Binding Domain
CHAP	Cysteine, Histidine-dependent Amidohydrolase/Peptidase
CoNS	Coagulase-Negative staphylococci
Da	Dalton
D-ala-gly	D-alanine-glycine
ddH ₂ O	Double-deionized water
dH ₂ O	Distilled water
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
DNase	Deoxyribonuclease
dNTP	Deoxyribonucleotide triphosphate
EAD	Enzymatically-Active Domain
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
× g	Gravity force
GFP	Green Fluorescent Protein
GlcNAc	N-acetylglucosamine
GroP	Glycerol phosphate
h	Hour
IMAC	Immobilized Metal Ion Affinity Chromatography
IPTG	Isopropyl-β-D-Galactopyranoside

kb	Kilobase pair
kDa	Kilodalton
L	Litre
LB	Luria-Bertani
MDR	Multidrug-Resistant
MDRSA	Multidrug-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
mg	Milligram
min	Minute
ml	Millilitre
mm	Millimetre
mM	Millimolar
MRSA	Methicillin-Resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
MurNAc	N-acetylmuramic acid
MWCO	Molecular Weight Cut Off
NBT	Nitro blue tetrazolium chloride
NCBI	National Centre for Biotechnology Information
NTA	Nitrilotriacetic acid (NTA)
ng	Nanogram
nm	Nanometre
OD	Optical density
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
PES	Polyether-sulfonate
PGN	Peptidoglycan
PVDF	Polyvinylidene difluoride
RboP	Ribitol phosphate
RE	Restriction enzyme
RNase	Ribonuclease
rpm	Revolutions per minute
SDS-PAGE	Sodium dodecyl sulphate–polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis
PGN	Peptidoglycan
PVDF	Polyvinylidene difluoride
RboP	Ribitol phosphate
RE	Restriction enzyme

RNase	Ribonuclease
s	Second
SH3b	Src Homology 3b
SOE-PCR	Splicing by Overlap Extension-Polymerase Chain Reaction
TAE	Tris-Acetate EDTA
T _m	Melting temperature
V	Volt
VISA	Vancomycin-Intermediate <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
w/v	Weight per volume
WTA	Wall Teichoic Acid
UPM	Universiti Putra Malaysia



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

The ability of lytic bacteriophages (phages) to specifically kill Multidrug-Resistant (MDR) bacteria without harming the natural microflora has long been exploited in antimicrobial treatment as phage therapy (Hatfull et al., 2022). Due to reports of phage resistance and other pitfalls, phage proteins that do not elicit bacterial resistance have garnered much interest as prospective antimicrobials. Chief of these is the endolysin, expressed by phages for internal degradation of bacterial peptidoglycan (PGN) and subsequent lysis of cells, enabling release of viral progeny at the end of the phage life cycle (Abdelrahman et al., 2021; Murray et al., 2021).

Externally, endolysins are able to replicate this same effect of bacterial lysis in Gram-positive bacteria by way of the exposed PGN, unhindered by outer-membranes as opposed to Gram-negative bacteria (Oechslin et al., 2022). One of the Gram-positive pathogens at the fore of the antibiotic crisis requiring new therapies is MDR *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA). Recognized as a serious threat by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, resistance to last-resort antibiotics like vancomycin has been reported (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.), 2019; Cong et al., 2020). Furthermore, the COVID-19 pandemic has seen a sharp increase in hospital admissions for MRSA infections (Weiner-Lastinger et al., 2022).

However, most naturally-occurring endolysins against MDRSA may not possess optimal properties availing it for antimicrobial usage. Therefore, endolysins are sometimes engineered to enhance its properties. Towards this goal, domain manipulations of these endolysins are commonly undertaken to shed light on domain function, enabling the development of specialized, recombinant endolysins. Domain engineering is feasible as endolysin domains are modular in nature: retaining function upon domain rearrangement and truncations as well as domain swapping among endolysins of different species (chimera-genesis) (Park et al., 2023; Schmelcher et al., 2012b).

Staphylococcal endolysins typically possess two types of domains with distinct functions: Enzymatically-Active Domains (EAD) and a Cell Wall-Binding Domain (CBD). EADs are responsible for bond cleavage in bacterial PGN, whereas the CBD is thought to localize the EADs to PGN, increasing access to the cut-sites (Nelson et al., 2012). The endolysin in this study, the Staphylococcal Phage 88 (NXEndo88) endolysin has a tri-domain architecture composed of two EADs: A Cysteine, Histidine-dependent Amidohyrolase/Peptidase (CHAP) domain followed by a central Amidase-2 domain. The third domain is a CBD, the Src Homology 3b (SH3b) domain. Most staphylococcal endolysins in the literature are similarly structured, where each domain is known to play a carefully curated role in PGN lysis, as has been demonstrated in previous studies (Benešík et al., 2018; Horgan et al., 2009; Son et al., 2018). The CHAP domain is the most enzymatically potent domain in terms of PGN cleavage, without which the endolysin is almost always defunct (Becker et al., 2015; Son et al., 2018).

The role of Amidase-2 is more elusive with reports of both enzymatic cleavage and binding. However, its importance in functionality varies according to endolysin species. The SH3b domain is purported to be involved in localization, as well as curtailing endolysin host range to only PGN substrates possessing the cognate binding sites (Benešík et al., 2018; Mitkowski et al., 2019).

