



**PERSONALIZED ONE-SHOT LOCAL ADAPTATION FEDERATED
LEARNING FOR MORTALITY PREDICTION IN MULTI-CENTER
INTENSIVE CARE UNIT**

By

DENG TING

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

May 2024

FSKTM 2024 5

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**PERSONALIZED ONE-SHOT LOCAL ADAPTATION FEDERATED
LEARNING FOR MORTALITY PREDICTION IN MULTI-CENTER
INTENSIVE CARE UNIT**

By

DENG TING

May 2024

Chairman : Hazlina binti Hamdan, PhD
Faculty : Computer Science and Information Technology

The increasing volumes of electronic healthcare records (EHR) encourage the development of the application and research of machine learning (ML) in digital health. Promoting ML in healthcare based on EHR can enhance health management for increased intelligence, safety, and efficiency. Unlike traditional data-centralized ML methods, federated learning (FL) provides a novel and promising distributed learning scheme to promote ML in multiple healthcare institutions while preserving data privacy. Nevertheless, the EHR datasets preserved by independent institutions differ considerably in both quantity and characteristics, making the overall data non-independently and identically distributed (non-IID). Coupled with effect of its skewness, this non-IID data can cause a significant reduction in model performance, especially prediction accuracy, rendering FL devoid of practical significance.

This study explored the problem with an in-hospital mortality prediction task under an actual multi-center Intensive Care Unit (ICU) EHR database that preserves the original non-IID data distributions. It argues that, the mechanism of generating a unified sharing model for all participants in conventional FL is no longer feasible. Instead, Personalized federated learning (PFL) focusing on generating a tailored model for each client is applicable. However, current PFL techniques still face limitations in enhancing model predictive accuracy, including the inadequate performance of the global model as a basis for personalized models, the challenge of integrating global data knowledge into highly personalized models, and the difficulty of generating optimal highly personalized models for all independent clients.

Accordingly, this study proposes a PFL method, Personalized One-shot Local Adaptation (POLA), to tackle these problems progressively through a three-step optimization. Step 1 involves obtaining a well-performing global model as a teacher by modifying the baseline FL with a selection criterion and a data estimation strategy. Step 2 enables highly personalized models as students to rebalance global and local data knowledge through knowledge distillation optimizations. Step 3 automatically evolves the best-fitting parameters for the highly personalized model at each center using an adapted genetic algorithm. Ultimately, this typical "FL training + local adaptation" PFL method can enhance model predictive accuracy by automatically generating a highly personalized model for each ICU center, guided by the FL sharing model.

To demonstrate its effectiveness, this study experimentally evaluated POLA in diverse multi-center ICU scenarios with varying non-IID skewness. After conducting stepwise experiments and comparing with three benchmark methods - a baseline FL method and two PFL approaches in similar optimization scheme - the results shows that POLA not only systematically optimizes its internal outputs but also outperforms the comparison methods.

Overall, it showcases notable outcomes, attaining 8.32% and 0.94% enhancements in ROC AUC accuracy at the 10th and 100th training rounds in slightly non-IID data, and 8.41% and 1.04% improvements in highly non-IID data, compared to the top-performing benchmark method. Individually, it obtains performance gains for the maximum number of independent centers, benefiting 100% of them when compared to baseline FL. As these expected results show, POLA is a promising option for promoting FL in the multi-center ICU scenario.

Keywords: electronic healthcare records, mortality prediction, federated learning, non-IID data

SDG: GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-Being

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PEMBELAJARAN BERSEKUTU ADAPTASI SETEMPAT SATU SYOT
DIPERIBADIKAN UNTUK RAMALAN KEMATIAN DI MULTIPUSAT ICU**

Oleh

DENG TING

Mei 2024

Pengerusi : Hazlina binti Hamdan, PhD
Fakulti : Sains Komputer dan Teknologi Maklumat

Peningkatan jumlah rekod kesihatan elektronik (EHR) mendorong perkembangan aplikasi dan penyelidikan pembelajaran mesin (ML) dalam kesihatan digital. Mempromosikan ML dalam kesihatan berdasarkan EHR boleh meningkatkan pengurusan kesihatan untuk peningkatan kecerdasan, keselamatan, dan kecekapan. Berbeza dengan kaedah ML yang terpusat data tradisional, pembelajaran bersekutu (FL) menyediakan skema pembelajaran yang berpusat distribusi yang baru dan menjanjikan untuk mempromosikan ML dalam pelbagai institusi kesihatan sambil mengekalkan privasi data. Namun begitu, set data EHR yang disimpan oleh institusi-institusi bebas berbeza secara ketara dari segi kuantiti dan ciri-ciri, menjadikan data secara keseluruhan tidak bersifat bebas dan identik (non-IID). Bersamaan dengan kesan skewnessnya, data non-IID ini boleh menyebabkan penurunan yang ketara dalam prestasi model, terutamanya dalam ketepatan ramalan, menjadikan FL tidak lagi penting secara praktikal.

Kajian ini menyelidiki masalah dengan tugas ramalan kematian di hospital di bawah pangkalan data EHR Unit Rawatan Rapi (ICU) multipusat sebenar yang menyimpan distribusi data asli non-IID. Ia berpendapat bahawa, mekanisme menghasilkan model perkongsian bersatu untuk semua peserta dalam FL konvensional tidak lagi praktikal. Sebaliknya, pembelajaran bersekutu peribadi (PFL) yang memberi tumpuan kepada menghasilkan model yang disesuaikan untuk setiap pelanggan boleh digunakan. Walau bagaimanapun, teknik PFL semasa masih menghadapi kekangan dalam meningkatkan ketepatan ramalan model, termasuk prestasi model global yang tidak mencukupi sebagai asas untuk model yang diperibadikan, cabaran untuk mengintegrasikan pengetahuan data global ke dalam model yang sangat diperibadikan, dan kesukaran menghasilkan model yang sangat diperibadikan secara optimum untuk semua pelanggan bebas.

Oleh itu, kajian ini mencadangkan satu kaedah PFL, adaptasi setempat satu syot diperibadikan (POLA), untuk menangani masalah ini secara berperingkat melalui tiga langkah pengoptimuman. Langkah 1 melibatkan mendapatkan model global yang berprestasi baik sebagai guru dengan memodifikasi FL asas dengan kriteria pemilihan dan strategi penilaian data. Langkah 2 membolehkan model yang sangat diperibadikan sebagai pelajar untuk menyeimbangkan pengetahuan data global dan tempatan melalui pengoptimuman penyebaran pengetahuan. Langkah 3 secara automatik membangunkan parameter yang paling sesuai untuk model yang sangat diperibadikan di setiap pusat menggunakan algoritma genetik yang disesuaikan. Akhirnya, kaedah PFL jenis "latihan FL + adaptasi setempat" ini

boleh meningkatkan ketepatan ramalan model dengan menghasilkan secara automatik model yang sangat diperibadikan untuk setiap pusat ICU, dipandu oleh model perkongsian FL.

Untuk menunjukkan keberkesanannya, kajian ini secara eksperimen menilai POLA dalam senario pelbagai pusat ICU yang berbeza dengan keseragaman data non-IID yang berbeza. Selepas menjalankan eksperimen secara berperingkat dan membandingkan dengan tiga kaedah perbandingan - kaedah FL asas dan dua pendekatan PFL dalam skema pengoptimuman yang sama - hasilnya menunjukkan bahawa POLA bukan sahaja secara sistematik mengoptimumkan output dalaman tetapi ianya juga mengatasi kaedah perbandingan.

