



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**EFFECTS OF WATER TABLE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON THE
TRANSPORT OF NITRATES IN SANDY UNDERGROUND
ENVIRONMENT**

ABDUL HAKIM MASAUD MUSSA ALMDNY

FK 2003 52

**EFFECTS OF WATER TABLE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON THE
TRANSPORT OF NITRATES IN SANDY UNDERGROUND
ENVIRONMENT**

By

ABDUL HAKIM MASAUD MUSSA ALMDNY

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2003



Dedicated to

My Parents, Wife, Daughter, and Son



Abstract of dissertation presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EFFECTS OF WATER TABLE MANAGEMENT PRACTICES ON THE
TRANSPORT OF NITRATES IN SANDY UNDERGROUND
ENVIRONMENT**

By

ABDUL HAKIM MASAUD MUSSA ALMDNY

July 2003

Chairman: Professor Salim Said, Ph.D.

Faculty: Engineering

Enhancing the degradation of agrochemical pollutants by managing the water table has introduced a new horizon in agricultural pollution control. Experimental work in this area shows that the degradation of agrochemicals such as nitrate is dependent on the soil water content. The aim of this study was to evaluate the effect of water table fluctuations on the nitrate and chloride transport. A set of laboratory column experiments was conducted to investigate the transport of two non-sorptive chemicals nitrate and chloride (NO_3 , Cl) to underground environment as effected by water table fluctuation. Three-controlled water table depths set at 0.25 m, 0.50 m, 0.75 m from the surface and free drainage treatment were used. The concentration curves for nitrate measured at different water table depths were not similar. The difference in the mean and the peak nitrate concentrations indicates highly significant difference in nitrate concentration among the water table depths. Through the values of mean and peak nitrate concentrations, the 0.25 m and 0.50 m water table depths gave the lowest mean and peak nitrate concentrations compared to the free drainage water table treatment. Descriptive statistical analysis indicates that there was highly



significant difference in the mean and the peak nitrate concentrations among the water table treatments. These results suggest that the saturation conditions associated with high water table will cause more nitrate degradation. The concentration curves for chloride measured at different water table depths were similar, with slight difference in terms of peak and mean. The average chloride recovery rate was approximately 91% in all water table treatments. The results suggest that the chloride was not subjected to degradation and can be used as a good conservative tracer for nitrate transport. Comparison between nitrate and chloride concentrations among water table depths leads to the conclusion that water table depth was an important factor effecting nitrate transport. Based on the data from this experiment, nitrate has linear relation with chloride, and can be expressed as $NO_3 = k_c Cl$. The coefficient of linear relation, k_c is affected by water table depth. Statistical model ($R^2 = 0.83\%$) to simulate reduction and transport of nitrate as effected by different water table depths was established and fitted to the experimental data. The simulation results show reasonable agreement between predicted and measured data. These results revealed that water table level was an important factor affecting chemical transport. Anaerobic conditions associated with saturation condition have created the potential for more degradation and promote biological and chemical degradation to reduce nitrate transport. In this study, analytical deterministic model, CXTFIT, was used to simulate and to describe nitrate and chloride transport under different water table depths. The basis of the model is the solution to the convection-dispersion transport equation. This model allows estimation of transport parameters such as pore water velocity, dispersion coefficient and retardation factor and degradation rate as the main factors affecting in nitrate transport. Predicted and observed values of nitrate concentration were compared. Good agreement was

obtained between the observed and predicted values. The results suggest that nitrate degradation increases for the case of a fluctuating water table. Results of this simulation study indicate that the CXTFIT model can be employed to predict nitrate concentrations under water table management practices. More experimental and field observation data are required to improve the accuracy of the model predictions.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN AMALAN PENGURUSAN ARAS AIR BUMI KE ATAS
PENGANGKUTAN BAHAN KIMIA PERTANIAN DALAM
PERSEKITARAN BAWAH TANAH**

