



**INCLUSIVE DESIGN METHODS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF MOBILITY
DESIGN SYSTEM FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN MALAYSIA**

By

AHMAD FAIRUZ BIN ARIFF

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2023

FRSB 2023 2

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

INCLUSIVE DESIGN METHODS FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF MOBILITY DESIGN SYSTEM FOR PEOPLE WITH DISABILITIES IN MALAYSIA

By

AHMAD FAIRUZ BIN ARIFF

December 2023

Chairman : Associate Professor Ahmad Rizal bin Abdul Rahman, PhD
Institute : Design and Architecture

There is a need to incorporating inclusive design methods for the development of mobility design system for people with disabilities (PWDs) in Malaysia, due to lacking on some specific areas in product development stages in cooperating with design philosophy and user study in local. In current, there are many improvements areas which can take place to improve on current wheelchair design especially among Malaysian users and its functionalities which includes its shape, seating position, appearances, safety, ergonomics and cost. Therefore, there is a potential study area to prove that present Mobility Design System (MDS) needs more attention to be systematically develop according to user needs. The aim of this study is to conceptualize Inclusive Design philosophy in product development for People with Disabilities (PWDs) in catering their daily activities. This research adopts the understanding of Inclusive Design theory with Design Development Research (DDR) to understand about user in its design research and product development stages (as in 3 different stages of development in this research). The study has been conducted into a mixed methods data collection phases which involved with interviews, observations and documentations. The data collection stages involved with pilot study activities, main field work including 10 interviews with PWDs, and 5 design expert panels for validations, together with design development process. The process of designs in this research is a process to verify the input collected from the participants during fieldwork. These design process includes the design specifications, and design guidelines to ease the development of a new product for a group of specific users. This research will contribute to the production of a novel framework for researcher, product designer as well as providing a a more understanding about PWDs for a manufacturer and product developer, also to create an opportunity for new product development knowledge. As a consequence of implementing the design process adopting inclusive design framework, the outcome of this research will also be contributing to improve the major issues experienced by PWD when using wheelchairs.

Keyword: Inclusive Design (ID), People with Disabilities (PWDs), Mobility Design System (MDS)

SDG: GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-being, GOAL 11: Sustainable Cities and Communities, GOAL 12: Responsible Consumption and Production.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

KAEDAH REKA BENTUK INKLUSIF UNTUK PEMBANGUNAN SISTEM REKA BENTUK MOBILITI UNTUK ORANG KURANG UPAYA DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

AHMAD FAIRUZ BIN ARIFF

Disember 2023

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Ahmad Rizal bin Abdul Rahman, PhD
Institut : Rekabentuk dan Senibina

Terdapat keperluan untuk menggabungkan kaedah reka bentuk inklusif untuk pembangunan sistem rekaan mobiliti untuk orang-orang kurang upaya (PWDs) di Malaysia, kerana kekurangan beberapa bidang tertentu dalam peringkat pembangunan produk dalam korporat dengan falsafah rekaan dan kajian pengguna di tempat. Pada masa ini, terdapat banyak kawasan kemiskinan yang boleh berlaku untuk meningkatkan reka bentuk kerusi roda semasa terutamanya di kalangan pengguna Malaysia dan fungsi-fungsi yang termasuk bentuk, kedudukan tempat duduk, penampilan, keselamatan, ergonomi dan kos. Oleh itu, terdapat kawasan kajian yang berpotensi untuk membuktikan bahawa Sistem Reka Bentuk Mobiliti (MDS) kini memerlukan lebih banyak perhatian untuk dikembangkan secara sistematik mengikut keperluan pengguna. Tujuan kajian ini ialah untuk konseptualisasikan falsafah reka bentuk inklusif dalam pembangunan produk untuk orang-orang dengan kecacatan (PWDs) dalam menguruskan aktiviti harian mereka. Penyelidikan ini mengamalkan pemahaman teori reka bentuk inklusif dengan Penelitian Pembangunan Reka Bentuk (DDR) untuk memahami tentang pengguna dalam penyelidikan rancangan dan tahap pembangunan produk (as in 3 different stages of development in this research). Kajian ini telah dijalankan dalam fasa pengumpulan data kaedah campuran yang melibatkan wawancara, pemerhatian dan dokumentasi. Tahap pengumpulan data yang melibatkan aktiviti kajian percubaan, kerja lapangan utama termasuk 10 wawancara dengan PWDs, dan 5 panel pakar reka bentuk untuk pengesahan, bersama-sama dengan proses pembangunan rancangan. Proses reka bentuk dalam kajian ini ialah proses untuk mengesahkan input yang dikumpulkan daripada peserta semasa kerja lapangan. Proses reka bentuk ini merangkumi spesifikasi rekaan, dan panduan rekaan untuk memudahkan pembangunan produk baru untuk kumpulan pengguna tertentu. Penyelidikan ini akan menyumbang kepada pengeluaran rangka kerja baharu untuk penyelidik, pereka produk serta menyediakan pemahaman yang lebih tentang PWD untuk pengeluar dan

pengembang produk, juga untuk mewujudkan peluang untuk pengetahuan pembangunan produk baru. Sebagai akibat daripada pelaksanaan proses reka bentuk yang mengadoptasi rangka kerja rekaan inklusif, hasil penyelidikan ini juga akan menyumbang kepada meningkatkan isu-isu utama yang dialami oleh PWD apabila menggunakan kerusi roda.

Kata Kunci: Reka bentuk Inklusif, Orang Kurang Upaya (OKU), Sistem Reka bentuk Mobiliti

SDG: MATLAMAT 3: Kesihatan dan Kesejahteraan Baik, MATLAMAT 11: Petempatan dan Masyarakat Mampan, MATLAMAT 12: Penggunaan dan Pengeluaran Bertanggungjawab



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Alhamdulillah, above all, thank you, Dear Allah s.w.t, for benefiting me much more than I deserve. I would like to offer my gratitude and appreciation to my major supervisor, Prof. Madya Rizal Rahman, for his ongoing patience, inspiration, and in-depth understanding of my Ph.D. study and my delighted research in this subject. Especially during Pandemic Covid 19 and endemic time, his breadth of experience, support, and encouragement have made my doctoral engagement a reality. Aside from my primary supervisor, I'd like to thank the rest of my research committee for their helpful suggestions and direction Dr. Noranita Mansor, Dr. Wan Arnidawati Wan Abdullah as well as Prof Madya Dr.Syed Shafiq (UTM) and my friend Dr. Imran bin Abdullah (Unisza), for their insightful comments and help in broadening my study from other perspectives.

I would also want to thank the Faculty of Design and Architecture at Universiti Putra Malaysia, as well as other faculty members and administrative staff, for guiding me to success.

Finally, I would like to express my heartfelt gratitude to my beloved mother, Hjh Husna binti Hj. Hussien, for her Doa and blessing, my beloved wife, Zurina Shahnaz, for always being with me in good or bad times and her Doa for my success in life, and all my children Nur Farah Nazirah, Ahmad Farhan Aqil, Nur Farzana Izzati, and Ahmad Faris Aqil for their patience and understanding Your support will always be the power to carry ahead. Same goes to all my siblings, my late father, Hj. Ariff bin Osman, and my late brothers, Dr. Muhammad Fuad bin Ariff and Ahmad Fathee bin Ariff.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows”

Ahmad Rizal bin Abdul Rahman, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Noranita binti Mansor, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Design and Architecture
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Wan Arnidawati binti Wan Abdullah, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Human Ecology
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 11 July 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Background of Study	1
1.3 Problem Statement	2
1.3.1 The Impact of Difference Design Approach	3
1.3.2 Designing Applicable to User's Needs	5
1.4 Research Method, Research Question and Research Objective	9
1.4.1 Research Question	9
1.4.2 Research Objective	10
1.5 Research Scope and Limitation	10
1.6 Significance of Study	10
1.7 Summary of Chapter 1	12
1.8 Thesis Organization	13
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 15
2.1 Introduction	15
2.1.1 Structure of Literature Review	15
2.1.2 Definition of Terms Derived from Abstract Keywords	16
2.2 Person with Disability (PWDS)	17
2.2.1 Definition of (PWDS)	18
2.2.2 Physical Impact for (PWDS) with Physical Impairment	18
2.2.3 Characteristic of Person with Disabilities (PWDs)	25
2.2.4 Lifestyle and Daily Activities of PWDs (Climb and Crawl)	27
2.2.5 Difficulties Faced by Independent PWDs on Wheelchair in Shopping Mall, Office, Work, Home, School and College	31
2.2.5.1 Difficulties Faced by PWDs on Wheelchair in Shopping Mall	32

2.2.5.2	Difficulties Faced by PWDs on Wheelchair at Office and Work Place	34
2.2.5.3	Difficulties Faced by PWDs on Being Self-Independent at School and College While on Wheelchair	36
2.2.5.4	Daily Life Problems Faced by Wheelchair Users (in Public Places)	39
2.2.6	Literature on the Daily Task of PWDs in Malaysia	42
2.3	Mobility Design System (MDS)	45
2.3.1	Concept of MDS	47
2.3.2	Definition, Types, Specification and Price of MDS (Manual, Motorized and Smart Wheelchair)	47
2.3.2.1	Definition of Wheelchair	48
2.3.2.2	Definition of Manual Wheelchair	49
2.3.2.3	Types of Manual Wheelchair	50
2.3.2.4	Manual Wheelchair Specification	51
2.3.2.5	The Standard and Qualification of Manual Wheelchair	51
2.3.2.6	The Standard and Qualification in Charge Body of Manual Wheelchair in Malaysia	52
2.3.2.7	Price List of Manual Wheelchairs	54
2.3.2.8	Definition of Motorized Wheelchair	55
2.3.2.9	Type of Motorized Wheelchair	56
2.3.3	Specification of Motorized Wheelchair	58
2.3.3.1	Price of Motorized Wheelchair	58
2.3.4	Definition of Smart Wheelchair	59
2.3.4.1	Types of Smart Wheelchair Equipment	60
2.3.4.2	Specification of Smart Wheelchair	61
2.3.4.3	Price of Smart Wheelchair	62
2.4	Literature on Overcoming Difficulties Faced by PWDs Using MDS	63
2.5	Discussion: The Pros and Cons of the Types, Specification on MDS	66
2.6	Introduction to Inclusive Design	68
2.6.1	Inclusive Design Concept	69
2.6.2	Inclusive Design Dimension	74
2.6.3	Inclusive Design Principle	76
2.6.4	Inclusive Design Criteria	78
2.6.5	Inclusive Design Process	82
2.6.6	Inclusive Design Benefit	87
2.6.7	Inclusive Design for the Disabled	89
2.7	Summary of Chapter Two	94