Secara keseluruhan, ia menunjukkan hasil yang ketara, mencapai peningkatan ketepatan ROC AUC sebanyak 8.32% dan 0.94% pada pusingan latihan ke-10 dan ke-100 dalam data non-IID sedikit, dan peningkatan sebanyak 8.41% dan 1.04% dalam data non-IID yang tinggi, berbanding dengan kaedah penanda aras yang terbaik. Secara individu, ia dapat peningkatan prestasi untuk jumlah maksimum pusat bebas, memberi manfaat kepada 100% daripadanya berbanding dengan FL asas. Seperti yang ditunjukkan oleh hasil yang dijangka ini, POLA adalah pilihan yang menjanjikan untuk mempromosikan FL dalam senario multipusat ICU.

Kata Kunci: rekod penjagaan kesihatan elektronik, ramalan kematian, pembelajaran bersekolah, data bukan IID

SDG: MATLAMAT 3: Kesihatan yang Baik dan Sejahtera

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Dr. Hazlina binti Hamdan. I consider myself fortunate to have encountered such an understanding, helpful, and knowledgeable mentor as Dr. Hazlina during my doctoral study. Her profound knowledge has greatly benefited me, and her kindness and encouragement have consistently supported my progress. Without her guidance and assistance, it would have been very difficult for me to successfully complete my doctoral studies and thesis.

Next, I would like to sincerely thank the remaining members of my supervisory committee, Associate Professor Dr. Razali Yaakob and Dr. Khairul Azhar Kasmiran. Their experienced guidance and suggestions have consistently proven to be highly beneficial to me, and their encouragement and support have always helped me find direction and motivation when I face challenges.

Finally, I want to express special thanks to my beloved family. Their unconditional support, encouragement, and sacrifices have given me the courage and strength to overcome difficulties on this thorny road. It is through their understanding and support that I have been able to overcome obstacles and reach where I am today.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Hazlina binti Hamdan, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Chairman)

Razali bin Yaakob, PhD

Associate Professor

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

Khairul Azhar bin Kasmiran, PhD

Senior Lecturer

Faculty of Computer Science and Information Technology

Universiti Putra Malaysia

(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean

School of Graduate Studies

Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 August 2024

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iv
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vii
APPROVAL	viii
DECLARATION	x
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xviii
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Research Objective	9
1.4 Research Scope	9
1.5 Contribution of the Thesis	10
1.6 Thesis Outline	11
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Federated Learning	13
2.2.1 Overview	14
2.2.2 FL Category	19
2.2.3 FL Challenges	23
2.2.4 FL Applications in EHR	26
2.3 Non-IID Data in FL	29
2.4 FL Optimization Techniques for non-IID Data	33
2.4.1 Global Optimization	34
2.4.2 Personalized Federated Learning	37
2.4.3 Analysis and Summary	50
2.5 Summary	59
 3 METHODOLOGY	 61
3.1 Introduction	61
3.2 Problem Definition	63
3.3 Data Processing	64
3.3.1 Raw Database Analysis	64
3.3.2 Data Pre-Processing	67
3.3.3 Data Distribution	71
3.4 ML Model and Training Scheme	76
3.5 Evaluation Metrics	79
3.6 Experiment Environment Setup	82
3.7 Summary	85

4	PERSONALIZED ONE-SHOT LOCAL ADAPTATION FL	86
4.1	Introduction	86
4.2	Overall Framework	86
4.3	Model-Selection-Based Stabilized FedAvg	89
4.4	Model Personalization	93
4.4.1	Personalization Technique Identification	94
4.4.2	KD-Based Local Model Personalization	97
4.5	Personalized Model Evolution	104
4.5.1	Adaptive Genetic Algorithm	105
4.5.2	AGA-Based Personalized Model Evolution	109
4.6	Summary	111
5	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	113
5.1	Introduction	113
5.2	Experimental Settings	113
5.2.1	Settings for ML Model	113
5.2.2	Settings for Hyperparameters	119
5.3	Impact Analysis of Data Distribution on Baseline FL	126
5.4	Experimental Results of POLA	129
5.4.1	Results of Stabilized FedAvg	129
5.4.2	Results of Local Model Personalization	132
5.4.3	Results of Personalized Model Evolution	138
5.5	Comparison Experiments	142
5.5.1	Comparison Methods	143
5.5.2	Comparison Results	147
5.6	Discussion	152
5.7	Summary	154
6	CONCLUSION AND FUTURE WORK	156
6.1	Conclusion	156
6.2	Future Work	158
	REFERENCES	160
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	172
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	173

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Comparison of Cross-Device FL and Cross-Silo FL	20
2.2 Summary of Global Optimization Techniques of FL for non-IID Data	51
2.3 Summary of PFL Techniques	54
2.4 Summary Table of FL Optimization Techniques for non-IID Data	56
3.1 The Statistics of Mortality Outcome Measures in eICU-CRD Database	66
3.2 Experimental Variables	69
3.3 Size of the Input Matrix	71
3.4 ICU Types of the eICU-CRD Database	75
3.5 Mortality Prediction Results of Three ML Models	77
3.6 Mortality Prediction Confusion Matrix	81
3.7 Computer Configurations in This Study	84
5.1 Parameter Settings for the Unified MLP Model	118
5.2 Parameter Space Settings for the Personalized Model	119
5.3 Hyperparameter Settings for FedAvg	122
5.4 Average Predicted ROC AUC and PR AUC for FedAvg under Different Data Distributions after 100 Communication Rounds	127
5.5 Average Predicted ROC AUC and PR AUC for FedAvg and Algorithm 2 after 100 Communication Rounds	130
5.6 Average Predicted ROC AUC and PR AUC under non-IID Data Distributions after 100 Communication Rounds	135
5.7 Average Predicted ROC AUC and PRAUC for Algorithm 3 with Different Settings of Scaling Factor	137
5.8 Average Predicted ROC AUC and PR AUC under non-IID Data Distributions after 100 Communication Rounds	140
5.9 Specific Hyperparameter Settings for pFedMe	147

5.10	Average Predicted ROC AUC of the Four Different FL Methods in the 10 th and 100 th Communication Round	151
5.11	Average Predicted PR AUC of the Four Different FL Methods in the 10 th and 100 th Communication Round	151



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 The Training Flow and General Structure of FL	15
2.2 The Data Distribution in Horizontal FL	21
2.3 The Data Distribution in Vertical FL	22
2.4 The Data Distribution in FTL	23
2.5 Category Summary of FL Optimazation Methods for non-IID Data	34
3.1 Research Methodology	61
3.2 Organization of Patient Tracking Information	72
3.3 Patient Amount Distributions of the Two Different non-IID Data	76
3.4 An Illustration of ROC AUC with Judging Criteria	80
4.1 The Illustration of the Proposed PFL Method – POLA	87
4.2 The General Evolution Process of the Employed GA	107
5.1 The Average Validation Loss of MLP Models with Different Hidden Nodes	116
5.2 The Average Validation Loss of MLP Models at Different Learning Rates for the Independent Centers	118
5.3 FedAvg Validation Performances with MLP Model in 200 Communication Rounds	121
5.4 Overall Average Predicted Results of FedAvg under Different Data Distributions	127
5.5 Overall Average Predicted Results of Algorithm 2 Compared with FedAvg	130
5.6 The Data Distribution Difference of Each ICU center in Two Different non-IID Data	131
5.7 Overall Average Predicted Results of Algorithm 3 Compared with FedAvg and Algorithm 2	133
5.8 Individual Predicted Results of Algorithm 3 Compared with FedAvg and Algorithm 2	134