Oleh

ABDUL HAKIM MASAUD MUSSA ALMDNY

Julai 2003

Pegawai : Profesor Salim Said, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Memperce degradasi pencemar kimia pertanian secara mengawal aras air bumi memperkenalkan suatu ufuk baru dalam pengawalan pencemaran pertanian. Ujikaji dalam bidang ini menunjukkan degradasi bahan kimia pertanian seperti nitrat adalah bergantung kepada kandungan air tanah. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk menilai kesan turun-naik aras air bumi ke atas pengangkutan nitrat dan kloride . Satu set ujikaji menggunakan turus ujiun makmal telah dijalankan untuk menyiasat pengangkutan dua bahan non-sorptif iaitu nitrat dan, kloride (NO_3 , Cl) terhadap persekitaran bawah tanah terkesan oleh turun-naik aras air bumi. Tiga kedalaman aras airbumi dikwal pada 0.25 m, 0.50 m dan 0.75 m dari permukaan tanah, dan satu rawatan penyaliran bebas telah digunakan. Lengkung kepekatan nitrat yang berbeza telah diperolehi bagi pengukuran pada beberapa kedalaman. Perbezaan min dan puncak kepekatan nitrat menunjukkan perbezaan signifikan yang tinggi bagi kepekatan nitrat pada beberapa kedalaman. Dari nilai min dan puncak kepekatan

nitrat, kedalaman 25 cm dan 50cm memberikan min dan puncak yang terendah berbanding rawatan penyaliran bebas. Analisis statistik diskriptif menunjukkan ada perbezaan signifikan yang tinggi dalam min dan puncak kepekatan nitrat di antara berbagai rawatan aras air bumi. Keputusan ini mencadangkan keadaan tepu berkaitan dengan aras airbumi yang tinggi akan meningkatkan keupayaan degradasi nitrat. Lengkung kepekatan untuk klorida diukur pada kedalaman aras air bumi yang berbeza adalah sama, hanya berbeza sedikit pada nilai puncak dan min. Purata kadar perolehan semula klorida dalam semua rawatan aras air bumi ialah lebihkurang 91%. Keputusan ini menunjukkan bahawa klorida tidak terkesan oleh degradasi dan boleh digunakan sebagai unsure tracer konservatif bagi pengangkutan nitrat . Perbandingan di antara kepekatan nitrat dan klorida bagi berbagai kedalaman aras air bumi mengarah kepada kesimpulan bahawa aras air bumi adalah factor penting yang mempengaruhi pengangkutan nitrat. Berdasarkan data ujikaji ini, nitrat mempunyai hubungan lurus dengan klorida, dan boleh dinyatakan sebagai $NO_3 = k_c Cl$. Pekali lurus k_c adalah terkesan oleh aras air bumi. Model satatistik ($R^2= 0.83$) untuk simulais pengurangan dan pengangkutan nitrat sebagaimana yang terkesan oleh aras air bumi yang berbeza ditentukan dan disesuaikan dengan data ujikaji. Keputusan simulasi menunjukan persetujuan yang memuaskan diantara ramalan dan data yang dicerap. Keputusan ini menunjukkan aras airbumi adalah factor penting dalam mempengaruhi pengangkutan bahan kimia. Keadaan anaerobic berpunca dari keadaan tepu telah meningkatkan potensi untuk degradasi bahan kimiayang lebih baik untuk mengurangkan pengangkutan nitrat. Dalam kajian ini model deterministic analitikal, CXTFIT, telah digunakan untuk simulasi dan untuk memperihalkan pengangkutan nitrat dan klorida dengan pelbagai rawatan kedalaman aras air bumi. Model ini membenarkan anggaran parameter pengangkutan seperti halaju air liang,

pekali sebaran, factor rencatan dan kadar degradasi sebagai factor terpenting dalam pengangkutan nitrat. Nilai kepekatan nitrat yang diramal dan tercerap telah dibandingkan . Pesetujuan baik telah diperolehi di antara data tercerap dan nilai ramalan. Keputusan menunjukkan degradasi nitrat meningkat untuk kes aras air bumi yang turun-naik. Keputusan kajian simulasi ini menunjukkan model CXTFIT boleh digunakan untuk meramal kepekatan nitrat dalam pengurusan aras air bumi. Lebih banyak data kajian di lapangan adalah diperlukan untuk memperbaiki kejituan model ramalan tersebut.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to take this opportunity to express my sincere thanks to the chairman of the supervisory committee Prof Salim Said for his continuous help, support, friendship, encouragement and personal interest during the period of this study. Sincere thanks are extended to the members of the committee, Prof Ir Dr Mohd Soom and Dr. Thamer Ahmed Mohammed for their support, cooperation and guidance. Sincere thanks to Dr. Suleyman Muyibi for his support and useful contributions.

