



**IMMUNOGENICITY AND EFFICACY OF INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE
VIRUS (IBDV) VACCINES AGAINST THE MALAYSIAN VARIANT IBDV IN
BROILER CHICKENS**

By

PANIZ ZARGHAMI DASTJERDI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the
Degree of Master of Science**

August 2024

IB 2024 2

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To my beloved parents Hamidreza and Saffieh, and my grandmother, Gohar
May God bless them with joy, peace, and happiness.



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in
fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**IMMUNOGENICITY AND EFFICACY OF INFECTIOUS BURSAL DISEASE
VIRUS (IBDV) VACCINES AGAINST THE MALAYSIAN VARIANT IBDV IN
BROILER CHICKENS**

By

PANIZ ZARGHAMI DASTJERDI

August 2024

Chairman : Professor Abdul Rahman bin Omar, PhD
Institute : Bioscience

Infectious bursal disease (IBD) (Gumboro disease) is a viral disease in young chickens that causes immunosuppression. It is caused by the infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV), a highly resistant non-enveloped RNA virus. Effective disease control and prevention strategies focus on farm biosecurity and vaccination. However, the emergence of novel variant IBDV (nvarIBDV) has challenged vaccine efficacy. An improved version of the herpesvirus of turkey (HVT) vector vaccine, HVT+IBD+ND, has been developed recently. However, the efficacy of IBDV vaccines has not been evaluated against the emerging Malaysian variant of IBDV in commercial broiler chickens. This study evaluated the immunogenicity and efficacy of live attenuated and viral vector vaccines against variant IBDV in chickens.

In the immunogenicity study, ELISA method was used to detect antibody titers. The HVT+IBD group had a higher mean antibody titer compared to the

HVT+IBD+ND group, as detected by the VP2 IBDV-specific ELISA ($p<0.05$) in the broiler chickens at 28 days old. Both vaccinated groups showed low bursal lesion scores. As expected, antibody titers were detectable by the VP2 IBDV-specific ELISA but not with the whole IBDV-specific ELISA. Real-time qPCR showed a significantly higher HVT load in the HVT+IBD group ($p<0.05$). Upon comparison with the IBD-BLEN, it seems that the IBD-BLEN vaccine generates a high mean antibody titer (1623.00 ± 2031.13 and 4775.00 ± 3418.77) as detected by whole IBDV and VP2 IBDV-specific ELISA, respectively, however, it is associated with a high bursal lesion score of 3.0 at 28-day-old chickens.

The efficacy of the HVT-based vaccine against the nvarIBDV strain UPM1432/2019 was evaluated. The HVT+IBD vaccine and HVT+IBD+ND vaccinated birds have seroconversion rates against IBD of 97% and 32.5%, respectively. However, both groups had bursal lesions following challenged with nvarIBDV. The HVT+IBD group had a higher mean antibody titer (7168 ± 3753.26), and less bursal damage at day 7 and 14 post-challenge compared to HVT+IBD+ND (1209.1 ± 1252.88) ($p<0.05$), indicating the HVT+IBD vaccine offers partial protection against nvarIBDV challenge. In addition, the HVT+IBD group had a statistically higher normalized HVT value in the bursa and spleen than the HVT+IBD+ND group ($p<0.05$). Although the HVT loads were higher for HVT+IBD ($p<0.05$), variant IBDV loads were similar between groups post-challenge ($p > 0.05$), indicating the vaccines could not induce virus clearance.

The immunosuppression study showed variant IBDV challenge could inhibit the antibody response after Newcastle disease (ND) vaccination in broiler chickens with a significant reduction at day 14 post-challenge (1493.0 ± 746.1) ($p < 0.05$) but not at day 7 ($p > 0.05$). In conclusion, the current HVT-based vaccines against IBD cannot provide complete protection against the Malaysian variant IBDV infection in commercial broiler chickens. In addition, infection with variant IBDV can suppress the production of antibodies following ND vaccination. Findings from this study recommend implementing new strategies, including the use of variant IBD vaccine in controlling variant IBDV and its immunosuppression effect in broiler chickens.

Keywords: Infectious Bursal Disease Virus, Gumboro disease, Broiler chicken, Vector Vaccine, Live attenuated vaccine

SDG: GOAL 3: Good Health and Well-Being

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**MENILAI VIRAL "VIRUS PENYAKIT BURSAL BERJANGKATAN
BERASASKAN VECTOR (IBDV) VAKSIN IMUNOGENISITI DAN
KEBERKESANAN TERHADAP IBDV VARIAN MALAYSIA DALAM AYAM
BROILER KOMERSIL**

Oleh

PANIZ ZARGHAMI DASTJERDI

Ogos 2024

Pengerusi : Profesor Abdul Rahman bin Omar, PhD
Institut : Biosains

Penyakit bursal berjangkit (IBD) (Penyakit Gumboro) adalah penyakit virus yang menjejaskan anak ayam dan menyebabkan pengimunotindasan. Penyakit ini disebabkan oleh virus penyakit bursal berjangkit (IBDV), sejenis virus RNA tidak bersampul yang sangat tahan, justeru, strategi kawalan dan pencegahan penyakit yang berkesan adalah berdasarkan biosekuriti ladang dan vaksinasi. Walau bagaimanapun, prestasi vaksin IBDV boleh terjejas disebabkan oleh kemunculan baru-baru ini varian antigenik baru IBDV. Baru-baru ini, versi vaksin vektor herpesvirus ayam belanda (HVT) yang lebih baik, HVT+IBD+ND, telah dibangunkan. Walau bagaimanapun, keberkesanan vaksin IBDV ini belum dinilai terhadap IBDV varian Malaysia dalam ayam pedaging komersial.

Dalam kajian imunogenisiti, keadah ELISA digunakan untuk mengesan titer antibodi. Kumpulan yang divaksin HVT+IBD mempunyai titer antibodi purata

yang lebih tinggi berbanding kumpulan HVT+IBD+ND seperti yang dikesan oleh ELISA khusus VP2 IBDV ($p < 0.05$) dalam ayam pedaging pada umur 28 hari. Kedua-dua kumpulan yang divaksin menunjukkan skor lesi bursal yang rendah. Seperti yang dijangkakan, titer antibodi hanya boleh dikesan menggunakan ELISA khusus VP2 IBDV tetapi tidak dengan ELISA keseluruhan khusus IBDV. Di samping itu, pengesanan qPCR masa nyata beban HVT adalah lebih tinggi dalam kumpulan HVT+IBD berbanding kumpulan HVT+IBD+ND ($p < 0.05$). Jika dibandingkan dengan vaksin hidup yang dilemahkan, nampaknya vaksin IBD-BLEN menghasilkan titer antibodi purata yang tinggi iaitu 1623.00 ± 2031.13 dan 4775.00 ± 3418.77 seperti yang dikesan oleh ELISA keseluruhan khusus IBDV dan ELISA khusus VP2 IBDV, masing-masing, bagaimanapun ia dikaitkan dengan skor lesi bursal yang tinggi iaitu 3.0 dalam ayam pedaging pada umur 28 hari.

Keberkesanan vaksin HVT+IBD dan HVT+IBD+ND terhadap nvarIBDV strain UPM1432/2019 telah dinilai. Vaksin HVT+IBD dan HVT+IBD+ND mempunyai kadar penukaran serum terhadap IBD, 97% dan 32.5%, masing-masing. Walau bagaimanapun, berikutan cabaran dengan IBDV varian, kedua-dua kumpulan yang diberi vaksin mengalami kerosakan bursa. Kumpulan HVT+IBD yang mempunyai purata titer antibodi yang tinggi (7168 ± 3753.26), diterjemahkan kepada kurang kerosakan bursa pada hari ke-7 dan 14 selepas cabaran (pc) berbanding HVT+IBD+ND, yang mempunyai titer antibodi purata yang rendah (1209.1 ± 1252.88) ($p < 0.05$), menunjukkan vaksin HVT+IBD menawarkan perlindungan separa terhadap cabaran IBDV varian. Di samping itu, kumpulan HVT+IBD mempunyai nilai HVT ternormal yang lebih tinggi

secara signifikan dalam bursa dan limpa berbanding kumpulan HVT+IBD+ND ($p < 0.05$). Walau bagaimanapun, beban HVT untuk HVT+IBD ($p < 0.05$) adalah tinggi, beban IBDV varian adalah sama di antara kumpulan selepas cabaran ($p > 0.05$), menunjukkan ketidakupayaan vaksin untuk mengaruh pembersihan virus.

Kajian pengimunotindasan mendedahkan bahawa cabaran IBDV varian boleh menindas tindak balas antibodi berikutan vaksinasi penyakit Newcastle (ND) dalam ayam daging, dengan pengurangan signifikan titer antibodi ND pada hari 14 pc (1493.0 ± 746.1) ($p < 0.05$) tetapi tidak pada hari 7 pc (2309.1 ± 1034.4) ($p > 0.05$) berbanding kumpulan ND yang tidak dicabar (2975.7 ± 189.5). Kesimpulannya, vaksin berasaskan HVT semasa terhadap IBD tidak dapat memberikan perlindungan sepenuhnya terhadap jangkitan IBDV varian Malaysia dalam ayam pedaging komersial. Di samping itu, jangkitan dengan IBDV varian boleh menyekat pengeluaran antibodi berikutan vaksinasi ND. Penemuan daripada kajian ini menyarankan penggunaan strategi baharu, termasuk penggunaan vaksin IBD varian dalam mengawal varian IBDV dan kesan pengimunotindasan dalam ayam pedaging.

Kata Kunci: Virus Penyakit Bursal Berjangkit, Penyakit Gumboro, Ayam pedaging, Vaksin Vektor, Vaksin hidup dilemahkan

SDG: MATLAMAT 3: Kesihatan yang Baik dan Sejahtera

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to my supervisor Prof. Dr. Abdul Rahman Omar. He gave me the opportunity to work under his supervision. I am deeply thankful for his guidance. He was a father to me in this way; he taught me to think scientifically and to be patient. This way would not be completed without his guidance and support. And I would also like to thank my supervisory committee members, Prof. Dato Dr Mohd Hair-Bejo and Associate Professor Dr. Nor Yasmin Abd Rahman, who made my journey enjoyable. Also, I would like to send prayers to my dear co-supervisor Dr. Nik Mohd Faiz Nik Mohd Azmi. May light shine upon him in heaven. May he rest in peace. Amen.

Special thanks to Dr. Tan Sheau Wei and her team at Abadiah Lab for endurance and guidance during the lab work. And the helpful and kind staff of the Laboratory of Vaccine and Biomolecules; are Puan Nancy, Dr. Yu Choo Yee, Puan Norhafiza, Puan Nadia, Puan Norhaszalina.

