



**UNIVERSITI PUTRA MALAYSIA**

**STUDIES ON MOTILE *AEROMONAS SPP.* ASSOCIATED WITH  
HEALTHY AND EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME-POSITIVE  
FISH**

**JAMES L. TORRES**

**FPSS 1990 3**



**STUDIES ON MOTILE AEROMONAS SPP. ASSOCIATED WITH HEALTHY  
AND EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME-POSITIVE FISH**

By

**JAMES L. TORRES**

A Thesis Submitted in Fulfilment of the  
Requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy  
in the Faculty of Fisheries and Marine Science  
Universiti Pertanian Malaysia

August 1990



## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I have completed this programme largely because there were those who gave me assistance and friendship. There are too many of them whom I cannot thank enough; yet I cannot solely lay claim to this success without naming a few of them.

I am greatly indebted to Prof. Dr. Mohd. Shariff whose exceptional work ethos provided me much inspiration and drive to pursue my work with great passion. I truly appreciate his unequivocal support and remarkable supervision.

I am also deeply thankful to Dr. Kenichi Tajima, whose friendship and expertise gave me enough impetus to go molecular. It was both a joy and a challenge being assisted by him in my DNA studies and in numerical taxonomy.

My gratitude also goes to Prof. Dr. Law Ah Theem, my co-supervisor and Ms. Mariana Nor Shamsudin for their valuable discussions and for going through the manuscript.

I am likewise grateful to Mr. Rahman Omar for assisting me in culture media preparation and for Mr. Rosli Aslim for helping me maintain the aquaria and the rabbits.

My personal thanks are also due to the Filipino community in Serdang, especially Mr. Paul L. Manalo and his family, for



being there when I needed them most; to my fellow Filipino students; and friends in the Philippine Embassy and their families. They did not only give me genuine friendship, they assured me a family like my own.

I am also thankful to my colleagues at the University of the Philippines in the Visayas for their constant encouragement and moral support.

And, for my family, my profound thanks for their faith in me.

I will be remiss in my duty if I fail to record my thanks to the International Development Research Centre (IDRC) Canada for the needed financial support in my programme.



## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b> . . . . .	ii
<b>LIST OF TABLES</b> . . . . .	iii
<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b> . . . . .	.xiii
<b>LIST OF PLATES</b> . . . . .	xv
<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b> . . . . .	.xvii
<b>ABSTRACT</b> . . . . .	.xviii
<b>ABSTRAK</b> . . . . .	xxi
<b>CHAPTER</b>	
<b>I GENERAL INTRODUCTION</b> . . . . .	1
<b>II REVIEW OF LITERATURE</b> . . . . .	7
Historical Review. . . . .	8
Isolation. . . . .	12
Characterization of the Organism. . . . .	13
Occurrence. . . . .	14
Pathogenicity. . . . .	16
Pathogenicity Mechanism. . . . .	19
Research Problems. . . . .	24
<b>III ISOLATION AND IDENTIFICATION OF MOTILE <i>Aeromonas</i> spp.</b> . . . . .	26
Introduction. . . . .	26
Materials and Methods. . . . .	28



Study Areas. . . . .	28
Malaysia. . . . .	28
Kangar, Tanjung Karang and Kuala Pilah. . . . .	28
Melaka, Salak South and Enggor. . . . .	28
Sekinchan. . . . .	30
Philippines. . . . .	30
Laguna de Bay. . . . .	30
Iloilo. . . . .	30
Collection and Transportation of Samples. . . . .	30
Isolation of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp.. . . . .	32
Identification of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. . . . .	33
Morphological and Cultural Identification. . . . .	33
Biochemical Identification. . . . .	35
Results. . . . .	36
Isolation of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> sp.. . . . .	36
Identification of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> sp.. . . . .	40
Morphological and Cultural Identification. . . . .	45
Biochemical Identification. . . . .	45
Distribution of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> . . . . .	52
Discussion. . . . .	56



