

UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

VERIFICATION OF INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH OF WEATHERED MUDSTONE FROM H-OMETER TEST USING SIGMA/W MODEL

AZURA AHMAD

FK 2002 48

VERIFICATION OF INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH OF WEATHERED MUDSTONE FROM H-OMETER TEST USING SIGMA/W MODEL

AZURA AHMAD

MASTER OF SCIENCE UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

2002



VERIFICATION OF INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH OF WEATHERED MUDSTONE FROM H-OMETER TEST USING SIGMA/W MODEL

By

AZURA AHMAD

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science

November 2002



Specially Dedicated to My Family

Ahmad Hassan Zabedah Yakob Aniza Ahmad Khairul Nizam Ahmad Khatijah Azlina Ahmad Azmira Ahmad Mohd Haziq Fitri Ahmad



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

VERIFICATION OF INDIRECT TENSILE STRENGTH OF WEATHERED MUDSTONE FROM H-OMETER TEST USING SIGMA/W MODEL

By

AZURA AHMAD

November 2002

Chairman : Associate Professor Husaini Bin Omar, Ph.D.

Faculty : Engineering

This study presents the findings determine of a comparative study to indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer test and finite element method. H-Ometer tests were carried out on weathered mudstone specimens on the axial model. Two-dimensional plane strain analysis using SIGMA/W Finite Element Method was carried out to simulate the performance of H-Ometer test on the axial model. The relationship between indirect tensile strength of the H-Ometer Test and Finite Element Method for weathered mudstones are presented. The H-Ometer results on axial model specimens showed the average indirect tensile strength is 0.102 MPa and the finite element analysis is 0.116 MPa. Consistently, results of both methods indicate that indirect tensile strength from the finite element method is slightly higher compared to the H-Ometer test. It is proposed that the relationship between indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer test and finite element method is $\sigma_{FE} = 1.132 \sigma_{HO}$ where σ_{HO} is tensile strength from H-Ometer test and σ_{FE} is indirect tensile strength from finite element method. From the statically analysis the results show a good relationship between H-Ometer test and finite element method in indicating that the related parameters can be used to predict the indirect tensile strength of weak rock.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

MENENTUKAN UJIAN KEKUATAN TEGANGAN TAK LANGSUNG KE ATAS BATUAN LUMPUR TERLULUHAWA DARIPADA UJIAN H-OMETER MENGGUNAKAN MODEL SIGMA/W

Oleh

AZURA AHMAD

November 2002

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Husaini Omar, Ph.D.

Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Satu kajian perbandingan telah dijalankan untuk menguji kekuatan tegangan tak lansung diantara ujian H-Ometer dan kaedah unsur tak terhingga. Ujian H-Ometer telah dijalankan keatas batu lumpur terluluhawa pada kedudukan model paksi. Kaedah yang digunakan untuk unsur tak terhingga adalah perisian SIGMA/W bagi simulasi keatas ujian H-Ometer. Dalam kajian ini model paksi dianalisa secara paksi-keterikan dua dimensi. Dari kajian ini, keputusan ujikaji yang dijalankan keatas ujian H-Ometer pada puratanya ialah 0.102 MPa dan analisa unsur tak terhingga pula menunjukkan nilai purata bagi kekuatan tegangan tak lansung ialah 0.116 MPa. Dari kajian perbandingan ini, keputusan menunjukkan bahawa nilai kekuatan tegangan tak lansung daripada kaedah unsur tak terhingga sedikit tinggi berbanding dengan ujian H-Ometer. Oleh yang demikian, hubungkait diantara tegangan tidak langsung dari pengujian H-Ometer dan kaedah unsur tak terhingga ialah $\sigma_{FE} = 1.132 \sigma_{HO}$ dimana σ_{HO} kekuatan tegangan tak lansung H-Ometer dan σ_{FE} ialah kekuatan tegangan tak langsung dari kaedah unsur tak terhingga. Hasil dari statistic analysis telah membuktikan, bahawa keputusan korelasi diantara ujikaji H-Ometer dan kaedah unsur tak terhingga yang berkaitrapat dengan parameter tersebut boleh dijalankan keatas ujian H-Ometer terhadap batuan lembut.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of ALLAH the most Beneficent, and the Merciful

Doing a researched is a term effort and this thesis would not have been possible without the help of a lot people. I'd like to thank them right now. My supervisor, Associate Professor Dr. Husaini bin Omar for his valuable contribution, guidance, criticisms and suggestion throughout my studies. I am also grateful to my supervisory committee, Dr. Rosely Ab. Malik and En. Zainuddin Md. Yusof for their comments and suggestions.

