CLIMATE VARIABILITY AND WATER STRESS EFFECTS ON OIL PALM (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) PRODUCTIVITY IN MALAYSIA

SANI IDRIS^{1,2}; CHRISTOPHER TEH BOON SUNG^{1*}; SYAHARUDIN ZAIBON¹ and SIM CHOON CHEAK³

ABSTRACT

Oil palm is a key pillar of Malaysia's socio-economic development, contributing to the nation's economic stability, and is also a major driver of the global oil industry. However, climate variability has progressively reduced the productivity of oil palm (OP) by subjecting it to water stress through inadequate and irregular rainfall, prolonged dry spells, and elevated temperatures. This article reviews past literature and provides useful insights into the effects of climate elements and the physiological and agronomic effects of water stress on OP. Water stress impairs the physiological and metabolic functions of OP, particularly stomatal conductance, leaf water potential, proline synthesis, sex differentiation, and water use efficiency. These combined effects diminish the biomass and yield of OP. This review also highlights the temporal variability of climate and identifies the role of various soil properties related to water stress. It presents climate projections threatening OP sustainability and presents possible solutions. Additionally, the specific fraction of plant-available water necessary for triggering water stress remains under-researched. The relationship between various physiological and genetic mechanisms that control stomatal response during water stress is unclear. The efficiencies of various irrigation approaches and water conservation measures must also be re-evaluated based on climate predictions.

Keywords: climate change, dry spell, global warming, irrigation, water deficit.

Received: 10 February 2024; Accepted: 3 September 2024; Published online: 26 November 2024.

INTRODUCTION

Oil palm has the highest oil yield, and it is harvested regularly throughout the year (DoCampo *et al.*, 2021; Yawson, 2015). Globally, its production expands steadily (Dislich *et al.*, 2017; Kawamura *et al.*, 2014; Paterson and Lima, 2017) and typically

² Department of Soil Science, Faculty of Agriculture/Institute for Agricultural Research, Ahmadu Bello University, 1044 Samaru, Zaria, Nigeria.

³ SD Guthrie Research Sdn. Bhd., Chemara Research Centre, Lot 2664, Jalan Pulau Carey, 42960 Carey Island, Kuala Langat, Selangor, Malaysia. reaches its maximum yield at 9-10 years after field planting, with an average fresh fruit bunch (FFB) yield of 16.6-25.6 t ha⁻¹ in Malaysia (Kushairi *et al.*, 2019; MPOB, 2022). Although oil palm originated from Africa, Indonesia, Malaysia, China, and India are now the major producers and importers (Carr, 2011), thus dominating the global oil palm industry (Abubakar and Ishak, 2022; Voora *et al.*, 2019; World Bank, 2021). Oil palm greatly contributes to Malaysian socioeconomic performance (Norizan *et al.*, 2021).

Oil palm production in Malaysia is limited because of water stress resulting from water deficits (Mutert *et al.*, 1999; Norizan *et al.*, 2021), which is identified as the primary constraint to optimum yields (Woittiez *et al.*, 2017). Water for oil palm production comes primarily from precipitation (Miller and Donahue, 1992; Shevade and Loboda,

¹ Department of Land Management, Faculty of Agriculture, Universiti Putra Malaysia, 43400 Serdang, Selangor, Malaysia.

^{*} Corresponding author e-mail: chris@upm.edu.my

2019), which is often insufficient or not distributed uniformly throughout the year, leading to water stress and a decline in yield.