To my friends in Malaysia who made this journey sweet and unforgettable Dr. Sara and Dr. Xiaoning, thanks for all the great memories.

To my lovely family, I will be thankful to you for your help forever, and I will never forget all you did for me. I would like to thank you for the unconditional love you gave me.

To the closest people in my life Soroush, Koosha, and my friends in Iran for being there for me all the time. Thank you, Love you all.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Abdul Rahman bin Omar, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mohd Hair Bejo, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Nor Yasmin Abd Rahman, PhD

Assistant professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Nik Mohd Faiz Nik Mohd Azmi, PhD

Assistant Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 January 2025

TABLE OF CONTENTS

| | Page |
|---|-----------|
| ABSTRACT | i |
| ABSTRAK | iv |
| ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS | vii |
| APPROVAL | viii |
| DECLARATION | x |
| LIST OF TABLES | xvi |
| LIST OF FIGURES | xviii |
| LIST OF APPENDICES | xx |
| LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS | xxi |
| CHAPTER | |
| 1 INTRODUCTION | 1 |
| 1.1 Background of the Study | 1 |
| 1.2 Research Problems | 5 |
| 1.3 Research Hypothesis | 6 |
| 1.4 Research Objectives | 6 |
| 2 LITERATURE REVIEW | 8 |
| 2.1 Infectious Bursal Disease Virus | 8 |
| 2.1.1 IBDV Morphology and Chemical Composition | 8 |
| 2.1.2 IBDV Genome Organization | 9 |
| 2.1.3 IBDV Proteins | 10 |
| 2.1.4 Classification of IBDV | 13 |
| 2.2 Infectious Bursal Disease | 17 |
| 2.2.1 Epidemiology of IBD | 17 |
| 2.2.2 Hosts and Transmission | 18 |
| 2.2.3 Pathology | 19 |
| 2.2.4 Host Immune Response | 23 |
| 2.2.5 Immunosuppression | 25 |
| 2.2.6 Diagnosis of IBD | 28 |
| 2.3 Prevention and Control of IBD | 31 |
| 2.3.1 Biosecurity | 31 |
| 2.3.2 Immunization | 32 |
| 3 Materials and Methods | 35 |
| 3.1 Experiment 1: Immunogenicity Study of Different Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Vaccines in Commercial Broiler Chickens | 35 |
| 3.1.1 Chickens | 36 |
| 3.1.2 Vaccination | 36 |
| 3.1.3 Determination of Antibody Response | 37 |
| 3.1.4 Determination of Bursa and Spleen Ratios | 39 |
| 3.1.5 Measurement of the Bursa Score | 39 |

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 3.1.6 | Detection of HVT Value by Real-Time Quantitative PCR (qPCR) | 39 |
| 3.1.7 | Histopathology | 42 |
| 3.1.8 | Statistical Analysis | 42 |
| 3.2 | Experiment 2: Preparation of Variant IBDV Virus as Challenge Virus | 43 |
| 3.2.1 | Inoculation of Variant IBD into SPF Chickens | 43 |
| 3.2.2 | Preparation of Variant IBDV Bursal Homogenate | 44 |
| 3.2.3 | Propagation of Variant IBDV in Embryonated SPF Eggs | 45 |
| 3.2.4 | Harvesting of Chorioallantoic Membrane (CAM) | 45 |
| 3.2.5 | Determination of Median Embryo Infective Dose (EID ₅₀) | 46 |
| 3.2.6 | Determination of Bursa Ratios | 46 |
| 3.2.7 | Histopathology | 47 |
| 3.2.8 | Detection of the Variant IBDV by RT-qPCR | 47 |
| 3.2.9 | Preparation of Standard Curve for Real-Time qPCR | 48 |
| 3.2.10 | Statistical Analysis | 49 |
| 3.3 | Experiment 3: Evaluating the Efficacy of HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND Vaccines and the Immunosuppressive Effect of Variant IBDV Infection on Newcastle Disease Vaccination | 49 |
| 3.3.1 | Experimental Design | 49 |
| 3.3.2 | Chickens | 51 |
| 3.3.3 | Challenge Virus | 51 |
| 3.3.4 | Determination of Antibody Response Following Vaccination | 51 |
| 3.3.5 | Determination of Bursa and Spleen Ratios | 52 |
| 3.3.6 | Histopathology | 52 |
| 3.3.7 | Detection of HVT Value by qPCR | 52 |
| 3.3.8 | Detection of IBDV Viral Load by RT-qPCR | 52 |
| 3.3.9 | Statistical Analysis | 52 |
| 4 | RESULTS | 53 |
| 4.1 | Experiment 1: Immunogenicity Study of Different Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Vaccines in Commercial Broiler Chickens | 53 |
| 4.1.1 | Antibody Response against IBDV | 53 |
| 4.1.2 | Antibody Response against NDV | 55 |
| 4.1.3 | Bursal and Spleen Ratios on Day 28 | 56 |
| 4.1.4 | Histopathology Examination of Bursal and Spleen | 57 |
| 4.1.5 | Quantitation of HVT in the Lymphoid Organs | 59 |
| 4.2 | Experiment 2: Preparation of Variant IBDV Virus as Challenge Virus | 62 |

| | | |
|--------|---|----|
| 4.2.1 | Clinical Signs and Gross Lesion Examination | 62 |
| 4.2.2 | Bursal Ratio | 62 |
| 4.2.3 | Histopathology Examination of Bursa of Fabricius | 63 |
| 4.2.4 | Propagation and Titration of Variant IBDV in SPF Embryonated Eggs | 64 |
| 4.2.5 | qPCR Detection of Variant IBDV | 65 |
| 4.3 | Experiment 3, Part A: Evaluating the Efficacy of HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND Vaccines in Conferring Protection against Variant IBDV Infection in Commercial Broiler Chickens | 65 |
| 4.3.1 | Antibody response in commercial chickens on day 28 of age | 66 |
| 4.3.2 | Bursal and Spleen Weights and Ratios of Broiler Chickens before Variant IBD Challenge | 67 |
| 4.3.3 | Bursal and Spleen Weights and Ratios of Broiler Chickens at 7 Days Post-Challenge with Variant IBD | 68 |
| 4.3.4 | Bursal and Spleen Weights and Ratios of Broiler Chickens at 14 Days Post-Challenge with Variant IBD | 69 |
| 4.3.5 | Histopathology Examination of Bursa and Spleen | 71 |
| 4.3.6 | Histopathology Examination of Bursal Day 28 | 71 |
| 4.3.7 | Histopathology Examination of Bursal on Day 35 (7 dpc) | 72 |
| 4.3.8 | Histopathology Examination of Bursal on Day 42 (14 dpc) | 73 |
| 4.3.9 | qPCR Detection of HVT in the Spleen | 75 |
| 4.3.10 | qPCR Examination of the Normalized Quantity of the HVT in the Bursa | 76 |
| 4.3.11 | Detection of Variant IBD Viral Copy Number in Bursa | 77 |
| 4.4 | Experiment 3, Part B - Immunosuppressive Effect of Malaysian Variant IBDV on Newcastle Disease Vaccination | 78 |
| 4.4.1 | ND Antibody Response | 78 |
| 4.4.2 | Bursal and SPLEEN WEIGHTS and Ratios of Broiler Chickens at 7 Days Post-Challenge with Variant IBD | 79 |
| 4.4.3 | Bursal and Spleen Weights and Ratios of Broiler Chickens at 14 Days Post-Challenge with Variant IBD | 80 |
| 5 | DISCUSSION | 82 |

| | | |
|----------|---|------------|
| 6 | GENERAL DISCUSSION, CONCLUSION, AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATIONS | 94 |
| | REFERENCES | 100 |
| | APPENDICES | 118 |
| | BIODATA OF STUDENT | 134 |
| | PUBLICATION | 135 |



LIST OF TABLES

| Table | Page |
|--|------|
| 2.1 Genogroup classification of IBDV based on world distribution | 15 |
| 2.2 Key amino acid changes at VP2 gene of IBDV in different genogroups, Position of aa in the VP2 HVR of IBDV. Adapted from Ali Khan et al. (2019) | 15 |
| 2.3 New genotype classification corresponding to the traditional phenotypes of IBDV (Wang et al., 2021) | 16 |
| 3.1 Primers and probes designed for the detection and quantification of HVT | 40 |
| 3.2 Primers and probes designed for the detection and quantification of variant IBDV strains | 47 |
| 4.1 Mean ELISA antibody titer against IBD at 28-day-old chickens following vaccination. Cut-off IDEXX IBD: 396, Cut-off of IDvet IBD: 1324 | 55 |
| 4.2 Mean ELISA antibody titer against ND at 28-day-old chickens following vaccination. Cut off of IDEXX ND: 396, Cut off of IDvet ND: 993 | 56 |
| 4.3 Body weight, bursal, and spleen ratios of broiler chickens following vaccination with IBDV vaccines | 57 |
| 4.4 qPCR examination of HVT value in the bursa and spleen | 60 |
| 4.5 Body weight, bursal score, bursal ratio of SPF chickens after infected with Malaysian variant IBDV of their different passage | 63 |
| 4.6 Seroconversion against IBD in commercial broiler chickens on day 28 of age following vaccination with HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND vaccines | 67 |
| 4.7 Seroconversion against ND in commercial broiler chickens on day 28 of age following vaccination with HVT+IBD+ND vaccine | 67 |
| 4.8 Mean bursal lesion score and bursal and spleen ratios of broiler chickens on day 28 of age before variant IBD challenge | 68 |
| 4.9 Mean bursal lesion score and bursal and spleen ratios on day 35, 7 days post-challenge with variant IBD | 69 |
| 4.10 Mean bursal lesion score and bursal and spleen ratios on day 42, 14 days post-challenge | 70 |

| | | |
|------|--|----|
| 4.11 | qPCR examination of the normalized quantity of the HVT in the spleen | 76 |
| 4.12 | qPCR examination of the normalized quantity of the HVT in the spleen | 77 |
| 4.13 | Mean bursal viral loads at days 28, 35, 42 days old chick | 78 |
| 4.14 | Mean bursal and spleen lesions of ND vaccinated broiler chickens at 7 days post-challenge with variant IBDV | 80 |
| 4.15 | Mean bursal and spleen lesions of ND-vaccinated broiler chickens at 14 days post-challenge with variant IBDV | 81 |



LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure | Page |
|---|------|
| 2.1 IBDV morphology and structure: IBDV is a non-enveloped virus; viral proteins VP2 and VP3 constitute the outer and inner surfaces respectively. The virus's core is formed by a viral ribonucleoprotein (vRNP), encapsidated with VP3 (Dey et al, 2019) | 9 |
| 2.2 Double-stranded RNA belongs to the genus of Avibirnavirus (Qin & Zheng, 2017) | 11 |
| 2.3 Pathogenesis of IBD in chicken (own work) | 22 |
| 2.4 Mechanisms of IBDV-induced immunosuppression | 27 |
| 3.1 Experimental design | 49 |
| 4.1 Histopathology of 28-day broiler chickens .In the negative control group (a, bursa, and b, spleen), both organs were normal without lesions. In the HVT+IBD group (c, bursa, and d, spleen) the bursa and the spleen were also normal (HE, Bar = 100µm) | 58 |
| 4.2 Histopathology of 28-day broiler chickens, HVT+IBD+ND vaccine (e, bursa, and f, spleen), the bursa has mild degeneration. The spleen was normal. The IBD-BLEN vaccine (g, bursa and, h, spleen) degeneration of bursa, hemorrhage (arrow) connective tissue thickening (star), and spleen had increased zone of white pulps (triangle) (HE, Bar= 100µm) | 59 |
| 4.3 Standard curve detection of of ORF1 gene of HVT | 61 |
| 4.4 Standard curve detection chicken α2 (VI) collagen gene | 61 |
| 4.5 Histopathology of the bursa after third passage of the IBDV, a negative control group, b severe depletion of the bursa (star) and necrotic cells and cyst (arrow) (HE, Bar = 100µm) | 64 |
| 4.6 qPCR detection standard curve of variant IBDV strain UPM1432/2019 as challenge virus | 65 |
| 4.7 Bursa lesion score, days 28 (prior to challenge) and days 35, 42 (7, 14 dpc) of control and vaccine groups | 71 |
| 4.8 Histopathology of 35-day broiler chickens. In The negative control group (a, bursa, and b, spleen), both organs were normal without lesions. In the HVT+IBD group (c, bursa, and d, spleen) the bursa had signs of follicle depletion (arrow) and the spleen was normal, (HE, Bar= 100µm) | 72 |

- 4.9 Histopathology of 35-day broiler chickens. HVT+IBD+ND vaccine (e, bursa, and f, spleen), the bursa has focal degeneration in the bursa (arrow), Vacuolization (star). Spleen was normal. Positive control (g, bursa and, h, spleen) Follicle degeneration of bursa (arrow), spleen had increased zone of white pulps (triangle) HE, (Bar= 100 μ m) 73
- 4.10 Histopathology examination of 42-days broiler chickens of negative control and HVT+IBD groups). In The negative control group (a, bursa, and b, spleen), both organs were normal without lesions. In the HVT+IBD group (c, bursa, and d, spleen) the bursa had signs of follicle depletion (star), hemorrhage (triangle) and cytolysis (arrow) and the spleen was normal (HE, Bar= 100 μ m) 74
- 4.11 Histopathology examination of 42-day old broiler chickens from negative control and HVT+IBD+ND groups HVT+IBD+ND vaccine (e, bursa, and f, spleen), the bursa has focal degeneration in the bursa (triangle), Vacuolization (arrow) (HE, Bar= 100 μ m) 75
- 4.12 Antibody responses against ND following variant IBD challenged in broiler chickens 79

LIST OF APPENDICES

| Appendix | Page |
|---|------|
| A Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under AUP number: UPM/IACUC/AUP-R034/2021 | 118 |
| B Extension of UPM/IACUC/AUP-R034/2021 | 119 |
| C Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC) under AUP number: UPM/IACUC/AUP-R072/2022 | 120 |
| D The Deventer formula based on the titer of the DOC showed that day 12 is the proper day for vaccination | 121 |
| E Lesion scoring of the bursa of Fabricius | 122 |
| F Preparation of tissue and fixation | 123 |
| G Purity and the concentration of the samples used for RT-PCR (qPCR) in experiment 1 for HVT+IBD vaccine | 126 |
| H Purity and the concentration of the samples used for RT-PCR (qPCR) in experiment 1 for HVT+IBD+ND | 126 |
| I Median Embryo Infectious Dose (EID50) of Variant strain, UPM1432/2019 | 127 |
| J Concentration and purity of the samples used for qPCR at days 28 | 128 |
| K Concentration and purity of the bursa samples used for qPCR at days 35 | 129 |
| L Concentration and purity of the bursa samples used for qPCR at days 42 | 130 |
| M Concentration and purity of the spleen samples used for qPCR at days 28 | 131 |
| N Concentration and purity of the spleen samples used for qPCR at days 35 | 132 |
| O Concentration and purity of the spleen samples used for qPCR at days 42 | 133 |

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

| | |
|--------|---|
| aa | Amino acid |
| ANOVA | Analysis of variance |
| APC | Antigen presenting cell |
| B | Base |
| BBW | Bursal to body weight ratio |
| BF | Bursa of Fabricius |
| BLAST | Basic local alignment search tools |
| BLS | Bursal lesion score |
| bp | Base pair |
| CAM | Chorioallantoic membrane |
| ChIL | Chicken interleukin |
| CMI | Cell-mediated immunity |
| Cq | Quantification cycle |
| CTL | Cytotoxic T cell |
| cvIBDV | Classical virulent infectious bursal disease virus |
| dIBDV | Distinct infectious bursal disease virus |
| DIVA | Differentiation of infected versus vaccinated animals |
| DNA | Deoxyribonucleic acid |
| dpc | Days post challenge |
| dpi | Days post infection |
| dpv | Days post vaccination |
| dsRNA | Double-stranded RNA |
| E | Efficiency |
| EDTA | Ethylene-diamine-tetraacetic-acid |
| EID50 | Median egg infectious dose |

| | |
|----------------|---|
| ELISA | Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay |
| HVR | Hypervariable region |
| HVT | Herpesvirus of turkey |
| IBD | Infectious bursal disease |
| IBDV | Infectious bursal disease virus |
| Icx | Immune complex |
| Ig | Immunoglobulin |
| IgM | Immunoglobulin M |
| IL | Interleukin |
| iNOS | Inducible nitric oxide synthetase |
| LAMP | Loop-mediated isothermal amplification |
| Mab | Monoclonal antibody |
| MDA | Maternally derived antibody |
| MHC | Major histocompatibility complex |
| mL | Millilitre |
| mRNA | Messenger ribonucleic acid |
| NDV | Newcastle disease virus |
| NF- κ B | Nuclear factor kappa enhancer binding protein |
| ng/ μ L | Nanogram per microlitre |
| NGS | Next-generation sequencing |
| NK | Natural killer |
| $^{\circ}$ C | Degree Celsius |
| OIE | Office International des Epizooties |
| ORF | Open reading frame |
| PP | Polyprotein |
| R ² | Coefficient of correlation |

| | |
|---------|--|
| RdRp | RNA-dependent RNA polymerase |
| RT | Reverse-transcription |
| RT-PCR | Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction |
| RT-qPCR | Quantitative reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction |
| SBW | Spleen to body weight ratio |
| SPF | Specific-pathogen-free |
| Th1 | T helper cell 1 |
| Th2 | T helper cell 2 |
| TLR | Toll-like receptor |
| Tm | Melting temperature |
| UPM | Universiti Putra Malaysia |
| UTR | Untranslated region |
| v/v | Volume by volume |
| valBDV | Variant infectious bursal disease virus |
| VDAC2 | Voltage-dependent anion channel 2 |
| VN | Virus neutralization |
| VP | Viral protein |
| VRI | Veterinary Research Institute |
| vRNA | Viral RNA |
| vvIBDV | Very virulent infectious bursal disease virus |
| WOAH | World Organisation for Animal Health |
| w/v | Weight per volume |
| % | Percent |
| µg | Microgram |
| µL | Microlitre |
| α | Alpha |

β

Beta

γ

Gamma



CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

A recent study indicated that poultry production in Asia contributed 40% of global meat production in 2023 (Day, 2023; Mahanty et al., 2023), providing sufficient and healthy numbers of eggs and chickens for the growing world population. A recent survey indicated each Malaysian consumed 48.32kg of chicken in a year (Statica, 2023), emphasizing the importance of the poultry industry in this region. However, the poultry industry faces numerous challenges, such as increases in feed prices, animal welfare regulation, and the increasing cost of disease prevention and farm management (Shaban & Alabboodi, 2019).

Pathogenic viruses have always posed a huge risk to poultry farms, as they can cause death or indirectly cause secondary infections, thus increasing the costs of production. Infectious bursal disease (IBD) (Gumboro disease) is one of these acute viral diseases affecting young chickens caused by infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV), a single-shelled, non-enveloped, double-stranded (ds) RNA virus from the family Birnaviridae of the genus Avibirnavirus (Hudson et al., 1986). IBDV has a predilection for the cells of the bursa of Fabricius where the virus infects actively dividing and differentiating lymphocytes of the B-cell lineage (Nagarajan & Kibenge, 1997)

The first outbreak of IBD was reported in commercial chicken flocks in Delaware, USA (Cosgrove, 1962). The IBDV strains, which were isolated during this outbreak, are now known as classical serotype I isolates. Based on antigenic variation and virulence, IBDV can be divided into several groups: classical virulent, attenuated strains consisting of primarily vaccine strains, antigenic variants, and very virulent (vv) strains (Cao et al., 1998). The disease can cause significant economic losses due to immunosuppression and high mortality rates even up to 90% in susceptible chickens infected with a vv strain of IBDV. The antigenic variants of IBDV isolates were first detected in the USA in the 1980s (Marel et al., 1990). This variant strain emerged from flocks with selection pressure of field vaccination against classical IBDV serotype I, with changes occurring at the hypervariable region of the VP2 gene responsible for inducing virus-neutralizing antibodies (Wang et al., 2019).

IBDV was first reported in Malaysia in 1991 (Hair-Bejo et al., 1991) and was associated with vvIBDV. Likewise, vvIBDV has been detected in many Asian countries and has remained the leading IBD in commercial poultry flocks (Dey et al., 2019a). However, several Asian countries recently detected the emergence of a novel variant IBDV (Fan et al., 2019; Y. Huang et al., 2023; Lian et al., 2021; Thai et al., 2021; Jiang, et al., 2021; Yamazaki et al., 2017). Sequence analysis showed the Asian variant IBDV is grouped with the variant IBDV from the USA in genogroup 2, while the vvIBDV and the classical IBDV have been grouped under genogroup 3 and 1, respectively (Michel & Jackwood, 2017).

Aliyu et al. first reported the detection of a novel variant in Malaysia in commercial broiler flocks vaccinated against IBD with the classical IBDV vaccine. The Malaysian variant IBDV is highly genetically comparable to the novel Chinese variants, and they have high similarity (Aliyu et al., 2021). Subsequent studies indicated novel variant IBDV can cause bursal atrophy and immunosuppression causing vaccine failures and increasing the risk of secondary infections (Fan, Wu, et al., 2020; Y. Huang et al., 2023; Lian et al., 2021a).