Special thanks to Mr. Ghazali Kassim for his constant assistance during the construction of the experimental setup. I wish to express my gratitude to my friends in faculty of engineering for their help and support in this study especially Mr. Salah athloothi for his help and support. I am grateful to the University Putra Malaysia for all facilities and help during this study. I also express my deepest gratitude to the staff of the Graduate School for all kinds of assistance provided to us as international students that make our life in UPM at ease.

I am grateful to the peoples of the Libyan Arab Jamahiriya and Al- Fateh University who offer me the scholarship for pursuing the Ph.D degree at University Putra Malaysia.

Special thanks go to all the members of my family who without their encouragement and overwhelming support this work would not have been possible.

Finally and above of all, much thanks and praise are directed to Allah (sbt), the controller of the whole universe, who has provide me with health and makes this work comes true.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
DEDICATION		ii
ABSTRACT		iii
ABSTRACT		vi
ACKNOWLEDGMENTS		ix
APPROVAL		x
DECLARATION		xii
LIST OF TABLES		xvi
LIST OF FIGURES		xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS		xix
CHAPTER		
1	INTRODUCTION	1
	General Introduction	1
	Objectives of the Study	9
	Thesis Organization	10
 2	 LITERATURE REVIEW	 11
	Agrochemical Problems In the Environment	11
	Fate and Transport of Agrochemical in the Environment	17
	Agricultural Drainage and Water Quality	24
	Water Table Management	27
	Definition and Descriptions	27
	Water Table Management for Water Quality Improvement	29
	General Mechanisms of Subsurface Water Contamination	44
	Contaminant Transport Processes	45
	Mathematical Description of Contaminant Fate and Transport	48
	Modeling of Contaminant Transport and Fate	50
 3	 MATERIALS AND METHODS	 55
	Experimental Procedures	56
	Soil Column unit	56
	Soil Preparation	57
	Determination of Soil Properties	57
	Soil texture	57
	Bulk Density	58
	Porosity	59
	Saturated Hydraulic Conductivity	60
	Soil Columns	61
	Water Table Treatments	62
	Water Sample collection	63



	Water Sample Analysis	64
	Chemical Preparation and application	64
	Water application	65
	Presentation of Experimental Results	65
	Comparison Nitrate Concentration for Various Water Table Depths	66
	Comparison of Nitrate and Chloride Concentration Treatments	68
	Statistical Analysis	69
	Simulation Model	70
	Model Description	71
	Governing Equation of Solute Transport	71
	Inverse Modeling (Parameter Estimation)	74
	Sensitivity Analysis of Simulation model to Input parameters	75
	Verification Test	75
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	77
	Effect of Water Table Management on the Transport of Nitrate and Chloride to the Underground Environment	
	Introduction	77
	Comparison of Nitrate Transport Through Water Table Depths	78
	Comparison between Chloride and Nitrate Concentration	88
	Modeling Nitrate Transport	100
	Statistical Model Development	100
	Verification of the Regression Equation	105
	Comparison of model output with experimental results	107
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	119
	Simulation of Nitrate Transport Under Control Water Table Using the CXTFIT Model	
	Introduction	119
	Model Description	120
	Governing Equation of Solute Transport	120
	Model Parameter Estimation	122
	Chloride Concentrations and Model Representation	123
	Nitrate Concentration and Model Representation	130
	Sensitivity Analysis	141
	Model Verification	150
	Discussion of the Simulation Results	164
6	SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS	172
	Summary	172
	Recommendations for Further Work	176

REFERENCES	177
APPENDICES	190
Appendix A	191
Appendix B	195
Appendix C	199
Appendix D	203
VITA	205