Global warming due to climate change (CC) causes water shortages (Jagtap, 2007; Teh, 2017). Mueller (2009) and Okon et al. (2021) reported that CC is a phenomenon that affects different regions of the world in various negative ways, particularly the tropics (Idowu et al., 2011; Williams et al., 2018). The impact of CC through water stress inducement places more pressure on crop production globally than in any other sector (IPCC, 2014). This suggests that more research is required on the impact of CC on crop production (Koh et al., 2011; Okon et al., 2021). The adverse effects of CC on crop production are both direct and indirect, as well as short- and long-term (Fitton et al., 2019; Obioha, 2008). For instance, CCinduced water stress causes oil palm seedlings to wilt, experience stunted growth, and suffer from impaired root development (Okon et al., 2021). Over the past decades, efforts to combat CC have been costly, placing a strain on countries both economically and technically (Abubakar et al., 2021; IPCC, 2021).

The effects of CC on the oil palm industry are evident. Intense solar radiation and temperature are twin CC elements that cause oil palm yield reductions (Hoffmann et al., 2015) through their effects on critical physiological processes (photosynthesis, respiration, and transpiration) (Cheah et al., 2020; Teh and Cheah, 2023). Henson and Harun (2005) linked the impact of CC to seasonal fluctuations in the heat energy balance, which affects the oil palm FFB yields at many sites in Malaysia. Henson and Harun (2005) acknowledged that the effects of CC in some parts of Kedah, Malaysia, were so severe that rainfall during April, May, and June was significantly reduced, with average rainfall amounting to only one-third of the reference evapotranspiration (ETo). For instance, February recorded a mere 0.4 mm ETo. Hoffmann et al. (2015) observed a wide range of FFB reduction under various water stress scenarios. MPIC (2018) emphasised the need for accurate and real-time data on oil palm response to water stress and its causal factors for biotechnology and breeding projects aimed at developing climate-resilient oil palm planting materials.

Against this backdrop, this review aims to navigate through previous studies to harmonise various submissions on oil palm behaviour when exposed to water stress under varying climate conditions. The goal of this study was to identify research gaps and suggest possible ways forward. We utilised search engines like Google and Edge, as well as academic databases such as ScienceDirect and Google Scholar, to conduct a comprehensive literature search.

IMPORTANCE OF OIL PALM PRODUCTION

Oil palm is central to the global oil market (DoCampo et al., 2021). Although it has the least cultivated area for oil crops (Oil World, 2022), its oil output greatly surpasses (20 times) those of Glycine max L. (soybean), Arachis hypogaea L. (groundnut), Brassica napus L. (canola), and Helianthus annus L. (sunflower) (Chang et al., 2014; Low, 2019; Moraidi et al., 2012; Woittiez et al., 2017). Corley and Tinker (2016) and Murphy (2021) stated that worldwide, palm oil accounts for approximately 39% of the total vegetable oil consumed (Murphy, 2021) and is being used for the production of critical additives, reagents, polymers, and organo-minerals (Adileksana et al., 2020; Bognár et al., 2020; Cheah and Hoi, 1999; Chin et al., 2020; Gao et al., 2020; Jamshaid et al., 2022; Liew et al., 2021; Masharuddin et al., 2021; Otsuka et al., 2006; Uke et al., 2021).

Oil palm production is crucial for Malaysian socioeconomic development (MPOC, 2019; Pacheco *et al.*, 2017); thus, guides central decisions and policy-making (DOSM, 2020; MPOB, 2022; Singh *et al.*, 2021). It also provides gainful employment to a large population (Abdul Rahman, 2018; AsianAgri, 2023; Parveez *et al.*, 2023), including 70% of job-seeking foreigners (Hamid *et al.*, 2013; Hanafiah *et al.*, 2022; Nkongho *et al.*, 2014; Parveez *et al.*, 2022; Pirker *et al.*, 2016; Qaim *et al.*, 2020). All these describe oil palm as a multi-utility crop worth sustainable production for enhanced global industrialisation and national growth.