3	METHODOLOGY	97
3.1	Introduction	97
3.2	Research Design	99
3.2.1	Type of DDR Research Model	103
3.2.2	ADDIE as Structured Framework (Add)	104
3.2.3	Developing Theoretical Proposition	110
3.2.4	Sampling and Population	116
3.2.5	The Sampling Criteria	119
3.2.6	The Data Collection Procedure	120
3.2.7	Sampling Protocol	121
3.2.8	Ethic during the Research	123
3.2.9	The Activity before Fieldwork and Content Validity Interview Protocol	125
3.2.10	Sample Techniques and Need Analysis Reliability of the Research Instrument	125
3.3	Pilot Interview	129
3.3.1	Pilot Interview of OKU1	131
3.3.2	OKU1 Pilot Interview Analysis Results	131
3.3.3	Analysis Result Identification of Characteristic (OKU1)	132
3.3.4	Analysis Result on Difficulties Faced by OKU1 While Using Wheelchair	134
3.3.5	Sampling and Population of Pilot Sample	145
3.3.6	Summary	145
3.4	Qualitative Interview 1 for Design Expert	145
3.4.1	Qualitative Interview 1 for Industrial Design expert	146
3.4.2	Definition of Industrial Design Expert	147
3.4.3	Data Collection Process Flow	147
3.5	Qualitative 2 for PWDs Respondent Online Interview	159
3.5.1	Instrument for Evaluation of User Satisfaction	159
3.5.2	Data Analysis Plan	162
3.5.3	Content Validity of Interview Protocol	165
3.5.4	Qualitative Interview 2 – on Difficulty Faced by PWDs Using Wheelchair	179
3.5.5	Phase Two A- Need Analysis Data Process to Concept Design and Development Stage	179
3.5.6	Instrument for Evaluation of User Satisfaction	180
3.5.7	Phase Two A – Generating Concept Design	181
3.5.8	The Outcome of Analysis to Construct Theme and Subtheme Derived from NVIVO	182
3.5.9	Feasibility Study on the Recommended Item in Research Question 3	186
3.6	Phase Two B – Implementation Process	205
3.6.1	Generating Design from CAID	205

3.7	Design Expert Validation and the Result	212
3.7.1	Interview with Expert	212
3.7.2	Content Validation Procedure	213
3.8	Summary	218
4	FINDINGS AND ANALYSIS	219
4.1	Introduction	219
4.2	Findings from Phase One	219
4.2.1	Findings on PWDs Characteristic and Their Daily Activities While on Wheelchair	221
4.2.2	Findings on the Characteristic and Symptoms Using Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities for Online Interviewed PWDs Respondents	230
4.2.3	Findings from the Difficulties Faced by the PWDs on Wheelchair	232
4.2.4	Findings from the Recommendation by PWDs on Wheelchair	233
4.2.5	Data Analysis on the Difficulties Faced by the PWDs on Wheelchair	234
4.2.6	Data Analysis by PWDs on the Recommended Wheelchair Design Features	239
4.2.7	Critical Findings -Summary	243
4.3	Findings from PhaseTwo	246
4.3.1	Findings in Design Development and Implementation	246
4.3.2	Findings of the Inclusive Design Process and the Concept Design Outcome	248
4.3.3	Findings on the Evaluated 3D Modeling Design Detail for Proof of Concept	251
4.3.4	Critical Finding Summary	257
4.4	Findings from Phase Three	257
4.4.1	Expert Validation Process	257
4.4.2	Expert Validation Result and Findings	261
4.5	Findings and Conclusion	276
4.5.1	Knowledge Contribution	276
4.6	Critical Finding -Summary	278
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS FOR FUTURE RESEARCH	280
5.1	Introduction	280
5.2	Result and Discussion	281
5.3	Findings from Research Question One	281
5.3.1	The Characteristic of PWDs on Wheelchairs	281
5.3.2	Findings on the Three Groups of PWDs Respondents on Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	284

5.3.3	The Daily Activity and Time Spent by PWDs on Their Wheelchair	288
5.3.4	Findings on the Characteristic and Symptoms of PWDs on Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	289
5.4	Findings and Outcome from Research Question and Research Objective 2	290
5.4.1	The Difficulties Faced by PWDs on Maneuvering on Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	290
5.4.2	Findings on Difficulties Faced by PWDs When Using Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	291
5.5	Findings from Research Question and Research Objective 3	292
5.5.1	Findings on the Recommendation from PWDs Respondents towards Answering the Research Question 3	294
5.5.2	Findings of the Inclusive Design Process and the Concept Design Outcome	296
5.5.3	Findings of Inclusive Design Framework Related to the Design Research Process and the Concept Design Outcome	298
5.6	Expert Validation Outcome and Recommendation	300
5.7	Summary	300
6	CONCLUSION	301
6.1	Introduction	301
6.2	Summary of the Study	301
6.2.1	The Characteristic of PWDs on Wheelchair	301
6.2.2	The Difficulties Faced by PWDs on Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	303
6.2.3	The Recommendation by the Respondents of PWDs on Wheelchair	304
6.2.4	The Expert Validation Outcome” Recommendation and Suggestion	307
6.3	Novelty of Research	310
6.4	Knowledge Contribution	311
6.5	Limitation and Further Projection for Research	313
6.6	Conclusion	313
	REFERENCES	315
	APPENDICES	326
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	356
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	357

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
3.1 Ethic during the Research Concerning Question and Answer of Ethic	124
3.2 Correction on the Questionnaire Created by Researcher	128
3.3 Sub Question of Identification and Characteristic of PWDs before Performing the Reliability Test	128
3.4 Analysis Result Identification of Characteristic (OKU1)	132
3.5 The Analysis Result Difficulties Faced by OKU1 in Using Wheelchair	133
3.6 Analysis Result Difficulties Faced by PWDs Using Wheelchair	135
3.7 Analysis Result Recommendation to Overcome the Difficulties of the PWDs	140
3.8 The Online Interviewed Industrial Designer in Industry Respondent towards Characteristic and Difficulties Faces Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	151
3.9 i.) How Industrial Designers Expert Think about the Design for People with Disabilities (PWDs)	154
3.10 ii.) Suggestions and Recommendations by Industrial Design Expert for Local Products That Can Help PWDs Take Care of Themselves	155
3.11 ii.) Suggestions and Recommendations by Industrial Design Expert for Futuristic Features That Could Be Built into Wheelchairs to Help PWDs Do Their Daily Tasks	157
3.12 10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondent on the Characteristic and Difficulties Faces Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	161
3.13 Sub Question Identification and Characteristic of the PWDs (Research Objective One)	167
3.14 Sub-Question for Research Objective One	167
3.15 Sub-Question Versus IDA Models and Element	170
3.16 Analysis Result Identification of PWDs Respondent with Wheelchair Characteristic and Their Daily Activities	175

3.17	The Compilation of Data of PWDs Respondent Online Interviewed	176
3.18	PWDs Respondents with Wheelchair on the Characteristic and Symptoms Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	177
3.19	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondents on the Characteristic and Difficulties Faced Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	178
3.20	The Output of Theme and the Sub-Theme from Pilot Interview Data and IDA Criteria and Element	180
3.21	An Expert Validation Likert Scale to Determine the Theme and Sub Theme	211
3.22	Expert Validation Procedure	216
3.23	Expert Validation Score Result	217
4.1	10 Online Interview PWDs Respondent on the Characteristic and Difficulties Faced While Using Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	222
4.2	Retired Respondent on Hours Spent on Wheelchair	223
4.3	Retired Respondent on Hour Per Week Spend on Wheelchair	224
4.4	Working PWDs Respondent Hour Spend on Wheelchair	226
4.5	Working PWDs Respondent on Hour Per Week Spend on Wheelchair	227
4.6	Studying PWDs Respondent Hour Spend on Wheelchair	228
4.7	Calculation on PWDs Respondent on Hour Per Week Spent on Wheelchair	229
4.8	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondents on the Characteristic and Symptom Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	231
4.9	Theme and Sub-Theme Outcome from NVIVO Based on PWDs Respondent on Wheelchair Online Interview to Cater to RQ2	232
4.10	PWDs Interview Questionnaire and Answers Output Versus the Theme and Sub Theme of Difficulties Faced	235
4.11	PWDs Output Versus the Theme and Sub Theme of Difficulties Faced” (Priority Ranking Chart) - Continue Next Page	236
4.12	Theme and Sub-Theme Recommendation from PWDs on Wheelchair	240

4.13	Result of Compilation of the Recommendation Result by PWDs Based on Theme and Sub-Theme	243
4.14	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondent on the Characteristic and Symptoms Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	244
4.15	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondents on the Recommendation from PWDs Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	246
4.16	The Outcome of the Respondent Requirement of Respondent for Prove of Concept Stage	253
4.17	The Expert Validation Form to Validate the Concept Design Based on the Theme and the Sub-Theme	261
4.18	The Summary of Expert Validation Form to validate the Concept Design Based on the Theme and the Sub-Theme	262
4.19	Portray 10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondent on the Recommendation from PWDs Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	268
5.1	Retired Respondent on Hour Spent on Wheelchair	285
5.2	Working PWDs Respondent Hour Spend on Wheelchair	286
5.3	Working PWDs Respondents Hour Spent on Wheelchair	287
5.4	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondents on the Recommendation from PWDs Using Wheelchair to Cater Daily Activities	294
6.1	10 Online Interviewed PWDs Respondent on the Recommendation from PWDs Using Wheelchair to Cater to Daily Activities	305