In theory, CBD removal could potentially increase endolysin host range to encompass other bacterial species, however this result is not uniform across the gamut of staphylococcal endolysins. In the context of domain swapping, CBD exchange between endolysins targeting different bacterial genera produces recombinant endolysins known as 'chimeras'. These chimeras mostly retain the host ranges of the parental endolysins while exhibiting increased lytic efficiency. One endolysin-based product (Gladskin©) is currently in the market to treat chronic MDRSA eczema, demonstrating its therapeutic potential (Haddad Kashani et al., 2018; Totté et al., 2017).

1.2 Problem statement

Multidrug-Resistant (MDR) *Staphylococcus aureus* (MDRSA) infections represent one of the ESKAPE (*Enterococcus faecium*, *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Acinetobacter baumannii*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, and *Enterobacter* species) pathogens recalcitrant to antibiotics, which account for most nosocomial infections. As a mounting health concern, the discovery of safe products that successfully eliminate pathogenic bacteria without eliciting resistance is imperative.

Novel antimicrobials including bacteriophage endolysins have demonstrated tremendous therapeutic potential without inducing bacterial resistance. However, naturally-occurring endolysins may not function optimally in terms of lytic efficiency and host range. Domain engineering has been previously undertaken to improve these properties and subsequently determine the factors contributing to host specificity. The domains in a modular staphylococcal endolysin are multi-functional but the contribution of each domain to host range and lytic activity is still unclear due to poor consensus. Therefore, this study was conducted to determine the effect of domain manipulations on the existing host range and lytic efficiency of the Staphylococcal Phage 88 endolysin (NXEndo88).

1.3 Objectives

The purpose of this study was to ascertain the effect of domain truncations of the Staphylococcal Phage 88 (NXEndo88) endolysin on lytic efficiency and host range. It was also investigated if domain swapping between NXEndo88 and the CBDs of a streptococcal endolysin, LambdaSa2-ECC, could expand the host range to include streptococci. The specific objectives of this study are:

- i. To construct domain manipulations in the Staphylococcal Phage 88 endolysin.
- ii. To clone and express recombinant endolysin mutants in BL21(DE3) *Escherichia coli* cells.
- iii. To determine the effect of domain manipulation on the lytic efficiency and host range of each mutant.

REFERENCES

- Abaev, I., Foster-Frey, J., Korobova, O., Shishkova, N., Kiseleva, N., Kopylov, P., Pryamchuk, S., Schmelcher, M., Becker, S. C., & Donovan, D. M. (2013). Staphylococcal phage 26BUT38A endolysin is lytic for *Staphylococcus aureus* and harbors an inter-lytic-domain secondary translational start site. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 97(8), 3449–3456. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-012-4252-4>
- Abdelrahman, F., Easwaran, M., Daramola, O. I., Ragab, S., Lynch, S., Oduselu, T. J., Khan, F. M., Ayobami, A., Adnan, F., Torrents, E., Sanmukh, S., & El-Shibiny, A. (2021). Phage-encoded endolysins. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 10(2), 124. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10020124>
- Agún, S., Fernández, L., Rodríguez, A., & García, P. (2022). Deletion of the amidase domain of endolysin LysRODI enhances antistaphylococcal activity in milk and during fresh cheese production. *Food Microbiology*, 107, 104067. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2022.104067>
- Ahmed, W., Neubauer, H., Tomaso, H., El Hofy, F. I., Monecke, S., Abdeltawab, A. A., & Hotzel, H. (2020). Characterization of staphylococci and streptococci isolated from milk of bovines with mastitis in Egypt. *Pathogens (Basel, Switzerland)*, 9(5), 381. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pathogens9050381>
- Alreshidi, M., Dunstan, H., Roberts, T., Bardakci, F., Badraoui, R., Adnan, M., Saeed, M., Alreshidi, F., Albulaihed, Y., & Snoussi, M. (2022). Changes in amino acid metabolism of *Staphylococcus aureus* following growth to the stationary phase under adjusted growth conditions. *Microorganisms*, 10(8), 1503. <https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms10081503>
- Antonova, N. P., Vasina, D. V., Rubalsky, E. O., Fursov, M. V., Savinova, A. S., Grigoriev, I. V., Usachev, E. V., Shevlyagina, N. V., Zhukhovitsky, V. G., Balabanyan, V. U., Potapov, V. D., Aleshkin, A. V., Makarov, V. V., Yudin, S. M., Gintsburg, A. L., Tkachuk, A. P., & Gushchin, V. A. (2020). Modulation of endolysin LysECD7 bactericidal activity by different peptide tag fusion. *Biomolecules*, 10(3), 440. <https://doi.org/10.3390/biom10030440>
- Ayaz, M., Ullah, F., Sadiq, A., Ullah, F., Ovais, M., Ahmed, J. & Devkota, H. P. (2019). Synergistic interactions of phytochemicals with antimicrobial agents: Potential strategy to counteract drug resistance. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 308(1), 294-303. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2019.05.050>

