



UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA

**STUDIES ON *AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA* ISOLATED FROM
EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME (EUS) POSITIVE FISH
IN MALAYSIA**

H. A. MAHINDA KULATHILAKA

FPSS 1993 5

**STUDIES ON *AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA* ISOLATED FROM
EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME (EUS) POSITIVE FISH
IN MALAYSIA**

by

H. A. Mahinda Kulathilaka

Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the
Requirement for the Degree of Master of Science
in the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

January 1993



To
My Mother,
Wife and Children
For Their Love and Inspiration



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I am grateful to my supervisors , Associate Professor Dr Mohamed Shariff bin Mohamed Din, Dr Rohana Subasinghe and Dr Hassan Daud for their valuable guidance, encouragement, and advice throughout this study. I am truly indebted to Dr Subasinghe for his unequivocal support, remarkable supervision and confidence which gave me much inspiration and drive to pursue my research with enthusiasm.

I greatly appreciate Prof. M. S. Yadav of University Malaya for his advice and support on the immunology component of the project. My sincere thanks to Mr Rosli Aslim for his valuable technical support on all aspects of the project. I am thankful to Miss. Mali Subasinghe for the language corrections in the thesis.

I thank the International Development Research Centre (Canada) for the needed financial support for my entire study period in Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. My sincere thanks go to the Ministry of Fisheries and Aquatic Resources, Sri Lanka for giving me the opportunity to undertake this study at Universiti Pertanian Malaysia. I gratefully acknowledge Mr L.K.S.W. Balasuriya and Mr K.S.B.



Tennakoon of the Ministry for their tremendous encouragement and moral support.

I will remember all my friends and colleagues at UPM who helped me in various ways during the project, and the Subasinghe family in Serdang, who not only provided me with genuine friendship, but also assured me the warmth of a family like my own.

And last but not least, I thank my mother, wife Gnana, daughter Chathurika and son Kasun, from the bottom of my heart, for their unselfish sacrifices, patience and reassurance which made this endeavour a success.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

	PAGE
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iii
LIST OF TABLES	ix
LIST OF FIGURES	x
LIST OF PLATES	xii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xx
ABSTRACT	xxi
ABSTRAK	xxiv
 CHAPTER	
I	
GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
Background.....	1
Objectives.....	6
 II	
LITERATURE REVIEW	8
Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome (EUS).....	8
Aetiology of EUS.....	9
Environmental Factors and EUS.....	12
Chemotherapy of EUS.....	14
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> in EUS and Other Diseases of Fish.....	15
Pathogenicity of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	18
Pathogenicity Mechanism of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	19
Pathology of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Infections in Fish.....	22



	<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> and Environment.....	24
	Detection of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	27
	Immune Response Against <i>A. hydrophila</i> Infections.....	28
III	INVESTIGATIONS INTO POSSIBLE INDUCTION OF EUS-LIKE LESIONS IN <i>C. BATRACHUS</i> FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATION OF <i>A. HYDROPHILA</i> VIA DIFFERENT ROUTES.....	31
	Introduction.....	31
	Materials and Methods.....	33
	Source and maintenance of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	33
	Identification and enumeration of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	34
	Preparation of Bacterial Suspensions.....	36
	Maintenance of Fish Prior to Experimentation.....	36
	Experimental Procedure.....	37
	Immersion Exposure.....	37
	Intramuscular Injection.....	38
	Oral Administration.....	38
	Results.....	39
	Discussion.....	43
IV	SEQUENTIAL HISTOPATHOLOGY AND RECOVERY OF BACTERIA IN <i>C. BATRACHUS</i> FOLLOWING ADMINISTRATION OF <i>A. HYDROPHILA</i> VIA DIFFERENT ROUTES.....	50
	Introduction.....	50



Materials and Methods.....	54
Recovery/Isolation of Bacteria.....	55
Histopathology.....	56
Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Technique (IFAT).....	57
Results.....	59
Isolation of bacteria.....	59
Sequential histopathology of the skin.....	60
Internal organs.....	78
Oral administration.....	86
Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Technique (IFAT).....	87
Discussion.....	93