Diagnosis of IBD is based on post-mortem examination and isolation and identification of the virus based on embryonated chicken egg inoculation and PCR detection of the virus (Barlič-Maganja et al., 2002). Besides detecting the virus antigen, serology assays, namely agar gel precipitin (AGP), enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA), and viral neutralization test (Dey et al., 2019a) can be used to detect the virus and to measure the antibody titer for profiling following vaccination. Presently, ELISA has been widely used to measure the antibody titer following IBD vaccination in commercial poultry flocks (Marquardt et al., 1980). In ELISA, the detection of specific antibodies to IBDV uses the whole viral particle or partial VP2 protein as the antigen. (Prandini et al., 2016; Sedeik et al., 2019).

Control and prevention of IBD rely on strict farm biosecurity and vaccination since the virus is highly resistant and can persist in the environment for up to 122 days (Barzon et al., 2013). The use of appropriate vaccine types and combinations can induce effective protection (Müller et al., 2012). Different

types of vaccines have been developed since the 1980s against the vvIBDV and, essentially, against the antigenic variants (Mundt et al., 2003). The live attenuated vaccines are the most common vaccines, and are mostly developed from classical virulent strains and may display low efficiency due to interference of MDA and the emergence of variant IBDV (Jackwood & Saif, 1987) and vvIBDV (Chettle et al., 1989).

Intermediate and intermediate plus vaccines have better efficacies and are effective in controlling vvIBDV but can cause moderate to severe bursal damage (Camilotti et al., 2016; Rautenschlein et al., 2002; Sedeik et al., 2019). The other type of vaccine is the killed IBD vaccine, water-in-oil emulsion preparations with antigens. Most breeder flocks get these vaccines to pass the immunity to the offspring (Liu et al., 2018). Besides conventional IBD vaccines, immune complex and recombinant vaccines namely viral vector vaccine using serotype 3 of Marek's disease virus (MDV), the herpesvirus of turkey (HVT) have been used to control IBD in commercial poultry flocks (Alkie & Rautenschlein, 2016). The HVT-based IBD vaccines induce protection against two or more of the different diseases depending on the vaccine constructs expressing the immunogenic proteins such as avian influenza virus (Li et al., 2011), NDV (Reddy et al., 1996), and IBDV (Tsukamoto et al., 2002). Presently, the HVT-based IBD vaccine technology can provide protection against MDV as well as, other diseases such as avian influenza virus, Newcastle disease, and IBD where the vaccine constructs expressed the hemagglutination (HA) gene, fusion (F) gene, and VP2 gene, respectively (Li et al., 2011, Reddy et al., 1996, (Tsukamoto et al., 2002, Criado et al., 2023).

Recently, a new generation HVT-based IBD vaccine, that expressed the classical VP2 of IBDV, Faragher 52/70 strain, and the F gene of genotype VII NDV has been developed (Boehringer Ingelheim, 2024). The efficacy of this new generation HVT vaccine has not been fully evaluated in commercial chickens.

1.2 Research Problems

Infectious bursal disease virus causes significant immunosuppression effects to the chicken, the main approach to control the disease is vaccination. However, still, vaccination programs are affected by different issues, with the emergence of antigenic variants due to genetic mutations, recombination, and reassortments that may influence vaccine efficacy (Jackwood et al., 2011, Müller et al., 2012). Recently, a novel variant of IBDV has been detected in IBD-vaccinated poultry flocks in Malaysia. Although the virus was isolated from an apparently health flock, the performance of the birds was affected and the birds were showing secondary infection, proposing that IBD vaccination unable to provide complete protection against the variant IBDV (Aliyu et al., 2021; Wang, Jiang, et al., 2021; Yang et al., 2021).

It is crucial to investigate the pathogenicity and immunosuppression of the newly detected variant of IBDV in commercial broiler chicks. Additionally, it is important to determine the effectiveness of currently available commercial vaccines against this variant. Two different types of commercial HVT-based vaccines against this variant. Two different types of commercial HVT-based vaccines are being studied in this study, the HVT+IBD with the classical Faragher 52/70 and the newly developed HVT+IBD+ND containing classical

Faragher 52/70 and the F gene from genotype VII NDV. The HVT+IBD+ND is a new vaccine that expresses the F gene of genotype VII NDV, which makes this research even more important. Hence, the study aims to evaluate the immunogenicity and efficacy of commercial IBDV against the Malaysian variant IBDV and to assess the immunosuppressive effects of the variant IBDV in commercial broiler chickens. This study will provide valuable information on effective control and prevention strategies against variant IBD in commercial poultry flocks.

1.3 Research Hypothesis

Vaccination with two commercial HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND vaccines in commercial broiler chickens induces comparably similar antibody titers against IBD as detected by ELISA.

Vaccination with the commercial HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND vaccines in commercial broiler chickens induce comparable similar antibody titer against IBD and mild bursal lesions, compared to live attenuated IBDV vaccine.

Infection with the Malaysian variant IBDV inhibits the production of antibody titer following ND vaccination in broiler chickens.

1.4 Research Objectives

1. To determine the antibody responses based on ELISA and bursal lesion score following vaccination with commercial HVT+IBD, HVT+IBD+ND, and IBD BLEN vaccines in broiler chickens.

2. To reactivate, propagate, and titrate the Malaysian variant (UPM1432-2019) IBDV as a challenge virus in SPF chickens.
3. To determine the efficacy of commercial HVT+IBD and HVT+IBD+ND vaccines in conferring protection against Malaysian variant (UPM1432-2019) IBDV infection in broiler chickens.
4. To evaluate the immune suppression of Malaysian variant IBDV on ND vaccination in broiler chickens.



REFERENCES

- Alhajj, M., Zubair, M., & Farhana, A. (2023). Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay. In *StatPearls [Internet]*. StatPearls Publishing. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK555922/>
- Ali Khan, R. S., Habib, M., Ali, W., Salah Ud Din Shah, M., Ashraf, A., Ali Tahir, Z., Helal, Z. H., Khan, M. I., Mahboob, S., A-Al-Ghanim, K., & Al-Misned, F. (2019). Phylogenetic analysis of infectious bursal disease viruses according to newly proposed model of classification into genogroups. *Journal of Infection and Public Health*, 12(3), 410–418. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jiph.2018.12.012>
- Aliyu, H. B., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Ideris, A. (2021). Genetic diversity of recent infectious bursal disease viruses isolated from vaccinated poultry flocks in Malaysia. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2021.643976>
- Aliyu, H. B., Hamisu, T. M., Hair Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Ideris, A. (2022). Comparative pathogenicity of Malaysian variant and very virulent infectious bursal disease viruses in chickens. *Avian Pathology*, 51(1), 76–86. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2021.2006604>
- Alkie, T. N., & Rautenschlein, S. (2016). Infectious bursal disease virus in poultry: Current status and future prospects. *Veterinary Medicine (Auckland, N.Z.)*, 7, 9–18. <https://doi.org/10.2147/VMRR.S68905>
- Allan, W. H., Faragher, J. T., & Cullen, G. A. (1972). Immunosuppression by the infectious bursal agent in chickens immunised against Newcastle disease. *The Veterinary Record*, 90(18), 511–512. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.90.18.511>
- Amer, M. M., El-Bayomi, K. M., Abdel-Ghany, W. A., Kotkat, M. A., S. Abdel – Gaied, S., & Shakal, M. A. (2008). The efficacy of live infectious bursal disease vaccines in commercial 10 days old chicks. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Research*, 18(1), 23–33. <https://doi.org/10.21608/jvmr.2008.77839>
- Asfor, A. S., Reddy, V. R. A. P., Nazki, S., Urbaniec, J., Brodrick, A. J., & Broadbent, A. J. (2022). Modeling infectious bursal disease virus (ibdv) antigenic drift in vitro. *Viruses*, 15(1), 130. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v15010130>
- Baigent, S. J., Smith, L. P., Currie, R. J. W., & Nair, V. K. (2005). Replication kinetics of Marek's disease vaccine virus in feathers and lymphoid tissues using PCR and virus isolation. *Journal of General Virology*, 86(11), 2989–2998. <https://doi.org/10.1099/vir.0.81299-0>
- Barlič-Maganja, D., Zorman-Rojs, O., & Grom, J. (2002). Detection of infectious bursal disease virus in different lymphoid organs by single-

- step reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction and microplate hybridization assay. *Journal of Veterinary Diagnostic Investigation*, 14(3), 243–246. <https://doi.org/10.1177/104063870201400310>
- Baxendale, W., Luttkick, D., Hein, R., & McPherson, I. (1980). The results of field trials conducted with an inactivated vaccine against the egg drop syndrome 76 (EDS 76). *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A*, 9(1), 77–91. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079458008418388>
- Bayliss, C. D., Spies, U., Shaw, K., Peters, R. W., Papageorgiou, A., Müller, H., & Boursnell, M. E. G. (1990). A comparison of the sequences of segment A of four infectious bursal disease virus strains and identification of a variable region in VP2. *Journal of General Virology*, 71(6), 1303–1312. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-71-6-1303>
- Benton, W. J., Cover, M. S., Rosenberger, J. K., & Lake, R. S. (1967). Physicochemical properties of the infectious bursal agent (IBA). *Avian Diseases*, 11(3), 438–445.
- Besseboua, O., Ayad, A., & Benbarek, H. (2015). Determination of the optimal time of vaccination against infectious bursal disease virus (Gumboro) in Algeria. *The Onderstepoort Journal of Veterinary Research*, 82(1), 887. <https://doi.org/10.4102/ojvr.v82i1.887>
- Birghan, C., Mundt, E., & Gorbalenya, A. E. (2000). A non-canonical ion proteinase lacking the ATPase domain employs the ser-Lys catalytic dyad to exercise broad control over the life cycle of a double-stranded RNA virus. *The EMBO Journal*, 19(1), 114–123. <https://doi.org/10.1093/emboj/19.1.114>
- Birnaviridae* (taxid:10993). (n.d.). ViralZone Is Operated by the Swiss-Prot Group of the SIB Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics. Retrieved November 26, 2023, from <https://viralzone.expasy.org/162>
- Boehringer-Ingelheim. (2024). *Product—Vaxxitek ND*. Product - Vaxxitek ND | Vaxxitek. <https://vaxxitek.com/products/vaxxitek-nd>
- Brandt, M., Yao, K., Liu, M., Heckert, R. A., & Vakharia, V. N. (2001). Molecular determinants of virulence, cell tropism, and pathogenic phenotype of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 75(24), 11974–11982. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.75.24.11974-11982.2001>
- Camilotti, E., Moraes, L. B., Furian, T. Q., Borges, K. A., Moraes, H. L. S., & Salle, C. T. P. (2016). Infectious bursal disease: pathogenicity and immunogenicity of vaccines. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 18, 303–308. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2015-0148>
- Cao, Y. C., Yeung, W. S., Law, M., Bi, Y. Z., Leung, F. C., & Lim, B. L. (1998). Molecular characterization of seven Chinese isolates of infectious bursal disease virus: Classical, very virulent, and variant strains. *Avian Diseases*, 42(2), 340–351.