OIL PALM GROWTH, YIELD AND PHYSIOLOGICAL RESPONSE TO WATER STRESS

Yield Gap

USDA (2023) data reveals a significant yield gap in Malaysian oil palm production. On average, the country achieves its expected maximum yield in only one out of twelve months (November). Bakoumé et al. (2013), Chalvantharan et al. (2023), and USDA (2023) confirmed that optimal yields in Malaysia are achieved in only 4-5 months yr⁻¹. Woittiez et al. (2017) reported that actual oil palm yields remain less than 50% of their potential (3.3 vs. 8.0 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹). Rhebergen et al. (2018) suggested this gap could be even wider in subsistence production systems. Consequently, addressing this substantial yield gap could significantly impact global oil production. Woittiez et al. (2017) estimated that closing this gap could improve world oil production by 17.5 t yr⁻¹. In a study of an oil palm plantation in Central Kalimantan, Hoffmann et al. (2017) estimated that closing the yield gap through management practices alone could increase its FFB production by 1.2 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. These projected increases underscore the significant potential of addressing yield gaps, highlighting how such improvements could substantially enhance food security. The persistent yield gap is closely linked to climate variability (Abdul Rahman, 2018; Bakoumé *et al.*, 2013;). These climatic challenges limit the expression of full yield potential in improved oil palm germplasm (Ariffin *et al.*, 2002; Rhebergen *et al.*, 2020).

Climate Variability and Its Effects on Oil Palm

Climate change or climate variability (UCAR, 2022) is said to occur when changes in climate variables are observed in an extended manner (IPCC, 2013). This means that sudden weather anomalies that occur for one to three years, then disappear would not be considered as climate variability. Climate variability is typically caused by natural and anthropogenic interplay (Idris and Yahaya, 2022), consisting of orbital revolution of the earth, volcanic eruption, movements of the crust (MetOffice, 2023), burning of fossil fuels, and increased concentrations of greenhouse gases (GHGs) from cultivation and deforestation (Green Peace, 2023; Ogle et al., 2014). Therefore, the history of oil palm production has been a critical anthropogenic source by which GHGs are added to the earth system (Butler and Laurance, 2009; Fitzherbert et al., 2008).

Saifan et al. (2021) revealed that 25% of farmers in Malaysia are vulnerable to declining yields due to climate variability-related problems. Abubakar and Ishak (2022) confirmed a link between climate variability and consistent yield downward trend (7.5%) in various regions in Malaysia. Changes brought about by climate variability intensify the water deficit, thereby restricting growers to drought-tolerant oil palm cultivars that are not high-yielding (Masud et al., 2017), thus reducing FFB output (Shobande, 2021). Increased ETo losses from weather variability events (Wang et al., 2014) negatively impact productivity, as well as reducing the efficient distribution and utilisation of fertilisers and herbicides (Gustafson et al., 2015). Variations in climate conditions often shorten the length of the oil palm production cycle (Abubakar et al., 2021; Morton et al., 2017; Saifan et al., 2021) and increase the prevalence of crop diseases and new pests, resulting in low productivity (Melillo et al., 2014; Saifan *et al.*, 2021).

Climate-related limitations affect perennial crops, such as oil palm, as their performance is influenced not only by current weather conditions but also by the lingering effects of past climate events (Carr, 2011). In 2018, oil palm production was negatively affected by climate factors, likely exacerbated by the cumulative effects of previous years' climate variability (Kushairi *et al.*, 2017). However, an adequate understanding of the legacy or residual effects of CC either at the spatial or temporal scale is still scarce.

Although climate variability in Malaysia is less pronounced than in African countries like Nigeria (NiMet, 2022), it still significantly leads to unexpected yield variations across different locations and seasons (Nelson *et al.*, 2006; Sarkar *et al.*, 2020; Tang, 2019). Based on Kushairi *et al.* (2019) and MPOB (2018), between 2015-2018, yield variance stood at 17% ha⁻¹ basis (from 15.91 t ha⁻¹ in 2016 to 19.92 t ha⁻¹ in 2017). They further confirmed that the observed yield variance was linked to changing climate patterns, as the water deficit in 2017 was far less than in 2016.