	Isolation of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> . . . . .	56
	Identification of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> . . . . .	60
	Distribution of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> . . . . .	64
<b>IV</b>	<b>VIRULENCE STUDIES</b> . . . . .	<b>67</b>
	Introduction. . . . .	67
	Materials and Methods. . . . .	68
	Strains. . . . .	68
	Virulence Screening. . . . .	68
	Determination of LD <sub>50</sub> . . . . .	71
	Detection of Hemolysin. . . . .	72
	Extracellular Product (ECP). . . . .	72
	Results. . . . .	73
	Discussion. . . . .	84
<b>V</b>	<b>NUMERICAL TAXONOMY</b> . . . . .	<b>92</b>
	Introduction. . . . .	92
	Materials and Methods. . . . .	93
	Bacteriological Methods. . . . .	93
	Strains. . . . .	94
	Temperature of Incubation. . . . .	94
	Basal Media. . . . .	94
	Morphological Observations. . . . .	94
	Biochemical and Physiological Tests. . . . .	94



	Numerical Analysis. . . . .	96
	Coding. . . . .	96
	Cluster Analysis and Representative of Results. . . . .	97
	Results. . . . .	98
	Discussion. . . . .	106
<b>VI</b>	<b>SEROLOGICAL STUDIES . . . . .</b>	<b>111</b>
	Introduction. . . . .	111
	Materials and Methods. . . . .	113
	Strains. . . . .	113
	Antiserum Production. . . . .	113
	Titer Determination. . . . .	114
	Slide Agglutination. . . . .	114
	Results. . . . .	115
	Discussion. . . . .	124
<b>VII</b>	<b>DEOXYRIBONUCLEIC ACID STUDIES . . . . .</b>	<b>131</b>
	Introduction. . . . .	131
	Materials and Methods. . . . .	133
	Bacterial Strains. . . . .	133
	DNA Extraction. . . . .	133
	Determination of Percent Guanine-Cytosine (% G+C). . . . .	139
	Determination of Single Cot <sub>0.5</sub> and Estimation of Genome Size. . . . .	140
	DNA-DNA Homology Determination. . . . .	145





Results. . . . .	146
Discussion. . . . .	151
<b>VIII GENERAL DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION . . . . .</b>	<b>.157</b>
<b>BIBLIOGRAPHY . . . . .</b>	<b>168</b>
<b>APPENDICES . . . . .</b>	<b>181</b>
<b>BIOGRAPHICAL SKETCH . . . . .</b>	<b>190</b>



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1	Classification of the Genus <i>Aeromonas</i> Kluver and Van Niel 1936 . . . . .	9
2	Diagnostic Biochemical Characteristics of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. Based on Bergey's Manual (Popoff, 1984). . . . .	27
3	Profile of <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. Isolates. . . . .	37
4	Identification/Code Numbers of Isolates from Healthy and EUS-Positive Fish. . . . .	38
5	Biochemical Characteristics of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> Strains Based on Bergey's Manual (Popoff, 1984). . . . .	41
6	Biochemical Characteristics of Reference Strains. . . . .	43
7	Variable Biochemical Characteristics of <i>Aeromonas</i> Reference Strains. . . . .	48
8	Variable Biochemical Characters of Test Strains. . . . .	49
9	Significant Biochemical Characteristics of the Three Species of <i>Aeromonas</i> . . . . .	49
10	Biochemical Characteristics of <i>A. hydrophila</i> -like, <i>A. sobria</i> like and <i>Aeromonas</i> species which Distinguished them from the Typical Reactions of Motile Aeromonads. . . . .	51
11	Distribution of Identified Strains According to Host. . . . .	53