- Cao, Y.C., Shi, Q.C., Ma, J.Y., Xie, Q.M., & Bi, Y.Z. (2005). Vaccination against very virulent infectious bursal disease virus using recombinant T4 bacteriophage displaying viral protein VP2. *Acta Biochimica Et Biophysica Sinica*, 37(10), 657–664. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-7270.2005.00101.x>
- Castón, J. R., Martínez-Torrecuadrada, J. L., Maraver, A., Lombardo, E., Rodríguez, J. F., Casal, J. I., & Carrascosa, J. L. (2001). C Terminus of Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Major Capsid Protein VP2 Is Involved in Definition of the T Number for Capsid Assembly. *Journal of Virology*, 75(22), 10815–10828. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.75.22.10815-10828.2001>
- Cazaban, C., Rmw, R., Swart, W., Jjd, W., Palya, V., & Gardin, Y. (2018). Field assessment of an immune-complex infectious bursal disease vaccine in chicks born to non-hyperimmunized broiler breeders. *Journal of Veterinary Science and Animal Husbandry*, 6. <https://doi.org/10.15744/2348-9790.6.302>
- Chang, P., Ameen, F., Sealy, J. E., Sadeyen, J.-R., Bhat, S., Li, Y., & Iqbal, M. (2019). Application of HDR-CRISPR/Cas9 and erythrocyte binding for rapid generation of recombinant turkey herpesvirus-vectored avian influenza virus vaccines. *Vaccines*, 7(4), Article 4. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines7040192>
- Chettle, N., Stuart, N., & Pj, W. (1989). Outbreak of virulent infectious bursal disease in East Anglia. *The Veterinary Record*, 125(10). <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.125.10.271>
- Cheville, N. F. (1967). Studies on the pathogenesis of Gumboro disease in the bursa of Fabricius, spleen, and thymus of the chicken. *The American Journal of Pathology*, 51(4), 527–551.
- Cosgrove, A. S. (1962). An apparently new disease of chickens: Avian Nephrosis. *Avian Diseases*, 6(3), 385. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1587909>
- Criado, M. F., Kassa, A., Bertran, K., Kwon, J.-H., Sá e Silva, M., Killmaster, L., Ross, T. M., Mebatsion, T., & Swayne, D. E. (2023). Efficacy of multivalent recombinant herpesvirus of turkey vaccines against high pathogenicity avian influenza, infectious bursal disease, and Newcastle disease viruses. *Vaccine*, 41(18), 2893–2904. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2023.03.055>
- Damairia, B. A., Putri, K., & Wibowo, M. H. (2023). Examination of macroscopic and microscopic lesions in IBDV-infected organs and molecular characterization of IBDV VP1 gene fragments obtained from commercial broiler farms in Indonesia. *Veterinary World*, 1061–1070. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2023.1061-1070>
- Daral J. Jackwood, Sommer-Wagner, S. E., Crossley, B. M., Stoute, S. T., Woolcock, P. R., & Charlton, B. R. (2011). Identification and

pathogenicity of a natural reassortant between a very virulent serotype 1 infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and a serotype 2 IBDV. *Virology*, 420(2), 98–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2011.08.023>

Darteil, R., Bublot, M., Laplace, E., Bouquet, J. F., Audonnet, J. C., & Rivière, M. (1995). Herpesvirus of turkey recombinant viruses expressing infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) VP2 immunogen induce protection against an IBDV virulent challenge in chickens. *Virology*, 211(2), 481–490. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1995.1430>

Day, J. (2023, June 7). Global Chicken Market Report 2023: Rising Consumption of Poultry Worldwide to Boost Growth. *Poultry Producer*. <https://www.poultryproducer.com/global-chicken-market-report-2023-rising-consumption-of-poultry-worldwide-to-boost-growth/>

De Wit, J. J. (1998). De Wit, J.J. (1998). Gumboro disease: Estimation of optimal time of vaccination by the Deventer formula. *Polish Veterinary Journal*, 3, 1922. *Polish Veterinary Journal*, 3.

Dey, S., Pathak, D. C., Ramamurthy, N., Maity, H. K., & Chellappa, M. M. (2019a). Infectious bursal disease virus in chickens: Prevalence, impact, and management strategies. *Veterinary Medicine : Research and Reports*, 10, 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.2147/VMRR.S185159>

Dey, S., Pathak, D. C., Ramamurthy, N., Maity, H. K., & Chellappa, M. M. (2019b). Infectious bursal disease virus in chickens: Prevalence, impact, and management strategies. *Veterinary Medicine : Research and Reports*, 10, 85–97. <https://doi.org/10.2147/VMRR.S185159>

Digby, M. R., & Lowenthal, J. W. (1995). Cloning and expression of the chicken interferon- γ gene. *Journal of Interferon & Cytokine Research*, 15(11), 939–945. <https://doi.org/10.1089/jir.1995.15.939>

Dobos, P. (1979). Peptide map comparison of the proteins of infectious bursal disease virus. *Journal of Virology*, 32(3), 1047–1050. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.32.3.1047-1050.1979>

Dohms, J. E., & Saif, Y. M. (1984). Criteria for evaluating immunosuppression. *Avian Diseases*, 28(2), 305–310.

Ebrahimi, M. M., Yousefi, A. R., Shahsavandi, Sh., Zaghari, M., & Bassami, M. R. (2020). Comparison of the immunogenicity of four infectious bursal disease intermediate vaccines in commercial broiler flocks in iran: a field trial study. *Archives of Razi Institute*, 75(2), 205–212. <https://doi.org/10.22092/ARI.2019.124890.1292>

Eldaghayes, I., Rothwell, L., Williams, A., Withers, D., Balu, S., Davison, F., & Kaiser, P. (2006). Infectious bursal disease virus: strains that differ in virulence differentially modulate the innate immune response to infection in the chicken bursa. *Viral Immunology*, 19(1), 83–91. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vim.2006.19.83>

- Etteradossi, N., & Saif, Y. M. (2020). Infectious bursal disease. *Diseases of Poultry* (pp. 257–283). John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119371199.ch7>
- Fabio, J., Li, R., N, E., Md, T., & Y, G. (1999). European-like pathogenic infectious bursal disease viruses in Brazil. *The Veterinary Record*, 145(7). <https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/10501589/>
- Fadly, A. M., & Nazerian, K. (1983). Pathogenesis of infectious bursal disease in chickens infected with virus at various ages. *Avian Diseases*, 27(3), 714–723.
- Fahey, K. J., O'Donnell, I. J., & Bagust, T. J. (1985). Antibody to the 32K structural protein of infectious bursal disease virus neutralizes viral infectivity in vitro and confers protection on young chickens. *The Journal of General Virology*, 66 (Pt 12), 2693–2702. <https://doi.org/10.1099/0022-1317-66-12-2693>
- Fan, L., Wang, Y., Jiang, N., Chen, M., Gao, L., Li, K., Gao, Y., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2020). Novel variant infectious bursal disease virus suppresses Newcastle disease vaccination in broiler and layer chickens. *Poultry Science*, 99(12), 6542–6548. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.09.037>
- Fan, L., Wu, T., Hussain, A., Gao, Y., Zeng, X., Wang, Y., Gao, L., Li, K., Wang, Y., Liu, C., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Zhang, Y., Liu, Y., He, H., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2019). Novel variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus isolated in China. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 230, 212–220. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.01.023>
- Fan, L., Wu, T., Wang, Y., Hussain, A., Jiang, N., Gao, L., Li, K., Gao, Y., Liu, C., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2020). Novel variants of infectious bursal disease virus can severely damage the bursa of fabricius of immunized chickens. *Veterinary Microbiology*, 240, 108507. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.108507>
- Francois, A., Chevalier, C., Delmas, B., Etteradossi, N., Toquin, D., Rivallan, G., & Langlois, P. (2004). Avian adenovirus CELO recombinants expressing VP2 of infectious bursal disease virus induce protection against bursal disease in chickens. *Vaccine*, 22(17–18), 2351–2360. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2003.10.039>
- Gao, H., Wang, Y., Gao, L., & Zheng, S. J. (2023). Genetic insight into the interaction of ibdv with host—a clue to the development of novel IBDV Vaccines. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(9), 8255. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms24098255>
- Gelb, J., Jackwood, D. J., Brannick, E. M., & Ladman, B. S. (2016). Efficacy of recombinant hvt-ibd vaccines administered to broiler chicks from a single breeder flock at 30 and 60 weeks of age. *Avian Diseases*, 60(3), 603–612. <https://doi.org/10.1637/11344-120815-Reg.1>

- Gewaily, M. S., El-Khyat, F., Tahoon, A. E., Al-Rasheed, M., Abdo, S. E., Gado, A., Elmasry, M., & Ismail, M. M. (2023). Cytokines, serological, and histopathological assessment of recombinant vaccination strategies for combatting infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens. *Vaccines*, 12(1), 27. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines12010027>
- Goutebroze, S., Curet, M., Jay, M. L., Roux, C., & Le Gros, F. X. (2003). Efficacy of a recombinant vaccine HVT-VP2 against Gumboro disease in the presence of maternal antibodies. *British Poultry Science*, 44(5), 824–825. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00071660410001667051>
- Hair Bejo, M., Salina, H., Hafiza, H., & Julaido, S. (2000). In ovo vaccination against infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens in ovo. *Journal Veterinar Malaysia*, 12(2), 63–69.
- Hair-Bejo, M., Hafiza, H., & Bahaman, A. R. (1991). *Pathogenicity and immunogenicity of infectious bursal disease virus in poultry*.
- Handberg, K. J., Nielsen, O. L., & Jørgensen, P. H. (2001). The use of serotype 1- and serotype 3-specific polymerase chain reaction for the detection of Marek's disease virus in chickens. *Avian Pathology*, 30(3), 243–249. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079450120054659>
- Heine, H.-G., & Boyle, D. B. (1993). Infectious bursal disease virus structural protein VP 2 expressed by a fowlpox virus recombinant confers protection against disease in chickens. *Archives of Virology*, 131(3–4), 277–292. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF01378632>
- Hossain, I., Subarna, J. F., Kabiraj, C. K., Begum, J. A., Parvin, R., Martins, M., Diel, D. G., Chowdhury, E. H., Islam, M. R., & Nooruzzaman, M. (2023). A booster with a genotype-matched inactivated Newcastle Disease Virus (NDV) vaccine candidate provides better protection against a virulent genotype XIII.2 virus. *Vaccines*, 11(5), 1005. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines11051005>
- Hou, B., Wang, C.-Y., Luo, Z.-B., & Shao, G.-Q. (2022). Commercial vaccines used in China do not protect against a novel infectious bursal disease virus variant isolated in Fujian. *Veterinary Record*, 191(10), e1840. <https://doi.org/10.1002/vetr.1840>
- Huang, Y., Shu, G., Huang, C., Han, J., Li, J., Chen, H., & Chen, Z. (2023). Characterization and pathogenicity of a novel variant infectious bursal disease virus in China. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 13. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fmicb.2022.1039259>
- Huang, Z., Elankumaran, S., Yunus, A. S., & Samal, S. K. (2004). A recombinant Newcastle disease virus (NDV) expressing VP2 protein of infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) protects against NDV and IBDV. *Journal of Virology*, 78(18), 10054–10063. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.78.18.10054-10063.2004>