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Structure of Literature Review	16
2.2 Conceptual Framework	17
2.3 6 Sub-Categories of Person with Disabilities (PWDs)	19
2.4 Percentage of Disability and update in Malaysia (PWDs)	20
2.5 PWDs Solidarity Concerning on Their Situation	20
2.6 Statistic of PWDs Registration in Malaysia until 31st. January, 2023	21
2.7 7 Sub-Category of Person with Disabilities (PWDs)	25
2.8 Person with Disabilities (PWDs) without Limbs and Leg	30
2.9 Indoor Accessible Ramps by PWDs Using Wheelchair	40
2.10 Outdoor Supported Design for PWDs with Wheelchair	43
2.11 Manual Wheelchair Dimension	49
2.12 5 types of Standard Wheelchair	50
2.13 Popular Wheelchair in Malaysia	55
2.14 Motorized Wheelchair Front Wheel Drive	56
2.15 Motorized Wheelchair Mid-Wheel Drive	57
2.16 Motorized Wheelchair Hi -End -Rear Wheel Drive	57
2.17 Product for Disable Based on MDS	62
2.18 Summary on Designing Barrier-Free Environment	69
2.19 Inclusive Design Origin	70
2.20 The Differences of Universal Design, Accessibility, and Inclusive Design	72
2.21 The Three-Dimension Model by Scott.E. Page (1987)	75
2.22 Inclusive Design Principle	76
2.23 The Sommer's Inclusive Design Element (IDA)	79

2.24	Sommers, (1983) Element of Inclusive Design	81
2.25	V model Inclusive Design -The Design Process	84
2.26	Inclusive Design Process vs Industrial Design Process	85
2.27	Product for Disable Based on Inclusive Design	90
2.28	Unilever Inclusive Design for Disable	91
2.29	Sahala Ablution – Watering System for Muslims to Perform Solat	92
2.30	PWDs on Wheelchair without Limbs and Leg	92
2.31	Theoretical Framework	95
3.1	Framework of Research Design	97
3.2	Design Research Work Flow (Adapted from Ibrahim 2011)	99
3.3	Implementation of Qualitative & Quantitative in Mixed Method in DDR Phases	100
3.4	4 Types of DDR Research Method	103
3.5	Process Flow and DDR Research Method	104
3.6	ADDIE Process Flow IN DDR Research Method	105
3.7	Generic Process Flow (Addie Model-Mc. Griff, 2000)	106
3.8	Design Based Research Approach, (DBR) (Reeves, 2006)	109
3.9	Research Framework Method	110
3.10	Conceptual Research Framework	111
3.11	Theoretical Research Framework	112
3.12	Research Gap in Design Research	113
3.13	Literature Review Point of Departure (POD)	114
3.14	Process Flow Through Research Activities	115
3.15	Sampling Selection Process	119
3.16	Data Collection Procedure in Research	120
3.17	Type of PWDs Respondent Involves in Online Interview	122
3.18	10 PWDs Respondents	130

3.19	Qualitative Expert Interview Process Flow Using Descriptive Analysis	148
3.20	Design Expert Interview Form	149
3.21	Data Collection Process Stages	164
3.22	Building Questionnaire for Interview (RQ1)	166
3.23	Building Questionnaire for Interview (RQ2)	168
3.24	Building Questionnaire for Interview (RQ3)	169
3.25	Process Flow of Interview PWDs Respondent on Characteristic and Difficulties Faced	171
3.26	Interview Respondent Final Questionnaire	173
3.27	Process Flow of Getting the Coding to Extract Theme and sub Theme with NVIVO	182
3.28	NVIVO Theme and Sub Theme Mapping Based on RQ2	183
3.29	Fieldwork Data Analyses on the Difficulty Faced by PWDs Using Wheelchairs	183
3.30	NVIVO Theme and Sub Theme Mind Mapping Based on RQ3	184
3.31	Fieldwork Data Collection to be Analyzed on the Recommended Features by Online Interviewed PWDs Respondent Using Wheelchairs	185
3.32	Feasibility Study Approach to Inclusive Design Approach	187
3.33	Wheelchair (Manual and Motorized) and Office Chair	188
3.34	Tilting Angle of 30-35 Degree	189
3.35	Electric Equator to be Use as Lifting Device in Wheelchair	191
3.36	Office Chair Seat	192
3.37	Office Chair Foldable Arm	193
3.38	Visual Safety Features	194
3.39	Foldable or Ease of Transport	195
3.40	Transportable Wheelchair	196
3.41	Configuration of PWDs Using Wheelchair at Table Site	198
3.42	Configuration of PWDs with Wheelchair at Counter Site	199

3.43	Configuration of PWDs with Wheelchair Making 360 Turning Ratio	200
3.44	V model Inclusive Design -The Design Process	202
3.45	Inclusive Design Process Flow	203
3.46	Industrial Design Process Flow	204
3.47	Inclusive Design Concept Ideation	205
3.48	The Usability of MDS to Cater the PWDs Using Wheelchair	209
3.49	A Configuration of Human Seating to Comply Requested Features	212
3.50	An example of Content Validation Form for Expert Validation	214
3.51	Number of Expert and Implementation on the Acceptable Cut off SCORE of CVI	215
4.1	The Planning of Data Collection Phase One	220
4.2	Retired PWDs Respondent Wheelchair Hour Spend Chart	224
4.3	Working PWDs Respondents Hours Spend Using Wheelchair	226
4.4	Studying PWDs Respondents on Hours Spent on Wheelchair Chart	229
4.5	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ2	232
4.6	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ2 with Scoring	237
4.7	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ3	237
4.8	Compiled Result of the Recommendation by PWDs Based on Theme and Sub-Theme	241
4.9	Bar Chart on the Compiled Result of the Recommendation by PWDs Based on Theme and Sub-Theme	241
4.10	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ2 with Scores	245
4.11	The Inclusive Design Process Diagram	249
4.12	Verification of Proof of Concept	252
4.13	Verification through 3D CAID	256
4.14	Information on the Expert Validation Form	259
4.15	Data Collection from Subject Matter Expert	268

4.16	The Outcome of Expert Validation vs the Features Proposed by the Researcher in the Proposed of MDS	279
5.1	Findings on Retired PWDs Respondent Time Spend at Home, Mall, Hospital and Office	285
5.2	Findings on Working PWDs Respondents Hour Spend on Wheelchair	286
5.3	Chart on the Study PWDs Respondent Activities	288
5.4	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ2	291
5.5	Theme and Sub-Theme for RQ2 with Scoring (b)	296
5.6	Concept Design Based on Inclusive Design Adapting the Guidelines Generated	298
5.7	Inclusive Design Framework Findings	299
6.1	Inclusive Design Framework	311

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

PWDs	Person with Disabilities
IDA	Inclusive Design approach
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SWD	Social Welfare Department
UD	Universal Design
IDP	Inclusive Design Principle
IDE	Inclusive Design Element
MDS	Mobility Design system
ADDIE	Analyze, Design and Develop, Evaluate (Validate)
DDR	Design Develop Research
UNDP	United Nations Development Program
WDU	World Disability Union
WHO	World Health Organization
QL	Qualitative Method
QN	Quantitative Method
MWFCD	Ministry of Women, Family and Community Development
UPM	University Putra Malaysia
JKMM	Jabatan Kebajikan Masyarakat Malaysia
DSWM	Department of Social Welfare Malaysia
DSM	Department of Standards Malaysia
ADA	American with Disabilities Act
SPSS	Statistical Package for Social Sciences
SWD	Social Welfare Department
UD	Universal Design
MDS	Motility Design system

ADDIE	Analyse, Design and Develop, investigate and Evaluate (Validate)
DDR	Design Develop Research
UNDP	United Nations Development Program



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

It is essential to incorporate inclusive design principles while creating a mobility design system for individuals with disabilities (PWDs) in Malaysia. This is because certain elements of product development necessitate the incorporation of design philosophy and user studies at the local level. Currently, there are several areas where improvements may be made to optimize the design of wheelchairs, specifically to meet the requirements of users in Malaysia. The criteria encompass the wheelchair's structure, seating arrangement, visual appeal, safety attributes, ergonomic design, and cost. Hence, there exists a promising research domain that aims to bolster the current Mobility Design System (MDS) by incorporating a more organized approach that aligns with user requirements.

1.2 Background of Study

According to the World Health Organization (2010), a wheelchair is the most often used assistive technology to improve personal mobility, which is essential for enjoying human rights and preserving a decent life. Wheelchairs enable those with impairments to fully engage in their communities. Approximately 650 million persons, which is nearly 10% of the global population, were found to have impairments. S. Sheldon stated that research suggests that a portion of this 10% population is in need of a wheelchair. According to estimates from 2003, around 20 million individuals who needed a wheelchair for their mobility did not possess one. There is evidence suggesting that only a small portion of individuals who require wheelchairs are able to obtain them, and of those who do, only a limited number have access to a suitable wheelchair.

In Malaysia, Individuals with Disabilities (PWDs) use the Wheelchair as a Mobility Design System (MDS). Nonetheless, as a result of widespread production, it lacks authenticity to fulfill the distinctive needs of each consumer. The current MDS (Mobility and Disability Solutions) created for people with disabilities is deemed too expensive, bulky, and unpleasant, with insufficient developments in terms of safety, functionality, aesthetics, and ergonomics. This emphasizes the importance of paying more attention and relevance to the specific qualities of the user while designing and developing MDS (Mobile Device Software). Extensive testing is required to assure its reliability and evaluate its functionality, usability, and user satisfaction. Inclusive design is the practice of making products, services, and settings accessible and usable to a wide spectrum of people, including those with disabilities or other distinguishing traits.

The goal of this project is to develop and build a Multidimensional Design System (MDS) utilizing an inclusive design approach. The design approach will be based on the Scott.E. Page (1987) model, which emphasizes individuality, an inclusive process and tools, and a broader positive impact. In addition, MDS will have the Sommer's (1983) element of inclusive design, which designed for individuals with impairments. Given that this research is focused on a specific project, the Design and Develop Research (DDR) approach is used, with the ADDIE paradigm. The research design adopted was Analyze, Design & Develop, and Evaluation.

1.3 Problem Statement

This study analyzed wheelchair users' daily struggles. People with impairments (PWDs), especially wheelchair users, usually facing several challenges. Associate Professor Sr. Ts. Dr. Noorsidi Aizuddin Bin Mat Noor (2015) found 28 million Malaysians, including disabled people. He found that Malaysians value learning disabilities over physical disabilities.