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Selected soil properties used in this study.	61
3.2	Chemical concentration and application	65
4.1	Summary of statistical comparison between mean and peak nitrate concentration at different water table depths	87
4.2	Model summary	101
4.3	Analysis of variance of the regression model	102
4.4	Coefficient for the regression model	104
4.5	Summary of statistical parameters used during the regression model testing	115
5.1	Fitted parameter of the pour water velocity and dispersion coefficient with coefficient of determination R^2 obtained by fitting to the observed chloride concentration for each water table depth using CXTFIT model	124
5.2	Fitted Parameter of retardation factor and degradation rate constant with determination coefficient R^2 obtained by fitting to the nitrate data for each water table depth using CXTFIT model	131
5.3	Optimized values of estimated parameters and statistics obtained by fitting CXTFIT to the observed chloride and nitrate concentration data	139
5.4	Summary of sensitivity analysis interpreted as percent change in the model outputs with respect to change in the model inputs	150
5.5	Summary results of statistical measures that were used during the model testing	163

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Soil column experiment system	56
3.2	Soil columns and water table instrumentation	63
4.1	Nitrate concentration at 0.25 m water table depth as compared with free drainage water table treatment	80
4.2	Nitrate concentration at 0.50 m water table depth as compared with free drainage water table treatment	83
4.3	Nitrate concentration at 0.75 m water table depth as compared with free drainage water table treatment	85
4.4	Chloride concentration at different water table depths	89
4.5	Comparison of chloride and nitrate concentration for 0.25 m water table depth	90
4.6	Comparison of chloride and nitrate concentration for 0.50 m water table depth	91
4.7	Comparison of chloride and nitrate concentration for 0.75 m water table depth	92
4.8	Comparison of chloride and nitrate concentration for free drainage water table treatment	94
4.9	Correlation of nitrate and chloride concentrations at 0.25 m water table treatment	96
4.10	Correlation of nitrate and chloride concentrations at 0.50 m water table treatment	97
4.11	Correlation of nitrate and chloride concentrations at 0.75 m water table treatment	98
4.12	Correlation of nitrate and chloride concentrations at free drainage water table treatment	99
4.13	Observed and predicted nitrate concentration for different water table depth	107
4.14	Observed and predictive nitrate concentration at 0.25 m water table depth	110
4.15	Observed and predictive nitrate concentration at 0.50 m water table depth	111
4.16	Observed and predictive nitrate concentration at 0.75 m water table depth	113
4.17	Observed and predictive nitrate concentration free drainage water table treatment	114
5.1	The best fit of the model simulated and observed chloride concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.25 m water table depth	125
5.2	The best fit of the model simulated and observed chloride concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.50 m water table depth	127

5.3	The best fit of the model simulated and observed chloride concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.75 m water table depth	128
5.4	The best fit of the model simulated and observed chloride concentration during the parameter estimation at free drainage water table treatment	129
5.5	The best fit of the model simulated and observed nitrate concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.25 m water table depth	132
5.6	The best fit of the model simulated and observed nitrate concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.50 m water table depth	133
5.7	The best fit of the model simulated and observed nitrate concentration during the parameter estimation at 0.75 m water table depth	135
5.8	The best results of the model simulated and observed nitrate concentration during the parameter estimation at free drainage water table treatment	137
5.9	Sensitivity of concentration curves to changing in pore water velocity	143
5.10	Sensitivity of concentration curves to changing in dispersion coefficient	144
5.11	Sensitivity of concentration curves to changing in retardation factor	146
5.12	Sensitivity of concentration curves to changing in degradation rate constant	147
5.13	Comparison of the predicted and observed nitrate concentrations with time at 0.25 m water table depth	153
5.14	Predicted versus observed NO ₃ concentration at 0.25 m water table depth	154
5.15	Comparison of the predicted and observed nitrate concentrations with time at 0.50 m water table depth	155
5.16	Predicted versus observed NO ₃ concentration at 0.50m water table depth	157
5.17	Comparison of the predicted and observed nitrate concentrations with time at 0.75 m water table depth	158
5.18	Predicted versus observed NO ₃ concentration at 0.75 m water table depth	160
5.19	Comparison of the predicted and observed nitrate concentrations with time at free drainage water table treatment	161
5.20	Predicted versus observed NO ₃ concentration free drainage water table depth	163