- Hudson, P. J., McKern, N. M., Power, B. E., & Azad, A. A. (1986). Genomic structure of the large RNA segment of infectious bursal disease virus. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 14(12), 5001–5012. <https://doi.org/10.1093/nar/14.12.5001>
- Hulten, M. C. W., Cruz-Coy, J., Gergen, L., Pouwels, H., ten Dam, G. B., Verstegen, I., de Groof, A., Morsey, M., & Tarpey, I. (2021). Efficacy of a turkey herpesvirus double construct vaccine (HVT-ND-IBD) against challenge with different strains of Newcastle disease, infectious bursal disease and Marek's disease viruses. *Avian Pathology*, 50(1), 18–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2020.1828567>
- Hussain, A., Wu, T., Fan, L., Wang, Y., Muhammad, F. K., Jiang, N., Gao, L., Li, K., Gao, Y., Liu, C., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Zhang, Y., Aslam, A., Muti-ur-rehman, K., Arshad, M. I., Abdullah, H. M., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2020). The circulation of unique reassortment strains of infectious bursal disease virus in Pakistan. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 19(7), 1867–1875. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(20\)63183-5](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63183-5)
- Hussain, A., Wu, T., Li, H., Fan, L., Li, K., Gao, L., Wang, Y., Gao, Y., Liu, C., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Zhang, Y., Aslam, A., Muti-Ur-Rehman, K., Munir, M., Butt, S. L., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2019). Pathogenic characterization and full length genome sequence of a reassortant infectious bursal disease virus newly isolated in Pakistan. *Virologica Sinica*, 34(1), 102–105. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12250-019-00082-8>
- Ingrao, F., Rauw, F., Lambrecht, B., & van den Berg, T. (2013). Infectious bursal disease: a complex host–pathogen interaction. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*, 41(3), 429–438. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.dci.2013.03.017>
- Islam, A., Harrison, B., Cheetham, B. F., Mahony, T. J., Young, P. L., & Walkden-Brown, S. W. (2004). Differential amplification and quantitation of Marek's disease viruses using real-time polymerase chain reaction. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 119(2), 103–113. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2004.03.006>
- Ismail, N. M., Saif, Y. M., Wigle, W. L., Havenstein, G. B., & Jackson, C. (1990). Infectious bursal disease virus variant from commercial Leghorn pullets. *Avian Diseases*, 34(1), 141–145.
- Ivanyi, J., & Morris, R. (1976). Immunodeficiency in the chicken. IV. An immunological study of infectious bursal disease. *Clinical and Experimental Immunology*, 23(1), 154–165.
- Jackwood, D. H., & Saif, Y. M. (1987). Antigenic diversity of infectious bursal disease viruses. *Avian Diseases*, 31(4), 766–770.
- Jackwood, D. J., Saif, Y. M., & Hughes, J. H. (1982). Characteristics and serologic studies of two serotypes of infectious bursal disease virus in turkeys. *Avian Diseases*, 26(4), 871–882.

- Jackwood, M. W. (1999). Current and future recombinant viral vaccines for poultry. In R. D. Schultz (Ed.), *Advances in Veterinary Medicine* (Vol. 41, pp. 517–522). Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3519\(99\)80038-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-3519(99)80038-X)
- Jayasundara, J. M. K. G. K., Walkden-Brown, S. W., Katz, M. E., Islam, A. F. M. F., Renz, K. G., McNally, J., & Hunt, P. W. (2017). Pathogenicity, tissue distribution, shedding and environmental detection of two strains of IBDV following infection of chickens at 0 and 14 days of age. *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A.*, 46(3), 242–255. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2016.1248898>
- Jiang, N., Wang, Y., Zhang, W., Niu, X., Huang, M., Gao, Y., Liu, A., Gao, L., Li, K., Pan, Q., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., Cui, H., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2021). Genotyping and molecular characterization of infectious bursal disease virus identified in important poultry-raising areas of China during 2019 and 2020. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8, 759861. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.759861>
- Kegne, T., & Chanie, M. (2014). *Review on the Incidence and Pathology of Infectious Bursal Disease*.
- Khatri, M., Palmquist, J. M., Cha, R. M., & Sharma, J. M. (2005). Infection and activation of bursal macrophages by virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Virus Research*, 113(1), 44–50. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2005.04.014>
- Kim, I.-J., & Sharma, J. M. (2000). IBDV-induced bursal T lymphocytes inhibit mitogenic response of normal splenocytes. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 74(1), 47–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427\(00\)00160-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(00)00160-4)
- Kumar, K., Singh, K. C., & Prasad, C. B. (2000). Immune responses to intermediate strain IBD vaccine at different levels of maternal antibody in broiler chickens. *Tropical Animal Health and Production*, 32(6), 357–360. <https://doi.org/10.1023/a:1005225501513>
- Kurukulasuriya, S., Ahmed, K. A., Ojkic, D., Gunawardana, T., Goonewardene, K., Gupta, A., Chow-Lockerbie, B., Popowich, S., Willson, P., Tikoo, S. K., & Gomis, S. (2017). Modified live infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccine delays infection of neonatal broiler chickens with variant IBDV compared to turkey herpesvirus (HVT)-IBDV vectored vaccine. *Vaccine*, 35(6), 882–888. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.01.005>
- Le Gros, F. X., Dancer, A., Giacomini, C., Pizzoni, L., Bublot, M., Graziani, M., & Prandini, F. (2009). Field efficacy trial of a novel HVT-IBD vector vaccine for 1-day-old broilers. *Vaccine*, 27(4), 592–596. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2008.10.094>

- Lee, C.-C., Ko, T.-P., Chou, C.-C., Yoshimura, M., Doong, S.-R., Wang, M.-Y., & Wang, A. H.-J. (2006). Crystal structure of infectious bursal disease virus VP2 subviral particle at 2.6Å resolution: Implications in virion assembly and immunogenicity. *Journal of Structural Biology*, 155(1), 74–86. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jsb.2006.02.014>
- Lemiere, S. (2012). hatchery vaccination quality control of herpesvirus of turkey-infectious bursal disease HVT-IBD viral vector vaccine application by specific qPCR. *International Journal of Poultry Science*.
- Lemiere, S., Gauthier, J.-C., Kodjo, A., Vinit, L., Delvecchio, A., & Prandini, F. (2013). Evaluation of the protection against infectious bursal disease (ibd) challenge in progeny born to parents having received a vaccination program using a herpesvirus of turkey-infectious bursal disease (HVT-IBD) vector vaccine. *World Journal of Vaccines*, 3(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.4236/wjv.2013.32008>
- Leng, M., Bian, X., Chen, Y., Liang, Z., Lian, J., Chen, M., Chen, F., Wang, Z., & Lin, W. (2023). *The potential of IBDV attenuated live vaccine against novel variant strain* [Preprint]. In Review. <https://doi.org/10.21203/rs.3.rs-2548652/v1>
- Ley, D. H., Storm, N., Bickford, A. A., & Yamamoto, R. (1979). An infectious bursal disease virus outbreak in 14- and 15-week-old chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 23(1), 235–240.
- Li, G., Kuang, H., Guo, H., Cai, L., Chu, D., Wang, X., Hu, J., & Rong, J. (2020). Development of a recombinant VP2 vaccine for the prevention of novel variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A*, 49(6), 557–571. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2020.1791314>
- Li, K., Gao, L., Gao, H., Qi, X., Gao, Y., Qin, L., Wang, Y., & Wang, X. (2014). Recombinant infectious bursal disease virus expressing Newcastle disease virus (NDV) neutralizing epitope confers partial protection against virulent NDV challenge in chickens. *Antiviral Research*, 101, 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.antiviral.2013.10.016>
- Li, Y., Reddy, K., Reid, S. M., Cox, W. J., Brown, I. H., Britton, P., Nair, V., & Iqbal, M. (2011). Recombinant herpesvirus of turkeys as a vector-based vaccine against highly pathogenic H7N1 avian influenza and Marek's disease. *Vaccine*, 29(46), 8257–8266. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2011.08.115>
- Li, Z., Wang, Y., Xue, Y., Li, X., Cao, H., & Zheng, S. J. (2012a). Critical role for voltage-dependent anion channel 2 in infectious bursal disease virus-induced apoptosis in host cells via interaction with VP5. *Journal of Virology*, 86(3), 1328–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.06104-11>
- Li, Z., Wang, Y., Xue, Y., Li, X., Cao, H., & Zheng, S. J. (2012b). Critical Role for Voltage-Dependent Anion Channel 2 in Infectious Bursal disease

virus-induced apoptosis in host cells via interaction with VP5. *Journal of Virology*, 86(3), 1328–1338. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.06104-11>