As per mentioned by researcher earlier, the PWDs did not satisfy with current wheelchair design due to it did not meeting the user needs in the area of; i. wheelchair's structure, ii. Aesthetic and ergonomic includes seating arrangement, visual appeal, iii. safety attributes, and cost which will be elaborate as below"

i.) Wheelchair's Structure

To date, most commonly of the manual wheelchair are using aluminum alloys for manual wheelchairs (6000 series and 7000 series). These alloys are preferred because of their strength-to-weight ratio, reliability in withstand the weight of PWDs (13.6 kg) and manufacturability. Heat treatment can be carried out before use to improve performance to meet demand. The weight of a manual wheelchair varies depending on the type and frame composition. The normal manual wheelchair weighs 13.6 kg. Manual wheelchairs weigh between 13 and 20 kilograms, with lightweight models weighing 6.3 kilograms and large units weighing more than 18kg. Electric wheelchairs can weigh 22–45 kg or more. While the weight of electric wheelchairs can range from 22-45 kg or more.

In order to comply with ISO requirements for wheelchairs and scooters, the researcher must possess a comprehensive understanding of the technical details of the product. This knowledge is necessary to successfully navigate the industrialization and testing procedures outlined below:

- i. ISO 7176-1: 2014 are comply to wheelchair static stability tests.
- ii. ISO 7176-8: 2014 specifies wheelchair and scooter static, impact, and fatigue strength. It defines test methods for meeting requirements. It also mandates test result disclosure.

- iii. ISO 7176-19: 2008 includes the power and control system standards, as well as the test processes for electric wheelchairs and scooters. It specifies safety and performance under normal conditions, as well as abuse and failure.3. so that the product can be shipped to other nations

By establishing the standard, PWDs have the choice of selection for the types of wheelchairs choose. Wheelchair difficulties must be resolved. According to Marieke GM Kloosterman (2010), wheelchairs allow impaired people to walk independently. Bad arm function, pain, insufficient arm force, restricted cardiovascular capacity, inappropriate body posture, and a harsh environment can all impede self-propelled hand-rim wheelchair mobility. A powered wheelchair, mobility scooter, or assistance may help overcome these constraints in challenging conditions.

Hence, this study demonstrates that people with disabilities (PWDs) have multiple obstacles due to the current design of wheelchairs. Multiple wheelchair design strategies and methodologies are currently accessible. However, most ideas generated by these design methods failed to consider the desires and requirements of the users. The design method's terms are detailed as follows:

ii.) Aesthetic and Ergonomic

Aesthetic and ergonomic includes seating arrangement, visual appeal. It is considered that both are related and important in wheelchair design. The ergonomic aspect of wheelchair design includes the seating arrangement, which should be designed to provide maximum comfort and support to the user. The seat should be contoured to fit the user's body shape and provide adequate support to the back, hips, and legs. The seat height should also be adjusted to ensure that the user's feet are flat on the ground and the knees are at a 90-degree angle.

On the other hand, the aesthetic aspect of wheelchair design focuses on the visual appeal of the wheelchair. The design should be visually appealing and should not look like a medical device. The wheelchair should be designed to look like a piece of furniture or a fashion accessory.

1.3.1 The Impact of Difference Design Approach

The design of wheelchairs is a complex process that involves finding a delicate balance between ensuring safety and managing costs. According to research conducted by the University of Pittsburgh, a wheelchair is able to fulfill a range of movement and postural requirements, which are frequently contradictory in nature. A wheelchair user uses a single wheelchair to engage in various activities across diverse indoor and outdoor environments.

When designing a wheelchair, it is crucial to take into account the user's weight, height, and maneuverability in order to ensure safety. The wheelchair must provide adequate support and stability to the user while being able to withstand challenging environmental conditions.

Expense is an additional factor to take into account when constructing a wheelchair. Standard wheelchairs are more cost-effective than custom-made ones, allowing customers to make their selection depending on financial constraints and intended use. Wheelchair users require additional accessories such as cushions, armrests, footrests, and safety belts to ensure both safety and comfort.

Therefore, it is imperative for the researcher to carefully evaluate and address the problems that develop in order to provide people with disabilities (PWDs) with a suitable Mobile Device System (MDS) to assist them in their everyday activities.

Other problem occurred on wheelchair.

- i. **Form follows function** - This concept is a fundamental architectural philosophy that states that the design and shape of an architecture should be primarily determined by its intended function and purpose. It emphasizes the importance of connecting a structure's form with its functional requirements, ensuring that the design not only serves the needs of its users, but also represents the basic purpose.
- ii. **Various Design Approach.** In the context of Systematic Design Approach, the conceptual design of modular and adaptable products, where "product" refers to any instrument, artefact, structure, electrical, power or service. (S. Ceccaccip, Luca Giraldi, M. Mengoni,2017).
- iii. As for **Styling Design**, it focuses only on the outside aesthetic appearance of a product (form, color, graphic line and texture) to provides buyers with a great deal of value. (1mmarketing, 2015)
- iv. Moreover, **Customized Design** is a procedure that alters the appearance or functionality of a product dedicated and relevant to the person. (Mugge, Schoormans, & Schifferstein ,2009,p.468).
- v. **Research Through Design.** which is an approach to scientific inquiry that takes advantage of the unique insights gained through design practice to provide a better understanding of complex and future-oriented issues in the design field.
- vi. As for **Universal Design (UD)**, it is accessible to everyone, but it focuses more on how the environment is organized and what is included within the buildings. Therefore, none of these solutions are based on the user's requirements and are accessible to the majority of individuals with disabilities.

1.3.2 Designing Applicable to User's Needs

Most wheelchair designs prioritize problem-solving over local user demands. This essential issue must be addressed to improve wheelchair users' lives. Early design requires determining user demands, according to Blasco (2014). Many approaches for determining needs are documented. When users have specific requirements due to information scarcity or prototype testing, it gets more challenging. Blanco, Marco, Berbegal, and Casas (2014). Requesting inclusive design needs identification. Recently accepted "Behaviour & Information Technology" essay is 1-36.

According to Robertson, B. (2023), wheelchairs and accessories are medical equipment for mobility-impaired people. These devices are safe and practical. Stability, electrical components, body posture and repositioning, transportation, and postural support device performance affect skin tissue care and daily activities. Companies must follow ISO 14971's risk management process to ensure wheelchair testing is adequate. Product applications, user needs, and usage situations should be considered. PWDs and universal design (UD) adapt the environment to user demands. The designs ignored user demands because there was no customer involvement.

This research seeks to create a disabled Mobility Design System (MDS). To address user needs, the system will handle inclusive design issues, concepts, elements, and places. Benefits are for wheelchair-bound PWDs with various problems. Developing the MDS for wheelchair-bound people is necessary. There are many reasons to include inclusive design in all products, especially for disabled individuals. Different motives have arisen:

i.) **The Designs are Merely Concerned with Style and Ornament (Styling Based) Rather Than Meeting the Requirement of the User**

Jeff Patreane (2013) defines "styling" as "style" and "aesthetics." The technique stresses color, shape, and graphic typography to improve product look. Shahriman Zainal Abidin (2015) describes a stylist as a designer who improves new items' appearance using a broad design idea. While aesthetics helps us appreciate a concept, viewpoint, temperament, comprehension, sentiment, or merit in art. In contrast, the three-dimensional Inclusive Design Principle asserts the problem stemmed from the design not meeting user needs. Design components by Sommers (1983) and Scott. E. Page (1987).

Considering the importance of aesthetics in generating respect for an idea, perspective, attitude, understanding, emotion, or value. This statement challenges the three-dimensional framework of the Inclusive Design Principle, which asserts that the problem occurred due to the design's failure to meet the user's wants and preferences. The design feature suggested by Sommers (1983) and Scott. E. Page (1987).

ii.) Did Not Involve User to Get Their Opinion and Suggestion in Design

Market-driven designs dominate Malaysian product design. Users should understand how routers, modems, WiFi terminals, etc. work. Product-driven enterprises manufacture and market, according to SME Asia (2020). It thinks a great product will attract top customers and make money. Many companies sell “user-designed goods.” Ken Cook (2002) claims they use user feedback to improve products. This view of Proactive Inclusive Design involves widespread engagement.

Therefore, in this study, to ensure the end outcome is not only suitable for the user but also accessible to all, industrial design experts, design engineers, and disability experts were engaged. This was done in the context of this study, using the inclusive design approach.

iii.) High Cost Instead of Low Cost (Affordable)

Cost is important for MDS design, especially for moderate PWDs who cannot afford high-end wheelchairs. The Malaysian Department of Social Welfare Malaysia (Jabatan Kebajikan Masyarakat Malaysia, or JKMM) funds disabled people to buy and receive MDSs for free in certain circumstances. This study will evaluate how MDS may reduce motorized and smart applications to lower project expenses. The system’s feasibility, efficiency, utility, user-friendliness, and cost-effectiveness will be assessed. Mobility Design System encourages impaired people to live in healthy, joyful, and environmentally friendly communities. Chris Pangilinan (2018), Jean Todt (2018). Malaysia has manual, powered, and “smart” wheelchairs. Britannica.com describes manual wheelchairs as “any sitting surface with wheels linked to it to aid in the mobility of the user.” C. DiGiovine (2014). As a result, in this study, the researcher will utilize survey interview tools from the qualitative approach to acquire information on the real requirements from PWDs, and will employ the Inclusive design idea to develop an inexpensive wheelchair that is accessible to the majority of wheelchair users.

iv.) Corporate or Institution Oriented Instead of Human Oriented

Most firms are now corporate. Few compartmental decision-making methods are human-centered. Not skill, but Board of Directors approval dictates most decisions. Meeting goals and implementing strategies is corporate orientation. Though “humaneness Bott (2021) defines “the extent of an organization or society encourages and rewards a person of being fair, altruistic, friendly, generous, caring, and kind to others” a “the degree of an organization or society encourages and rewards individuals for being fair” (House et al. Thus, Goldman (1978) asserts human orientation is neither business- or institution-driven. This study’s human orientation should be individual, not institutional.

v.) Instead of Redefining Client to Include Users, Redefine Client to Exclude Users

Goldman (1987) describes to this as being only the user (PWDs), rather than the owner. Since the end-user was the only one with a stake in the research, the designers need to focused on the consumer to resolve their concerns and deliver solutions within user budget. (PWDs).

vi.) Using Grassroots Design Approach Rather Than Top-Down Design Approach

According to Michelle Maiese (2013), the purpose of developing a grassroot process is to improve a local community's sense of ownership in an intervention process, empower local communities, and build a culture that promotes long-term peace which recognizes efforts to empower individuals and communities in restoring their cultural identities and autonomy. While Linh Tran, (2016), mentioned that Top-down project planning might leave the team feeling left out and unable to voice their opinions. Top-down initiatives need clear communication. Unclear specifications and expectations may lead to miscommunications and project failure. They didn't design the project; thus, they don't know the intricacies. Project managers must communicate higher management's intentions.