Economically, Zainal *et al.* (2012) estimated that a 1°C increase in temperature due to climate variability would result in losses of USD10.63 ha⁻¹ in Peninsular Malaysia, USD10.89 ha⁻¹ in Sabah, and USD9.01 ha⁻¹ in Sarawak. Furthermore, over the next 6, 36, and 76 years from 2023, oil palm net revenue is projected to decline by an average of USD81.52, USD30.44, and USD12.37 ha⁻¹, respectively, due to the continued effects of climate variability (Zainal *et al.*, 2012). Malaysia faces potential economic insecurity if erratic climate patterns lead to decreased oil palm yields and reduced palm oil prices (Swaray *et al.*, 2021).

Lim et al. (2022) pointed out that the of abrupt global hydrological occurrence phenomena such as El Niño, which amplifies the water stress problem, is another form of climate variability. EI Niño is an abnormality that occurs when less rainfall occurs in the western Pacific but more is experienced in the eastern part, resulting in drought and flooding, respectively (National Geographic, 2023). Although its occurrence was only five times between 1980 and 2000, it resulted in severe consequences of production reductions (ACT, 2011). Oil palm production was severely affected by EI Niño in 1997-1998 (Lim et al., 2008) and in 2016 (Parveez et al., 2022). Findings from a long-term study (spanning 33 years) indicated that EI Niño increased potential ET by up to 95% (Tui and Arifin, 2013). Kamil and Omar (2017) attributed a significant loss of yield to El Niño in Malaysia and other tropical countries.

Globally, Hekstra (1986) maintained that climate variability, particularly through changes in precipitation and temperature, has led to low productivity by 5%-20% and decreased crop production quality (Alam *et al.*, 2017).

In summary, insufficient rainfall, partly driven by *El Niño* and climate fluctuations, leads to water stress conditions that severely threaten palm oil production.

Temperature Variability and Its Effects on Oil Palm

Pour et al. (2014), The Star (2022), and Wang et al. (2014) stated that the escalation of global and regional temperatures is a product of long-term climate variability. IPCC (2013) and Shahid et al. (2017) confirmed that the rising number of hot days and sustained temperature increases in various regions of Malaysia are clear indicators of climate variability, which directly influences oil palm productivity (Corley and Tinker, 2016). Tang (2019) reported that over three decades, temperature trends varied across different zones in Malaysia, with increase of 7.55% in Kota Kinabalu, 7.27% in Kuching, and 10.20% in Malacca, Kuantan, and Subang Java. NRE (2015) reported that the ten-year temperature increase in the Peninsular was 56.40% higher than that experienced in Sarawak. Abdul Rahman (2018) corroborated that although an increase in temperature was recorded in all regions, the Peninsular was 33.30% warmer than the eastern part of Malaysia based on approximately five decades of data.

Sammathuria and Ling (2009) reported that the climate variability experienced in Malaysia included unusually high temperatures that occurred five times between 1972 and 1998. Al-Amin *et al.* (2015) and Murad *et al.* (2010) pointed out that increased heat, which was observed around 1983-1987, originated from anomalous temperature rise. In Malaysia, day-to-day temperature variation is more obvious and anomalous than the average year-to-year temperature variation (Murad *et al.*, 2010).

However, the relationship between ambient and soil temperatures and their simultaneous effects on oil palm growth and development has not yet been identified. Although Nuruddin and Tokiman (2005) sought to establish ambient temperature as the best explanatory variable for soil temperature, finding a high regression coefficient ($R^2 = 0.96$), the 1 cm depth at which they measured soil temperature was not sufficiently reliable to represent the active rhizosphere of oil palm roots.

