



Paper ID: ST151

# Words Matter: Evaluating Inclusive Language on Malaysian and Philippine Library Websites

Nur Azleen Hasan¹, Janice Peñaflor², Efren Torres, Jr.³
¹Universiti Putra Malaysia, Selangor, Malaysia
²'³De La Salle University, Manila, Philippines



#### Presentation outline:

#### 1. Inclusive Language on Library Sites

Focus on academic library websites in Malaysia and Philippines

#### 2. Strengths, Gaps, Patterns

Assessment of language use and inclusivity across domains

#### 3. Country Differences

Comparing Malaysian and Philippine website variations and causes







## **Definition**

Inclusive language, defined as "a language free from words, phrases, or tones that reflect prejudiced, stereotyped, or discriminatory views of particular groups"

(Government of British Columbia, n.d.)

### Introduction



The Growing Importance of Inclusive Language

#### **Inclusive Language on Library Sites**

- Academic library websites are dynamic spaces that reflect institutional values, including diversity, equity, and inclusion (DEI)
- Inclusive language is essential for creating welcoming online environments
- Language in digital environments is not neutral; words shape perceptions

- Libraries are adopting accessibility standards (i.e.: Web Content Accessibility Guidelines, Americans with Disabilities Act)
- Inclusive language in Southeast Asia (Malaysia and the Philippines) is under-examined.

## Methodology



Focus on academic library websites in Malaysia and Philippines

#### **Checklist & Website Selection**

- 32-item checklist adapted from Morgan-Daniel et al. (2024)
- Top 10 universities in Malaysia and the Philippines (Times Higher Education 2025)

#### **Data Collection & Analysis**

- Five-point scale rating system used
- Organized into nine inclusive language domains
- Pilot tested by independent reviewers





## **Overall Findings**

- Limited Adoption
  Malaysia scored 32.50% fully inclusive items
  Philippines scored 22.81%
- Critical Gaps Identified
   Gender diversity, pronoun usage, inclusive honorifics, invisible disabilities, and DEI communications.
- Accessibility Issues
   Philippines showed 3.13% inaccessibility
   Malaysia 0.94%

## **Strengths Across Inclusivity Domains**



#### **Educational and Workplace Inclusivity**

• Notable strength in creating inclusive environments

#### **Religion and Culture**

• Malaysia exhibits stronger inclusivity practices here

#### **Gender & Sexual Orientation**

Moderate to strong use of inclusive terminology

#### Race, Ethnicity & Language

Typography and transliteration practices are advancing

## Weaknesses in Inclusivity Domains



#### **Disabilities**

 Mental and physical disability inclusivity is weak, especially Philippines

#### **Other Domains**

Age, body size, citizenship and immigration status often excluded

## Strengths, Gaps, and Language Patterns



#### **Foundational Inclusivity**

- Use of gender-neutral terminology
- Avoidance of stereotypes
- Neutral policy language on sites
- Malaysia shows preferred name use and form responses
- Philippines focuses on avoiding jargon

#### **Significant Gaps**

- Limited sexual orientation listings
- Lack of gender-inclusive restrooms info
- Insufficient mental health language

## The Concept of "Silent Inclusivity"

- Privacy through absence
   Not all omissions indicate exclusion
- Risks
   Invisible groups may remain overlooked
- Respecting Privacy
   Avoiding unnecessary data collection as inclusivity
- Need for Balance
   Between silent and visible inclusivity



## Differences Between Malaysia and Philippine Library Websites



#### Malaysia

- Higher average inclusivity
- Stronger institutional coherence

#### Philliphine

- More variability in inclusivity
- Lower average scores
- Potential systemic barriers

### **Recommendations & Future Directions**



Adopt comprehensive DEI frameworks	
Institutionalize regular inclusive language audits	
Expand efforts to deeper intersectional areas	
Build staff capacity through DEI training	
Explore user perceptions	
Expand research into digital accessibility and inclusive language	



## **Conclusion**

This study reveals that while academic libraries in Malaysia and the Philippines have adopted basic inclusive language practices, critical intersectional aspects remain largely unaddressed. Differences in performance point to varying degrees of institutional coherence and DEI integration. To build genuinely inclusive digital environments, libraries must move beyond surface-level efforts and adopt comprehensive, regularly reviewed DEI frameworks that balance explicit inclusion with respectful neutrality.









## Thankyou