As a result, in this study, the "bottom-up technique" is also known as the "grassroots design process," and both titles correspond to the same notion. The researcher in charge of carrying out this study must request that an analysis of the user's requirements be carried out using the Need Analysis employing ADDIE in Design Develop Research (DDR). This request should be made once the researcher has understood Scott. E. Page's (1987) and Sommer's (1983) Inclusive Design Element (IDE) of the Inclusive Design Approach (IDA).

vii.) Being Authoritarian Instead of Democratic

Democratic in Inclusive Design refers to the ability to listen carefully and in depth to the user's opinion, views, and consensus on the difficulty they face when using a standard wheelchair to maneuver and go about their daily activities, rather than the secondary opinion of others on their problem. The Cambridge Dictionary defines authorization as demanding that others obey and refusing to grant freedom as they see fit; it entails designing without empathy for people with disabilities who struggle to use wheelchairs to carry out their daily tasks. Therefore, in phase one, researcher will be using design thinking process which includes "empathy," to really understand in depth the PWDs difficulties faced using wheelchair within their daily activities.

viii.) Accepting the Way People Think about Design Instead of Trying to Change Them

Graeme Tuttle, (2021) says that “design thinking” puts the client’s needs first. It is a hands-on, iterative study of how people connect with compassion. “Human-centered” design focuses on how well it works for people, not how the designers or investors want it to work. Designers have to watch how people use a product or service and make changes based on what they see. Saying that, in the design thinking process, the user’s needs should come before the designer’s ideas about how the design should work.

Utilizing un-suitable Technology to design the wheelchair. Therefore, in this study, Goldman, (1978) suggest that the output of the design should use appropriate technology to produce the design suit to the affordability of the moderate PWDs rather than using the high technology solutions for high income affordable PWDs. Thus, in this research, the researcher will minimize the used of high technology but with a high impact and efficiency.

x.) Development Process Controlled by Corporate Interest Rather Than Alternate Development Process Models

According to Boston Consulting Group (2018), it is critical to have a corporate growth drive to address the expectations of clients when digitization and market developments occur. Changes in the labour market have an impact on others. According to Warren Buffett, the goal of corporate development is to determine a company’s future. “It’s all about gaining a competitive advantage, not just for today and tomorrow, but for the next 10 or 20 years,” he explains. Alternative models of development or redevelopment, according to Wai-fong Ting (2012), also strive to assist in the rebuilding of these communities, and the alternative paradigm is built on a bottom-up, or grass-roots-first, communication approach.

According to Aamir Shahzad (2014), communication development is distinguished by conceptual flexibility and the range of communication approaches utilized to solve the issue. According to Goldman (1987), the phrase “Use of Alternative Models of Development Process” consequently refers to a development process that is not directed by the corporation. The researchers will place a strong focus on aesthetics, comfort, and safety in this investigation.

xi.) Homogeneity (Single Need User) Rather Than Heterogeneity (Multiple Need User)

Goldman (1978) suggests that another name for heterogeneity is “multiple needs user.” According to Maximilian Siebert (2018), the term “heterogeneity” refers to the fact that not all of the data has the same characteristics. When a number of separate research are pooled together for the purpose of analysis or a meta-analysis, it is inevitable that differences will be discovered. The reverse of

heterogeneity is homogeneity, which describes a situation in which all of the research demonstrates the same result.

This study's design shouldn't be limited to people with permanent disabilities; rather, it should take into consideration people with disabilities that prevent them from having legs. That way, people who don't have legs but still needs to move around and take care of their daily responsibilities can use the wheelchair. It was highly advocated by Goldman, A. B., and Schneider (1978) that product designers make use of inclusive design techniques while they are in the process of producing goods. These techniques will only be successful if it can be shown that they are effective in the products and designs that are generated (Clarkson and Keates, 2003). By means of carrying this out in practice.

1.4 Research Method, Research Question and Research Objective

In this study, the research method, research question, and research purpose and all-important parts of the study's success using and perform the research to ensure that the study is completed methodically. The research topic is the question that tries to answer, and it serves to steer the research process by providing a clear focus for the study. The research objective is the goal that the study seeks to achieve, and it helps to ensure that the study is carried out with a clear purpose in mind. All three of these variables contribute to the research study's structure and meaning, as well as the reliability and validity of the findings. As a result, in this study, the researcher will develop the aim, research question, and research objective during the first part of the investigation. The researcher will list the research technique, research topic, and purpose as shown below:

1.4.1 Research Question

The research questions of this research study are:

- i. What is the characteristic of PWDs on wheelchairs and their daily activities?
- ii. What Are difficulties factors face by PWDs on moving around on wheelchair in their daily life activities?
- iii. How to solve problem for PWDs to overcome their difficulties on moving around on wheelchair in their daily life activities?

1.4.2 Research Objective

- i. To identify definition and characteristic of PWDs using wheelchair.
- ii. To analyze difficulties factors, face by PWDs on moving around on wheelchair in their daily life activities.
- iii. To propose a concept design, development and to validate a wheelchair with MDS for PWDs to overcome their difficulties on moving around on wheelchair in their daily life.

1.5 Research Scope and Limitation

This study experiment did not concentrate on leverage from ground zero since there are so few wheelchair users who must crawl and climb to use the wheelchair. As a consequence, just the user and their range will be customized, and the design will not accommodate other individuals with disabilities who use a wheelchair. This goes against the philosophy and idea of inclusive design that the researcher would be adopting.

The design is based on large number of wheelchair availability at the mall, polyclinic and hospital. (Need Analysis study). Thus, the design scope needs to be detachable for ease of transport and indoor smooth surfaces flooring used.

Due to Covid 19 situation, interview session, Expert designer interviews, PWDs respondent interview and expert validation will be taken place via online communication. As a result, some areas of detail will be unclear, such as detail view on the PWD's activities on the usage of wheelchair. The information can only be gathered through online conversation.

As a result, research study is restricted to explain only the difficulties encountered by PWDs in translating the processed need analysis data to concept design using inclusive design process and presenting to subject matter expert based on 3D Caid model, as well as the situation encountered and the output of dimension drawing for them to validate the design result.

1.6 Significance of Study

This study revealed that challenged wheelchair users are unsatisfied with the manufacturing process. The challenge emerged when the design did not meet the user's needs and requirements, and according to the Inclusive Design Principle, the three-dimensional model by Scott. E. Page, (1987) and the design element by Sommers, the design did not comply with the user's needs and requirements (1983). (affordable) It is costly to create MDS for PWDs.

Most PWDs cannot afford the existing MDS due to its high cost, design for commercial use that is not based on the user's needs and requirements, inaccessibility to most people with disabilities, and unsuitability for Malaysian PWDs users due to the fact that most local wheelchair resellers import and assemble wheelchair parts locally.

The other reason being is unresponsive design that mostly are problem-solving. They did not solve the issue, and did not use human factors and ergonomics, or bring empathy to the design process.

Most solutions are mass-production-oriented. The design are merely Concern with Styling based rather than meeting the requirement of the user therefore it will led to poor design performance, did not tackle the problem, mass manufacturing for volume production and not accessible to all PWDs. Therefore, the PWDs are force to use the wheelchair even thought it is not suitable for some of them.

Therefore, it is a need to design and develop MDS using Inclusive Design Approach (IDA) which the process will dissolve most of the major issues and difficulties faced by the PWDs using wheelchairs. By having concept design being validated by the subject matter expert, would allow the concept design outcome becoming more solid and viable through the design specification and design guidelines. The outcome of the research will be benefit to some category as below:

i.) User

Able to maneuver with affordable mobility design system with inclusive design concept to get equal access in education within the campus area by themselves, able to access the public utility independently with minor assistant. With this the individuals will feel more independent in managing their daily life.

ii.) Industrial Designer

The knowledge gained from PWDS using wheelchair characteristic inclusive design framework and design specification (guidelines) of designing the PWDs with wheelchair mobility design system will be the reference and guidance for other designers to continue their studies in this area.

iii.) For Public Awareness

The implementation of inclusive design concepts inside the community-towards PWDs-based products would raise public awareness, such as mobility design systems for PWDs using wheelchairs, a distinct group of individuals who also have the right to improve their everyday lives.

By raising public knowledge of community services, there will be more inclusive design-based products that can cater to persons other than PWDs

iv.) For Manufacturer

Manufacturing will gain opportunities as a benchmark to create a new range of inclusive design-based products for people with disabilities (PWDs), generating a new opportunity for another group of people, whether old or PWDs with various types of impairments. Benefits of the research

In this research, based on the fact listed above, the researcher, here is some contribution that can be gain from this research.

- i. An improvised MDS version based on design and develop research (DDR) using Inclusive Design. Currently MDS was designed using systematic and engineering approach (Zol Design,2016) other design using customized design (A.F,2018). Basically, other design used problem solving design approach (Engineering approach).
- ii. This potential Inclusive Design research-based product (MDS) can be commercialized after undergoing standard procedure process.
- iii. The introduction of more research-based product will benefit the producer and the user in terms of quality authentic customer based product.
- iv. This Inclusive Design research-based product (MDS) contribute literature review for another researcher, Industrial designer and producer.
- v. Innovative concept such as” add on features concept”, “hybrid concept”, “insightful concept” and “solution base not problem base “which was used in this research will benefit another researcher and industrial designer.
- vi. The instrument design for need analysis and expert evaluation form which were develop can be benefited for another researcher.
- vii. Rich authentic reliable feedback from the user and expert evaluation can be the basis for future researcher and industrial designer.