And I want to thank my lovely family and friend and friend for their support, encouragement and understanding through out this research. Finally I want to thank God for his blessing, my family, and my career.

MARA University of Technology (UiTM), my "funder", colleagues at the Faculty of Civil Engineering, MARA University of Technology, Shah Alam and Staffs at Mountainous Terrain Development Research Centre (MTD-RC), Universiti Putra Malaysia, Serdang for their support.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
DEDICATION	ii
ABSTRACT	iii
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL SHEET	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi

CHAPTER

Ι	INTRODUCTION	1
	Background	1
	Problem statement	3
	Objectives	3
	Scope and Limitations	4
	Expected Outcome of the Research	4
II	LITERATURE REVIEW	5
	Introduction	5
	H-Ometer	7
	The development of H-Ometer	7
	Testing and Calibration	11
	Indirect Tensile strength	14
	Application of H-Ometer	17
	H-Ometer Modulus	19
	Weak Rocks	26
	Classification of Weak Rock	27
	Sampling of Weak Rock	29
	Weathering Classification	32



Weathered Mudstone	35
Finite Element Method	36
Propagation of Crack Model	37
Numerical analysis on Tensile Strength of Weak Rock	46

III METHODOLOGY

Introduction	51
Sampling Technique	53
Proposed Equipment	54
Selection of Weak Rock	55
Weathering Grade	55
H-Ometer Test	56
Calibration	57
Sample Preparation	57
Determination of Indirect Tensile Strength	59
Finite Element Study	60
Finite Element Tool	60
Modelling of Specimen	61

IV	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	63
	Introduction	63
	Sampling of Weathered Mudstone	64
	Steel Mould	66
	Sampling Process	67
	Classification of Weak Rock	72
	The degree of Weathered Mudstone	75
	Sample Preparation for H-Ometer Test	77
	Axial Model	78
	Pre-drilled Hole the Axial Model	79
	H-Ometer Test	80
	Calibration	81
	Indirect Tensile Strength	87
	H-Ometer Modulus	95
	Finite Element Analysis	101
	Axial Model	101
	Indirect Tensile Strength	110
	Comparison of Indirect Tensile Strength From H-Ometer and Finite	115
	Element Method	
	Statistic and Data Analysis	120



51

CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	126
Conclusions	126
Recommendations for Future Work	129
	CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS Conclusions Recommendations for Future Work

REFERENCES

130

APPENDICES

•

1	H-Ometer Test	135
2	Contour of tensile stress on Finite Element	236
3	SIGMA/W	252
4	Data Statistics (Output)	260

VITA

266



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Menard's α factor	25
2.2	A guide to sampling methods	31
2.3	The physical characterization scheme of the weathering classification for metasediments	34
4.1	Summarized results of the uiaxial cmpressive srength	74
4.2	Summary of indirect tensile strength results of axial model	90
4.3	Summary of H-Ometer modulus results from H-Ometer test	100
4.4	Material properties on the model specimens	109
4.5	Summary of indirect tensile strength results from finite element method	113
4.6	Summary of indirect tensile strength results from the H-Ometer test and finite element method	116



LIST OF FIGURES

gure		Page
1	Components of H-Ometer	10
2	Membrane resistance calibration	12
3	Line calibration	13
4	A typical H-Ometer test curve	17
.5	Relationship between measuring pressure and volume from H-Ometer test	20
6	The standard definitions for weak rocks	28
7	Development of radial tensile cracking during pressuremeter tests	28
.8	Crack Propagation(a) Elastic cracks initiate at cavity wall(b) Cracks propagate in the weakest direction	39
.9	The general pattern of major cracks (a) Two (b) Three (c) Four	40
.10	Mode of Failure	41
.11	Stresses at crack tip for the opening mode I	42
.12	Crack growth modelled by release of boundary restraints	44
.13	Types of fracture found around circular openings in laboratory model tests	45
.14	 (a) Dimensions of sample (b) Finite element mesh of simulation (c) Stress distribution in samples as a function of P/A for direct method 	48
.15	Test geometries for bending test method	49
	gure 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	game 1 Components of H-Ometer 2 Membrane resistance calibration 3 Line calibration 4 A typical H-Ometer test curve 5 Relationship between measuring pressure and volume from H-Ometer test 6 The standard definitions for weak rocks 7 Development of radial tensile cracking during pressuremeter tests 8 Crack Propagation (a) Elastic cracks initiate at cavity wall (b) Cracks propagate in the weakest direction 9 The general pattern of major cracks (a) Two (b) Three (c) Four 10 Mode of Failure 11 Stresses at crack tip for the opening mode I 12 Crack growth modelled by release of boundary restraints 13 Types of fracture found around circular openings in laboratory model tests 14 (a) Dimensions of sample (b) Finite element mesh of simulation (c) Stress distribution in samples as a function of P/A for direct method