5.9	Average Predicted ROC AUC of Personalized Models with Various Scaling Factor Values	136
5.10	The Personalized Scaling Factor β_k of Each ICU Center in Two Different non-IID Data	137
5.11	Overall Average Predicted Results of Algorithm 4 Compared with FedAvg, Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3	139
5.12	Individual Predicted Results of Algorithm 4 Compared with FedAvg, Algorithm 2 and Algorithm 3	140
5.13	Overall Mortality Prediction Results of Four FL Training Methods in Two Different Data Distributions	148
5.14	Individual Mortality Prediction Results of Four Different FL Training Methods in Two Different Data Distributions	149

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

EHR	Electronic Health Records
ML	Machine Learning
ICU	Intensive Care Units
GDPR	General Data Protection Regulation
FL	Federated Learning
IID	Independently and Identically Distributed
non-IID	Non-Independently and Identically Distributed
PFL	Personalized Federated Learning
FMTL	Federated Multi-Task Learning
FKD	Federated Knowledge Distillation
SVM	Support Vector Machines
CPSO	Chaos Particle Swarm Optimization
LR	Logistic Regression
ANN	Artificial Neural Network
MLP	Multi-Layer Perceptron
CNN	Convolutional Neural Networks
RNN	Recurrent Neural Networks
DNN	Deep Neural Networks
IoT	Internet of Things
SGD	Stochastic Gradient Descent
MAML	Model Agnostic Meta Learning
FTL	Federated Transfer Learning
KD	Knowledge Distillation
MTL	Multi-task Learning
FMTL	Federated Multi-Task Learning

CFL	Clustering Federated Learning
IDE	Integrated Development Environment
MLlib	Machine learning Lib
GPU	Graphics Processing Unit
MIMIC	Multi-parameter Intelligent Monitoring in Intensive Care
ANZICS APD	Australian and New Zealand Intensive Care Society Adult Patient Database
ICNARC	Intensive Care National Audit and Research Centre
LCP	Laboratory for Computational Physiology
OHE	One-Hot Encoding
LSTM	Long Short-Term Memory
AUC	Area Under the Curves
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristics
PR	Precision-Recall
TPR	True Positive Rate
FTR	False Positive Rate
ECDF	Empirical Cumulative Distribution Function
MES	Mean Squared Error
GA	Genetic Algorithm
AGA	Adaptive GA
NAS	Neural Architecture Search
ReLU	Rectified Linear Units

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

With the development of computer and information technology in medical applications and the gradual improvement of hospital digitization, electronic health records (EHR), which contain extensive patient health data comprising demographics, diagnoses, medications, laboratory records, and radiological images, have experienced a substantial rise in both scale and amount (Shickel et al., 2018). Although the primary aim of the EHR is to promote healthcare efficiency from an operational point of view, the cumulative EHR data can also be applied for secondary analysis to enhance patients' health management and drive personalized healthcare (Lokhandwala & Rush, 2016). One of the hottest techniques for secondary analysis of EHR is machine learning (ML), as the rich data resources and valuable implicit information in EHR have provided it a natural implementation foundation (Wu, Roy, & Stewart, 2010).

At present, extensive applications of ML in EHR have been made for medical decision support. For instance, some widely-used ML methods, like k-Nearest-Neighbors, Support Vector Machine, Naïve Bayes, Random Forest, Decision Tree and Logistic Regression have been applied to identify type 2 diabetes mellitus based on EHR (Zheng et al., 2017). A logistic regression ML model is implemented to identify lupus patients using available EHR (Jorge et al., 2019). A ML-based algorithm, XGBoost, is presented to detect the elders at greater risk of falling (Ye et al., 2020). Moreover, as deep learning becomes more

widely applied in EHR, the accuracy of healthcare prediction models has significantly enhanced, enabling the implementation of more complex tasks (Shickel et al., 2018).

Although ML is popular in EHR research nowadays, its implementation in practical applications is arduous, due to these ML approaches generally require a central data repository that gathered from different medical institutions to achieve the desired results (Nair, Hsu, & Celi, 2016). This is hard to generalize in practical applications, since the dispersed EHR datasets that produced and maintained by various healthcare facilities are difficult to be transferred and aggregated. Moreover, these EHR data is more sensitive and harder to be gathered than regular data due to its patient privacy implications (Price & Cohen, 2019). Therefore, centralizing or disclosing these data presents technical as well as legal, ethical, and regulatory obstacles pertaining to privacy and data protection (Van Panhuis et al., 2014), such as those imposed by the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA) (Annas, 2003) and the General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR) (Voigt & von dem Bussche, 2017).

While there are certain methods available to prevent these limitations, such as redacting sensitive patient information to anonymize the data or implementing privacy-preserving algorithms during data transmission to avoid unauthorized access (Gkoulalas-Divanis, Loukides, & Sun, 2014), the underlying problem of data migration remains unresolved. In addition, the process of gathering, organizing, and preserving a dataset of exceptional quality requires significant

effort, time, and financial resources (Rieke et al., 2020).

Federated Learning (FL) offers a brand new mechanism to solve this problem (Konečný et al., 2016; Brendan et al., 2017). It emerges as a paradigm to apply ML models to private distributed data silos, offering great potential for advancing ML in the digital healthcare domain. Specifically, FL enables ML implementation in independent healthcare organizations without sharing or collecting any raw data, allowing pertinent information from the dispersed data to be communicated among the independent institutions while maintaining patient privacy and sensitive data security (Brisimi et al., 2018).

Currently, the applications of FL in EHR have permeated various aspects of digital healthcare. For example, FL can facilitate the identification of patients with similar clinical characteristics across various institutions (Lee et al., 2018), contribute to the development of a decentralized framework for predicting hospitalization due to cardiac events (Brisimi et al., 2018), and forecast mortality rates and length of stay in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) (Huang et al., 2019), including those related to COVID-19 (Vaid et al., 2021).

Although FL is practicable in EHR applications, its effectiveness could be significantly decreased by the non-independently and identically distributed (non-IID) data nature of these distributed EHR datasets (Brendan et al., 2017; Zhu, H. et al., 2021). Hence, addressing challenge of enhancing the performance of FL with the non-IID data issue resulting from the independently distributed EHR datasets has emerged as a prevalent research focus in the

current FL research (Li, Sahu, Talwalkar, et al., 2020).

In this context, this study applies FL into an actual multi-center ICU scenario with an in-hospital mortality prediction task to explore the problem. ICU that generates a substantial amount of EHR data daily can fully support ML applications (Xu et al., 2017), and its distributed multi-center setting provides a natural application scenario for FL. Coupled with the significant importance of predicting in-hospital mortality (Xu et al., 2017; Liu et al., 2018), exploring and promoting the implementation of FL in this context is thus feasible and meaningful.

1.2 Problem Statement

In conventional ML research on EHR, raw data is gathered from disparate medical institutions into a single data center, with the assumption that the data is independently and identically distributed (IID). This idealized data context can ensure the accuracy and convergence rate of the ML algorithm (Courtillot et al., 2019; Ye et al., 2020). However, in the FL setting, the IID assumption no longer holds since the distributed datasets that are generated independently and maintained privately can vary significantly in both feature and amount (Cao, 2022). Consequently, in FL applications, the overall data distribution is naturally non-IID (Kairouz et al., 2019). This data distribution nature has a significant negative impact on the effectiveness of FL, leading to a pervasive and fundamental issue for model accuracy degradation in the FL training process (Zhao et al., 2018; Nilsson et al., 2018; Hsieh et al., 2020).