Temperature has diverse effects on various biochemical and anatomical processes in oil palm, particularly by reducing activation energy, which influences metabolic rates and enzymatic activities (Kim, 2010; Kirkham, 2005; Mazlan et al., 2021). Generally, mature oil palm requires a temperature of 24°C-28°C for optimal growth and development (Lim *et al.*, 2008), above which the dry matter yield is reduced up to 16% due to excessive ET rates (Okon et al., 2021). Lim et al. (2021) reported that prolonged periods of temperatures below 21°C led to a high rate of flower abortion, which in turn resulted in a 3.2% reduction in FFB yield. The growth of young seedlings was impeded at temperatures below 15°C but stimulated at above 20°C (Lim et al., 2008). In a separate study, Ferwerda and Ehrencron

(1977) found that exposing oil palm to 22°C and 8°C day and night temperatures, respectively, for 120 days resulted in a complete cessation of growth in oil palm. However, when temperatures increased to between 12°C and 27°C, frond leaf production increased in a quadratic pattern. Okon *et al.* (2021) suggested that temperature sensitivity varies among oil palm cultivars, with some cultivars demonstrating a better ability to withstand prolonged exposure to high temperatures compared to others. However, a key question remains: How long will the effects of high temperatures continue to impact oil palm growth and yield after the initial exposure? In addition, little is known about the lower and upper critical limits for optimum oil palm performance.

Rainfall Variability and Its Effects on Oil Palm

Gleick (1989) found that the rate of rainfall reduction due to climate variability across the globe was 100%-200% compared to pre-industrial times. In Malaysia, Tangang et al. (2012; 2018) reported that changes in the pattern and intensity of rainfall have been observed since the early 2000s. Observations by Abdul Rahman (2018) revealed that Sabah and some parts of the Peninsular (e.g., Pahang and Kelantan) received 5% less rainfall on average due to climate change. Noor et al. (2018) analysed rainfall patterns in Malaysia using intensity-duration-frequency (IDF) curves, which describe the probability of rainfall intensity occurrence over given time periods. These curves, generated from hourly rainfall data from 1971 to 2005, revealed high rainfall intensity with wide variability across the Peninsular. However, the state of Kedah showed a consistent decreasing trend in rainfall intensity, particularly within the first ten years. Both observed and simulated hourly rainfall records confirmed highly variable trends throughout the studied areas.

Carr (2011), Finucane and Keener (2015), and UNM (2022) stated that rainfall variability can be observed through fluctuations in the groundwater hydrology, especially in areas close to the shoreline, such as parts of Sabah, Penang, and Sarawak (Mayowa *et al.*, 2015). This becomes clearer during the southwest monsoon season (Tang, 2019).

Rain is the major source of water for the agricultural production of all crop types (Benešova *et al.*, 2012; Fischer *et al.*, 2007; Ibrahim *et al.*, 2020; Najihah *et al.*, 2022; Norizan *et al.*, 2021; Sadiq *et al.*, 2022a), making it the most limiting factor in oil palm production (Goh, 2000; Jazayeri, *et al.*, 2015). ACT (2011) added that the effect of rainfall on oil palm production has been underscored since 1965 (Tui and Arifin, 2013), with most results showing a linear rainfall-yield relationship (r = 0.89). Similarly, DoCampo *et al.* (2021) corroborated that the harvesting peak for oil palm always coincided with

months of high rainfall. Furthermore, Hermantoro et al. (2018) found that in Lampung and Palembang, where rainfall supplied 100 mm less water month⁻¹ the yield decreased by 9.0% and 3.5% in the first and subsequent years, respectively. This is expected because all metabolic processes governing oil palm growth and development are water-dependent, either as major constituents or catalysts (Karananidi et al., 2020; Weil and Brady, 2017). In addition, the role of rainfall as a principal water source extends to nutrient dissolution, availability, and subsequent uptake (Norizan et al., 2021), resulting in the formation of high-quality and high-quantity FFB (Woittiez, 2019). Therefore, even a narrow difference in the rainfall among locations can lead to a wide difference in yield outcomes (Donough et al., 2011; Paterson and Lima, 2017).