3.1	Flow chart of research project	52
3.2	Schematic diagram of sampling equipment	54
3.3	Axial model	58
3.4	Sample with pre-drilled hole	58
3.5	Corrected and uncorrected curves from the H-Ometer test	59
3.6	Application of SIGMA/W finite element model	62
4.1	Location plan of sampling site	64
4.2	A schematic diagram area of sampling	65
4.3	Steel mould	66
4.4	Removing disturbed material	68
4.5	Driving the mould into the ground	69
4.6	Mould with sample	69
4.7	The extruder	70
4.8	Extrusion of sample	71
4.9	Sealing sample wrapping plastic	72
4.10	Specimen of uniaxial compressive test	73
4.11	Uniaxial compressive strength vs. the number of samples	74
4.12	Material texture of rocks are preserved	76
4.13	Sample can be broken by hand	76
4.14	Sample soaked in water	77
4.15	Axial model	78
4.16	Pre-drilled hole of specimen	79



4.17	Parts of the H-Ometer	80
4.18	Membrane resistance calibration	82
4.19	Membrane calibration curve	82
4.20	Line calibration	83
4.21	The H-Ometer test on axial model	85
4.22	Cracked specimens from the H-Ometer test	86
4.23	A typical corrected and uncorrected curve of the H-Ometer test	88
4.24	Variation of indirect tensile strength against moisture content	91
4.25	The general pattern of two major cracks	93
4.26	 Crack propagation of axial model (a) Elastic expansion without crack (b) Minor Elastic cracks initiate at cavity wall; (c) Major Cracks propagate in the weakest direction 	94
4.27	A typical H-Ometer test curve to determine H-Ometer Modulus	96
4.28	Two-dimension finite element schematic of the tested samples	104
4.29	Finite element mesh of the tested specimen	106
4.30	Finite element boundary condition of the tested specimen	107
4.31	Finite element mesh of the tested specimen	108
4.32	Finite element mesh for simulation of laboratory test	111
4.33	Draw stress contours	112
4.34	Results between indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer test and finite element method	117
4.35	Relationship tensile strength from H-Ometer test and finite element method	118

,



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

- P_f Pressure at failure
- σ_h Horizontal stress
- σ_{HO} Indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer test
- σ_{br} Indirect tensile strength from Brazillian test
- ω Water content
- α Rheological factor
- G Shear modulus
- V Volume of the cavity
- P Pressure in the cavity
- ΔP Change in pressure
- ΔV Change in volume
- V_o The initial of volume
- V_f Volume at failure
- V_m Volume of the cavity at the mid-point of the straight line portion of the H-Ometer curve
- V_c Volume of the probe
- E_p Modulus of deformation
- v Poisson's ratio
- G_M Pressuremeter shear modulus
- E_{HO} H-Ometer modulus
- P_{corr} Corrected pressure
- P_a Applied pressure from the gauge
- P_{cal} Calibrated pressure from the calibration curve
- po Initial pressure
- E_M Pressuremeter modulus
- K Stress intensity factor

- Kc Critical stress intensity factor
- L The effective length of the inflatable portion of the probe for testing
- E_{EM} Elastic modulus
- σ_{FE} indirect tensile strength from finite element method
- σ_{HO} indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer test



CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

Background

Rocks are natural, unique materials that need to be dealt with in any physical development of a particular area especially in the construction of tunnels, deep excavations and dams. The uniqueness of this material lies in its mineralogical content, degree of weathering, historical formation, topography and several other factors that affect its strength and behaviour. On the other hand soil is loose material extending from surface to solid rock, formed by weathering and disintegration of rocks. Between the solid rock and soil, a material lies. This material is not definitely lithic but has characteristics comparable to soil and it is termed as a soft rocks.

Indeed, the rapid pace of civil engineering work make the study of lithotpyes of soft rock either directly or indirectly imperative (Clerici, 1992). Efforts to obtain and establish engineering characteristics of soft rocks particularly its mass strength and deformation parameters which are ongoing necessary because they may serve as guidelines to geotechnical engineers.

The study of weak rocks and their properties is an important engineering problem because of its extensive application in construction (Oliveira, 1993).



Obviously, weak rocks fall into the category of material problem, as it is difficult in sampling and testing.