- Lian, J., Wang, Z., Xu, Z., Pang, Y., Leng, M., Tang, S., Zhang, X., Qin, J., Chen, F., & Lin, W. (2021a). Pathogenicity and molecular characterization of infectious bursal disease virus in China. *Poultry Science*, 101(1), 101502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2021.101502>
- Lian, J., Wang, Z., Xu, Z., Pang, Y., Leng, M., Tang, S., Zhang, X., Qin, J., Chen, F., & Lin, W. (2021b). Pathogenicity and molecular characterization of infectious bursal disease virus in China. *Poultry Science*, 101(1), 101502. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2021.101502>
- Liu, L., Wang, T., Wang, M., Tong, Q., Sun, Y., Pu, J., Sun, H., & Liu, J. (2019). Recombinant turkey herpesvirus expressing H9 hemagglutinin providing protection against H9N2 avian influenza. *Virology*, 529, 7–15. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virol.2019.01.004>
- Liu, L., Zhang, W., Song, Y., Wang, W., Zhang, Y., Wang, T., Li, K., Pan, Q., Qi, X., Gao, Y., Gao, L., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, Y., He, G., Wang, X., & Cui, H. (2018). Recombinant *Lactococcus lactis* co-expressing OmpH of an M cell-targeting ligand and IBDV-VP2 protein provide immunological protection in chickens. *Vaccine*, 36(5), 729–735. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.12.027>
- Liu, M., & Vakharia, V. N. (2006). Nonstructural protein of infectious bursal disease virus inhibits apoptosis at the early stage of virus infection. *Journal of Virology*, 80(7), 3369–3377. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.80.7.3369-3377.2006>
- Lombardo, E., Maraver, A., Castón, J. R., Rivera, J., Fernández-Arias, A., Serrano, A., Carrascosa, J. L., & Rodríguez, J. F. (1999). VP1, the Putative RNA-Dependent RNA polymerase of infectious bursal disease virus, forms complexes with the capsid protein VP3, leading to efficient encapsidation into virus-like particles. *Journal of Virology*, 73(8), 6973–6983.
- Lupini, C., Quaglia, G., Mescolini, G., Russo, E., Salaroli, R., Forni, M., Boldini, S., & Catelli, E. (2020). Alteration of immunological parameters in infectious bronchitis vaccinated–specific pathogen-free broilers after the use of different infectious bursal disease vaccines. *Poultry Science*, 99(9), 4351–4359. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psj.2020.05.054>
- Luque, D., Saugar, I., Rodríguez, J. F., Verdaguer, N., Garriga, D., Martín, C. S., Velázquez-Muriel, J. A., Trus, B. L., Carrascosa, J. L., & Castón, J. R. (2007). Infectious bursal disease virus capsid assembly and maturation by structural rearrangements of a transient molecular switch. *Journal of Virology*, 81(13), 6869–6878. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.00077-07>

- Mahanty, S., Doron, A., & Hamilton, R. (2023). A policy and research agenda for Asia's poultry industry. *Asia & the Pacific Policy Studies*, 10(1–3), 63–72. <https://doi.org/10.1002/app5.377>
- Mahgoub, H. A. (2012). An overview of infectious bursal disease. *Archives of Virology*, 157(11), 2047–2057. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-012-1377-9>
- Maqsood, I., Shi, W., Wang, L., Wang, X., Han, B., Zhao, H., Nadeem, A. M., Moshin, B. S., Saima, K., Jamal, S. S., Din, M. F., Xu, Y., Tang, L., & Li, Y. (2018). Immunogenicity and protective efficacy of orally administered recombinant *Lactobacillus plantarum* expressing VP2 protein against IBDV in chicken. *Journal of Applied Microbiology*, 125(6), 1670. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jam.14073>
- Marel, P., Snyder, D., & Lütticken, D. (1990). Antigenic characterization of IBDV field isolates by their reactivity with a panel of monoclonal antibodies. *DTW. Deutsche Tierärztliche Wochenschrift*, 97(2), 81–83.
- Marquardt, W. W., Johnson, R. B., Odenwald, W. F., & Schlotthober, B. A. (1980). An indirect enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) for measuring antibodies in chickens infected with infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Diseases*, 24(2), 375–385. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1589704>
- Mazariegos, L. A., Lukert, P. D., & Brown, J. (1990). Pathogenicity and immunosuppressive properties of infectious bursal disease “intermediate” strains. *Avian Diseases*, 34(1), 203–208. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1591353>
- McFerran, J. B., McNulty, M. S., McKillop, E. R., Connor, T. J., McCracken, R. M., Collins, D. S., & Allan, G. M. (1980). Isolation and serological studies with infectious bursal disease viruses from fowl, turkeys and ducks: Demonstration of a second serotype. *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A.*, 9(3), 395–404. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079458008418423>
- Michel, L. O., & Jackwood, D. J. (2017). Classification of infectious bursal disease virus into genogroups. *Archives of Virology*, 162(12), 3661–3670. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-017-3500-4>
- Müller, H., Mundt, E., Eterradossi, N., & Islam, M. R. (2012). Current status of vaccines against infectious bursal disease. *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A.*, 41(2), 133–139. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2012.661403>
- Müller, H., & Nitschke, R. (1987). Molecular weight determination of the two segments of double-stranded RNA of infectious bursal disease virus, a member of the birnavirus group. *Medical Microbiology and Immunology*, 176(2), 113–121. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00200683>

- Mundt, E., de Haas, N., & van Loon, A. A. W. M. (2003). Development of a vaccine for immunization against classical as well as variant strains of infectious bursal disease virus using reverse genetics. *Vaccine*, 21(31), 4616–4624. [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-410x\(03\)00448-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0264-410x(03)00448-1)
- Myint, O., Suwanruengsri, M., Araki, K., Izzati, U. Z., Pornthummawat, A., Nueangphuet, P., Fuke, N., Hirai, T., Jackwood, D. J., & Yamaguchi, R. (2021). Bursa atrophy at 28 days old caused by variant infectious bursal disease virus has a negative economic impact on broiler farms in Japan. *Avian Pathology*, 50(1), 6–17. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2020.1822989>
- Nagarajan, M. M., & Kibenge, F. S. (1997). Infectious bursal disease virus: A review of molecular basis for variations in antigenicity and virulence. *Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 61(2), 81–88.
- Ndashe, K., Simulundu, E., Hang'ombe, B. M., Moonga, L., Ogawa, H., Takada, A., & Mweene, A. S. (2016). Molecular characterization of infectious bursal disease viruses detected in vaccinated commercial broiler flocks in Lusaka, Zambia. *Archives of Virology*, 161(3), 513–519. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00705-015-2690-x>
- Nouën, C. L., Toquin, D., Müller, H., Raue, R., Kean, K. M., Langlois, P., Cherbonnel, M., & Eterradossi, N. (2012). Different domains of the RNA polymerase of infectious bursal disease virus contribute to virulence. *PloS One*, 7(1), e28064. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0028064>
- Nour, I., Blakey, J. R., Alvarez-Narvaez, S., & Mohanty, S. K. (2023). Whole Genome Sequencing of Infectious Bursal Disease Viruses Isolated from a Californian Outbreak Unravels the Underlying Virulence Markers and Highlights Positive Selection Incidence. *Viruses*, 15(10), Article 10. <https://doi.org/10.3390/v15102044>
- Nurulfiza, I., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Aini, I. (2006). Molecular characterization of recent infectious bursal disease virus isolates from Malaysia. *Acta Virologica*, 50(1), 45–51.
- Orakpoghenor, O., Oladele, S. B., & Abdu, P. A. (2020). Infectious Bursal Disease: Transmission, Pathogenesis, Pathology and Control - An Overview. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 76(2), 292–303. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00439339.2020.1716652>
- Perozo, F., Villegas, P., Fernandez, R., Cruz, J., & Pritchard, N. (2009). Efficacy of single dose recombinant herpesvirus of turkey infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccination against a variant IBDV strain. *Avian Diseases*, 53(4), 624–628. <https://doi.org/10.1637/8687-31009RESNOTE.1>
- Phong, S. F., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Aini, I. (2003). Sequence analysis of Malaysian infectious bursal disease virus isolate and the use of reverse transcriptase nested polymerase chain reaction enzyme-linked

immunosorbent assay for the detection of VP2 hypervariable region. *Avian Diseases*, 47(1), 154–162.

Pikuła, A., Śmietanka, K., & Perez, L. J. (2020). Emergence and expansion of novel pathogenic reassortant strains of infectious bursal disease virus causing acute outbreaks of the disease in Europe. *Transboundary and Emerging Diseases*, 67(4), 1739–1744. <https://doi.org/10.1111/tbed.13510>

Plitnick, L. M. (2013). Chapter 9—Global Regulatory Guidelines for Vaccines. In L. M. Plitnick & D. J. Herzyk (Eds.), *Nonclinical Development of Novel Biologics, Biosimilars, Vaccines and Specialty Biologics* (pp. 225–241). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-394810-6.00009-5>

Prandini, F., Simon, B., Jung, A., Pöppel, M., Lemiere, S., & Rautenschlein, S. (2016). Comparison of infectious bursal disease live vaccines and a HVT-IBD vector vaccine and their effects on the immune system of commercial layer pullets. *Avian Pathology*, 45(1), 114–125. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2015.1127891>

Qin, Y., & Zheng, S. J. (2017). Infectious Bursal Disease Virus-Host Interactions: Multifunctional Viral Proteins that Perform Multiple and Differing Jobs. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 18(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms18010161>

Rajab, M. K., Fard, M. H. B., Ghalyanchilangeroudi, A., Hosseini, H., & Charkhkar, S. (2024). Comparison of HVT-ND recombinant and convection-based Newcastle disease vaccination programs in the protection against the genotype VII NDV challenges: An experimental study. *Virus Genes*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11262-023-02038-3>

Ramon, G., Legnardi, M., Cecchinato, M., Cazaban, C., Tucciarone, C. M., Fiorentini, L., Gambi, L., Mato, T., Berto, G., Koutoulis, K., & Franzo, G. (2022). Efficacy of live attenuated, vector and immune complex infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccines in preventing field strain bursa colonization: A European multicentric study. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 9. <https://www.frontiersin.org/articles/10.3389/fvets.2022.978901>

Rashid, M. H., Luo, H., Akhter, J., Islam, M. T., Islam, M. R., Rahman, M., Cao, Y., & Xue, Y. (2013). Protection effect of VAXXITEK HVT + IBD vaccine against infectious bursal disease in broiler chickens. *Progress. Agric.* 24(1 & 2): 69 – 78.

