



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**CYTOGENETIC STUDIES ON THE  
WATER BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*)**

**MOHAMAD HILMI BIN HAJI ABDULLAH**

**FPV 1984 3**

CYTOGENETIC STUDIES ON THE  
WATER BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*)

by

MOHAMAD HILMI BIN HAJI ABDULLAH

A Thesis

Submitted in partial fulfilment  
of the requirement for the degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy  
in the Faculty of Veterinary Medicine  
and Animal Sciences  
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

February 1984



This thesis is dedicated to  
my parents, wife and children

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisor, Dr. T.A. Bongso, Associate Professor in the Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science, Universiti Pertanian Malaysia, for his keen interest, valuable guidance and encouragement rendered throughout the period of this study. The guidance of my co-supervisor Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Mahyuddin Dahan is also appreciated.

I am grateful to the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia for the award of a research grant and allowing me the use of research facilities.

My thanks also to the farm workers in Unit Kerbau U.P.M., Puchong, for managing the experimental animals.

Stenographic service of Puan Normadiah in preparation of this thesis is greatly appreciated.

Special thanks are due to my parents for their inspiration and encouragement.

This thesis would not have been accomplished if not for the patience and moral support of my wife Azian, and daughters, Nur Ezlin and Ilyana.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS .....	iii
TABLE OF CONTENTS .....	iv
LIST OF TABLES .....	vii
LIST OF FIGURES .....	viii
ABSTRACT .....	x
INTRODUCTION.....	1
LITERATURE REVIEW.....	4
Zoological position of water buffalo .....	4
Breeds and types of domestic buffaloes.....	7
<u>World distribution</u> .....	9
River, swamp and crossbred buffaloes in Malaysia.....	9
<u>Phenotype</u> .....	12
<u>Numerical distribution in Malaysia</u> .....	13
Priority areas for buffalo research.....	13
Chromosome studies on water buffalo.....	17
<u>Cytogenetic classification of water buffalo</u> .....	17
<u>Buffalo karyotype</u> .....	19
<u>Chromosome polymorphism in buffaloes</u> .....	23
Chromosome rearrangements and fertility in domestic animals .....	24
<u>Chromosome anomalies related to lowered fertility in non-ruminants</u> .....	25
<u>Chromosome anomalies related to lowered fertility in ruminants</u> .....	27
<u>Chromosome anomalies and embryonic foetal mortality</u> .....	31



	Page
Chromosomes and fertility in hybrids.....	32
MATERIALS AND METHODS.....	43
Animals .....	43
Leucocyte culture technique .....	43
Giemsa (G) banding technique .....	48
Constitutive heterochromatin (C) banding technique....	49
Staining for nucleolus organizer regions (NOR) .....	49
Construction of karyotypes .....	50
Meiotic chromosome analysis .....	51
Histological and electron microscopic examination .....	52
RESULTS .....	55
Breeding and phenotype of crossbred buffaloes .....	55
Leucocyte culture .....	55
Chromosome number and conventional karyotype .....	56
G banded karyotype .....	59
C banded karyotype .....	62
NOR banding patterns .....	63
Meiotic chromosome analysis .....	64
Histological and ultrastructural findings .....	64
DISCUSSION .....	67
Blastogenesis with pokeweed mitogen .....	67
Diploid chromosome distribution .....	68
NOR distribution patterns .....	69
Mechanism of 4/9 tandem fusion .....	69
Identification of sex chromosomes .....	71
Relationship of tandem fusion to reproductive efficiency .....	72

	Page
SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION .....	77
BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	80
PHOTOGRAPHIC PLATES .....	91
APPENDICES .....	110
PUBLICATIONS .....	112



## LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
I.	World distribution of water buffaloes .....	10
II.	Countries with sizable population of water buffalo.	11
III.	Water buffalo distribution in Malaysia .....	14
IV.	Priority areas for water buffalo research .....	16
V.	Chromosome status of the water buffalo .....	18
VI.	Cattle breeds carrying 1/29 translocation .....	28
VII.	Isolating mechanisms in interspecific hybridisation	34
VIII.	Cultures with different combinations of basal media and mitogens .....	46
IX.	Cultures with different combinations of sera and inocula .....	47
X.	Metaphase scores obtained from different combina- tions of media and mitogens .....	57
XI.	Metaphase scores obtained form different combina- tions of sera and inocula .....	58
XII.	Diploid chromosome number in Murrah, swamp and crossbred buffaloes .....	60
XIII.	Classification of chromosomes of Murrah, swamp and crossbred buffaloes .....	61
XIV.	Distribution of first meiotic elements in F <sub>1</sub> hybrid buffaloes (Murrah x Swamp) .....	65





## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
1. Zoological position of water buffalo .....	5
2. Water buffalo breeding programme .....	44
3. Phenotypes of water buffalo .....	91
4. Conventional karyotype of Murrah buffalo .....	92
5. Conventional karyotypes of swamp buffalo .....	93
6. Conventional karyotype of F <sub>1</sub> buffalo .....	94
7. Giemsa banded karyotype of Murrah buffalo .....	95
8. Giemsa banded karyotype of swamp buffalo .....	96
9. Giemsa banded karyotype of F <sub>1</sub> buffalo .....	97
10. Mechanism of formation of 4/9 tandem fusion .....	98
11. C banded karyotype of female Murrah buffalo .....	99
12. C banded karyotype of male swamp buffalo .....	100
13. NOR patterns in chromosomes of Murrah buffalo .....	101
14. NOR patterns in chromosomes of swamp buffalo .....	102
15. NOR patterns in chromosomes of F <sub>1</sub> buffalo .....	103
16. Silver staining interphase nuclei in (a) swamp (b) Murrah and (c) F <sub>1</sub> buffaloes .....	104
17. Meiotic chromosomes in F <sub>1</sub> male buffaloes .....	105
18. Possible segregation of meiotic elements in F <sub>1</sub> male buffaloes .....	106
19. Histology of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis (x 400) .....	107
20. Histology of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis (x 800) .....	107
21. Histology of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis (x 2000) .....	107
22. Electron micrograph of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis showing vacuoles in degenerating spermatids .....	108



	Page
23. Electron micrograph of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis showing degenerating acrosome in spermatids .....	108
24. Electron micrograph of F <sub>1</sub> hybrid testis showing malformed sperm .....	108
25. Expected backcross progenies when F <sub>1</sub> hybrid ova are fertilised with spermatozoa of Murrāh buffalo.....	109



## ABSTRACT

An abstract of the thesis presented to the senate of Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

### CYTOGENETIC STUDIES ON THE WATER BUFFALO (*Bubalus bubalis*)

by

MOHAMAD HILMI BIN HAJI ABDULLAH

February, 1984

Supervisor : Associate Professor Dr. Tuan Ariffeen Bongso  
Co-supervisor : Associate Professor Dr. Mohd. Mahyuddin Dahan  
Faculty : Veterinary Medicine and Animal Science

Chromosome analysis was undertaken on Murrah, swamp,  $F_1$  (Murrah x swamp) and backcross ( $F_1$  female x Murrah male) buffaloes (*Bubalus bubalis*) using a modified leucocyte culture method combined with Giemsa (G) constitutive heterochromatin (C) and nucleolus organiser region (NOR) banding procedures. A combination of 80% medium RPMI 1640, 20% calf serum, pokeweed mitogen and buffy coat gave many good quality metaphases. The Murrah, swamp and  $F_1$  buffaloes had chromosome complements of  $2n = 50$ ,  $2n = 48$  and  $2n = 49$  respectively. The Murrah karyotype had 5 pairs of submetacentric chromosomes and 20 pairs of acrocentric chromosomes while the swamp karyotype had 1 pair of large metacentric chromosomes, 4



submetacentric pairs and 19 pairs of acrocentric chromosomes.  $F_1$  hybrid had an intermediate karyotype. Nine backcross animals had a  $2n = 49$  complement while 3 backcross animals had a  $2n = 50$  complement. Based on banding patterns (G, C and NOR), chromatid arm ratios and chromosome size it was revealed that the largest two metacentric chromosomes of the swamp buffalo resulted from a telomere - centromere tandem fusion between chromosomes 4p and 9 of the Murrah karyotype. This 4/9 tandem fusion was probably responsible for the evolutionary dichotomy of this species. The acrocentric X chromosomes had large triangular masses of constitutive heterochromatin, one pair of dark bands in the chromatids proximal to centromere and distinct telomeric bands. The Y chromosome had distinct telomeric bands, no C band and was not the smallest chromosome in the buffalo karyotype.