- Baba, T., & Schneewind, O. (1996). Target cell specificity of a bacteriocin molecule: a C-terminal signal directs lysostaphin to the cell wall of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *The European Molecular Biology Organization Journal*, 15(18), 4789–4797.
- Becker, S. C., Dong, S., Baker, J. R., Foster-Frey, J., Pritchard, D. G., & Donovan, D. M. (2009a). LysK CHAP endopeptidase domain is required for lysis of live staphylococcal cells. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Letters*, 294(1), 52–60. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2009.01541.x>
- Becker, S. C., Foster-Frey, J., Stodola, A. J., Anacker, D., & Donovan, D. M. (2009b). Differentially conserved staphylococcal SH3b_5 cell wall binding domains confer increased staphylolytic and streptolytic activity to a streptococcal prophage endolysin domain. *Gene*, 443(1-2), 32–41. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2009.04.023>
- Becker, S. C., Swift, S., Korobova, O., Schischkova, N., Kopylov, P., Donovan, D. M., & Abaev, I. (2015). Lytic activity of the staphylolytic Twort phage endolysin CHAP domain is enhanced by the SH3b cell wall binding domain. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Letters*, 362(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1093/femsle/fnu019>
- Benešík, M., Nováček, J., Janda, L., Dopitová, R., Pernisová, M., Melková, K., Tišáková, L., Doškař, J., Židek, L., Hejátko, J., & Pantůček, R. (2018). Role of SH3b binding domain in a natural deletion mutant of Kayvirus endolysin LysF1 with a broad range of lytic activity. *Virus Genes*, 54(1), 130–139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-017-1507-2>
- Bera, A., Biswas, R., Herbert, S., & Götz, F. (2006). The presence of peptidoglycan O -acetyltransferase in various staphylococcal species correlates with lysozyme resistance and pathogenicity. *Infection and Immunity*, 74(8), 4598–4604. <https://doi.org/10.1128/IAI.00301-06>
- Boone, D. R., Castenholz, R. W., & Garrity, G. M. (Eds.). (2001). *Bergey's manual of systematic bacteriology* (2nd ed). Springer.
- Bustamante, N., Iglesias-Bexiga, M., Bernardo-García, N., Silva-Martín, N., García, G., Campanero-Rhodes, M. A., García, E., Usón, I., Buey, R. M., García, P., Hermoso, J. A., Bruix, M., & Menéndez, M. (2017). Deciphering how Cpl-7 cell wall-binding repeats recognize the bacterial peptidoglycan. *Scientific Reports*, 7(1), 16494. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16392-4>
- Büttner, F. M., Zoll, S., Nega, M., Götz, F., & Stehle, T. (2014). Structure-function analysis of *Staphylococcus aureus* amidase reveals the determinants of peptidoglycan recognition and cleavage. *The Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 289(16), 11083–11094. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M114.557306>

- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.). (2019). *Antibiotic resistance threats in the United States, 2019*. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (U.S.). <https://doi.org/10.15620/cdc:82532>
- Champagne-Jorgensen, K., Luong, T., Darby, T., & Roach, D. R. (2023). Immunogenicity of bacteriophages. *Trends in Microbiology*, 31(10), 1058–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2023.04.008>
- Chandran, C., Tham, H. Y., Abdul Rahim, R., Lim, S. H. E., Yusoff, K., & Song, A. A. (2022). *Lactococcus lactis* secreting phage lysins as a potential antimicrobial against multi-drug resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *PeerJ*, 10, e12648. <https://doi.org/10.7717/peerj.12648>
- Cong, Y., Yang, S., & Rao, X. (2019). Vancomycin resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections: A review of case updating and clinical features. *Journal of Advanced Research*, 21, 169–176. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jare.2019.10.005>
- Daniel, A., Euler, C., Collin, M., Chahales, P., Gorelick, K. J., & Fischetti, V. A. (2010). Synergism between a novel chimeric lysin and oxacillin protects against infection by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 54(4), 1603–1612. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01625-09>
- Dong, Q., Wang, J., Yang, H., Wei, C., Yu, J., Zhang, Y., Huang, Y., Zhang, X. E., & Wei, H. (2015). Construction of a chimeric lysin Ply187N-V12C with extended lytic activity against staphylococci and streptococci. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 8(2), 210–220. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.12166>
- Donovan, D. M., & Foster-Frey, J. (2008). LambdaSa2 prophage endolysin requires Cpl-7-binding domains and amidase-5 domain for antimicrobial lysis of streptococci. *FEMS Microbiology Letters*, 287(1), 22–33. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01287.x>
- Donovan, D. M., Dong, S., Garrett, W., Rousseau, G. M., Moineau, S., & Pritchard, D. G. (2006a). Peptidoglycan hydrolase fusions maintain their parental specificities. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(4), 2988–2996. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.72.4.2988-2996.2006>

- Donovan, D. M., Foster-Frey, J., Dong, S., Rousseau, G. M., Moineau, S., & Pritchard, D. G. (2006b). The cell lysis activity of the *Streptococcus agalactiae* bacteriophage B30 endolysin relies on the cysteine, histidine-dependent amidohydrolase/peptidase domain. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 72(7), 5108–5112. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.03065-05>
- Donovan, D. M., Lardeo, M., & Foster-Frey, J. (2006c). Lysis of staphylococcal mastitis pathogens by bacteriophage phi11 endolysin. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Letters*, 265(1), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6968.2006.00483.x>
- Ehrt, S., & Schnappinger, D. (2003). Isolation of plasmids from *E. coli* by boiling lysis. *Methods in Molecular Biology (Clifton, N.J.)*, 235, 79–82. <https://doi.org/10.1385/1-59259-409-3:79>
- Eugster, M. R., & Loessner, M. J. (2012). Wall teichoic acids restrict access of bacteriophage endolysin Ply118, Ply511, and PlyP40 cell wall binding domains to the *Listeria monocytogenes* peptidoglycan. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 194(23), 6498–6506. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.00808-12>
- Fenton, M., Keary, R., McAuliffe, O., Ross, R. P., O'Mahony, J., & Coffey, A. (2013). Bacteriophage-derived peptidase CHAP(K) eliminates and prevents staphylococcal biofilms. *International Journal of Microbiology*, 2013, 625341. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/625341>
- Fernandes, S., Proença, D., Cantante, C., Silva, F. A., Leandro, C., Lourenço, S., Milheiro, C., de Lencastre, H., Cavaco-Silva, P., Pimentel, M., & São-José, C. (2012). Novel chimerical endolysins with broad antimicrobial activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Microbial Drug Resistance (Larchmont, N.Y.)*, 18(3), 333–343. <https://doi.org/10.1089/mdr.2012.0025>
- Fischetti V. A. (2005). Bacteriophage lytic enzymes: novel anti-infectives. *Trends in Microbiology*, 13(10), 491–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2005.08.007>
- Francis, D. M., & Page, R. (2010). Strategies to optimize protein expression in *E. coli*. *Current Protocols in Protein Science*, 5(1), 5.24.1–5.24.29. <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140864.ps0524s61>
- Friedberg, E. C., & Goldthwait, D. A. (1969). Endonuclease ii of *E. coli*, i. Isolation and purification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 62(3), 934–940. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.62.3.934>