Furthermore, the data distributions in diverse FL application scenarios vary significantly in terms of its skewness towards non-IID (Zhu, H. et al., 2021), which is also a crucial consideration when it comes to the effectiveness of FL (Kairouz et al., 2019). Compared to training with centralized or IID data, the prediction accuracy of FL in non-IID data significantly decreases as the skewness increases (Hsu, Qi, & Brown, 2019; Zhu, H. et al., 2021). In extremely skewed cases, FL training may even fail to benefit most of its participants (Hsieh et al., 2020).

In summary, the inevitable non-IID data in the independently distributed EHR data scenario, especially that with high skewness, can significantly decrease the model effectiveness of FL, thus weakening or even removing the main incentive for independent healthcare centers to participate in FL training.

This study argues that the main reason for this problem is the indiscriminate treatment of all participants by FL. In conventional FL, the ultimate working model for all participants is unified, which could be unbefitting for non-IID data, especially that with high skewness (Hsu, Qi, & Brown, 2019; Hsieh et al., 2020; Zhu, H. et al., 2021). Conventional FL aims to generate a unified sharing model with global data knowledge to benefit all or most independent clients. However, as non-IID data skewness increases or reaches a certain degree, the global model can only benefit a small portion of client models, and for the most FL-trained models, their performance is not even as good as what could be expected from independent training.

Accordingly, the conventional FL scheme, which focuses on generating a globally uniform shared model while ignoring the data characteristics of each independent client, is not advisable in this non-IID data environment. This study shifts the focus of FL from generating a unified sharing model to customizing local models for individual ICU centers, thereby alleviating the non-IID data problem through a personalized solution. As with the conception of personalized federated learning (PFL) techniques (Kulkarni et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2022), this study will generate a unique personalized model for each independent ICU center based on its data characteristics while gaining global data experience in FL. Specifically, it will personalize FL in a typical "FL training + local adaptation" solution, which is to generate personalized models for ICU centers by locally adapting the global model in FL training.

Currently, most existing PFL methods implemented in a similar scheme directly perform local adaptations on the global model to achieve personalization (Kulkarni et al., 2020; Tan et al., 2022). After observing their shortcomings, the specific research problems of this study are presented as follows:

- I. As stated above, the global FL model can be adversely impacted by non-IID data, showing instability in performance during the training process (Li, X., et al., 2020). Executing local adaptation directly on the vulnerable global model will result in the subsequent personalized models being hard to escape the negative impact of non-IID data.

For example, two representative PFL methods, FL fine-tuning (Wang, K. et al., 2019) and FedDistill (federated-distillation) (Jiang, Shan, & Zhang, 2020) have exposed the problem. FL fine-tuning involves locally retraining several hyperparameters for the obtained global

model to achieve model personalization. FedDistill utilizes knowledge distillation (KD) (Hinton et al., 2015) to locally retrain the global model to get personalization under the guidance of a local teacher model on each client. While showcasing the effectiveness in specific data environments, their results of model personalization also reveal a significant reliance on the global model. When the global model performs well in slightly skewed non-IID data, the results are correspondingly better. However, if the increase in the skewness of non-IID data leads to a decrease in the performance of the global model, the outcome will also decline.

Evidently, a stable-performing global model is indispensable for local adaptation to personalize FL. Therefore, the first research question to address in this study is how to mitigate the negative effects of non-IID data with varying skewness to ensure the stable performance of the global sharing model in FL.

- II. The personalized models produced by directly adapting the global model can only be in the same structure as the global model. This results in the structure of the model being unable to be personalized, accompanying the drawback of limiting the model's performance across non-IID data with various skewness (Li, Sahu, Zaheer, et al., 2020). For instance, an algorithm for personalized FL (pFedMe) using Moreau envelopes, proposed by Dinh et al., (2020), typically involves directly fine-tuning the global model locally to produce personalized models for each client. These resulting personalized models differ from the global model only in terms of model parameters, while other settings, including the structure, remain consistent with the global model.

Furthermore, although there are KD-based methods that allow the model's structures to be personalized independently of the global model, the ensuing problem is that these personalized models cannot acquire sufficient collective data knowledge, thereby leading to a

decrease in prediction accuracy. Two typical KD-based methods are Federated Distillation (FD) (Jeong et al., 2018) and Hybrid Federated Distillation (HFD) (Ahn et al., 2019). They exchange distilled model knowledge, such as prediction scores, to replace the entire global model during FL training. The distilled knowledge is then used to guide the learning of local models, enabling the resulting personalized models to have structural diversity different from the global model. However, due to insufficient collective data information of the distilled knowledge and a lack of further exploration of structure personalization, despite reducing communication costs in FL, their model prediction accuracy falls significantly short of the baseline FL.

Accordingly, this raises the second research question of this study: how to enable personalized models with structures independent of the global model to sufficiently learn the global collective data knowledge.

- III. Generating personalized models with diverse structures for all FL participants is challenging, unlike the straightforward approach of directly adapting the global model. The parameter space corresponding to personalized models that encompass diverse structures is exceedingly vast. Manually constructing, training, and searching for the ideal personalized models of all FL participants is extremely difficult and impracticable (Tan et al., 2022).

For example, a novel Model-Heterogenous Aggregation Training FL scheme (MHAT) (Hu et al., 2021) further explores the model structure personalization based on KD technique. It provides a mechanism for FL to generate heterogeneous models for all participating clients, thereby improving the prediction accuracy. However, these heterogeneous models, customized for each client, can only be manually designed, and selected. Although it enhances prediction accuracy, tremendous labor and time are required to search for the optimal personalized model for each FL client.

Consequently, the third research question is how to efficiently and practically find ideal personalized models with structural differences for all ICU centers without manual intervention.

1.3 Research Objective

The main objective of this study is to propose a PFL method that can effectively improve the model accuracy of mortality prediction for each center under the actual multi-center ICU scenarios. To accomplish this general objective, the following sub-objectives are required to be achieved:

- I. To propose a modified approach on the baseline FL to alleviate the instability in performance of the FL global model across differently skewed non-IID data, thereby better facilitating subsequent local adaptation.
- II. To propose an approach to tackle the drawback that personalized models with structures independent of the global model cannot acquire sufficient collective data knowledge, thereby enhancing model prediction accuracy.
- III. To propose an approach to address the challenge of manually obtaining ideal personalized models with diverse structures for all ICU centers, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency and practicality of the method.

1.4 Research Scope

This study implements FL to predict the mortality of inpatients within a certain period after admission in a multi-center ICU scenario. Its main concern is whether the survival status of patients can be predicted accurately while introducing FL. Essentially, it is a binary classification prediction task involving

ML.

The primary issue in this study is to address the non-IID problem present in separate datasets stored across various independent clients in FL, with a focus on enhancing the accuracy of mortality prediction. To authentically simulate this data environment, the study utilizes the actual dataset, eICU Collaborative Research Database (eICU-CRD) (Pollard et al., 2019), which preserves the natural non-IID data distribution.

The database was generated by an actual program and encompasses over 200,000 admissions related to 335 ICU units at 208 independent hospitals, providing a suitable data environment for this research due to its independently distributed sources and abundance of data.

This study is to propose a novel PFL method to address the research problem in the specific data environment. The proposed method is evaluated using ROC AUC and PR AUC metrics and compared against three benchmark methods, namely FederatedAveraging (FedAvg) (Brendan et al., 2017), FedDistill (Jiang, Shan, & Zhang, 2020), and pFedMe (Dinh et al., 2020), to validate its effectiveness.