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

<i>ME</i>	Mean error
<i>RMSE</i>	Root mean square error
<i>U</i>	Theil's coefficient
R^2	Determination coefficient
<i>r</i>	Correlation coefficient
<i>D</i>	Dispersion coefficient
<i>v</i>	Pore water velocity
<i>R</i>	Retardation factor
μ	Degradation rate constant
<i>S</i>	Adsorbed concentration per unit mass of soled phase
CDE	Convection dispersion equation
CXTFIT	Program for analyzing contaminant transport in soil
ρ	Particle density of soil
D_b	Bulk density
$J_{\text{advection}}$	Mass flux of solute transported by advection
$J_{\text{dispersion}}$	Mass flux of solute transported by dispersion
<i>SSQ (b)</i>	Sum of squared residuals
Column A	Soil column with 0.25 m water table depth
Column B	Soil column with 0.50 m water table depth
Column C	Soil column with 0.75 m water table depth
Column D	Free drainage water table treatment (FD)
<i>t</i>	Time
<i>d</i>	Water table depth from the surface
<i>S</i>	Saturation depth
<i>n</i>	Volumetric water content
<i>k</i>	Distribution coefficient for the chemical
<i>K</i>	Hydraulic conductivity
<i>p</i>	Porosity
k_c	Coefficient of linear relation between nitrate and chloride
M_i	Measured result
P_i	Simulated result
SPSS	Statistical software
EEC	European Economic Community
WHO	World Health Organization
MEPA	Metrology and Environmental Protection Administration
USEPA	U S Environmental Protection Agency



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

General Introduction

Ground water is the main source of domestic, agricultural, and industrial supplies in many places of the world. It constitutes the largest available source of freshwater. Therefore, a proper development and utilization of this renewable natural resource are of interest for all water supply requirements. In any evaluation of groundwater resources, physical and biological characteristics of the water are of major importance in determining whether or not water is suitable for domestic, industrial, or agricultural use. With increasing demand for water and with the intensification of water utilization, the quality problem becomes the limiting factor in the development of water resources; especially in agricultural areas where exist variety of chemicals that can pass through the ground surface to the water table of the aquifer

The contaminations of groundwater by chemical substances from various point and non-point sources create many significant problems. Generally, groundwater contamination is traced back to environmental, agricultural, domestic and industrial sources. Environmental contamination can be due to the environment through which the flow of groundwater takes place. Seawater intrusion is an example of this pollution. Agricultural pollution can be due to irrigation and rainwater dissolving and carrying fertilizers and salts as they infiltrate through the ground surface and provide a major source of elevated nutrient levels to the subsurface water. Domestic and industrial contaminations can be caused by many ways such as percolation



from septic tanks and artificial recharge of aquifers by sewage water. This study is concerned with groundwater pollution that is caused by agrochemicals.

Non point source pollution is a major problem associated with current intensive agricultural production methods. Surface and groundwater contamination from agricultural drainage water is of major environmental concern in the pursuit of sustainable agriculture. Groundwater in agricultural areas often has a distinct water quality signature composed of salt, nitrate, phosphorus, sediment, heavy metals, tracer elements, bacteria and pesticides. The sources of these compounds frequently come from agricultural chemicals such as fertilizers, pesticides and herbicides. These pollutants may destroy aquatic ecosystems and impair downstream water quality (Madramootoo, 1996).

Ground water monitoring programs throughout the world have revealed some pollution of groundwater supplies by nitrate and pesticides. Pollution of water resources by agricultural chemicals may cause health problem to humans. For example, a nitrate concentration in drinking water over 10 mg/l, the EPA safe drinking water limit, may cause clinical blue baby syndrome in infants. In addition to human health concerns, water pollution by agricultural chemicals affects fish and wildlife, commercial fisheries, recreational uses of surface waters, and water treatment facilities. Another concern is that once groundwater is contaminated, the problem is extremely difficult and expensive to remedy.