12	Distribution of Identified Strains According to Sources of Fishes. . . . .	55
13	Virulence of Isolates from Healthy and EUS-Positive Fish. . . . .	74
14	Ditribution of Highly, Weakly Virulent and Avirulent Strains in Different Host Fishes. . . . .	77
15	Overall Differential Biochemical Characteristics Between Highly, Weakly Virulent and Avirulent Strains of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. . . . .	78
16	Summary of Differential Biochemical Characteristics Between Combined Virulent (High and Weak) and Avirulent Strains. . . . .	79
17	Different Biochemical Characteristics Between Highly, Weakly Virulent and Avirulent Strains of <i>A. hydrophila</i> . . . . .	80
18	Hemolytic Activity of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. Including Reference Strains in TSA Bovine Blood Agar Plates. . . . .	81
19	Virulence and LD <sub>50</sub> of <i>A. hydrophila</i> No. 5 on Grass Carp ( <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> ) Fingerlings . . . . .	82
20	Virulence and LD <sub>50</sub> of <i>A. hydrophila</i> No. 11 on Grass Carp ( <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> ) Fingerlings . . . . .	83
21	Virulence and LD <sub>50</sub> of <i>A. hydrophila</i> No. 24 on Grass Carp ( <i>Ctenopharyngodon idella</i> ) Fingerlings . . . . .	83
22	Toxicity of Undiluted Extracellular Product (ECP) of Isolate No. 5. . . . .	84



23	Diagnostic Characteristics of Phenons I-VIII. . . . .	102
24	Origin of the Isolates Classified to Phenons I-VIII. . . . .	104
25	Titer of Antisera Against Homologous Antigens. . . . .	116
26	Slide Agglutination Reaction Between Heat Killed <i>Aeromonas</i> Antigen and Antiserum from Formalin-killed <i>Aeromonas</i> Cells. . . . .	118
27	Number of <i>Aeromonas</i> Strains from Different Phenons that Agglutinated With Specific Antisera . . . . .	120
28	Number of <i>Aeromonas</i> Strains Agglutinated with Specific Antisera. . . . .	121
29	Number of Strains Agglutinated According to Host Species and Health Conditions. . . . .	123
30	Guanine and Cytosine (G+C) Mole Percent and $T_m$ Values. . . . .	146
31	$Cot_{0.5}$ and Genome Sizes Calculated from Renaturation Rates. . . . .	148
32	Percentage Homology of DNA of Motile <i>Aeromonads</i> and DNA of Reference Strains of <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. . . . .	149
33	Diagnostic Biochemical Characteristics of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. . . . .	163
34	Percentage DNA Homology of Motile <i>Aeromonads</i> Against <i>A. hydrophila</i> ATCC 7966. . . . .	164
35	List of Biochemical Characters with Positive Results. . . . .	182



36	List of Biochemical Characters with Negative Results. . . . .	183
37a	Biochemical Characteristics of Individual Strains with Variable Reactions (1-17). . . . .	184
37b	Biochemical Characteristics of Individual Strains with Variable Reactions (18-34). . . . .	187



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
1	Countries in Asia and the Pacific Affected with the Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome. . . . .	4
2	West Malaysia Showing Extent of States Affected with Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome (Shaded). . . . .	29
3	Luzon Island, Philippines Showing Extent of Provinces Affected with Epizootic Ulcerative Syndrome (Shaded). . . . .	31
4	Schematic Diagram for the Presumptive Identification of Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. . . . .	34
5	Standard Curve of a Representative Motile <i>Aeromonas</i> spp. Concentration with Optical Density. . . . .	70
6	Diagrammatic representation of S-values of 54 strains studied. Squares are shaded to represent similarity values such that areas with the highest S-values received the densest shading. clusters of isolates are represented by triangular areas with darker shading. . . . .	99
7	Dendrogram based on average linkage of 54 strains studied. Phenons are evident above 85% phenon line. If phenon line is at 80% level, more isolates will comprise a phenon. (I to VIII, phenons; Ah, <i>A. hydrophila</i> ; Ah-1, <i>A. hydrophila</i> -like; Ac, <i>A. caviae</i> ; A, <i>Aeromonas</i> sp.; D, EUS-Positive; H, Healthy; ATTC,	