- Froger, A., & Hall, J. E. (2007). Transformation of plasmid DNA into *E. coli* using the heat shock method. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 6, 253. <https://doi.org/10.3791/253>
- Fujiki, J., Nakamura, T., Furusawa, T., Ohno, H., Takahashi, H., Kitana, J., Usui, M., Higuchi, H., Tanji, Y., Tamura, Y., & Iwano, H. (2018). Characterization of the lytic capability of a LysK-like endolysin, Lys-phiSA012, derived from a polyvalent *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriophage. *Pharmaceuticals*, 11(1), 25. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph11010025>
- Gontijo, M. T. P., Jorge, G. P., & Brocchi, M. (2021). Current status of endolysin-based treatments against gram-negative bacteria. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 10(10), 1143. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10101143>
- Gonzalez-Delgado, L. S., Walters-Morgan, H., Salamaga, B., Robertson, A. J., Hounslow, A. M., Jagielska, E., Sabała, I., Williamson, M. P., Lovering, A. L., & Mesnage, S. (2020). Two-site recognition of *Staphylococcus aureus* peptidoglycan by lysostaphin SH3b. *Nature Chemical Biology*, 16(1), 24–30. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41589-019-0393-4>
- Gründling, A., & Schneewind, O. (2006). Cross-linked peptidoglycan mediates lysostaphin binding to the cell wall envelope of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 188(7), 2463–2472. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.188.7.2463-2472.2006>
- Gu, J., Feng, Y., Feng, X., Sun, C., Lei, L., Ding, W., Niu, F., Jiao, L., Yang, M., Li, Y., Liu, X., Song, J., Cui, Z., Han, D., Du, C., Yang, Y., Ouyang, S., Liu, Z.-J., & Han, W. (2014). Structural and biochemical characterization reveals LysGH15 as an unprecedented “EF-Hand-Like” calcium-binding phage lysin. *PLoS Pathogens*, 10(5), e1004109. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1004109>
- Gu, J., Lu, R., Liu, X., Han, W., Lei, L., Gao, Y., Zhao, H., Li, Y., & Diao, Y. (2011). LysGH15B, the SH3b domain of staphylococcal phage endolysin LysGH15, retains high affinity to staphylococci. *Current Microbiology*, 63(6), 538–542. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00284-011-0018-y>
- Guo, D., Chen, J., Zhao, X., Luo, Y., Jin, M., Fan, F., Park, C., Yang, X., Sun, C., Yan, J., Chen, W., & Liu, Z. (2021). Genetic and chemical engineering of phages for controlling multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Antibiotics (Basel, Switzerland)*, 10(2), 202. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics10020202>
- Haddad Kashani, H., Schmelcher, M., Sabzalipoor, H., Seyed Hosseini, E., & Moniri, R. (2018). Recombinant endolysins as potential therapeutics against antibiotic-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: Current status of research and novel delivery strategies. *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*, 31(1), e00071-17. <https://doi.org/10.1128/CMR.00071-17>

- Hassan, Z., Mustafa, S., Rahim, R. A., & Isa, N. M. (2016). Anti-breast cancer effects of live, heat-killed and cytoplasmic fractions of *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Staphylococcus hominis* isolated from human breast milk. *In Vitro Cellular & Developmental Biology - Animal*, 52(3), 337–348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11626-015-9978-8>
- Hatfull, G. F., Dedrick, R. M., & Schooley, R. T. (2022). Phage therapy for antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections. *Annual Review of Medicine*, 73(1), 197–211. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-med-080219-122208>
- Heselpoth, R. D., Swift, S. M., Linden, S. B., Mitchell, M. S., & Nelson, D. C. (2017). Enzybiotics: Endolysins and bacteriocins. *Bacteriophages*, 1–42. doi:10.1007/978-3-319-40598-8_34-1
- Higgins, N. P., & Vologodskii, A. V. (2015). Topological behavior of plasmid DNA. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 3(2), 10.1128/microbiolspec.PLAS-0036-2014. <https://doi.org/10.1128/microbiolspec.PLAS-0036-2014>
- Hiramatsu, K., Kayayama, Y., Matsuo, M., Aiba, Y., Saito, M., Hishinuma, T., & Iwamoto, A. (2014). Vancomycin-intermediate resistance in *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Global Antimicrobial Resistance*, 2(4), 213–224. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jgar.2014.04.006>
- Horgan, M., O'Flynn, G., Garry, J., Cooney, J., Coffey, A., Fitzgerald, G. F., Ross, R. P., & McAuliffe, O. (2009). Phage lysin LysK can be truncated to its CHAP domain and retain lytic activity against live antibiotic-resistant staphylococci. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 75(3), 872–874. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01831-08>
- Hu, Q., Peng, H., & Rao, X. (2016). Molecular events for promotion of vancomycin resistance in vancomycin intermediate *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 7. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2016.01601>
- Huang, Y., Yang, H., Yu, J., & Wei, H. (2015). Molecular dissection of phage lysin PlySs2: Integrity of the catalytic and cell wall binding domains is essential for its broad lytic activity. *Virologica Sinica*, 30(1), 45–51. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-014-3535-6>
- Jun, S. Y., Jang, I. J., Yoon, S., Jang, K., Yu, K. S., Cho, J. Y., Seong, M. W., Jung, G. M., Yoon, S. J., & Kang, S. H. (2017). Pharmacokinetics and tolerance of the phage endolysin-based candidate drug SAL200 after a single intravenous administration among healthy volunteers. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 61(6), e02629-16. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.02629-16>