Testicular biopsies revealed all the meiotic stages in Murrah, swamp and  $F_1$  buffaloes. However, chromosome sets from 22 to 26 (most frequent 24 and 25) with many cells carrying univalent, bivalent and multivalent configurations were observed in  $F_1$  hybrids, while the Murrah and swamp showed chromosome sets of 25 and 24 bivalents respectively. Degenerating spermatocytes and abnormal spermatids were observed on histological and electron microscopic examinations of hybrid testes suggesting that various synaptic associations leading to unbalanced gametes may be responsible for the degenerating germ cells. Because of a large percentage of germinal epithelial cells being wasted in  $F_1$  hybrids it is suggested that fertility of backcross and  $F_2$  generation may be subnormal.



## INTRODUCTION

The water buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) is becoming increasingly important as a source of milk, meat and draft in many tropical, subtropical and warm temperate zones in developing and developed countries. The water buffalo has been considered a symbol of Asian life and endurance and is performing well in research trials in U.S.A., Papua New Guinea, Trinidad, Costa Rica, Venezuela, Brazil, Italy and Egypt. Out of a total world population of 130 million water buffaloes, approximately 116 million exist in Asia (Mahadevan, 1979). Because of its resistance to disease, ability to convert poor quality roughage to meat and milk and its powerful draft capacity, it has become an important animal in the agricultural economy of most Asian countries.

Water buffaloes have been classified into the river and swamp types. The river type is larger, used for milk, wallows in fresh-water and originates mostly in the Indian subcontinent and the Near East. The swamp type is smaller, used for draft and meat, wallows in muddy water and is indigenous to most Asian countries (Mason, 1974; Cockrill, 1981). In Malaysia, approximately 50% of the beef supply comes from the water buffalo (Syed Ali Bakar, 1980) and this animal is being increasingly used as a source of draft to carry oil-palm bunches in estates where the foliage prevents the use of machinery. In view of its many uses and sharp decline there has been tremendous interest in increasing its productivity through organised research. One of the research priority areas identified by the Food and Agricultural Organisation (FAO) has been in the area of genetic improvement (Mahadevan, 1977). In category II of

this report an international cooperative testing programme was urgently required to determine the relative genetic merits of different breeds and strains of water buffalo. Further, results of crossing of principal strains and evaluation of merits of first crosses and backcross to the paternal strains was also badly needed so as to improve buffalo productivity.

Water buffaloes in Asia can also be divided according to cytogenetic status. The chromosome complements of the swamp buffalo of Asia and Australia have been reported to be  $2n = 48$  (Ulbrich and Fischer, 1967; Toll and Halnan, 1976) while the river types carried  $2n = 50$  chromosome complements (Fischer 1971). The indigenous buffalo of Sri Lanka traditionally classified as the swamp type, carried  $2n = 50$  chromosomes (Scheurmann, *et al.*, 1974; Bongso *et al.*, 1977). The smaller swamp types of Asia are being upgraded with the river types (e.g. Murrah) imported from India so as to reap the advantages of hybrid vigour. The resulting hybrid has exhibited better productivity in the form of better growth rates and increased carcass yields as compared to the swamp type. While the advantages of heterosis have been observed, the implications of differences in chromosome number in the parents have not been investigated. Recently, it has been shown that the chromosome complement of the  $F_1$  hybrid (river x swamp) buffalo was  $2n = 49$ , with a karyotype intermediate to the two parental types (Bongso and Jainudeen, 1979).

Reproductive fitness has been shown to be affected in other hybrids possessing chromosome complements different from parental types, such as the mule and hinny (Benirschke 1967; Chandley, *et al.*, 1974), zebra x donkey (King 1967) cattalo (Basrur, 1969) and Indian x

Chinese muntjac (Shi Liming and Pathak, 1981). In all these hybrids chromosome non-homology in the parental types was incriminated as the main cause of infertility. Thus, in order to relate chromosome rearrangements with fertility, studies were undertaken to (1) first establish a suitable culture technique for the water buffalo and investigate the detailed karyotype (number and morphology) of the Murrah and swamp buffaloes and their crossbreds using conventional, giemsa (G), constitutive heterochromatin (C) and nucleolus organizer region (NOR) banding patterns (2) identify the chromosomes responsible for the evolutionary dichotomy of this species and (3) investigate the segregation of chromosomes during meiosis in  $F_1$  hybrid males and backcrosses so as to provide information as to the effect of the  $2n=49$  chromosome complement on fertility.