1.5 Contribution of the Thesis

This study mainly proposes an effective PFL method in an actual multi-center ICU scenario to improve the mortality prediction performance of each independent center. It accomplishes this by sequentially addressing the

challenges of PFL in various non-IID data environments, thus achieving the following specific contributions:

- An approach to modifying the standard FL to obtain a more stable global model across different skewed non-IID data environments has been proposed. The global model obtained by the modified FL has been proven to be more stable in terms of prediction accuracy, which is conducive to subsequent local adaptation.
- An approach allowing the highly personalized model of each ICU center to effectively acquire collective data knowledge in the global model has been proposed. This approach enables individual centers to possess highly personalized local models while extracting sufficient collective data knowledge from the global model to enhance model accuracy.
- An approach to automatically constructing and searching for the best-fitting personalized parameters for all ICU center models has been proposed. This approach automates the laborious task of manually determining the personalized model designs in FL, ensuring the stability of the whole PFL algorithm while enhancing its practicality.

1.6 Thesis Outline

Chapter 1 is an introductory chapter that includes the research background, research problem, research objectives, research scope, and contributions of this study.

Chapter 2 gives a comprehensive review and summary of the related research and techniques. Firstly, the concepts, classifications, challenges, and EHR applications of FL are introduced. Secondly, the non-IID data problem in FL is

elaborated on. Thirdly, prevailing optimization strategies in FL that aim to address the challenge of non-IID data are reviewed and summarized. Finally, the content in this chapter is summarized.

Chapter 3 integrally illustrates the methodology used in this study. It contains all stages of the whole research, which refers to optimization problem definition, experimental environment setup, data analysis and processing, basic model implementation, and evaluation metrics.

Chapter 4 elaborates the proposed PFL method, POLA. It first provides an overview of the implementation framework for POLA and then proceeds to explain the specific implementation process of POLA in three optimization steps.

Chapter 5 presents the experimental results and offers a comprehensive analysis and discussion. It starts by showcasing the foundational experimental settings. Then, it demonstrates the predictive performance of the baseline FL under the specific non-IID data environment of this research. Following that, it presents the results of the POLA algorithm step by step. Subsequently, a comparison between POLA and benchmark PFL methods is presented. Finally, these experimental results are discussed.

Chapter 6 concludes the whole research and recommends several future research directions.

REFERENCES

- Ahn, J. H., Simeone, O., & Kang, J. (2019). Wireless Federated Distillation for Distributed Edge Learning with Heterogeneous Data. *IEEE International Symposium on Personal, Indoor and Mobile Radio Communications, PIMRC*, 2019-Septe, 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PIMRC.2019.8904164>
- Aïvodji, U. M., Gambs, S., & Martin, A. (2019). IOTFLA: AA secured and privacy-preserving smart home architecture implementing federated learning. *Proceedings - 2019 IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy Workshops, SPW 2019*, 175–180. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SPW.2019.00041>
- Annas, G. J. (2003). HIPAA Regulations-A New Era of Medical-Record Privacy? *New England Journal of Medicine*, 348(15), 1486–1490. Retrieved from www.nejm.org
- Argyriou, A., Evgeniou, T., & Pontil, M. (2008). Convex multi-task feature learning. *Machine Learning*, 73(3), 243–272. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10994-007-5040-8>
- Arivazhagan, M. G., Aggarwal, V., Singh, A. K., & Choudhary, S. (2020). Federated Learning with Personalization Layers. *AISTATS 2020*, (MI). Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1912.00818>
- Beltran, E. T. M., Perez, M. Q., Sanchez, P. M. S., Bernal, S. L., Bovet, G., Perez, M. G., ... Celdran, A. H. (2023). Decentralized Federated Learning: Fundamentals, State of the Art, Frameworks, Trends, and Challenges. *IEEE Communications Surveys and Tutorials*, 1–31. <https://doi.org/10.1109/COMST.2023.3315746>
- Bonawitz, K., Ivanov, V., Kreuter, B., Marcedone, A., McMahan, H. B., Patel, S., ... Seth, K. (2017). Practical secure aggregation for privacy-preserving machine learning. *Proceedings of the ACM Conference on Computer and Communications Security*, 1175–1191. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3133956.3133982>
- Brendan McMahan, H., Moore, E., Ramage, D., Hampson, S., & Agüera y Arcas, B. (2017). Communication-efficient learning of deep networks from decentralized data. *Proceedings of the 20th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics, AISTATS 2017*, 1273–1282. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v54/mcmahan17a.html>
- Briggs, C., Fan, Z., & Andras, P. (2020). Federated learning with hierarchical clustering of local updates to improve training on non-IID data. *2020 International Joint Conference on Neural Networks (IJCNN)*, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1109/IJCNN48605.2020.9207469>

- Brisimi, T. S., Chen, R., Mela, T., Olshevsky, A., Paschalidis, I. C., & Shi, W. (2018). Federated learning of predictive models from federated Electronic Health Records. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 112(September 2017), 59–67. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2018.01.007>
- Brown, A. L., Campione, J. C., & Day, J. D. (1981). Learning to Learn: On Training Students to Learn from Texts. *Educational Researcher*, 10(2), 14. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1174401>
- Bui, D., Malik, K., Goetz, J., Liu, H., Moon, S., Kumar, A., & Shin, K. G. (2019). Federated User Representation Learning. *ArXiv:1909.12535*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12535>
- Cao, L. (2022). Beyond i.i.d.: Non-IID Thinking, Informatics, and Learning. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 37(4), 5–17. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2022.3194618>
- Chandiramani, K., Garg, D., & Maheswari, N. (2019). Performance Analysis of Distributed and Federated Learning Models on Private Data. *Procedia Computer Science*, 165(2019), 349–355. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.procs.2020.01.039>
- Chen, F., Luo, M., Dong, Z., Li, Z., & He, X. (2018). Federated Meta-Learning with Fast Convergence and Efficient Communication. *ArXiv:1802.07876*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.07876>
- Chen, Y., Sun, X., & Jin, Y. (2019). Communication-Efficient Federated Deep Learning with Asynchronous Model Update and Temporally Weighted Aggregation. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, PP(lid), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2953131>
- Chen, Yiqiang, Qin, X., Wang, J., Yu, C., & Gao, W. (2020). FedHealth: A Federated Transfer Learning Framework for Wearable Healthcare. *IEEE Intelligent Systems*, 35(4), 83–93. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MIS.2020.2988604>
- Corinzia, L., Beuret, A., & Buhmann, J. M. (2019). Variational Federated Multi-Task Learning. *ArXiv:1906.06268*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.06268>
- Courtioi, P., Maussion, C., Moarii, M., Pronier, E., Pilcer, S., Sefta, M., ... Clozel, T. (2019). Deep learning-based classification of mesothelioma improves prediction of patient outcome. *Nature Medicine*, 25(10), 1519–1525. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-019-0583-3>
- Dinh, C. T., Tran, N. H., & Nguyen, T. D. (2020). Personalized Federated Learning with Moreau Envelopes. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2020-Decem(NeurIPS)*, 1–12. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2006.08848>