V

PRELIMINARY INVESTIGATIONS INTO THE ACQUIRED IMMUNITY BY <i>C. BATRACHUS</i> AGAINST <i>A. HYDROPHILA</i>.....	103
Introduction.....	103
Materials and Methods.....	105
Preparation of Live <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigen.....	105
Preparation of Formalin Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigen.....	106
Preparation of Heat Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigen.....	106
Preparation of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Coated SRBC Antigen.....	106
Experimental Procedure.....	107
Determination of Agglutinating Titres.....	108



	Results.....	109
	Discussion.....	113
VI	THE EFFECT OF CERTAIN WATER QUALITY PARAMETERS ON THE ABILITY OF INTRAMUSCULARLY INJECTED A. HYDROPHILA. TO CAUSE MORTALITY IN C. IDELLA FINGERLING.....	119
	Introduction.....	119
	Materials and Methods.....	121
	Source and maintenance of <i>A. hydrophila</i>	121
	Maintenance of experimental fish....	122
	Experimental procedure.....	122
	Results.....	127
	Discussion.....	133
VII	IN VITRO LONGEVITY AND SURVIVAL OF A. HYDROPHILA UNDER DIFFERENT ENVIRONMENTAL CONDITIONS.....	138
	Introduction.....	138
	Materials and Methods.....	139
	Results.....	140
	Discussion.....	145
VIII	CONCLUSIONS AND SUGGESTIONS FOR FUTURE WORK.....	148
	REFERENCES.....	159
	APPENDIX.....	173
	BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH.....	176



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.	The Serum Agglutinating Titres of <i>C. batrachus</i> Exposed to Live <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigens via Intramuscular Injection and Detected by Heat Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>A. hydrophila</i> Coated SRBC Antigens.....	110
2.	The Serum Agglutinating Titres of <i>C. batrachus</i> Exposed to Formalin Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigens via Intramuscular Injection and Detected by Heat Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>A. hydrophila</i> Coated SRBC Antigens.....	111
3.	The Mean Mortality \pm SD and the Percentage Cumulative Mortality of <i>C. idella</i> Fingerling Following Exposure to <i>A. hydrophila</i> at Different CaCO ₃ Hardness.....	128
4.	The Mean Mortality \pm SD and the Percentage Cumulative Mortality of <i>C. idella</i> Fingerling Following Exposure to <i>A. hydrophila</i> at Different NaCl Salinities.....	129
5.	The Mean Mortality \pm SD and the Percentage Cumulative Mortality of <i>C. idella</i> Fingerling Following Exposure to <i>A. hydrophila</i> at Different Temperatures.....	132

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1.	Mean Serum Agglutinating Titres of <i>C. batrachus</i> Exposed to Live and Formalin Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> Antigens via Intramuscular Injection and Detected by Heat Killed <i>A. hydrophila</i> and <i>A. hydrophila</i> Coated SRBC Antigens. Live = Exposed to Live Bacteria, HK = Detected with Heat Killed Antigen, Formalin = Exposed to Formalin Killed Bacteria, SRBC = Detected with SRBC Coated Bacteria.....	112
2.	Percentage Cumulative Mortality of <i>C. idella</i> Injected with <i>A. hydrophila</i> at 6.5×10^5 CFU/fish and Exposed to Different Environmental Parameters. a). Different CaCO ₃ Hardness, b). Different NaCl Salinities, c). Different Temperatures.....	130
3.	Fluctuation of Mean Colony Forming Bacterial Numbers in Different CaCO ₃ Concentrations with Time. The Bars Indicate Standard Deviation of the Mean. (Initial Concentration of the Inoculum = 1.5×10^5 CFU/ml).....	141
4.	Fluctuation of Mean Colony Forming Bacterial Numbers in Different CaCO ₃ Concentrations with Time. The Bars Indicate Standard Deviation of the Mean. (Initial Concentration of the Inoculum = 1.5×10^3 CFU/ml).....	142