The potential for surface and groundwater pollution by agricultural chemicals lost from agricultural fields depends upon many factors including soil type, climate,

geological, and agricultural management practices. To reduce subsurface water pollution from agricultural chemicals it is important to know the transport mechanisms and extent of their loss on a specific pathway. Researchers have investigated the mechanisms of chemical transport in soil profile under various conditions and identified several physical, chemical and biological processes that control chemical behavior in soil. These processes are transport, sorption, transformation, degradation, volatilization, and plant uptake. The occurrence of each process depends upon pollutants characteristics, soil properties, microorganisms, environmental conditions, and the agricultural and water management practices employed. The interactions of these processes over time and space determine the fate of chemicals in soils. Subsurface flow is likely to be the major mechanism for the transport of soluble pollutants in many catchments areas, especially when water table approaches the soil surface. Pollutants such as nitrate, pesticides and herbicides which are normally applied in liquid or solid form, may be transported during runoff and join the river system to cause surface water pollution; they may also infiltrate into the soil and pollute the subsurface water. The increased infiltration of water, due to subsurface drainage may results in increased leaching and, subsequently, an increase in ground water contamination. Hallberg, (1986) has suggested that infiltration recharge may be the primary delivery mechanism of agricultural related contaminants to the ground water. The main factors affecting agricultural chemical loss from agricultural fields by leaching include the flow of water through the soil profile, and the amount of agrochemical for leaching at the time of water movement.

Many agrochemicals such as nitrate and pesticides are subjected to degradation in the environment. These agrochemicals are known to be degraded through both

chemical and biological processes. Chemical reactions are mediated by soil properties, such as PH, or catalyzed by soil minerals. The usually moist and aerated upper layer of agricultural soils provides favorable conditions for chemical reactions, particularly hydrolysis and oxidation. In a natural environment it is often difficult to separate biological transformation from chemical transformation. The predominant means of transformation for wide variety of chemical compounds is biological, i.e., microbial or enzymatic. The kinetics of chemical compounds to degradation is effected by the quantity and availability of the chemicals, the presence of microorganisms or enzyme systems capable of degrading the chemicals, and the activity level of the microorganisms as affected by the nutrients available to sustain the microbial population and by environmental and soil conditions such as temperature, moisture, aeration, pH, organic matter and cation exchange capacity.

Researchers have examined various methods to reduce the effect of agricultural chemicals loads on the environment. Agricultural management practices such as chemical management and water table management are being considered to reduce the negative effects of the use of agrochemicals on groundwater. Water table management is defined as any system that influences the elevation of the shallow water table, including practices such as controlled surface drainage and subsurface drainage. Water table management has been used in poorly drained agricultural soils to control water table in the soil profile. Historically, subsurface drainage systems were not designed as water quality management tools, but they have an impact on chemical fate and transport within agricultural soils. Very little attention has been given to proper management of existing subsurface drainage systems and subsequent impact on ground water quality. These drainage systems actually provide the

opportunity to detect some water quality problems, and then possibly control or eliminate the problems by controlling the water table to promote biological and chemical degradation of contaminants. Enhancing the degradation of agrochemicals by controlling the water table in the field has introduced a new horizon in agricultural pollution control. However, the use of water table management to enhance degradation of pollutants is a new area of research which hypothesized that the contamination of water bodies by agrochemicals transport from the agricultural areas through drainage systems can be substantially reduced or perhaps entirely prevented by water table management techniques.

Recently, water table management practices have received much attention as potential measures to reduce pollution hazard to groundwater. The greatest benefit of water table management practices on water quality has been its influence on the total nutrient loadings to drainage outflow (Evans et al., 1989). The reduction of agrochemical pollution such as nitrate and pesticides is attributed to enhanced denitrification as well as restricted outflow under water table management. Denitrification is a very complicated biological chemical process and the most important process for removing nitrate in water. It is an anaerobic process and is carried out by microorganisms, which use nitrate as their primary electron acceptor for obtaining energy from organic compounds. Because higher water tables reduce aeration and diffusion, denitrification is enhanced under water table management and excess nitrate is converted to its gaseous form to be returned to the atmosphere, rather than being leached to drainage water. Also, the shallow water table that persists for extended periods each year can create an anaerobic condition that could