However, Corley and Tinker (2016) noticed that the relationship between the total rainfall and FFB yield has been inconsistent. This may be due to the contribution of other rainfall attributes, namely, distribution and intensity (Jadhav, 2019; Oettli *et al.*, 2018; Sarkar *et al.*, 2020). According to Najihah *et al.* (2022), Usman *et al.* (2013), and Woittiez (2019), the frequency of rainfall occurrence reasonably dictates the oil palm yield by determining the sex ratio, number of spikelets, percentage of fruit set, and weight per fruit bunch. A similar effect of precipitation variability was reported to constrain oil palm production in neighbouring countries of India and Bangladesh (IRRI, 2007).

Additionally, the interplay of other factors, notably soil hydraulic properties, the presence of surface cover, and the crop's rooting system significantly influences the effectiveness of rainfall received per unit area. The question is, can we have studies dedicated to experimenting the effect of the amount, distribution, and intensity of rainfall over a complete production cycle of oil palm under various weather conditions in Malaysia?

Climate Events Variability and Its Effect on Oil Palm

Climate variability can also be examined through the occurrence of extreme conditions such as flooding and drought (IPCC, 2013; 2014). Al-Amin and Leal Filho (2014) observed that historical climate variability in Malaysia has led to an increased frequency of floods in some areas, while exacerbating water scarcity in many others (The Star, 2022). Flood occurrence, which has been steadily increasing since 1980, showed a sharp increase between 2000 and 2005 and peaked around 2010 (Laudicina and Peterson, 2015). Nashwan *et al.* (2019) and Tam *et al.* (2021) believed that climate variability that triggered heavy rainfall during the Northeast monsoon was the leading cause of the unprecedented flood that the Kelantan River Basin experienced towards the end of 2014. Data presented by Tam et al. (2021) indicated that floods occurred consecutively every year from 2001 to 2014, except in 2002, along the Kelantan River Basin area. However, its magnitude varied widely (0.2 m in 2011 - 6.8 m in 2014). Similarly, the floods that occurred in 1998 and 2007 suggest climate variability (Al-Amin et al., 2011). Murad et al. (2010) noted that the reoccurrence of these extreme meteorological hazards could potentially turn productive oil palm land into marginal or permanently unsuitable. Similarly, Lamade et al. (1998) and Henson et al. (2008) reported that longterm flooding deleteriously affects photosynthesis and transpiration and leads to premature death of young palms, stunted growth, and productivity loss (Carr, 2011).

Drought variability over a temporal scale is not only peculiar to Malaysia but also to other Asian countries where both intensity and frequency of droughts are increasing (Manikandan and Tamilmani, 2015; Tabari et al., 2013). According to Hasan et al. (2021), results from 40 years data revealed that approximately 50% of the basin areas in Malaysia have been experiencing drought at different time scales. Hasan et al. (2021) and Huang et al. (2023) showed that the highest drought intensities were observed from 1997 to 1999 and 2016 to 2018, and were more prevalent in several areas in Peninsular with a frequency of 39.25%. Specifically, the 1998 drought was very severe and, as such, increased water scarcity. The historic variability trend of drought (1985-2019) indicated that critical droughts around the Muda River occurred for 12 years (1991-2016), with the highest frequency occurring from 2003 to 2007 (Luhaim et al., 2021). Moreover, Sukarman et al. (2022) concluded that all 18 of the studied oil palm plantations showed evidence of drought spells equivalent to a 450 mm water deficit annually between 2000 and 2004, which were more frequent between January and May.

Drought is characterised as a short-term cessation of rainfall (>5 days) or an annual precipitation below 1200 mm yr⁻¹ (Hartley, 1988). This phenomenon can lead to soil moisture depletion beyond the crop's tolerance threshold, resulting in severe bunch failure, abortion, and decreased yield due to water deficit (Chi and Qi, 2021; Kirkham, 2005; Sukarman *et al.*, 2022; National Geographic, 2023).