- Kaur, K. D., & Habimana, O. (2022). Death at the interface: Nanotechnology's challenging frontier against microbial surface colonization. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 10, 1003234. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2022.100323>
- Keary, R., McAuliffe, O., Ross, R. P., Hill, C., O'Mahony, J., & Coffey, A. (2014). Genome analysis of the staphylococcal temperate phage DW2 and functional studies on the endolysin and tail hydrolase. *Bacteriophage*, 4(1), e28451. <https://doi.org/10.4161/bact.28451>
- Kherabi, Y., Zeller, V., Kerroumi, Y., Meyssonier, V., Heym, B., Lidove, O., & Marmor, S. (2022). Streptococcal and *Staphylococcus aureus* prosthetic joint infections: Are they really different? *BMC Infectious Diseases*, 22(1), 555. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12879-022-07532-x>
- Kim, S., Jin, J.-S., Choi, Y.-J., & Kim, J. (2020). LysSAP26, a new recombinant phage endolysin with a broad-spectrum antibacterial activity. *Viruses*, 12(11), 1340. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v12111340>
- Kovács, E., Sahin-Tóth, J., Tóthpál, A., Van Der Linden, M., Tirczka, T., & Dobay, O. (2020). Co-carriage of *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, *Haemophilus influenzae* and *Moraxella catarrhalis* among three different age categories of children in Hungary. *Public Library of Science ONE*, 15(2), e0229021. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0229021>
- Kusuma, C. M., & Kokai-Kun, J. F. (2005). Comparison of four methods for determining lysostaphin susceptibility of various strains of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 49(8), 3256–3263. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.49.8.3256-3263.2005>
- Labrie, S. J., Samson, J. E., & Moineau, S. (2010). Bacteriophage resistance mechanisms. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 8(5), 317–327. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro2315>
- Letrado, P., Corsini, B., Díez-Martínez, R., Bustamante, N., Yuste, J. E., & García, P. (2018). Bactericidal synergism between antibiotics and phage endolysin Cpl-711 to kill multidrug-resistant pneumococcus. *Future Microbiology*, 13(11), 1215–1223. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2018-0077>
- Li, N., Zeng, Y., Wang, M., Bao, R., Chen, Y., Li, X., Pan, J., Zhu, T., Hu, B., & Tan, D. (2022). Characterization of phage resistance and their impacts on bacterial fitness in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 10(5), e02072-22. <https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02072-22>
- Loeffler, J. M., Nelson, D., & Fischetti, V. A. (2001). Rapid killing of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* with a bacteriophage cell wall hydrolase. *Science*, 294(5549), 2170–2172. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1066869>

- Loessner, M. J., Gaeng, S., & Scherer, S. (1999). Evidence for a holin-like protein gene fully embedded out of frame in the endolysin gene of *Staphylococcus aureus* bacteriophage 187. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 181(15), 4452–4460. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.181.15.4452-4460.1999>
- Low, L. Y., Yang, C., Perego, M., Osterman, A., & Liddington, R. (2011). Role of net charge on catalytic domain and influence of cell wall binding domain on bactericidal activity, specificity, and host range of phage lysins. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 286(39), 34391–34403. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M111.244160>
- Lu, J. Z., Fujiwara, T., Komatsuzawa, H., Sugai, M., & Sakon, J. (2006). Cell wall-targeting domain of glycyglycine endopeptidase distinguishes among peptidoglycan cross-bridges. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 281(1), 549–558. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.M509691200>
- Lu, Y., Wang, Y., Wang, J., Zhao, Y., Zhong, Q., Li, G., Fu, Z., & Lu, S. (2021). Phage endolysin LysP108 showed promising antibacterial potential against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Frontiers in Cellular and Infection Microbiology*, 11, 668430. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fcimb.2021.668430>
- Mao, J., Schmelcher, M., Harty, W. J., Foster-Frey, J., & Donovan, D. M. (2013). Chimeric Ply187 endolysin kills *Staphylococcus aureus* more effectively than the parental enzyme. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Letters*, 342(1), 30–36. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6968.12104>
- Megat Mazhar Khair, M. H., Tee, A. N., Wahab, N. F., Othman, S. S., Goh, Y. M., Masarudin, M. J., Chong, C. M., In, L. L. A., Gan, H. M., & Song, A. A.-L. (2023). Comprehensive characterization of a *Streptococcus agalactiae* phage isolated from a tilapia farm in Selangor, Malaysia, and its potential for phage therapy. *Pharmaceuticals*, 16(5), 698. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ph16050698>
- Mitkowski, P., Jagielska, E., Nowak, E., Bujnicki, J. M., Stefaniak, F., Niedzialek, D., Bochtler, M., & Sabała, I. (2019). Structural bases of peptidoglycan recognition by lysostaphin SH3b domain. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 5965. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-42435-z>
- Mo, K.-F., Li, X., Li, H., Low, L. Y., Quinn, C. P., & Boons, G.-J. (2012). Endolysins of *Bacillus anthracis* bacteriophages recognize unique carbohydrate epitopes of vegetative cell wall polysaccharides with high affinity and selectivity. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 134(37), 15556–15562. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja3069962>
- Murray, E., Draper, L. A., Ross, R. P., & Hill, C. (2021). The advantages and challenges of using endolysins in a clinical setting. *Viruses*, 13(4), 680. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13040680>