Rautenschlein, S., Kraemer, C., Vanmarcke, J., & Montiel, E. (2005). Protective efficacy of intermediate and intermediate plus infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) vaccines against very virulent IBDV in commercial broilers. *Avian Diseases*, 49(2), 231–237. <https://doi.org/10.1637/7310-112204R>

- Rautenschlein, S., Yeh, H.-Y., & Sharma, J. M. (2002). The role of T cells in protection by an inactivated infectious bursal disease virus vaccine. *Veterinary Immunology and Immunopathology*, 89(3), 159–167. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427\(02\)00202-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-2427(02)00202-7)
- Rauw, F., Lambrecht, B., & van den Berg, T. (2007). Pivotal role of ChIFN γ in the pathogenesis and immunosuppression of infectious bursal disease. *Avian Pathology*, 36(5), 367–374. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079450701589159>
- Reddy, S. K., Sharma, J. M., Ahmad, J., Reddy, D. N., McMillen, J. K., Cook, S. M., Wild, M. A., & Schwartz, R. D. (1996). Protective efficacy of a recombinant herpesvirus of turkeys as an in ovo vaccine against Newcastle and Marek's diseases in specific-pathogen-free chickens. *Vaccine*, 14(6), 469–477. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410x\(95\)00242-s](https://doi.org/10.1016/0264-410x(95)00242-s)
- Rekha, K., Sivasubramanian, C., Chung, I.M., & Thiruvengadam, M. (2014). Growth and replication of infectious bursal disease virus in the df-1 cell line and chicken embryo fibroblasts. *BioMed Research International*, 2014. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/494835>
- Renz, K. G., Islam, A., Cheetham, B. F., & Walkden-Brown, S. W. (2006). Absolute quantification using real-time polymerase chain reaction of Marek's disease virus serotype 2 in field dust samples, feather tips and spleens. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 135(2), 186–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2006.03.017>
- Roh, J.H., Kang, M., Wei, B., Yoon, R.H., Seo, H.S., Bahng, J.Y., Kwon, J.T., Cha, S.Y., & Jang, H.-K. (2016). Efficacy of HVT-IBD vector vaccine compared to attenuated live vaccine using in-ovo vaccination against a Korean very virulent IBDV in commercial broiler chickens. *Poultry Science*, 95(5), 1020–1024. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pew042>
- Saif, Y. M. (1998). Infectious bursal disease and hemorrhagic enteritis. *Poultry Science*, 77(8), 1186–1189. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ps/77.8.1186>
- Salaheldin, A. H., Abd El-Hamid, H. S., Ellakany, H. F., Mohamed, M. A., & Elbestawy, A. R. (2024). Isolation, molecular, and histopathological patterns of a novel variant of infectious bursal disease virus in chicken flocks in Egypt. *Veterinary Sciences*, 11(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vetsci11020098>
- Sarcheshmei, M., Dadras, H., Mosleh, N., & Mehrabanpour, M. J. (2016). Comparative evaluation of the protective efficacy of different vaccination programs against a virulent field strain of the Newcastle disease virus in broilers. *Brazilian Journal of Poultry Science*, 18, 363–370. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1806-9061-2015-0128>
- Schat, K. A., & Skinner, M. A. (2022). Chapter 14—Avian immunosuppressive diseases and immune evasion. In B. Kaspers, K. A. Schat, T. W. Göbel,

& L. Vervelde (Eds.), *Avian Immunology (Third Edition)* (pp. 387–417). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818708-1.00018-X>

Sedeik, M. E., El-shall, N. A., Awad, A. M., El-Hack, M. E. A., Alowaimer, A. N., & Swelum, A. A. (2019). comparative evaluation of HVT-IBD vector, immune complex, and live IBD vaccines against vvIBDV in commercial broiler chickens with high maternally derived antibodies. *animals : an open access journal from MDPI*, 9(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani9030072>

Sellaoui, S., Alloui, N., Mehenaoui, S., & Dejaabaa, S. (2012). Evaluation of size and lesion scores of bursa cloacae in broiler flocks in Algeria. *Journal of Worlds Poultry Research*, 2(3), 37–39.

Shaban, N. S., & Alabboodi, A. S. (2019). Explain why Malaysian broiler industry facing production problem. *International Journal of Applied Research*, 5(1), 301–308.

Sharma, J. M., Kim, I.-J., Rautenschlein, S., & Yeh, H.-Y. (2000). Infectious bursal disease virus of chickens: Pathogenesis and immunosuppression. *Developmental & Comparative Immunology*, 24(2), 223–235. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X\(99\)00074-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0145-305X(99)00074-9)

Singh, N. K., Dey, S., Madhan Mohan, C., Mohan Kataria, J., & Vakharia, V. N. (2010). Evaluation of four enzyme linked immunosorbent assays for the detection of antibodies to infectious bursal disease in chickens. *Journal of Virological Methods*, 165(2), 277–282. Scopus. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jviromet.2010.02.008>

Statista. *Malaysia: Poultry consumption per capita 2031*. (2023). <https://www.statista.com/statistics/757983/malaysia-poultry-consumption-per-capita/>

Tacken, M. G. J., Peeters, B. P. H., Thomas, A. A. M., Rottier, P. J. M., & Boot, H. J. (2002). Infectious bursal disease virus capsid protein VP3 interacts both with VP1, the RNA-dependent RNA polymerase, and with viral double-stranded RNA. *Journal of Virology*, 76(22), 11301–11311. <https://doi.org/10.1128/JVI.76.22.11301-11311.2002>

Tan, D. Y., Hair-Bejo, M., Omar, A. R., & Aini, I. (2004). Pathogenicity and Molecular Analysis of an Infectious Bursal Disease Virus Isolated from Malaysian Village Chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 48(2), 410–416.

Tanimura, N., Tsukamoto, K., Nakamura, K., Narita, M., & Maeda, M. (1995). Association between pathogenicity of infectious bursal disease virus and viral antigen distribution detected by immunohistochemistry. *Avian Diseases*, 39(1), 9–20.

Thai, T. N., Jang, I., Kim, H.-A., Kim, H.-S., Kwon, Y.-K., & Kim, H.-R. (2021). Characterization of antigenic variant infectious bursal disease virus

- strains identified in South Korea. *Avian Pathology*, 50(2), 174–181. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2020.1869698>
- Thompson, G., Mohammed, H., Bauman, B., & Naqi, S. (1997). Systemic and local antibody responses to infectious bronchitis virus in chickens inoculated with infectious bursal disease virus and control chickens. *Avian Diseases*, 41(3), 519–527.
- Tsukamoto, K., Kojima, C., Komori, Y., Tanimura, N., Mase, M., & Yamaguchi, S. (1999). Protection of chickens against very virulent infectious bursal disease virus (IBDV) and marek's disease virus (mdv) with a recombinant mdv expressing IBDV VP2. *Virology*, 257(2), 352–362. <https://doi.org/10.1006/viro.1999.9641>
- Tsukamoto, K., Saito, S., Saeki, S., Sato, T., Tanimura, N., Isobe, T., Mase, M., Imada, T., Yuasa, N., & Yamaguchi, S. (2002). Complete, long-lasting protection against lethal infectious bursal disease virus challenge by a single vaccination with an avian herpesvirus vector expressing VP2 antigens. *Journal of Virology*, 76(11), 5637–5645. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jvi.76.11.5637-5645.2002>
- Umar, S., Munir, M. T., Ahsan, U., Raza, I., Chowdhury, M. R., Ahmed, Z., & Shah, M. a. A. (2017). Immunosuppressive interactions of viral diseases in poultry. *World's Poultry Science Journal*, 73(1), 121–135. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0043933916000829>
- Valli, A., Busnadiego, I., Maliogka, V., Ferrero, D., Castón, J. R., Rodríguez, J. F., & García, J. A. (2012). The VP3 factor from viruses of Birnaviridae family suppresses RNA silencing by binding both long and small RNA duplexes. *PLOS ONE*, 7(9), e45957. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0045957>
- Wang, Q., Hu, H., Chen, G., Liu, H., Wang, S., Xia, D., Yu, Y., Zhang, Y., Jiang, J., Ma, J., Xu, Y., Xu, Z., Ou, C., & Liu, X. (2019). Identification and assessment of pathogenicity of a naturally reassorted infectious bursal disease virus from Henan, China. *Poultry Science*, 98(12), 6433–6444. <https://doi.org/10.3382/ps/pez498>
- Wang, Y., Fan, L., Jiang, N., Gao, L., Li, K., Gao, Y., Liu, C., Cui, H., Pan, Q., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2021). An improved scheme for infectious bursal disease virus genotype classification based on both genome-segments A and B. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 20(5), 1372–1381. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119\(20\)63424-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2095-3119(20)63424-4)
- Wang, Y., Jiang, N., Fan, L., Gao, L., Li, K., Gao, Y., Niu, X., Zhang, W., Cui, H., Liu, A., Pan, Q., Liu, C., Zhang, Y., Wang, X., & Qi, X. (2021). Development of a Viral-Like Particle Candidate Vaccine Against Novel Variant Infectious Bursal Disease Virus. *Vaccines*, 9(2), 142. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9020142>

Willemsen, A., & Zwart, M. (2019). On the stability of sequences inserted into viral genomes. *Virus Evolution*, 5(2).

Withers, D. R., Young, J. R., & Davison, T. F. (2005). Infectious bursal disease virus-induced immunosuppression in the chick is associated with the presence of undifferentiated follicles in the recovering bursa. *Viral Immunology*, 18(1), 127–137. <https://doi.org/10.1089/vim.2005.18.127>

WOAH (2018). (n.d.). Retrieved July 4, 2023, from https://www.woah.org/fileadmin/Home/eng/Health_standards/tahm/3.0.3.12_IBD.pdf

Wu, Rubinelli, P., & Lin, T. L. (2007). Molecular detection and differentiation of infectious bursal disease virus (detección y diferenciación molecular del virus de la enfermedad infecciosa de la bolsa). *Avian Diseases*, 51(2), 515–526.

Xu, A., Pei, Y., Zhang, K., Xue, J., Ruan, S., & Zhang, G. (2020). Phylogenetic analyses and pathogenicity of a variant infectious bursal disease virus strain isolated in China. *Virus Research*, 276, 197833. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.virusres.2019.197833>

Yamazaki, K., Ohta, H., Kawai, T., Yamaguchi, T., Obi, T., & Takase, K. (2017). Characterization of variant infectious bursal disease virus from a broiler farm in Japan using immunized sentinel chickens. *Journal of Veterinary Medical Science*, 79(1), 175–183. <https://doi.org/10.1292/jvms.16-0301>

Yang, D., Zhang, L., Duan, J., Huang, Q., Yu, Y., Zhou, J., & Lu, H. (2021). A single vaccination of IBDV subviral particles generated by *Kluyveromyces Marxianus* efficiently protects chickens against novel variant and classical IBDV strains. *Vaccines*, 9(12), 1443. <https://doi.org/10.3390/vaccines9121443>

Yang, H., & Ye, C. (2020). Reverse genetics approaches for live-attenuated vaccine development of infectious bursal disease virus. *Current Opinion in Virology*, 44, 139–144. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coviro.2020.08.001>

Yasmin, A. R., Yeap, S. K., Tan, S. W., Hair-Bejo, M., Fakurazi, S., Kaiser, P., & Omar, A. R. (2015). In vitro characterization of chicken bone marrow-derived dendritic cells following infection with very virulent infectious bursal disease virus. *Avian Pathology: Journal of the W.V.P.A.*, 44(6), 452–462. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03079457.2015.1084997>

Zafar, M., Shah, M. A., Shehzad, A., Tariq, A., Habib, M., Muddassar, M., Shah, M. S., Iqbal, M., Hemmatzadeh, F., & Rahman, M. (2020). Characterization of the highly immunogenic VP2 protrusion domain as a diagnostic antigen for members of Birnaviridae family. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 104(8), 3391–3402. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-020-10458-6>

Zahid, B., Aslam, A., Qazi, J. I., Ahmad, N., Ara, C., Akhtar, R., & Bacha, U. (2017). *Pathogenicity and immunosuppressive effect of different vaccines of infectious bursal disease virus.*