5.	Fluctuation of Mean Colony Forming Bacterial Numbers in Different NaCl Salinities with Time. The Bars Indicate Standard Deviation of the Mean. (Initial Concentration of the Inoculum = 1.5×10^3 CFU/ml).....	144
6.	Fluctuation of Mean Colony Forming Bacterial Numbers in Different NaCl Salinities with Time. The Bars Indicate Standard Deviation of the Mean. (Initial Concentration of the Inoculum = 1.5×10^5 CFU/ml).....	146



LIST OF PLATES

Plate		Page
1.	<i>C. batrachus</i> 10-12 Hours After Intramuscular Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V at 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish Showing Marked Swelling at the Site of Injection.....	41
2.	<i>C. batrachus</i> 24-36 Hours After Intramuscular Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V at 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish Showing Grey-whitish Necrotic Dermal Tissues Covering the Lesion at the Site of Injection.....	41
3.	<i>C. batrachus</i> 48 Hours After Intramuscular Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V at 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish Showing Clear Reddish Haemorrhagic Lesion at the Site of Injection.....	42
4.	An EUS Affected Wild <i>O. striatus</i> Showing an Ulcer on the Body.....	42
5.	<i>C. batrachus</i> 16 Days After Intramuscular Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V at 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish Showing Healed Wound with a Patch of Dark Melanized Scar at the Site of Injection.....	44
6.	<i>C. batrachus</i> 28 Days After Intramuscular Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V at 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish. Note the Completely Healed Lesion and the Almost Normal Skin.....	44



7.	Normal Skin Section of <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Non-scaly Nature of the Skin. C = Club Cells, G = Goblet Cells, S = Squamous Epithelial Cells, BM = Basement Membrane, P = Pigment Layer, SD = Spongeodermis. (H & E X 250).....	62
8.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Six Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Degeneration of Sarcoplasm of Myofibrils (→) and Focal Haemorrhage (H). (H & E X 500).....	62
9.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Six Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Infiltration of Polymorphonuclear Type Leucocytes. (H & E X 2500).....	63
10.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Six Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Bacteria (B) Present Within the Degenerating Sarcoplasm. (H & E X 2500).....	63
11.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 12 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the PMN Type Leucocytes, Possibly Neutrophils. (H & E X 500).....	64
12.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 12 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the More Pronounced Sarcolysis and Myonecrosis. (H & E X 250).....	64



13.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 12 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Hypertrophied Epithelial Cells and Distinct Separation of Both Club Cells and Epithelial Cells (A), the Scattered Pigment Layer (B) and the Inflammatory Cells in the Stratum Spongiosum. (H & E X 250).....	66
14.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 24 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Extensive Haemorrhage with a Large Number of Macrophages. (H & E X 500).....	66
15.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 24 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Macrophages with Phagocytised Material Within. (H & E X 2500).....	67
16.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 24 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Macrophages with Phagocytised Material Within. (H & E X 2500).....	67
17.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin 24 Hours After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Loss of Epidermal Layers and the Oedematous Spongiosum with Inflammatory Cells. (H & E X 250).....	69
18.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Lesion Spread Deep into the Musculature with Complete Myonecrosis and the Bacterial Colonies Within the Necrotic Area (B). (H & E X 100).....	69



19.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Appearance of Fibroblast Cells Within the Damaged Muscle Tissue (F). (H & E X 1000).....	70
20.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Appearance of Fibroblast Cells Within the Damaged Muscle Tissue Forming a Capsule Around the Infected Area (C). (H & E X 100).....	70
21.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Migration of Epithelial Cells from the Epidermal Layers of the Skin at the Edge of the Lesion (→). H & E X 100).....	71
22.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Degeneration of Myotomes with Centrally Located Nuclei. (H & E X 1000).....	71
23.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Large Number of Lymphocytes (L) Among the Inflammatory Cells. (H & E X 2500).....	73
24.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Two Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Colonies of Bacteria (→) Within Clear Vacuoles. (H & E X 1000).....	73