- Naureen, Z., Dautaj, A., Anpilogov, K., Camilleri, G., Dhuli, K., Tanzi, B., Maltese, P. E., Cristofoli, F., De Antoni, L., Beccari, T., Dundar, M., & Bertelli, M. (2020). Bacteriophages presence in nature and their role in the natural selection of bacterial populations. *Acta bio-medica : Atenei Parmensis*, 91(13-S), e2020024. <https://doi.org/10.23750/abm.v91i13-S.10819>
- Navarre, W. W., Ton-That, H., Faull, K. F., & Schneewind, O. (1999). Multiple enzymatic activities of the murein hydrolase from staphylococcal phage ϕ 11. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(22), 15847–15856. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.22.15847>
- Nelson, D. C., Schmelcher, M., Rodriguez-Rubio, L., Klumpp, J., Pritchard, D. G., Dong, S., & Donovan, D. M. (2012). Endolysins as antimicrobials. In *Advances in Virus Research* (Vol. 83, pp. 299–365). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394438-2.00007-4>
- Ning, H., Lin, H., Wang, J., He, X., Lv, X., & Ju, L. (2021). Characterizations of the endolysin Lys84 and its domains from phage qdsa002 with high activities against *Staphylococcus aureus* and its biofilms. *Enzyme and Microbial Technology*, 148, 109809. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enzmictec.2021.109809>
- O'Flaherty, S., Coffey, A., Meaney, W., Fitzgerald, G. F., & Ross, R. P. (2005). The recombinant phage lysin LysK has a broad spectrum of lytic activity against clinically relevant staphylococci, including Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 187(20), 7161–7164. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JB.187.20.7161-7164.2005>
- Oechslin, F., Menzi, C., Moreillon, P., & Resch, G. (2021). The multidomain architecture of a bacteriophage endolysin enables intramolecular synergism and regulation of bacterial lysis. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 296, 100639. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbc.2021.100639>
- Oechslin, F., Zhu, X., Dion, M. B., Shi, R., & Moineau, S. (2022). Phage endolysins are adapted to specific hosts and are evolutionarily dynamic. *PLOS Biology*, 20(8), e3001740. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.3001740>
- Oliveira, H., São-José, C., & Azeredo, J. (2018). Phage-derived peptidoglycan degrading enzymes: challenges and future prospects for in vivo therapy. *Viruses*, 10(6), 292. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10060292>
- Park, J.-M., Ko, D.-S., Kim, H.-S., Kim, N.-H., Kim, E.-K., Roh, Y.-H., Kim, D., Kim, J.-H., Choi, K.-S., & Kwon, H.-J. (2023). Rapid screening and comparison of chimeric lysins for antibacterial activity against *Staphylococcus aureus* strains. *Antibiotics*, 12(4), 667. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12040667>

- Peschel, A., Otto, M., Jack, R. W., Kalbacher, H., Jung, G., & Götz, F. (1999). Inactivation of the *dlt* operon in *Staphylococcus aureus* confers sensitivity to defensins, protegrins, and other antimicrobial peptides. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 274(13), 8405–8410. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.274.13.8405>
- Peschel, A., Vuong, C., Otto, M., & Götz, F. (2000). The D-alanine residues of *Staphylococcus aureus* Teichoic acids alter the susceptibility to vancomycin and the activity of autolytic enzymes. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 44(10), 2845–2847. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.44.10.2845-2847.2000>
- Phothichaisri, W., Chankhamhaengdecha, S., Janvilisri, T., Nuadthaisong, J., Phetruen, T., Fagan, R. P., & Chanarat, S. (2022). Potential role of the host-derived cell-wall binding domain of endolysin CD16/50L as a molecular anchor in preservation of uninfected *Clostridioides difficile* for new rounds of phage infection. *Microbiology Spectrum*, 10(2), e02361-21. <https://doi.org/10.1128/spectrum.02361-21>
- Pouresmaeil, M., & Azizi-Dargahlou, S. (2023). Factors involved in heterologous expression of proteins in *E. coli* host. *Archives of Microbiology*, 205(5), 212. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00203-023-03541-9>
- Pritchard, D. G., Dong, S., Kirk, M. C., Cartee, R. T., & Baker, J. R. (2007). LambdaSa1 and LambdaSa2 prophage lysins of *Streptococcus agalactiae*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 73(22), 7150–7154. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01783-07>
- Ralston, D. J., & Mcivor, M. (1964). Lysis-from-without of *Staphylococcus aureus* strains by combinations of specific phages and phage-induced lytic enzymes. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 88(3), 676–681. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.88.3.676-681.1964>
- Rashel, M., Uchiyama, J., Ujihara, T., Uehara, Y., Kuramoto, S., Sugihara, S., Yagyu, K., Muraoka, A., Sugai, M., Hiramatsu, K., Honke, K., & Matsuzaki, S. (2007). Efficient elimination of multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* by cloned lysin derived from bacteriophage ϕ MR11. *The Journal of Infectious Diseases*, 196(8), 1237–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1086/521305>
- Riguero, V., Clifford, R., Dawley, M., Dickson, M., Gastfriend, B., Thompson, C., Wang, S.-C., & O'Connor, E. (2020). Immobilized metal affinity chromatography optimization for poly-histidine tagged proteins. *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1629, 461505. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chroma.2020.461505>