- Dinh, C. T., Vu, T. T., Tran, N. H., Dao, M. N., & Zhang, H. (2022). FedU: A Unified Framework for Federated Multi-Task Learning with Laplacian Regularization. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2022.3224252>
- Duan, M., Liu, D., Chen, X., Liu, R., Tan, Y., & Liang, L. (2021). Self-Balancing Federated Learning with Global Imbalanced Data in Mobile Systems. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 32(1), 59–71. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2020.3009406>
- Duan, M., Liu, D., Ji, X., Liu, R., Liang, L., Chen, X., & Tan, Y. (2021). FedGroup: Efficient Federated Learning via Decomposed Similarity-Based Clustering. *19th IEEE International Symposium on Parallel and Distributed Processing with Applications, 11th IEEE International Conference on Big Data and Cloud Computing, 14th IEEE International Conference on Social Computing and Networking and 11th IEEE International*, 228–237. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ISPA-BDCloud-SocialCom-SustainCom52081.2021.00042>
- Fallah, A., Mokhtari, A., & Ozdaglar, A. (2020). Personalized Federated Learning with Theoretical Guarantees: A Model-Agnostic Meta-Learning Approach. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 33(NeurIPS 2020), 1–12. <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2020/file/24389bfe4fe2eba8bf9aa9203a44cdad-Paper.pdf>
- Finn, C., Abbeel, P., & Levine, S. (2017). Model-agnostic meta-learning for fast adaptation of deep networks. *34th International Conference on Machine Learning, ICML 2017*, 3, 1856–1868. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v70/finn17a.html>.
- Gao, D., Liu, Y., Huang, A., Ju, C., Yu, H., & Yang, Q. (2019). Privacy-preserving Heterogeneous Federated Transfer Learning. *Proceedings - 2019 IEEE International Conference on Big Data, Big Data 2019*, 2552–2559. <https://doi.org/10.1109/BigData47090.2019.9005992>
- Geyer, R. C., Klein, T., & Nabi, M. (2017). *Differentially Private Federated Learning: A Client Level Perspective*. (Nips), 1–7. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1712.07557>
- Ghosh, A., Chung, J., Yin, D., & Ramchandran, K. (2022). An Efficient Framework for Clustered Federated Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 68(12), 8076–8091. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2022.3192506>
- Gkoulalas-Divanis, A., Loukides, G., & Sun, J. (2014). Publishing data from electronic health records while preserving privacy: A survey of algorithms. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 50, 4–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2014.06.002>

- Haddadpour, F., Kamani, M. M., Mokhtari, A., & Mahdavi, M. (2021). Federated Learning with Compression: Unified Analysis and Sharp Guarantees. *The 24th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Statistics*, 130, 2350–2358. Retrieved from <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v130/haddadpour21a.html>
- Hard, A., Rao, K., Mathews, R., Ramaswamy, S., Beaufays, F., Augenstein, S., ... Ramage, D. (2018). Federated Learning for Mobile Keyboard Prediction. *ArXiv:1811.03604*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.03604>
- Hinton, G., Vinyals, O., & Dean, J. (2015). Distilling the Knowledge in a Neural Network. *ArXiv:1503.02531*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1503.02531>
- Hsieh, K., Phanishayee, A., Mutlu, O., & Gibbons, P. B. (2020). The Non-IID Data Quagmire of Decentralized Machine Learning. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, 119(PMLR), 4387–4398. Retrieved from <http://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/hsieh20a/hsieh20a.pdf>
- Hsu, T. H., Qi, H., & Brown, M. (2019). Measuring the Effects of Non-Identical Data Distribution for Federated Visual Classification. *ArXiv:1909.06335*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.06335>
- Hu, L., Yan, H., Li, L., Pan, Z., Liu, X., & Zhang, Z. (2021). MHAT: An efficient model-heterogenous aggregation training scheme for federated learning. *Information Sciences*, 560, 493–503. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2021.01.046>
- Huang, L., Shea, A. L., Qian, H., Masurkar, A., Deng, H., & Liu, D. (2019). Patient clustering improves efficiency of federated machine learning to predict mortality and hospital stay time using distributed electronic medical records. *Journal of Biomedical Informatics*, 99, 103291. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbi.2019.103291>
- Huang, L., Yin, Y., Fu, Z., Zhang, S., Deng, H., & Liu, D. (2020). LoAdaBoost: Loss-based AdaBoost federated machine learning with reduced computational complexity on IID and non-IID intensive care data. *PLOS ONE*, 15(4), e0230706. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0230706>
- Jeong, E., Oh, S., Kim, H., Park, J., Bennis, M., & Kim, S.-L. (2018). Communication-Efficient On-Device Machine Learning: Federated Distillation and Augmentation under Non-IID Private Data. *NIPS 2018*, (Nips). Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1811.11479>
- Jiang, D., Shan, C., & Zhang, Z. (2020). Federated Learning Algorithm Based on Knowledge Distillation. *Proceedings - 2020 International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Computer Engineering, ICAICE 2020*, 163–167. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICAICE51518.2020.00038>

- Jiang, Y., Konečný, J., Rush, K., & Kannan, S. (2019). Improving Federated Learning Personalization via Model Agnostic Meta Learning. *ArXiv:1909.12488*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1909.12488>
- Jorge, A., Castro, V. M., Barnado, A., Gainer, V., Hong, C., Cai, T., ... Feldman, C. H. (2019). Identifying lupus patients in electronic health records: Development and validation of machine learning algorithms and application of rule-based algorithms. *Seminars in Arthritis and Rheumatism*, 49(1), 84–90. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.semarthrit.2019.01.002>
- Kairouz, P., McMahan, H. B., Avent, B., Bellet, A., Bennis, M., Bhagoji, A. N., ... Zhao, S. (2019). Advances and Open Problems in Federated Learning. *HAL*. <https://hal.inria.fr/hal-02406503>
- Karimireddy, S.P., Kale, S., Mohri, M., Reddi, S., Stich, S. & Suresh, A.T.. (2020). SCAFFOLD: Stochastic Controlled Averaging for Federated Learning. *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on Machine Learning*, in *Proceedings of Machine Learning Research*, 119:5132–5143. <https://proceedings.mlr.press/v119/karimireddy20a.html>
- Karlik, B., & Olgac, A. V. (2011). Performance Analysis of Various Activation Functions in Artificial Neural Networks. *International Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Expert Systems (IJAIE)*, 1(4), 111–122. Retrieved from <https://iopscience.iop.org/article/10.1088/1742-6596/1237/2/022030>
- Karsoliya, S. (2012). Approximating Number of Hidden layer neurons in Multiple Hidden Layer BPNN Architecture. *International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology*, 3(6), 714–717. <http://www.internationaljournalsrsg.org>
- Kawa, D., Punyani, S., Nayak, P., Karkera, A., & Jyotinagar, V. (2019). Credit Risk Assessment from Combined Bank Records using Federated Learning. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 06(04), 1355–1358.
- Khodak, M., Balcan, M., & Talwalkar, A. (2019). Adaptive Gradient-Based Meta-Learning Methods. *Neural Information Processing Systems Conference*, (NeurIPS), 5915–5926. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1906.02717>
- Konečný, J., McMahan, H. B., Yu, F. X., Richtárik, P., Suresh, A. T., & Bacon, D. (2016). Federated Learning: Strategies for Improving Communication Efficiency. *ArXiv:1610.05492*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1610.05492>
- Kulkarni, V., Kulkarni, M., & Pant, A. (2020). Survey of Personalization Techniques for Federated Learning. *2020 Fourth World Conference on Smart Trends in Systems, Security and Sustainability (WorldS4)*, 794–797. <https://doi.org/10.1109/WorldS450073.2020.9210355>