1.7 Summary of Chapter 1

This chapter addressed the subject of the existing Mobility Design System (MDS) utilized by People with Disabilities (PWDs), including the statement of problem, study purpose and question, scope and limitation, and research contribution. The current MDS design is based on problem solving (Engineering Design and Systematic Design Approach). There have been attempts to incorporate humanistic elements such as empathy (Design Thinking Approach) and environmental elements (Universal Design Approach), but they are still not

based on the user's needs. Inclusive Design Approach (IDA) design to meet the demands of the user (PWDs). IDA are based on three domains (Scott .E. Page, 1987), seven principles of inclusive design, and an inclusive design element (Sommer, 1983).

This two-phase DDR study employed the ADDIE model. In phase one, Need Analysis was undertaken utilizing an interview procedure to establish the characteristics and classification of PWDs, as well as their difficulties in using MDS. The data was analyzed with NVIVO to acquire the theme and sub-theme of their challenges, as well as suggestions for improving the MDS's features. In phase two, the data acquired will be used to design and create the actual MDS. Experts will evaluate the concept drawing in terms of utility, ergonomics, comfort, and safety. The advantages of the research were also explored.

The final phase, validation, involves using 3D CAID models to duplicate the scenario on the completed theme based on the cad drawing with dimensions. The data will be verified by a subject matter expert. The validation technique will yield final inclusive design guidelines based on the researcher's approach and framework.

1.8 Thesis Organization

The thesis is organized into six chapters that lead to the objectives of this research. The description of each chapter is as follows:

Chapter 1 An introduction to the study's background. As a result, the thesis' problem statement is elaborated, followed by the research significance and objectives. The research scope is set to ensure that the research is carried out with clarity.

Chapter 2 To provide a complete literature review of previous and recent research works on diverse research methodologies, orientations, and technology trends. The chapter concludes with a critical summary of the reviewed documents about the issue.

Chapter 3 This research study's research method are described in detail using Design Develop Research (DDR). The overview methodology used is illustrated in a flow chart for design research methods. Settings, parameters, and conditions are described, illustrated, and tabulated, whenever relevant, for the first and second phases of method and instrument use, survey questionnaire process, survey interview handling process, qualitative analysis tools used in both phases, outcome of data formulating guidelines and produce conceptual inclusive design on mobility design system for PWDs using wheelchair, and validation tests conducted by the subject matter expert, result and validation tests conducted by the subject matter expert, result and summary.

Chapter 4- To describe the data collection outcome and analysis process for both the first phase of need analysis data collection and the second phase of expert evaluation survey questionnaire utilising a Likert scale, both qualitative approaches were used. The results of the analysis will be given in order to test the hypothesis while also answering the research question.

Chapter 5 discusses the process of generating inclusive design concept through the design guidelines and design specification derives from the output of data analysis. Results of the inclusive design concept will then be validated by subject matter expert. the subject matter expert will then be interview by the researcher. Again, the data will be analyzed using nvivo.to be transcribe to coding and formulating outcome. As for the survey questionnaire, it will also be issued to the subject matter expert in the form of Likert scale and analyses it in the form of chart and graph.

Based on both data gathered, after testing the hypothesis and answering research question, the research will the summarized and refine.

Chapter 6 concludes and recommendation the work of this thesis and presents potential opportunities for future research work on wheelchair accessories in view of learned knowledge

REFERENCES

- History | Institute for Human Centered Design "Inclusive Design Research Centre". legacy.idrc.ocadu.ca. Retrieved 2022-02-13. <https://devoldbr.com/blog/barrier-freedesign/> 84
- Amin, A. S., Zuki, S. Z. M., & Akhir, N. M. (2019). Accessibility to facilities for persons with disabilities at public institutes of higher learning. *International Journal of Recent Technology and Engineering*, 8(2), 21-37.
- Adnan, N., & Dawal, S. Z. M. (2019). Applied anthropometric for wheelchair user in Malaysia. *Measurement*, 136, 786-794.
- Abd Aziz, A., Jani, R., & Hanafi, H. (2023). Determinants of Quality of Life Person with Disabilities in Malaysia. *e-BANGI*, 20(3), 178-188.
- Ahmad, E., Daud, A., Hairon, S., Nordin, R., Azmir, N. A., & Jalil, M. A. S. M. (2023). Prevalence and impact of work-related musculoskeletal disorders among food delivery riders in Eastern Peninsular Malaysia. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Scientific Studies*, 6(3), 586-593.
- Abd Manaf, A. R., Othman, S. Z., Mohd Isa, M. F., Wan Mohd Noor, W. S., & Azizan, N. (2019). Employment challenges among persons with disabilities in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 9(10), 139-148.
- Adeleke, K., Olaniran, N., Oyeniyi, R., & Idris, M. (2022). Development of A Rechargeable Electronically Controlled Motorised Wheelchair for Disabled And Elderly People. *Lautech Journal of Engineering And Technology*, 16(1), 20-29.
- Awaluddin, S. M., Mahjom, M., Lim, K. K., Shawaluddin, N. S., & Tuan Lah, T. M. A. (2023). Occupational disease and injury in Malaysia" a thematic review of literature from 2016 to 2021. *Journal of environmental and public health*, 2023.
- Bowen, D. J., Kreuter, M., Spring, B., Cofta-Woerpel, L., Linnan, L., Weiner, D., ... & Fernandez, M. (2009). How we design feasibility studies. *American journal of preventive medicine*, 36(5), 452-457.
- Bin-Tahir, S. Z., & Rinantanti, Y. (2016). Multilingual lecturers' competence in english teaching at the university of Iqra Buru, Indonesia. *Asian EFL Journal*, 5, 79-92.
- F., & Ithnin, Z. (2014). Malaysian Scenario on Access and Facilities for Persons with Disabilities" A literature review. In *MATEC Web of Conferences* (Vol. 15, p. 01019). EDP Sciences.

- AlGeddawy, T., Abbas, M., & ElMaraghy, H. (2014). Design for Mobility—A Customer Value Creation Approach. *Procedia CIRP*, 16, 128-133. 115
- Alshangiti, A., Alhudaithi, M., & Alghamdi, A. (2020, July). Human factors in the design of wheelchair tray tables” User research in the co-design process. In *International Conference on HumanComputer Interaction* (pp. 18-24). Springer, Cham.
- Anjeneyulu, J., & Purushotham, A. (2019). Design and Analysis of a Stair Climbing Wheel Chair.
- Ariff, A. F., Omer, H. B., Javeed, P. M., & Alkbir, M. F. M. (2018, November). Conceptual design of wheelchair for disabled people suffering with tetra phocomelia disease. In *AIP Conference Proceedings* (Vol. 2030, No. 1, p. 0 20020). AIP Publishing LLC.
- Ariff, F. A., Rahman, R., WAW, A., & NB, M. The Process to Improvise Inclusive Design Concepts in NPD for Mobility DesignSystem for PWDS with Proximal Femoral Focal Deficiency (PPFD) Without Limbs and Leg. (2022)
- Ashadi, K., Purnomo, M., Haryudo, S. I., Wibowo, S., Wiriawan, O., Setijono, H., ... & Shah, S. A. (2022). Wheelchair User’s Barriers in Physical Activity” Rural VS. Urban Area.
- Bashiti, A., & Rahim, A. A. (2016). Physical barriers faced by people with disabilities (PwDs) in shopping malls. *ProcediaSocial and Behavioral Sciences*, 222, 414-422.
- Bocanegra-Valle, Ana. “Needs analysis for curriculum design.” In *The Routledge handbook of English for academic purposes*, pp. 584-600. Routledge, 2016.
- Bronzino, L., Kurmeleva, E., Mianowski, J., Rojek-Adamek, P., Olga, G., Gawron, G., ... & Fjær Lindland, K. M. (2016). Drafts From Sociology of Design. Introduction to Discussion
- Buschle, C., Reiter, H., & Bethmann, A. (2022). The qualitative pretest interview for questionnaire development” Outline of programme and practice. *Quality & Quantity* 56(2), 823-842.
- Chan, C. C., Yusof, Y., Ahmad Ramli, F. Z., Hillaluddin, A. H., & Mat Saad, Z. (2022). Physical accessibility in Malaysian higher educational institutions” Voices of students with disabilities. *International Social Work*, 00208728221094417.
- Cherry, Kendra. Introduction to Research Methods” Theory and Hypothesis. About.com Psychology; Gezae, Michael et al. 1 Chris Pangilinan, (2018). program director, technology and rider engagement, transit center, published in Cooper Hewitt’s Design Journal Winter

- Chin, H. S., & Ismail, A. **(2023)**. Challenges and Strategies of Mental Health Professionals Working with the Elderly in Malaysia. *Journal of Cognitive Sciences and Human Development*, 9(1), 113-124.
- Creswell, J. W. (2013). chapter iii research methodology. 2013 *Design Research, Design-based research, Design & Development Research and Research on or about Design* | craig dennis howard (wordpress.com) development/study-hub/learning-resources/literature-review
- Dijkstra, J., Galbraith, R., Hodges, B. D., McAvoy, P. A., McCrorie, P., Southgate, L. J., ... & Schuwirth, L. W. (2012). Expert validation of fit-for-purpose guidelines for designing programmes of assessment. *BMC medical education*, 12(1), 1-8.
- Sutton et al., (2007).B. A. Levinson, T. Winstead, and M. Sutton (2020). Anthropological conceptions and strategies for education policy as power. Volume 1, *Education Policy Studies* "Values, Governance, Globalization, and Methodology, 363-379.)
- Sahrir, M. S., Alias, N. A., Ismail, Z., & Osman, N. (2012). Employing Design and Development Research (DDR)" Approaches in the Design and Development of Online Arabic Vocabulary Learning Games Prototype. *Turkish Online Journal of Educational Technology-TOJET*, 11(2), 108-119.
- Etikan, I., Musa, S. A., & Alkassim, R. S. **(2016)**. Comparison of convenience sampling and purposive sampling. *American journal of theoretical and applied statistics*, 5(1), 1-4.
- Finstad, G. L., Giorgi, G., Zaffina, S., Foti, G., Arcangeli, G., & Mucci, N. **(2022)**. Challenges and Opportunities for The Employment of Workers with Disabilities" A Systematic Approach. *J Health Soc Sci*, 7(1),
- Guest, G., Namey, E., & Chen, M. **(2020)**. A simple method to assess and report thematic saturation in qualitative research. *PloS one*, 15(5), e0232076.)
- Mohammed Hayyan Al Sibai And 2 Sulastris Abdul Manap , **(2015)** , *International Journal Of Engineering Technology And Sciences (Ijets)*
- Mishra, P., & Shrivastava, S. **(2022)**. IoT based automated Wheel Chair for Physically Challenged. *Materials Today*" Proceedings, 56, 533–541. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.matpr.2022.02.183>
- Engr. Rufo D. Durian and Emma S. Perena, **(2022)**. Challenges of Students with Disabilities in Higher Education. *United International Journal for Research & Technology (UIJRT)*, 3(11), pp.104-109.
- Flemmer, Claire L., and Rory C. Flemmer. "A review of manual wheelchairs." *Disability and Rehabilitation*" *Assistive Technology* 11, no. 3 **(2016)**" 177-187. 88 Graciously lifted from <http://alan-foley.net/>

Graciously lifted from <http://alan-foley.net/>

Ghazali, E. F., & Mohamed, S. **(2023)**. Conceptual Framework of the Work-Life Balance Model Based on Maqasid Syariah Towards Social Well-Being at Universiti Awam Malaysia. *International Journal of Advanced Research in Education and Society*, 5(3), 419-425.