From the results obtained it was hoped that a sufficient base could be developed to help formulate an appropriate breeding policy aimed at increasing productivity for the water buffalo in Asia. These results would also provide answers to category II of the FAO technical advisory committee report on the genetic merits of crossbreeding in the water buffalo.

## LITERATURE REVIEW

### Zoological Position of Water Buffalo

In spite of new development in the zoological systematics in recent years and a mass of biometrical data on anatomical and genetical features of the domestic animals, there is yet no clearcut and universally accepted classification of both, wild and domestic buffaloes. Various classifications of the water buffalo have been reported (Simpson, 1945; Bohlken, 1958; Mason, 1974; Fahimuddin, 1975) and these have been summarised in Figure 1.

Two major groups of buffaloes exist *viz.*, the Syncerina comprising African buffaloes and the Bubalina, made up of Asian buffaloes. There are fundamental anatomical differences justifying their separation into these two groups. The Asian buffalo comes within the genus *Bubalus*. In the Pleistocene period, the genus *Bubalus* was widely distributed in Europe and Southern Asia. There are three main wild buffalo species within Asia which are distinct and have thus attracted individual names. These are the Anoa of the Celebes island of Indonesia, the Tamarao in the island of Mindoro in the Philippines and the Arni or Indian wild buffalo which is now domesticated (Mason, 1974).

Bohlken (1958) considered the Anoa to be only specifically different from other Asian buffaloes and thus called it *Bubalus depressicornis*. But because of its small size as compared to other buffaloes, and short horns pointing straight backwards it has been given the zoological name *Anoa depressicornis* (Mason, 1974). The Anoa has been divided into two subspecies differing in size, colour






Figure 1. Zoological Position of Water Buffalo

and habitat. These are the common or lowland Anoa (*Bubalus depressicornis depressicornis*) and small or mountain Anoa (*Bubalus depressicornis quarlesi*).

The Tamarao is commonly referred to as the Mindoro buffalo and classified as *Bubalus mindorensis*. It is in many ways, intermediate to the Anoa and Arni being a small animal, grey black or dark brown, white marks on head, neck and legs and short, strong horns with points turned inwards (Mason, 1974).

The Indian wild buffalo received its name from the Hindi arni and is referred to as *Bubalus arnee*. Its present distribution is in Northern India and Sri Lanka. It is a very large animal, grey-black in colour and with distinct white chevron markings on the neck and brisket. It has large horns widely separated at the base and curve round in a sickle shape with tips pointing inwards (Mason, 1974). The exact status of the Sri Lanka wild buffalo is controversial. Deraniyagala (1953-1955) classified it as a separate subspecies differing from the Arni in its smaller size and shorter horns curving further forward at the tip. However, Ellerman and Morrison-Scott (1951) dismissed the Sri Lanka buffalo as probably feral. Leupold (1968) reported that they are similar to swamp buffaloes. It has been claimed that Sri Lanka had no wild buffaloes, and that the wild types are feral animals escaped from captivity. They have been derived from Indian stock which is mainly of the river type. The Sri Lanka buffalo cannot be regarded as swamp type (Fahimuddin, 1975). This author also categorically states that the swamp type of Sri Lanka appears to be a degenerate type of the river buffalo. It is interesting to note that recent studies on the chromosome

makeup of the swamp buffalo of Sri Lanka showed that it had 50 chromosomes, similar to the chromosome number observed in the river types (Scheurmann *et al.*, 1974, Bongso *et al.*, 1977). Based on chromosome studies, Bongso *et al.*, (1977) suggested that the Sri Lankan buffalo probably originated from the Indian river type and in the course of time, when man's emphasis on the animal's use shifted from milk to draft in marshy lands, it lost its dairy characteristics and acquired swamp habits.

The zoological classification of the present day domestic buffalo is not clear-cut. Based on Linnaeus's terminology, it comprises a separate species *Bubalus bubalis* and according to Bohlken's terminology it is a sub-species and should be called *Bubalus arnee* forma *bubalis*. However, current literature refers to the domestic buffalo as *Bubalus bubalis*.