	American Type Culture Collection; SEAFDEC, Southeast Asian Fisheries Development Center; P, Philippines; M, Malaysia; J, Japan; O, Highly Virulent; O, Weakly Virulent; O, Avirulent; *, Reference Strain). . . . .	100
8	Proposed Protocol for the Diagnosis of EUS Caused by <i>A.</i> <i>hydrophila</i> Serotype I. . . . .	130



## LIST OF PLATES

Plates		Page
1a	Snakehead ( <i>Ophicephalus striatus</i> Bloch) with Ulcers Affecting the Body Surface and the Head Region. . .	3
1b	Catfish ( <i>Clarias spp.</i> ) with Ulcers on the Body Surface. . . . .	3
2	A Representative Isolate of <i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i> Showing Its Characteristic Single Polar Flagellation (Magnified 45,000 X). . . . .	46
3	Grass Carp Showing Liquefaction and Hemorrhage of the Lateral Ventral Side of the Fish with Intramuscular Infection. . . . .	76
4	Top layer is saline-EDTA mixed with DNA, RNA and some impurities. The middle thin layer is composed of bacterial proteins and the bottom layer is phenol . . . . .	135
5	DNA and RNA are collected by winding a stirring rod in the solution. The rod is pressed on the side of the beaker to remove excess fluid. . . . .	136
6	The stirring rod with DNA/RNA being air-dried. . . . .	137
7	The UV spectrophotometer apparatus with temperature controller. . . . .	141
8	The French press equipment used to shear the DNA by subjecting DNA to pressure of 1500 kg/cm <sup>2</sup> . . . . .	143





9 A dialysis set-up. The sheared DNA is dialyzed with 3x SSC + 20% DMSO. The DNA is inside the Visking Tube. . . . .144



## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ATCC	- American Type Culture Collection
ECP	- Extracellular product
EUS	- Epizootic ulcerative syndrome
GC (=G+C)	- Guanine + Cytosine
LPS	- Lipopolysaccharide
MR	- Methyl red
NB	- Nutrient broth
OD (=O.D.)	- Optical density
RS	- Rimler - Shotts medium
SEAFDEC-AQD	- Southeast Asean Fisheries Development Center - Aquaculture Department
SIM	- Sulfide indol motility
SLS	- Sodium lauryl sulfate
SSC	- Standard saline citrate
S value	- Similarity value
TSA	- Trypticase soy agar
UPV-BAC	- University of the Philippines in the Visayas- Brackishwater Aquaculture Center
VP	- Voges-Proskauer



Abstract of the thesis presented to the Senate of the Universiti Pertanian Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

**STUDIES ON MOTILE AEROMONAS SPP. ASSOCIATED WITH HEALTHY AND EPIZOOTIC ULCERATIVE SYNDROME-POSITIVE FISH**

by

James L. Torres  
August, 1990

Supervisor: Assoc. Prof. Mohamed Shariff, Ph.D.

Faculty: Fisheries and Marine Science

*Aeromonas hydrophila* was reported to be associated with epizootic ulcerative syndrome (EUS) outbreaks in the Asia-Pacific region since the 1980s. However, the precise role of *A. hydrophila* in EUS is not clear because its taxonomy is confusing, with reports of wide phenotypic, serological and genotypic characteristics of the organism. *Aeromonas hydrophila* is normally present in freshwater environments and in fish intestines.

This study was, therefore, undertaken to examine the relationships among motile *Aeromonas spp.* associated with healthy and EUS-positive fish.



A total of 54 motile *Aeromonas* strains were studied. Twenty-nine strains were from EUS-positive fish, 19 from healthy fish, and six were reference strains. Out of the 54 strains, 24 were identified as *A. hydrophila*, 17 were *A. hydrophila*-like, three *A. sobria*, five *A. sobria*-like, one *A. caviae*, and four unclassified *Aeromonas* spp. The identification took into consideration the biochemical characteristics of the two type strains, ATCC 7966 (*A. hydrophila*) and ATCC 9071 (*A. sobria*).