Gray, L. M., Wong-Wylie, G., Rempel, G. R., & Cook, K. **(2020)**. Expanding qualitative research interviewing strategies” Zoom video communications. *The Qualitative Report*, 25(5), 1292- 1301.

Hashim, P. N., Dong, V. O. X., Kamarazaly, M. A. H., King, S. L. S., Ling, S. S. C. A., & Yaakob, S. A. M., **(2021)** A STUDY ON THE DISABLED FACILITIES AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES (PWDs) CUSTOMERS’SATISFACTION IN SUNWAY PYRAMID.

Hashim, A. E., Ismail, F., Akida, M., Isnin, Z., Natasha, K., & AbdulRahim, M. **(2011)**. 14 HASHIM, Ahmad Ezanee, et al. Disabled facilities in shopping malls” Malaysian perspective. *Business and Management Quarterly Review (BMQR)*, 2011, 2.4: 56-64 58

<http://www.inclusivedesigntoolkit.com/whatis/whatis.html> 261

<http://www.myhealth.gov.my/kurang-upaya-secara-am/>

<http://www.Nibusinessinfo.Co.Uk> 50 <https://achieveaustralia.org.au/>

<https://aea365.org/blog/interview-sampling-by-beverly-peters/>

<https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/205041/B4616.pdf?seq>

<https://blog.officechairsunlimited.com/5-common-office-chairfeaturesexplained>

<https://blog.ultrahuman.com/blog/exercises-for-people-withlimitedmobility-and-physical-disability>

<https://dictionary.cambridge.org/dictionary/english/authoritarian>

<https://Educationtechnology.Net/The-Addie-Mode> Instructional Design/

<https://flowcite.com/how-to-write-a-problem-statement-for-athesis/>

<https://harmonyhomemedical.com/pages/wheelchairs-for-salesandiego>

<https://hub.permobil.com/blog/ergonomic-seating-manualwheelchairs>

Mustaffa, W. S. W., Rahman, R. A., Wahid, H. A., Rhouse, S. M., & Hudin, N. S. (2019, May 19). Exploring Disabled Students’ Service Quality Dimensions” A Case of Malaysian Higher Education Institutions (HEIs). *International Journal of Academic Research in Progressive Education and Development*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.6007/ijarped/v8-i2/5766>

https://philosophynow.org/issues/92/Whats_The_Most_Important_Question_and_Why

<https://prevention.nih.gov/research-priorities/research-need-and-gaps/pathways-prevention/can-physical-activity-improve-health-wheelchair-users>

<https://proximityschool.com/learn/design-process/>

<https://search.cdc.gov/search/accessibility>, www.physiopeia.com.

<https://silvercross.com/power-wheelchairs/types-of-power-wheelchair/> (2016)

<https://smallbusiness.chron.com/top-down-approach-business-66018.html>

<https://sme.asia/product-driven-vs-customer-driven-business/>

<https://tfn.scot/news/wheelchair-users-spend-too-much-time-in-their-chairs>

<https://waterbearlearning.com/addie-model-instructional-design/20.2021>

<https://www.aumentoo.com/corporate-development-business-process/>

https://www.beyondintractability.org/essay/grassroots_process_design

<https://www.britannica.com/topic/wheelchair>, Carmen P. DiGiovanni, (2014)
(The Editors of Encyclopedia Britannica)

<https://www.caffeinecreative.co.uk/blog/design-vs-style>

<https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/disability.html>

<https://www.designcouncil.org.uk/sites/default/files/asset/document/the-principles-of-inclusive-design.pdf>

<https://www.divami.com/blog/accessible-vs-inclusive-design-understanding-the-difference/> <https://www.ed.ac.uk/institute-academic>

<https://www.ed.ac.uk/institute-academic-development/studyhub/learning-resources/literature-review>

<https://www.electricwheelchairsusa.com/blogs/news/how-motorized-chairs-for-seniors-help-you-stay-active-and-independent>

<https://www.exponent.com/services/practices/engineering/human-factors/capabilities/human-factors-in-product-design/>

<https://www.exponent.com/services/practices/engineering/human-factors/capabilities/human-factors-in-product-design/>

<https://www.freedommotors.com/how-much-does-a-new-wheelchair-cost/>

<https://www.healthknowledge.org.uk/public-health-textbook/medical-sociology-policy-economics/4a-concepts-health-illness/section>

<https://www.isfet.org/pages/addie-model>

<https://www.karmanhealthcare.com/why-are-wheelchairs-so-expensive/>

<https://www.knime.com/blog/cohens-kappa-an-overview>

<https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/wheelchair-accessible>

<https://www.learnupon.com/blog/addie-5-steps/>

<https://www.lib.purdue.edu/sites/default/files/directory/butler38/ADDIE.pdf>

<https://www.mcgill.ca/engineeringdesign/step-stepdesignprocess/design-definitions-and-terminology/engineeringdesignterminology>

<https://www.mobilityhq.com.au/our-blog/benefits-of-a-manual-and-electric-wheelchair/>

<https://www.momentumhealthcare.ie/the-benefits-of-using-a-electric-wheelchair/>

<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8779599/>

<https://www.nngroup.com/articles/inclusive-design/>

https://www.physio-pedia.com/Wheelchair_Users

<https://www.publicschoolreview.com/blog/beneficial-services-for-physically-disabled-students-in-schools>

<https://www.quora.com/How-do-people-without-arms-and-legs-do-their-daily-tasks> 313 223 <https://www.quora.com/How-do-you-write-a-problem-statement-in-a-thesis>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/medicine-and-dentistry/evaluation-research>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/nursing-and-health-professions/manual-wheelchair>

<https://www.sciencedirect.com/topics/nursing-and-health-professions/powered-wheelchair>

<https://www.scribbr.com/methodology/reliability-vs-validity/>

<https://www.scribbr.com/research-process/problem-statement/>

<https://www.shrm.org/resourcesandtools/hr-topics/talent-acquisition/pages/job-interviews-go-virtual-response-covid-19-coronavirus.aspx>

<https://www.sunrise-medical.co.uk/blog/benefits-of-powered-wheelchair-seat-elevation>

- <https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/disabilityandhealth/disability-strategies.html>www.humancentereddesign.org. Retrieved 2022-01-27.
- <https://www.who.int/news-room/questions-and-answers/item/people-with-disability-vs-persons-with-disabilities>
- <https://www.who.int/news-room/factsheets/detail/assistivetechonology>
- Huynh, A., & Mccahan, S. (2014). Understanding The Literature on Problem Analysis. Proceedings of The Canadian Engineering Education Association (Ceea).
- Ibrahim, M., Ahmad, M. S., & Abdullah, I. The Need for The Development of Special Products For The People With Disabilities In Malaysia **(2022)**.
- Ibrahim, Marzuki, et al. "UniSZA as the National Centre of Design for Disability in Malaysia." IOP Conference Series" Materials Science and Engineering. Vol. 697. No. 1. IOP Publishing, 2019. 11 Ismail, A.M. **(2012)**. Design and analysis of wheelchair in term of daily usage (Doctoral dissertation, UMP).
- Ismail, A. M. **(2012)**. Design and Analysis Of Wheelchair In Term Of Daily Usage (Doctoral Dissertation, Ump). <https://www.freedommotors.com/how-much-does-a-newwheelchaircost/>
- Ismaila, K., Ishakb, R., & Yuetc, F. K. C. A Proposed Professional Learning Communities Model for Malaysian Schools" Using a Design Development Research Method. International Journal of Innovation, Creativity and Change, 13, 621-633. Jin, S., Yoo, Y., Lee, J., & Park, W. , **(2018)** , Journal of the Korean Society of Safety Kamarudin, H., Ariff, N. M., Ismail, W. W., Bakri,
- Joyce, A. **(2022)**. Inclusive Design. Nielsen Norman Group.
- Kathiravan, M., Madhurani, M., Kalyan, S., Raj, R., & Jayan, S. **(2021)**. A modern online interview platform for recruitment system. Materials Today" Proceedings.
- Lariviere, O., Chadeaux, D., Sauret, C., Kordulas, L., & Thoreux, P. **(2022)**. Modal Characterization of Manual Wheelchairs. Vibration, 5(3),
- Lee, C. D., Koontz, A. M., Cooper, R., Sivakanthan, S., Chernicoff, W., Brunswick, A., ... & Cooper, R. A. **(2022)**. Understanding travel considerations and barriers for people with disabilities to using current modes of transportation through journey mapping. Transportation Research Record, 03611981231188730
- Leaman, J., & La, H. M. **(2017)**. A comprehensive review of smart wheelchairs" past, present, and future. IEEE Transactions on HumanMachine Systems, 47(4), 486-499.

Lin, K. C., Miao, W., & Liao, W. Y. **(2022)**. How to Implement Inclusive Design into Distinctive Feature Hand Tool? A Design Study On Fine Operation-Aid Screwdriver. *Heliyon*, 8(7), E09866.