In the past, several researchers have investigated the behaviour of soft, weak and weathered rocks with particular objectives in mind. To the geotechnical engineers normally faced a problem in finding the strength and deformation parameters of weak rocks. They need to develop very careful testing procedures and interpretation techniques; however current techniques of investigation are tailored for either soft or weak rocks. The H-Ometer, which was developed, recently (Omar, 2001) should serve as a useful device to measure indirect tensile strength for hard soil, weak rock and also unconfined compression test on compacted soil (Omar et al., 2000a). The H-Ometer was designed for laboratory and field tests.

Due to the complexity of geometry, material behaviour, boundary condition and failure mechanisms associated with weak rock, it is necessary to be able to predict performance of weak rocks. So, numerical techniques such as the finite element method has been used to seek solution related to problems posed by weak rocks. Further appropriate analytical and numerical methods had also to be developed to describe the influence of the tensile strength of weak rocks.

The finite element method is a useful tool for solving numerous engineering problems and is widely used in many industrial fields. Thus the finite element method had been used extensively to model geotechnical problems, even though very little



attention has been directed to use the finite element method for analysing the tensile failure (Haberfield and Johnston, 1990c).

Problem Statement

First H-Ometer indirect tensile strength was determined by using artificial weak rock specimens. Then, the effectiveness of the H-Ometer to determine indirect tensile strength was verified on actual weak rock specimens.

Objectives

The objective of this study is to determine the tensile strength of weak rock by using the H-Ometer test and also by finite element method. Towards this aim, the following task will be under taken:

- 1. Determination of the indirect tensile strength of weak rocks in axial testing position using the H-Ometer test.
- 2. Determination of the indirect tensile strength of weak rocks in axial model using Finite Element Method.
- 3. Comparison of the indirect tensile strength from H-Ometer with the finite element results.



Scope and Limitations

The study focused on two methods to determine tensile strength of weak rocks, experimental and numerical methods. First, in the experimental work, the H-Ometer test to be carried out for obtaining tensile strength of weak rocks. Second, a finite element model also to be applied to predict the indirect tensile strength of weak rocks. The model developed is a two-dimensional, and material is analysed as a linear-elastic, then validation for H-Ometer will be done.

Expected outcome of the Research

The expected outcome of the research is determination of the indirect tensile strength of weak rocks from the H-Ometer test and finite element model. The H-Ometer test is envisaged to be widely used to obtain geotechnical parameters in the laboratory particularly for weak rock specimens. A good correlation could allow for a quick and reliable method of ascertaining necessary parameters related to engineering properties.



CHAPTER II

LITERATURE REVIEW

Introduction

The original concept of the pressuremeter is attributed to Kogler in 1933, who developed a device consisting of a rubber bladder, clamped at both ends and which is lowered into a predrilled hole (Clarke, 1995). The instrument is gas inflated and a pressure-volume relationship is obtained. The idea of using an inflatable cylindrical device or pressuremeter is to measure in-situ soil or rock properties. It was first used in 1930s. Finally, with further work on it by Louis Menard in France, it became a practical reality in the late 1950s (Clarke, 1995)

The pressuremeter test has developed considerably since its first introduction by Menard in 1956 (Menard, 1957). It was first used in Chicago, to obtain ground properties for the design of structures. Since then, it has become one of the most widely used pressuremeters. In the 1950s, OYO Corporation of Japan developed independently, two types have Elastometer 100 and Elastometer 200. Their equipment was designed for use in pre-drilled holes. Pressure was applied either from a hand pump or from bottled gas. OYO Corporation used the Elastometer 200 mainly as a rock pressuremeter whilst the Elastometer 100 was used as a soil type pressuremeters (Clarke, 1995)



The standard pressuremeter is either inserted into a pre-bored hole or directly jacked or driven into the ground. A slotted tube protects the measuring cell, which consists of a cylindrical rubber membrane. In order to reduce the influence of soil or soft rock disturbance during probe insertion, a self-boring pressuremeter was developed (Clarke et al., 1989). The use of this type of pressuremeter is limited to fine-grained soils, while the standard pressuremeter can be used in most soil types. As the pressuremeter is an intermittent test, it cannot provide a continuous profile. The test is comparatively time-consuming and therefore not cost effective.

Today, there are several different types of pressuremeters. They are the preboring pressuremeter (PBPTM), the selfboring pressuremeter (SBPMT), the cone pressuremeter either pushed (PCPMT) or driven (DCPMT) in place, and the pushed Shelby tube pressuremeter (PSPMT). These various pressuremeters differ mainly by the way the probe is placed in the ground.

More general descriptions of the development of the pressuremeter and the associated theories are provided by Baguelin et al., (1978); Wroth (1984); Mair and Wood (1987); Briaud (1992); and Clarke (1995). Not only history of the pressuremeter covered well in these publications, but also information on the background, theory and practical applications has been provided.