- Lee, J., Sun, J., Wang, F., Wang, S., Jun, C. H., & Jiang, X. (2018). Privacy-preserving patient similarity learning in a federated environment: Development and analysis. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 20(4). <https://doi.org/10.2196/medinform.7744>
- Leroy, D., Coucke, A., Lavril, T., Gisselbrecht, T., & Dureau, J. (2019). Federated Learning for Keyword Spotting. *ICASSP, IEEE International Conference on Acoustics, Speech and Signal Processing - Proceedings*, 2019-May, 6341–6345. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICASSP.2019.8683546>
- Li, D., & Wang, J. (2019). FedMD: Heterogenous Federated Learning via Model Distillation. *Conference on Neural Information Processing Systems (NeurIPS)*, 1–8. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.03581>
- Li, L., Fan, Y., Tse, M., & Lin, K. Y. (2020). A review of applications in federated learning. *Computers and Industrial Engineering*, 149(September). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cie.2020.106854>
- Li, Q., Song, D., & Berkeley, U. C. (2021). Model-Contrastive Federated Learning. *Proceedings of the IEEE/CVF Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition (CVPR)*, 10713–10722. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2103.16257>
- Li, T., Sahu, A. K., Talwalkar, A., & Smith, V. (2020). Federated Learning: Challenges, Methods, and Future Directions. *IEEE Signal Processing Magazine*, 37(3), 50–60. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MSP.2020.2975749>
- Li, T., Sahu, A. K., Zaheer, M., Sanjabi, M., Talwalkar, A., & Smith, V. (2020). Federated Optimization in Heterogeneous Networks. *MLSys*, 2, 429–450. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1812.06127>
- Li, X., Huang, K., Yang, W., Wang, S., & Zhang, Z. (2020). On the Convergence of FedAvg on Non-IID Data. *ICLR 2020*, 1–26. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1907.02189>
- Li, X., Yang, W., Wang, S., & Zhang, Z. (2019). Communication-Efficient Local Decentralized SGD Methods. *ArXiv:1910.09126v5*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.09126>
- Liang, P. P., Liu, T., Ziyin, L., Allen, N. B., Auerbach, R. P., Brent, D., ... Morency, L. (2020). Think Locally, Act Globally: Federated Learning with Local and Global Representations. *ArXiv:2001.01523v3*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2001.01523>
- Liu, J., Chen, X. X., Fang, L., Li, J. X., Yang, T., Zhan, Q., ... Fang, Z. (2018). Mortality prediction based on imbalanced high-dimensional ICU big data. *Computers in Industry*, 98, 218–225. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compind.2018.01.017>

- Liu, W., Chen, L., Chen, Y., & Zhang, W. (2020). Accelerating Federated Learning via Momentum Gradient Descent. *IEEE Transactions on Parallel and Distributed Systems*, 31(8), 1754–1766. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPDS.2020.2975189>
- Lokhandwala, S., Rush, B. (2016). Objectives of the secondary analysis of electronic health record data. In *Secondary analysis of electronic health records*. Springer, Cham, pp. 3-7. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43742-2>
- Lu, W., Wang, J., Chen, Y., Qin, X., Xu, R., Dimitriadis, D., & Qin, T. (2022). Personalized Federated Learning with Adaptive Batchnorm for Healthcare. *IEEE Transactions on Big Data*, 14(8). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TBDATA.2022.3177197>
- McMahan, H. B., Ramage, D., Talwar, K., & Zhang, L. (2018). Learning differentially private recurrent language models. *6th International Conference on Learning Representations, ICLR 2018 - Conference Track Proceedings*, 1–14. Retrieved from <https://arxiv.org/abs/1710.06963>
- Mills, J., Hu, J., & Min, G. (2020). Communication-Efficient Federated Learning for Wireless Edge Intelligence in IoT. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 7(7), 5986–5994. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JIOT.2019.2956615>
- Nair, S., Hsu, D., & Celi, L.A. (2016). Challenges and Opportunities in Secondary Analyses of Electronic Health Record Data. In *Secondary Analysis of Electronic Health Records*. Springer, Cham, pp. 17-26. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-43742-2_3
- Nasr, M., Shokri, R., & Houmansadr, A. (2019). Comprehensive privacy analysis of deep learning: Passive and active white-box inference attacks against centralized and federated learning. *Proceedings - IEEE Symposium on Security and Privacy, 2019-May(March)*, 739–753. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SP.2019.00065>
- Nichol, A., Achiam, J., & Schulman, J. (2018). On First-Order Meta-Learning Algorithms. *ArXiv:1803.02999*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1803.02999>
- Nilsson, A., Smith, S., Ulm, G., Gustavsson, E., & Jirstrand, M. (2018). A Performance Evaluation of Federated Learning Algorithms. *Proceedings of the Second Workshop on Distributed Infrastructures for Deep Learning - DIDL '18*, (December), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3286490.3286559>
- Panchal, G., Ganatra, A., Kosta, Y. P., & Panchal, D. (2011). Behaviour Analysis of Multilayer Perceptrons with Multiple Hidden Neurons and Hidden Layers. *International Journal of Computer Theory and Engineering*, 3(2), 332–337. <https://doi.org/10.7763/ijcte.2011.v3.328>

- Passalis, N., & Tefas, A. (2018). Learning Deep Representations with Probabilistic Knowledge Transfer. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science*, 11215 LNCS, 283–299. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-01252-6_17
- Pinkus, A. (1999). Approximation theory of the MLP model in neural networks. *Acta Numerica*, 8, 143–195. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0962492900002919>
- Pollard, T. J., Johnson, A. E. W., Raffa, J. D., Celi, L. A., Mark, R. G., & Badawi, O. (2018). The eICU collaborative research database, a freely available multi-center database for critical care research. *Scientific Data*, 5, 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.178>
- Pollard, T., Johnson, A., Raffa, J., Celi, L. A., Badawi, O., & Mark, R. (2019). eICU Collaborative Research Database (version 2.0). *PhysioNet*. <https://doi.org/10.13026/C2WM1R>.
- Potdar, K., Pardawala, T., & Pai, C. (2017). A Comparative Study of Categorical Variable Encoding Techniques for Neural Network Classifiers. *International Journal of Computer Applications*, 175(4), 7–9. <https://doi.org/10.5120/ijca2017915495>
- Price, W. N., & Cohen, I. G. (2019). Privacy in the age of medical big data. *Nature Medicine*, 25(1), 37–43. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41591-018-0272-7>
- Rasamoelina, A. D., Adjailia, F., & Sincak, P. (2020). A Review of Activation Function for Artificial Neural Network. *SAMI 2020 - IEEE 18th World Symposium on Applied Machine Intelligence and Informatics, Proceedings*, 281–286. <https://doi.org/10.1109/SAMI48414.2020.9108717>
- Rieke, N., Hancox, J., Li, W., Milletari, F., Roth, H. R., Albarqouni, S., ... Cardoso, M. J. (2020). The future of digital health with federated learning. *Npj Digital Medicine*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41746-020-00323-1>
- Samarakoon, S., Bennis, M., Saad, W., & Debbah, M. (2020). Distributed Federated Learning for Ultra-Reliable Low-Latency Vehicular Communications. *IEEE Transactions on Communications*, 68(2), 1146–1159. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TCOMM.2019.2956472>
- Sarang Narkhede. (2019). Understanding AUC - ROC Curve. *Towards Data Science*, 6–11. Retrieved from <https://towardsdatascience.com/understanding-auc-roc-curve-68b2303cc9c5>
- Sattler, F., Muller, K. R., & Samek, W. (2021). Clustered Federated Learning: Model-Agnostic Distributed Multitask Optimization under Privacy Constraints. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 32(8), 3710–3722. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2020.3015958>