MyGOV - Public Service Delivery and Local Government | Vulnerable <https://malaysia.gov.my/portal/content/30739..>

Marzuki, I., Ahmad, M. S., Abdullah, I., Omar, M. H., Ariff, A. F., & Yusoff, S. A. T. S. **(2019, December)**. UniSZA as the National Centre of Design for Disability in Malaysia. In *IOP Conference Series. Materials Science and Engineering* (Vol. 697, No. 1). IOP Publishing. 81 Ngcobo, Z. G. (2019). Experiences of students living with disabilities at the University of KwaZulu-Natal Howard College Campus” a qualitative exploration (Doctoral dissertation).

Majid, M. A. A., Othman, M., Mohamad, S. F., Lim, S. A. H., & Yusof, A. (2017). Piloting for interviews in qualitative research” Operationalization and lessons learnt. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 7(4), 1073-1080

Omar, M. K., Mat, H. C., Puad, M. H. M., & Yaakub, M. **(2022)**. Can We Get A Job? Employability Skills Acquisition during Educational Experience Of Student With Disability. *International Journal of Social Science Research*, 4(1), 115-123.

Oram, S. **(2014)**. Ergonomic wheelchair design (Doctoral dissertation).

Paderno, D., Bodini, I., & Villa, V. (2020). Proof of concept as a multidisciplinary design-based approach. In *Design Tools and Methods in Industrial Engineering” Proceedings of the International Conference on Design Tools and Methods in Industrial Engineering, ADM 2019, September 9–10, 2019, Modena, Italy* (pp. 625-636). Springer International Publishing.

Page, T., & Þorsteinsson, G. **(2018)**. An inclusive design study of wheelchair users in the built environment. 95 Persad, U., Langdon, P., & Clarkson, P. J. (2007). A framework for analytical inclusive design evaluation. In *DS 42” Proceedings of ICED 2007, the 16th International Conference on Engineering Design, Paris, France, 28.-31.07. 2007* (pp. 817-818).

Persad, U., Langdon, P., & Clarkson, P. J. (2007). A framework for analytical inclusive design evaluation. In *DS 42” Proceedings of ICED 2007, the 16th International Conference on Engineering Design, Paris, France, 28.-31.07. 2007* (pp. 817-818). Presentation <https://libguides.usc.edu/writingguide/theoreticalframewor>
k

Pearlman, J. L., Sullivan, M., Mhatre, A., Martin, D., & McCambridge, M. **(2018)**. Design Considerations for Wheelchairs Used in Adverse Conditions.

- Proximal focal femoral deficiency. Journal of the Canadian Association of Radiologists, 29(2), 101-107. 71 Ravi S. Sharma¹, Chinmay V. Modak², Lovely Y. Singh³, Shruti K. Kharti⁴ , (2018) , International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET) ,S.RenoldElsen¹ , K.Dhamodaran² , S.Razak Mohamed² , S. Mohamed Rafick² , (2018) , SAE Technical Paper , MI YEON SHIN* and CHANG HO YU† , (2019) , Journal of Mechanics in Medicine and Biology , risenshine.org, sibusiso Masango august **(2018)**,
- Roshidi, D. I. H. M., Zawawi, Z. A., Khalid, M. K. A., Zahari, N. F., & Aksah, H. **(2023)**. Awareness Level and Challenges of Personal Protective Equipment Compliance by Malaysian Construction Workers. International Journal of Business and Technology Management, 5(S2), 109-116.
- Ravesangar, K., Halid, H., & Singh, R. **(2023)**. Social entrepreneurship for young people with disabilities: A conceptual analysis. Issues and Perspectives in Business and Social Sciences, 1-13.
- Santoso, T. B. **(2023)**. Accessibility barriers of wheelchair users in public spaces. Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews, 8(1), 092-101.
- Sprigle, S., & Huang, M. **(2015)**. Impact of mass and weight distribution on manual wheelchair propulsion torque. Assistive technology, 27(4), 226-235.
- Sheila Robinson, **(2020)** <https://aea365.org/blog/interviewsampling-bybeverly-peters/> .
- Simpson, R. C. (2005). Smart wheelchairs” A literature review. Journal of rehabilitation research and development, 42(4),
- Snyder, H. **(2019)**. Literature review as a research methodology” An overview and guidelines. Journal of business research, 104, 333-339.
- Sokolowski, S. L., Ende, E., & Fretz, M. (2021). Manual Wheelchair Design to Improve User Safety, Comfort and Aesthetics for the Aging Population. In Advances in Industrial Design” Proceedings of the AHFE **2021** Virtual Conferences on Design for Inclusion, Affective and Pleasurable Design, Interdisciplinary Practice in Industrial Design, Kansei Engineering, and Human Factors for Apparel and Textile Engineering, July 25-29, 2021, USA (pp. 491-497). Springer International Publishing.
- Source” Goldman, A. B., Schneider, R. O. B. E. R. T., & Wilson Jr, P. D. (1978).
- Sukerkar, K., Suratwala, D., Saravade, A., Patil, J., & D’britto, R. **(2018)**. Smart wheelchair” a literature review. Mental Retardation, 5(5.4), 5-6 Natasa Vujica Herzog & Gregor Harih, (2019)
- Ta, T. L., & Leng, K. S. (2013). Challenges Faced By Malaysians with Disabilities In The World Of Employment. Disability, Cbr & Inclusive Development, 24(1), 6-21.

Treviranus, J. (2018). The three dimensions of inclusive design” A design framework for a digitally transformed and complexly connected society (Doctoral dissertation, University College Dublin).

Sampson, H. (2004). Navigating the waves” The usefulness of a pilot in qualitative research. *Qualitative research*, 4(3), 383-402.

<https://doi.org/10.31181/dma1120239www.inclusivedesigntoolkit.com.2>
www.jkm.gov.

www.nst.com.my/opinion/letters/2020/11/637845/threeproposals-helpdisabled

www.sundaymail.com, (2017) Yusoff, M. S. B. (2019). ABC of content validation and content validity index calculation. *Resource*, 11(2),

Yeong, M. L., Ismail, R., Ismail, N. H., & Hamzah, M. I. (2018). Interview protocol refinement” Fine-tuning qualitative research interview questions for multi-racial populations in Malaysia. *The Qualitative Report*, 23(11), 2700-2713.

<https://www.alchemer.com/resources/blog/purposive-sampling101>, May

<https://www.bizjournals.com/boston/stories/2002/09/23/smallb3>. html

Hamid, Z. A., & Anuar Mohamad Kamar, K. (2012, January 13). Aspects of off-site manufacturing application towards sustainable construction in Malaysia. *Construction Innovation*, 12(1), 4–10.
<https://doi.org/10.1108/14714171211204185>

Lucke, U., & Castro, T. (2016, July). The process of inclusive design. In 2016 IEEE 16th International Conference on Advanced Learning Technologies (ICALT) (pp. 446-447). IEEE

<https://dakotacil.org/2016/10/31/adaptive-vs-assistivetechology/>

Narayanan, S. (2018, October). A study on challenges faced by disabled people at workplace in Malaysia. In *Proceeding—5th Putrajaya international conference on children, women, elderly and people with disabilities* (pp. 185-197).

<https://s4be.cochrane.org/blog/2018/11/29/what-isheterogeneity/>

<https://workinmind.org/2018/12/03/inclusive-design-aredisabledpeople-being-let-down-on-a-global-scale/#>

<https://www.emptech.info/wp/2019/01/26/the-three-dimensionsofinclusive-design>

Shpigelman, C., & HaGani, N. (2019, March 26). The impact of disability type and visibility on self-concept and body image: Implications for mental health nursing. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*, 26(3–4), 77–86. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jpm.12513>

- Soewardi, H., & Afgani, M. K. A. (2019, August). Innovative Design of Ergonomic Wheelchair for Disabled People. In IOP Conference Series"Materials Science and Engineering (Vol. 598, No. 1, p. 012033). IOP Publishing.
- Alshangiti, A., Alhudaithi, M., & Alghamdi, A. (2020, July). Human factors in the design of wheelchair tray tables" User research in the codesign process. In International Conference on HumanComputer Interaction (pp. 18-24). Springer, Cham.
- Wong, M. A., & Saunders, L. (2020, August 19). Instruction in Libraries and Information Centers" An introduction.
<https://marksmanhealthcare.com/important-take-ethicsapprovalsurvey-research/> <https://miminguyen.design/2022/04/11/inclusivedesign/>
- Al Shabibi, M. A. K., & Kesavan, S. M. (2021, July). IoT Based Smart Wheelchair for Disabled People. In 2021 International Conference on System, Computation, Automation and Networking (ICSCAN) (pp. 16). IEEE.
- Cash, P., Isaksson, O., Maier, A., & Summers, J. (2022, January). Sampling in design research" Eight key considerations. Design Studies, 78, 101077.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.destud.2021.101077>
- Rusdi, M., Sirajuddin, H., & Alfah, R. (2022, April 30). Implementation Of The Addie Model (Analysis, Design, Development, Implementation, Evaluation) In Php-Based E-Learning In The Era Of Pandemic. Jurnal Teknologi Informasi Universitas Lambung Mangkurat (JTIULM), 7(1), 49–56. <https://doi.org/10.20527/jtiulm.v7i1.74>
- Kumar, S., Dawson, K., Pollard, R., & Jeter, G. (2022, May 27). AnalyzingTheories, Conceptual Frameworks, and Research Methods in EdD Dissertations. TechTrends, 66(4), 721–728.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11528-022-00739-4>
- Tuteja, K., Colombino, T., & Tixier, M. (2023, January). Towards a Trajectory Analysis of Wheelchair in an Indian Context. In Companion Proceedings of the 2023 ACM International Conference on Supporting Group Work (pp. 12-14).
- Alias, A. A., Jani, R., & Hanafi, H. (2023, August). DETERMINANTS OF QUALITY-OF-LIFE PERSON WITH DISABILITIES IN MALAYSIA. E-Bangi Journal of Social Science and Humanities, 20(3).
<https://doi.org/10.17576/ebangi.2023.2003.15>
- Sahoo, S. K., & Choudhury, B. B. (2023, December 15). Wheelchair Accessibility" Bridging the Gap to Equality and Inclusion. Decision Making Advances, 1(1), 63–85.
<https://www.freemalaysiatoday.com/category/leisure/money/2022/08/28/how-an-oku-card-benefits-persons-with-disabilities/>