25.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Three Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Completed Invagination of Epithelial Cells with a Thin Layer of Epidermis (→) Covering the Entire Lesion. (H & E X 100).....	74
26.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Four Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Formation of Blood Capillaries (→) Harbouring Blood Cells. (H & E X 1000).....	74
27.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Four Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Newly Formed Epithelium Covering the Entire Lesion (H & E X 250).....	76
28.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Six Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Active Fibroplasia Within the Myotomes. (H & E X 500).....	76
29.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Six Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Newly Formed Epidermis with Evidence of Dermal Components Beneath. S = Spongeodermis, C = Compactodermis (H & E X 100).....	77
30.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Skin Eight Days After Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Newly Formed Epidermis with Fairly Well Developed Spongeodermis (S) and the Compactodemis (C). Also Note the Lack of Normal Continuous Layer of Melanophores Just Below the Basement Membrane. (H & E X 250).....	77



31.	Normal Head Kidney of <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Renal Tubules (RT) and Inter-renal Tissue (IT). (H & E X 1000).....	80
32.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Kidney One Day After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Blood Precursor Cells. (H & E X 1000).....	80
33.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Kidney Two Days After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Dark Staining Cells, Presumably Erythrocytic and Leucocytic Precursor Cells. (H & E X 1000).....	82
34.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Kidney Four Days After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Melanomacrophage Centres (MMC) and Lack of Renal Necrosis. (H & E X 1000).....	82
35.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Kidney Six Days After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Increased RBC in the Renal Tissue. (H & E X 1000).....	83
36.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Kidney Eight Days After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Normal Architecture of the Kidney. (H & E X 1000).....	83
37.	Normal Spleen of <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Comparatively High Red Pulp Areas. (H & E X 250).....	85
38.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Spleen Three Days After IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Melanomacrophage Centres (MMC) and the Increased White Pulp (WP) in the Splenic Tissue. (H & E X 250).....	85



39.	Normal Oesophagus of <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Numerous Goblet Cells (G), Lamina Propria (L), Sub Mucosa (S) and Mucosa (M). (H & E X 100).....	88
40.	Normal Stomach of <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Thick Mucosal Layer (M) and the Muscularis (MU). (H & E X 100).....	88
41.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Anterior Intestine One Day After Oral Administration of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Necrotic Mucosa. (H & E X 250).....	89
42.	<i>C. batrachus</i> Anterior Intestine One Day Oral Administration of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Karyorectic (K) and Pyknotic Nuclei (P). (H & E X 1000).....	89
43.	Kidney Tissue of <i>C. batrachus</i> 24 Hours IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Lack of Fluorescent Bacteria. (IFAT X 500).....	90
44.	Liver Tissue of <i>C. batrachus</i> 24 Hours IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Lack of Fluorescent Bacteria. (IFAT X 500).....	90
45.	Skin of Control <i>C. batrachus</i> . Note the Lack of Fluorescent Bacteria. (IFAT X 1000).....	91
46.	Muscle Tissue of <i>C. batrachus</i> 24 Hours IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Fluorescent Area (→) Due to the Presence of Bacteria. (IFAT X 250).....	91



47.	Muscle Tissue of <i>C. batrachus</i> 48 Hours IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Serovar I Strain V. Note the Necrotic Muscle and the Aggregation of Bright Fluorescent Bacteria. (IFAT X 1000).....	92
48.	Muscle Tissue of <i>C. batrachus</i> 48 Hours IM Injection of <i>A. hydrophila</i> Syrovar I Strain V. Note the Necrotic Muscle and the Bright Fluorescent Bacteria. (IFAT X 2500).....	92



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APHA	- American Public Health Association
ATCC	- American Type Culture Collection.
ECP	- Extracellular product
EDTA	- Ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid
ESC	- Enteric septicemia of catfish
EUS	- Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome
FAT	- Fluorescent Antibody Technique
FCA	- Freund's complete adjuvant
FIA	- Freund's incomplete adjuvant
IFAT	- Indirect Fluorescent Antibody Technique
IM	- Intramuscular
IP	- Intraperitoneal
MMC	- Melanomacrophage centres
NACA	- Network of Aquaculture Centres in Asia
RS	- Rimler-Shotts medium
SRBC	- Sheep red blood cell
TSA	- Trypticase Soy Agar
UDS	- Ulcerative Disease Syndrome



Abstract of thesis submitted to the Senate of Universiti
Pertanian Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for
the degree of Master of Science.