Nine of the strains were categorized highly virulent, 12 weakly virulent, and 27 avirulent. The reference strains were not screened for virulence. The LD<sub>50</sub> of representative highly virulent strains ranged from 1 to  $2 \times 10^4$  cells/fish. Crude ECP resulted in oedematous reaction upon intramuscular injection. In addition, all strains studied, except one, caused hemolysis to bovine blood cells.

Numerical taxonomy analysis of the strains resulted to eight phenetic groups. Phenons I and II were identified as *A. hydrophila*. Phenon I, on one hand, comprised the highly virulent *A. hydrophila*, the majority of which were isolated from EUS-positive fish. Phenon II, on the other hand, were avirulent, the majority of which were isolated from healthy fish. The type strain ATCC 7966 (*A. hydrophila*) fell in phenon



VII, while type strain ATCC 9071 (*A. sobria*) did not cluster with any of the phenons.

Serological studies of the strains revealed that isolates in Phenon I shared common antigens with nos. 5 and 45 which were members of the same phenon. Phenon I was, therefore, designated *A. hydrophila* serotype I. All other *A. hydrophila* which were serologically heterogeneous were designated under *A. hydrophila* serotype II.

Subsequent DNA-DNA hybridization of representative strains from the phenons revealed that phenons I (*A. hydrophila*), II (*A. hydrophila*), and III (*A. hydrophila* and *A. hydrophila-like*) were genetically related with the type strain ATCC 7966 [*A. hydrophila* (phenon VII)] with homology values of more than 70%. Phenons V, VI and VIII were, however, genetically distant against the type strain. Phenon V was proposed as a new species given the name *A. pastoria* because of its distinct phenotypic characters against the other three recognized motile aeromonads and its distant genetic relationship with ATCC 7966. *Aeromonas pastoria*, however, clearly belonged to the genus *Aeromonas* in the family Vibrionaceae.

Based on virulence, numerical taxonomy and serological studies, it was suggested that *A. hydrophila* serotype I was the causative agent of epizootic ulcerative syndrome.



Abstrak tesis yang diserahkan kepada Senat Universiti Pertanian Malaysia sebagai syarat bagi memenuhi keperluan Ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KAJIAN KEATAS SPESIES-SPESES AEROMONAS MOTIL YANG BERKAITAN DENGAN IKAN SIHAT DAN IKAN BERSINDROM EPIZOOTIK POSITIF**

by

James L. Torres  
August, 1990

Penyelia: Prof. Madya Dr. Mohamed Shariff

Fakulti: Perikanan dan Sains Samudera

*Aeromonas hydrophila* telah dilaporkan terbabit dengan wabak sindrom epizootic ulseratif (SEU) dalam kawasan Asia-Pasifik semenjak tahun 1980an. Tetapi peranan sebenar *A. hydrophila* dalam SEU tidak berapa jelas kerana taksonominya adalah mengelirukan, dengan laporan fenotipik yang luas, ciri-ciri serologikal dan genotipik organisma tersebut. *Aeromonas hydrophila* biasanya berdapat dalam persekitaran air dan usus ikan.

Kajian ini, oleh itu, dijalankan untuk memeriksa hubungan di antara spesies-spesies *Aeromonas* yang terlibat dengan ikan sihat dan SEU positif.



Sejumlah 54 strain *Aeromonas* motil telah dikaji. Dua puluh sembilan strain adalah dari ikan SEU positif, 19 dari ikan sihat dan enam dari strain rujukan. Dari 54 strain, 24 dikenalpasti sebagai *A. hydrophila*, 17 adalah seakan *A. hydrophila*, tiga sebagai *A. sobria*, lima seakan *A. sobria*, satu *A. caviae*, dan empat spesies *Aeromonas* tanpa kelas. Pengenalpastian mengambil kira ciri-ciri biokimia dua jenis strain tip iaitu ATCC 7966 (*A. hydrophila*) dan ATCC 9071 (*A. sobria*).