- Sattler, F., Wiedemann, S., Muller, K.-R., & Samek, W. (2019). Robust and Communication-Efficient Federated Learning From Non-i.i.d. Data. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2944481>
- Sheikhalishahi, S., Balaraman, V., & Osmani, V. (2020). Benchmarking machine learning models on multi-centre eICU critical care dataset. *PLoS ONE*, 15(7), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0235424>
- Sheller, M. J., Edwards, B., Reina, G. A., Martin, J., Pati, S., Kotrotsou, A., ... Bakas, S. (2020). Federated learning in medicine: facilitating multi-institutional collaborations without sharing patient data. *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-69250-1>
- Shickel, B., Tighe, P. J., Bihorac, A., & Rashidi, P. (2018). Deep EHR: A Survey of Recent Advances in Deep Learning Techniques for Electronic Health Record (EHR) Analysis. *IEEE Journal of Biomedical and Health Informatics*, 22(5), 1589–1604. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JBHI.2017.2767063>
- Shillan, D., Sterne, J. A. C., Champneys, A., & Gibbison, B. (2019). Use of machine learning to analyse routinely collected intensive care unit data: A systematic review. *Critical Care*, 23(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13054-019-2564-9>
- Smith, V., Chiang, C. K., Sanjabi, M., & Talwalkar, A. (2017). Federated multi-task learning. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems*, 4424–4434. <https://proceedings.neurips.cc/paper/2017/file/6211080fa89981f66b1a0c9d55c61d0f-Paper.pdf>
- Srinivas, M., & Patnaik, L. M. (1994). Adaptive Probabilities of Crossover and Mutation in Genetic Algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man and Cybernetics*, 24(4), 656–667. <https://doi.org/10.1109/21.286385>
- Tan, A. Z., Yu, H., Cui, L., & Yang, Q. (2022). Toward Personalized Federated Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2022.3160699>
- Tuor, T., Wang, S., Ko, B. J., Liu, C., & Leung, K. K. (2020). Overcoming noisy and irrelevant data in federated learning. *Proceedings - International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, 5020–5027. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICPR48806.2021.9412599>
- Vaid, A., Jaladanki, S. K., Xu, J., Teng, S., Kumar, A., Lee, S., ... Glicksberg, B. S. (2021). Federated learning of electronic health records to improve mortality prediction in hospitalized patients with COVID-19: Machine learning approach. *JMIR Medical Informatics*, 9(1). <https://doi.org/10.2196/24207>

- Van Panhuis, W. G., Paul, P., Emerson, C., Grefenstette, J., Wilder, R., Herbst, A. J., ... Burke, D. S. (2014). A systematic review of barriers to data sharing in public health. *BMC Public Health*, 14(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-14-1144>
- Voigt, P., & von dem Bussche, A. (2017). The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR). In *Irish Medical Journal* (Vol. 111). <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57959-7>
- Wang, H., Kaplan, Z., Niu, D., & Li, B. (2020). Optimizing Federated Learning on Non-IID Data with Reinforcement Learning. *IEEE INFOCOM 2020 - IEEE Conference on Computer Communications*, 2020-July, 1698–1707. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM41043.2020.9155494>
- Wang, J., & Joshi, G. (2021). Cooperative SGD: A unified framework for the design and analysis of local-update SGD algorithms. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 22, 1–50. <https://www.jmlr.org/papers/volume22/20-147/20-147>
- Wang, K., Mathews, R., Kiddon, C., Eichner, H., Beaufays, F., & Ramage, D. (2019). Federated Evaluation of On-device Personalization. *ArXiv:1910.10252*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1910.10252>
- Wang, L., & Yoon, K. J. (2021). Knowledge Distillation and Student-Teacher Learning for Visual Intelligence: A Review and New Outlooks. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 14(8). <https://doi.org/10.1109/TPAMI.2021.3055564>
- Wang, S., Tuor, T., Salonidis, T., Leung, K. K., Makaya, C., He, T., & Chan, K. (2019). Adaptive Federated Learning in Resource Constrained Edge Computing Systems. *IEEE Journal on Selected Areas in Communications*, 37(6), 1205–1221. <https://doi.org/10.1109/JSAC.2019.2904348>
- Wang, Z., Song, M., Zhang, Z., Song, Y., Wang, Q., & Qi, H. (2019). Beyond Inferring Class Representatives: User-Level Privacy Leakage from Federated Learning. *Proceedings - IEEE INFOCOM, 2019-April*, 2512–2520. <https://doi.org/10.1109/INFOCOM.2019.8737416>
- Weiss, K., Khoshgoftaar, T. M., & Wang, D. (2016). A survey of transfer learning. *Journal of Big Data*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40537-016-0043-6>
- Whitley, D. (1994). A genetic algorithm tutorial. *Statistics and Computing*, 4(2), 65–85. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00175354>
- Wu, J., Roy, J., & Stewart, W. F. (2010). Prediction modeling using EHR data: Challenges, strategies, and a comparison of machine learning approaches. *Medical Care*, 48(6 SUPPL.). <https://doi.org/10.1097/MLR.0b013e3181de9e17>

- Xu, J., Zhang, Y., Zhang, P., Mahmood, A., Li, Y., & Khatoon, S. (2017). Data Mining on ICU Mortality Prediction Using Early Temporal Data: A Survey. *International Journal of Information Technology and Decision Making* (Vol. 16). <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219622016300020>
- Xu, R., & Wunsch, D. (2005). Survey of clustering algorithms. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks*, 16(3), 645–678. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNN.2005.845141>
- Yang, H., He, H., Zhang, W., & Cao, X. (2021). FedSteg: A Federated Transfer Learning Framework for Secure Image Steganalysis. *IEEE Transactions on Network Science and Engineering*, 8(2), 1084–1094. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNSE.2020.2996612>
- Yang, M., Wang, X., Zhu, H., Wang, H., & Qian, H. (2021). Federated Learning with Class Imbalance Reduction. *2021 29th European Signal Processing Conference (EUSIPCO)*, (61671436), 2174–2178. <https://doi.org/10.23919/EUSIPCO54536.2021.9616052>
- Yang, Q., Liu, Y., Chen, T., & Tong, Y. (2019). Federated Machine Learning: Concept and Applications. *ACM Transactions on Intelligent Systems and Technology*, 10(2), 1–19. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3298981>
- Yao, Q., Wang, M., Chen, Y., Dai, W., Li, Y.-F., Tu, W.-W., ... Yu, Y. (2018). Taking Human out of Learning Applications: A Survey on Automated Machine Learning. *ArXiv:1810.13306v5*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/1810.13306>
- Yao, X. (1999). Evolving artificial neural networks. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 87(9), 1423–1447. <https://doi.org/10.1109/5.784219>
- Ye, C., Li, J., Hao, S., Liu, M., Jin, H., Zheng, L., ... Ling, X. B. (2020). Identification of elders at higher risk for fall with statewide electronic health records and a machine learning algorithm. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 137(December 2019). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2020.104105>
- Yu, T., Bagdasaryan, E., & Shmatikov, V. (2020). Salvaging Federated Learning by Local Adaptation. *ArXiv:2002.04758*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2002.04758>
- Zhao, Y., Li, M., Lai, L., Suda, N., Civin, D., & Chandra, V. (2018). Federated Learning with Non-IID Data. *ArXiv:1806.00582*. Retrieved from <https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.1806.00582>
- Zheng, T., Xie, W., Xu, L., He, X., Zhang, Y., You, M., ... Chen, Y. (2017). A machine learning-based framework to identify type 2 diabetes through electronic health records. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 97(1), 120–127. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijmedinf.2016.09.014>

Zhu, H., & Jin, Y. (2020). Multi-Objective Evolutionary Federated Learning. *IEEE Transactions on Neural Networks and Learning Systems*, 31(4), 1310–1322. <https://doi.org/10.1109/TNNLS.2019.2919699>

Zhu, H., Xu, J., Liu, S., & Jin, Y. (2021). Federated learning on non-IID data: A survey. *Neurocomputing*, 465, 371–390. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neucom.2021.07.098>

Zhu, Z., Hong, J., & Zhou, J. (2021). Data-Free Knowledge Distillation for Heterogeneous Federated Learning. *International Conference on Machine Learning*. Retrieved from <http://arxiv.org/abs/2105.10056>