**STUDIES ON AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA ISOLATED FROM
EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME (EUS) POSITIVE FISH.
IN MALAYSIA**

By

H. A. Mahinda Kulathilaka

January 1993

Supervisor : Assoc. Professor Dr Mohamed Shariff

Faculty : Fisheries and Marine Science

The present study indicates that the only portal of entry of *A. hydrophila* serovar I isolate V which induces EUS-like lesions in *C. batrachus* is the intramuscular injection and the effective dose is 6.5×10^6 CFU/fish. The lesions do not develop into putrefied necrotic ulcers but heals gradually within 14-18 days of initial injection. Immersion exposure and oral administration of *A. hydrophila* serovar I isolate V were incapable of inducing EUS-like lesions in *C. batrachus*.

Intramuscular injection of *A. hydrophila* serovar I strain V cause severe pathological changes in *C. batrachus*, only at the site of injection and did not produce systemic



infection. *Clarias batrachus* mounts a strong inflammatory response against *A. hydrophila* following intramuscular infection and is capable of eliminating bacteria from the infected tissues and efficient in fast wound healing and repair of the dermal lesions. *Aeromonas hydrophila* serovar I strain V does not have the capability to overcome the host response and manifest systemic infection in *C. batrachus* following intramuscular injection and oral administration under the conditions at which the experiments were conducted. Oral administration of *A. hydrophila* serovar I strain V can cause acute gastritis in *C. batrachus* but it is possible that the action of gastric secretions eliminate the bacteria within a very short period.

Aeromonas hydrophila serovar I strain V induces a higher agglutinating titre in *C. batrachus* following injection with formalin killed bacteria compared to that of live bacteria, following repeated injections. Although *C. batrachus* mounts an immunological memory, the action of *A. hydrophila* serovar I strain V toxins and proteases could cause localised inflammatory changes leading to a necrotic lesion, at least at the site of injection.



There is no evidence to conclude that CaCO₃ up to 400 ppm cause any significant stress on fish which made them more susceptible to *A. hydrophila*. However, during *in vitro* culture, 20 ppt NaCl appear to affect the virulence properties of *A. hydrophila* serovar I strain V. The low temperature also has an effect on the ability of intramuscularly injected *A. hydrophila* to cause mortality in *C. idella*.

It was concluded that *A. hydrophila* serovar I strain V is not a primary causative agent of EUS but is a secondary etiological agent of the syndrome.



Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti
Pertanian Malaysia, sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk
mendapat Ijazah Master Sains

**KAJIAN KE ATAS *AEROMONAS HYDROPHILA* DARI IKAN YANG
POSITIF TERHADAP SINDROM EPIZOOTIK ULSERATIF (SEU) DI
MALAYSIA**

oleh

H.A. Mahinda Kulathilaka

Januari 1993

Penyelia : Profesor Madya Dr. Mohamed Shariff

Fakulti : Perikanan dan Sains Samudera

Kajian yang dijalankan ini menunjukkan bahawa jalan masuk *A. hydrophila* serovar I isolat V yang menyebabkan lesi serupa-SEU pada *C. batrachus* adalah suntikan intramaskular dan dos yang berkesan adalah 6.5×10^6 UPK/ikan. Lesi tidak berkembang menjadi ulser yang nekrotik dan putrefaktif tetapi mula sembuh dalam tempoh 14-18 hari selepas suntikan pertama. Pendedahan rendaman dan pemberian oral *A. hydrophila* serovar I isolat V tidak berkebolehan untuk mempengaruhi lesi sindrom serupa-SEU pada *C. batrachus*.

Suntikan intramaskular *A. hydrophila* serovar I isolat V menyebabkan beberapa perubahan patologi hanya pada kawasan suntikan dan tidak menghasilkan jangkitan sistemik pada *C. batrachus*. *Clarias batrachus* menunjukkan tindakbalas inflamasi yang kuat terhadap *A. hydrophila*