Sembilan dari strain, dikategorikan sebagai amat virulen, 12 virulen lemah, dan 27 avirulen. Strain rujukan tidak dinilai untuk potensi virulens. LD<sub>50</sub> bagi wakil strain amat virulen berjulat dari 1 hingga 2 x 10<sup>4</sup> sel/ikan. ECP kasar menyebabkan reaksi edema selepas suntikan ke dalam otot. Selain daripada itu, kesemua strain, melainkan satu, adalah hemolitik keatas sel darah bovina.

Analisa taksonomi numerikal strain telah menghasikan lapan kumpulan fenetik. Fenon I dan II dikenalpasti sebagai *A. hydrophila*. Fenon I adalah berkaitan dengan *A. hydrophila* yang amat virulen, yangmana sebahagian besarnya diasing dari ikan yang dijangkiti SEU. Fenon II, di sebaliknya, avirulen, dimana kebanyakannya dipencil dari ikan sihat. Strain tip ATCC 7966 (*A. hydrophila*) jatuh ke dalam fenon VII, sementara strain tip ATCC 9071 (*A. sobria*) tidak dapat ditempatkan ke dalam mana-



mana fenon.

Kajian serologi terhadap strain menunjukkan fenon I mempunyai antigen sepunya bersama nos. 5 dan 45 yang merupakan ahli dari fenon yang sama. Fenon I, dinamakan *A. hydrophila* serotip I. Kesemua *A. hydrophila* yang lain secara serologi adalah heterogen dan diletakkan dibawah *A. hydrophila* serotip II bagi memisahkan mereka dari fenon I.

Hibridisi DNA-DNA strain adalah wakil dari fenon-fenon selajutnya yang menunjukkan bahawa fenon I (*A. hydrophila*), II (*A. hydrophila*), dan III (*A. hydrophila* dan seakan *A. hydrophila*) adalah secara genetik berhubung rapat dengan strain tip ATCC 7966 [*A. hydrophila* (fenon VII)] dengan nilai homologi lebih dari 70%. Fenon-fenon V, VI dan VIII adalah, walau bagaimanapun, secara genetik yang jauh berbeza daripada strain tip. Fenon V disyorkan sebagai satu spesies baru yang dinamakan *A. pastoria* kerana ciri-ciri fenotipnya jauh berbeza dari tiga aeromonad motil yang dikenali dan pertalian genetiknya dengan ATCC 7966. *Aeromonas pastoria*, walau bagaimanapun, jelas terletak dalam genus *Aeromonas* dibawah keluarga Vibrionaceae.

Berdasarkan atas virulensi, taksonomi numerikal dan serological, adalah disyorkan bahawa *A. hydrophila* serotip I adalah agen etiologi sindrom epizootik ulseratif.





## CHAPTER I

### GENERAL INTRODUCTION

*Aeromonas hydrophila* is a gram-negative bacterium considered autochthonous inhabitant of aquatic environments (Kaper *et al.*, 1981). It is distributed worldwide and has been isolated from both polluted and unpolluted water. Though considered a freshwater species, it is also known to survive in saltwater of low salinity (Williams and LaRock, 1985). *Aeromonas hydrophila* is said to comprise a portion of normal microflora of fishes, as well as other aquatic animals and plants (Simidu *et al.*, 1971; Trust and Sparrow, 1974). It is considered an opportunistic pathogen in fish with immune deficiency but others have considered them a primary pathogen (Austin and Austin, 1987).

Studies have also shown that *A. hydrophila* were pathogenic to fish compared with the other two recognized motile aeromonads: *Aeromonas caviae* and *Aeromonas sobria* (Boulanger *et al.*, 1977; Olivier *et al.*, 1980; Popoff, 1984). However, new findings have shown that *A. sobria* was more pathogenic than *A. hydrophila* and *A. caviae* (Janda *et al.*, 1985). The mechanisms of pathogenesis of motile aeromonads are, however, not fully understood (Brenden and Huizinga, 1986b).