- Rizkia, P. R., Silaban, S., Hasan, K., Kamara, D. S., Subroto, T., Soemitro, S., & Maksum, I. P. (2015). Effect of isopropyl- β -D-thiogalactopyranoside concentration on prethrombin-2 recombinant gene expression in *Escherichia coli* ER2566. *Procedia Chemistry*, 17, 118–124. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2015.12.121>
- Rolain, T., Bernard, E., Courtin, P., Bron, P. A., Kleerebezem, M., Chapot-Chartier, M.-P., & Hols, P. (2012). Identification of key peptidoglycan hydrolases for morphogenesis, autolysis, and peptidoglycan composition of *Lactobacillus plantarum* WCFS1. *Microbial Cell Factories*, 11(1), 137. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1475-2859-11-137>
- Sambrook, J., & Russell, D. W. (2001). *Molecular cloning: A laboratory manual* (3rd ed). Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press.
- Samuelson, J. C. (2016). Purifying recombinant his-tagged proteins: improving the process with use of genetically tailored expression host NiCo21(DE3). *Genetic Engineering & Biotechnology News*, 36(5), 26–27. <https://doi.org/10.1089/gen.36.05.15>
- Schlag, M., Biswas, R., Krismer, B., Kohler, T., Zoll, S., Yu, W., Schwarz, H., Peschel, A., & Götz, F. (2010). Role of staphylococcal wall teichoic acid in targeting the major autolysin Atl. *Molecular Microbiology*, 75(4), 864–873. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2958.2009.07007.x>
- Schleifer, K. H., & Kandler, O. (1972). Peptidoglycan types of bacterial cell walls and their taxonomic implications. *Bacteriological Reviews*, 36(4), 407–477. <https://doi.org/10.1128/br.36.4.407-477.1972>
- Schmelcher, M., Donovan, D. M., & Loessner, M. J. (2012a). Bacteriophage endolysins as novel antimicrobials. *Future Microbiology*, 7(10), 1147–1171. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb.12.97>
- Schmelcher, M., Korobova, O., Schischkova, N., Kiseleva, N., Kopylov, P., Pryamchuk, S., Donovan, D. M., & Abaev, I. (2012b). *Staphylococcus haemolyticus* prophage Φ SH2 endolysin relies on cysteine, histidine-dependent amidohydrolases/peptidases activity for lysis 'from without.' *Journal of Biotechnology*, 162(2–3), 289–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbiotec.2012.09.010>
- Shang, X., & Nelson, D. C. (2019). Contributions of net charge on the PlyC endolysin CHAP domain. *Antibiotics*, 8(2), 70. <https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics8020070>
- Sharif, S., Singh, M., Kim, S. J., & Schaefer, J. (2009). *Staphylococcus aureus* peptidoglycan tertiary structure from carbon-13 spin diffusion. *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 131(20), 7023–7030. <https://doi.org/10.1021/ja808971c>

- Shen, Y., Kalograiaki, I., Prunotto, A., Dunne, M., Boulos, S., Taylor, N. M. I., Sumrall, E. T., Eugster, M. R., Martin, R., Julian-Rodero, A., Gerber, B., Leiman, P. G., Menéndez, M., Peraro, M. D., Cañada, F. J., & Loessner, M. J. (2021). Structural basis for recognition of bacterial cell wall teichoic acid by pseudo-symmetric SH3b-like repeats of a viral peptidoglycan hydrolase. *Chemical Science*, 12(2), 576–589. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0SC04394J>
- Son, B., Kong, M., & Ryu, S. (2018). The auxiliary role of the amidase domain in cell wall binding and exolytic activity of staphylococcal phage endolysins. *Viruses*, 10(6), 284. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v10060284>
- Sugai, M., Fujiwara, T., Ohta, K., Komatsuzawa, H., Ohara, M., & Suginaka, H. (1997). Epr, which encodes glycylglycine endopeptidase resistance, is homologous to femAB and affects serine content of peptidoglycan cross bridges in *Staphylococcus capitis* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Journal of Bacteriology*, 179(13), 4311–4318. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jb.179.13.4311-4318.1997>
- Tham, H. Y., Song, A. A.-L., Yusoff, K., & Tan, G. H. (2020). Effect of different cloning strategies in pET-28a on solubility and functionality of a staphylococcal phage endolysin. *BioTechniques*, 69(3), 161–170. <https://doi.org/10.2144/btn-2020-0034>
- Topka-Bielecka, G., Nejman-Faleńczyk, B., Bloch, S., Dydecka, A., Necel, A., Węgrzyn, A., & Węgrzyn, G. (2021). Phage-bacteria interactions in potential applications of bacteriophage vB_EfaS-271 against *Enterococcus faecalis*. *Viruses*, 13(2), 318. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v13020318>
- Totté, J. E. E., van Doorn, M. B., & Pasmans, S. G. M. A. (2017). Successful treatment of chronic *Staphylococcus aureus*-related dermatoses with the topical endolysin Staphfect SA.100: A report of 3 Cases. *Case Reports in Dermatology*, 9(2), 19–25. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000473872>
- Tsumoto, K., Ejima, D., Kumagai, I., & Arakawa, T. (2003). Practical considerations in refolding proteins from inclusion bodies. *Protein Expression and Purification*, 28(1), 1–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-5928\(02\)00641-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1046-5928(02)00641-1)
- Turner, N. A., Sharma-Kuinkel, B. K., Maskarinec, S. A., Eichenberger, E. M., Shah, P. P., Carugati, M., Holland, T. L., & Fowler, V. G. (2019). Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus*: An overview of basic and clinical research. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 17(4), 203–218. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41579-018-0147-4>