#### Breeds and Types of Domestic Buffaloes

MacGregor (1939) classified the domestic buffalo into river and swamp types based on habitat and use under domestication. The swamp type prefers the swamp or marshland and is used mainly for draft or meat while the river type prefers clean water of rivers and is primarily used for milk.

The swamp buffalo found mainly in Southeast Asia extends northward as far as the Yangtze valley in China and westward as far as Assam (Mason, 1974). The swamp buffaloes in different areas have been given local names and it is thus misleading to call them independent breeds. In Malaysia, the swamp type has been given

the general indigenous name of *kerbau sawah* and the river type called *kerbau sapi*. Ten percent of Malaysia's swamp buffalo population is albinoid and referred to as *kerbau balar*. These animals are pink in colour with black freckling but do not have the typical eye and conjunctiva colour described for albinos. In the Philippines the term carabao has been given to the indigenous swamp buffalo and recently it has been suggested that this variety should be reclassified as *Bubalus carabonensis* (Linn.) (Castillo, 1971). The swamp types of other countries have no special names but referred to after their country of origin.

The river type of buffalo is mainly confined to India and Pakistan. They have a distinctly different phenotype from the swamp types and have definite breeds belonging to groups *viz.*, Murrah group, Gujarat, Uttar Pradesh, Central India varieties and South Indian varieties (Mason, 1974). The Murrah and Surti breeds belong to the Murrah and Gujarat groups respectively. These are the two major breeds exported to Southeast Asian countries to upgrade the indigenous swamp varieties by crossbreeding.

The river types have also been introduced in the Near East, Europe, Australia, Latin America and Africa and although river in terms of type, they have attained phenotypic characteristics different from the Murrah and Surti breeds of India (Cockrill, 1981).

The buffaloes of Yugoslavia and other European countries have been referred to as the Mediterranean type of river buffalo by MacGregor (1941), because they originated from the Mediterranean basin.

## World Distribution

The distribution of water buffaloes in the world are shown in Table I. It is quite interesting to note that approximately 88 percent of water buffaloes are in the Asian region. The swamp type is confined mainly to southeast China, Burma, Assam, Laos, the Khmer Republic, Vietnam, Thailand, Malaysia, Indonesia, the Philippines and Sri Lanka. The river types are found mainly in India and Pakistan and introduced to Oceania, Iran, Iraq, Afghanistan, Turkey, Egypt, Africa, Latin America and Europe. Many buffaloes of Northern Nepal and Southern India are of the swamp type. The approximate proportions of river, swamp and Mediterranean type buffaloes are 66.7%, 29.7% and 3.6% respectively (Mahadevan, 1983). The numbers of buffaloes in countries with sizeable populations of the swamp type are shown in Table II.

### River, Swamp and Crossbred Buffaloes of Malaysia

In most Southeast Asian countries the indigenous swamp varieties are being upgraded by crossing them with imported larger river types, such as the Murrah breed so as to reap the advantages of hybrid vigour for better growth rate and carcass yield. This is particularly so in Malaysia because approximately 50% of the beef supply from the livestock industry comes from the water buffalo.

In Malaysia, in spite of the introduction of farm machinery, buffaloes are still being used for work in certain locations such as, deep-water rice areas and small-holdings for hauling and transporting rice during harvest, carting oil palm bunches in estates and carting of fish. In the single cropping rice areas the

Table 1. World distribution of water buffaloes

Country	Buffalo Population
ASIA : Burma	1,883,000
China	30,110,000
India	60,767,000
Indonesia	2,823,000
Laos	1,072,000
Malaysia	285,000
Philippines	3,860,000
Sri Lanka	736,000
Thailand	5,784,000
Vietnam	2,330,000
Others	2,238,000
EUROPE : Bulgaria	68,000
Italy	81,000
Romania	206,000
Others	78,000
NEAR EAST : Egypt	2,280,000
Iran	130,000
Iraq	332,000
Pakistan	10,563,000
Turkey	1,022,000
USSR	472,000
OCEANIA : Australia	200,000
OTHERS : South America	166,000
Carribbean	7,000
World Total	132,498,000

Source: Mahadevan, 1979

Table II. Countries with sizeable populations of swamp buffalo type

Country	Thousand head
Burma	1950
China	18520
Indonesia	2488
Kampuchea	404
Laos	880
Malaysia	2782
Philippines	6124
Vietnam	2378
Total	35819

Source: Mahadevan (1983)