- van Dalen, R., Peschel, A., & van Sorge, N. M. (2020). Wall teichoic acid in *Staphylococcus aureus* host interaction. *Trends in Microbiology*, 28(12), 985–998. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tim.2020.05.017>
- van Sorge, N. M., Cole, J. N., Kuipers, K., Henningham, A., Aziz, R. K., Kasirer-Friede, A., Lin, L., Berends, E. T. M., Davies, M. R., Dougan, G., Zhang, F., Dahesh, S., Shaw, L., Gin, J., Cunningham, M., Merriman, J. A., Hütter, J., Lepenies, B., Rooijackers, S. H. M., ... Nizet, V. (2014). The classical Lancefield antigen of group a *Streptococcus* is a virulence determinant with implications for vaccine design. *Cell Host & Microbe*, 15(6), 729–740. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chom.2014.05.009>
- Varela-Ramirez, A., Abendroth, J., Mejia, A. A., Phan, I. Q., Lorimer, D. D., Edwards, T. E., & Aguilera, R. J. (2017). Structure of acid deoxyribonuclease. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 45(10), 6217–6227. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/gkx222>
- Vollmer, W., Blanot, D., & De Pedro, M. A. (2008). Peptidoglycan structure and architecture. *Federation of European Microbiological Societies Microbiology Reviews*, 32(2), 149–167. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-6976.2007.00094.x>
- Weiner-Lastinger, L. M., Pattabiraman, V., Konnor, R. Y., Patel, P. R., Wong, E., Xu, S. Y., Smith, B., Edwards, J. R., & Dudeck, M. A. (2022). The impact of coronavirus disease 2019 (COVID-19) on healthcare-associated infections in 2020: A summary of data reported to the National Healthcare Safety Network. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 43(1), 12–25. <https://doi.org/10.1017/ice.2021.362>
- Wendling, C. C., Refardt, D., & Hall, A. R. (2021). Fitness benefits to bacteria of carrying prophages and prophage-encoded antibiotic-resistance genes peak in different environments. *Evolution; International Journal of Organic Evolution*, 75(2), 515–528. <https://doi.org/10.1111/evo.14153>
- Wingfield, P. T. (2015). Overview of the purification of recombinant proteins. *Current Protocols in Protein Science*, 80(1). <https://doi.org/10.1002/0471140864.ps0601s80>
- Wohlfarth, J. C., Feldmüller, M., Schneller, A., Kilcher, S., Burkolter, M., Meile, S., Pilhofer, M., Schuppler, M., & Loessner, M. J. (2023). L-form conversion in Gram-positive bacteria enables escape from phage infection. *Nature Microbiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41564-022-01317-3>

- Wong, K. Y., Megat Mazhar Khair, M. H., Song, A. A., Masarudin, M. J., Chong, C. M., In, L. L. A., & Teo, M. Y. M. (2022). Endolysins against streptococci as an antibiotic alternative. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13, 935145. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2022.935145>
- Xia, G., Kohler, T., & Peschel, A. (2010). The wall teichoic acid and lipoteichoic acid polymers of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *International Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 300(2–3), 148–154. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmm.2009.10.001>
- Yang, H., Zhang, Y., Yu, J., Huang, Y., Zhang, X.-E., & Wei, H. (2014). Novel chimeric lysin with high-level antimicrobial activity against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in vitro and in vivo. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 58(1), 536–542. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.01793-13>
- Yang, Q., Le, S., Zhu, T., & Wu, N. (2023). Regulations of phage therapy across the world. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1250848. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2023.1250848>
- Yokoi, K., Kawahigashi, N., Uchida, M., Sugahara, K., Shinohara, M., Kawasaki, K.-I., Nakamura, S., Taketo, A., & Kodaira, K.-I. (2005). The two-component cell lysis genes holWMY and lysWMY of the *Staphylococcus warneri* M phage ϕ WMY: Cloning, sequencing, expression, and mutational analysis in *Escherichia coli*. *Gene*, 351, 97–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gene.2005.03.006>
- Zarghampoor, F., Behzad-Behbahani, A., Azarpira, N., Khatami, S. R., Fanian, M., Hossein Aghdaie, M., & Rafiei Dehbidi, G. (2020). A single tube overlap extension pcr method for splicing of multiple DNA fragments. *Avicenna Journal of Medical Biotechnology*, 12(1), 37–43.
- Zdorovenko, E. L., Gannesen, A. V., Dmitrenok, A. S., Zhurina, M. V., Mart'yanov, S. V., & Shashkov, A. S. (2021). Structure of cell-wall glycopolymers of *Micrococcus luteus* C01. *Carbohydrate Research*, 506, 108356. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carres.2021.108356>