The oil palm tree possesses unique morphological features, including a highly lignified cuticle and hypodermis, which can mask overt physical symptoms of drought-induced water stress (Rees, 1961). However, prolonged drought periods of three to six months can significantly reduce yield, biomass accumulation, and leaf area index (Carr, 2011; Corley and Tinker, 2016; Goh, 2000; Grossiord *et al.*, 2020). Moreover, drought-facilitated water stress has been associated with a consequential reduction in the quantity of extractable oil in the subsequent year (Muhamad Rizal and Tsan, 2008; Neto *et al.*, 2021).

In the context of Southeast Asian oil palm cultivation, particularly in Malaysia and Indonesia, climate variability-induced droughts lasting one to three consecutive months can have significant impacts (Abubakar and Ishak, 2022). Such drought events can potentially cause yield losses of up to 10 t of FFB ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ (Olivin, 1986).

Mohd Arif (2005) revealed that most edaphic production constraints in unsuitable areas of Malaysia, such as soil acidity, nutrient imbalances, sandiness, and hardness, are linked to drought. These conditions exacerbate water limitations by reducing groundwater recharge and soil moisture reserves (ACMAD, 2022), which are essential for continuous water uptake by oil palm. However, according to Carr (2010) and Corley and Hong (1982, 1998), less frequent drought spells caused minimal reductions to oil palm yields as well as insignificant impacts on leaf budding and initiation rates.

In summary, the recurrence of both dry spells (droughts) and floodings reduces oil palm production capacity in Africa, Asia, and Latin America (Fischer *et al.*, 2007; Lee and Ong, 2006; Malay Mail, 2015; Marengo *et al.*, 2009; Paeth *et al.*, 2009). As such, ACMAD (2022) emphasised that any serious scientific community must be motivated to act swiftly to mitigate their consequences since they most often occur unnoticed (NiMet, 2022).

Effects of Solar Irradiance on Oil Palm

Oil palm generally requires approximately 5 hr day⁻¹ of sunshine hours for optimal photosynthesis (Lim *et al.*, 2008). Several studies have reported a strong positive linear relationship between sunshine hours and FFB yield, with sunshine potentially enhancing yield by up to 80% (Cheah and Hoi, 1999; Lamade and Setiyo, 2002; Lim *et al.*, 2008).

Reduced solar irradiance due to self-shading (when upper fronds shade lower fronds of the same palm) has been reported to reduce photosynthetic efficiency by 57%, drastically reducing yield. Hoffmann *et al.* (2014) explained that the lower fronds, likely because they were blocked from direct sunlight, contributed very little to FFB formation (Hartley, 1988; Henson, 2002).

A yield simulation using an oil palm potential growth model named PALMSIM estimated that FFB could reduce to 10 t ha⁻¹ when constrained by irradiation (Hoffmann *et al.*, 2014). Caliman and Southworth (1998) observed reduced yield from solar radiation obstruction due to open burning. They established that shortened sunshine hours decreased FFB by 1.3-4.7 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹. Haze conditions

tend to reduce solar radiation reaching the oil palm trees via reflection or absorbance. Aziz *et al.* (2018) revealed that haze decreased solar radiation by 22.0%-45.0%, which resulted in declined photosynthesis by 12.9%-53.2%.

In the Peninsular, the average sunshine hours recorded by Tui and Arifin (2013) was 5.57 hr day⁻¹ from 1979 to 2011, representing the lower limit of the optimum amount reported by Carr (2011). Therefore, optimisation of solar radiation reception through research could help enhance assimilate production (Corley and Tinker, 2016; Lim *et al.*, 2021). Proper planting density and planting orientation that avoids self-shading and leaf overlap are important for maximum radiation interception.

Effects of Relative Humidity and Vapour Pressure Deficit on Oil Palm

Oil palm thrives in humid environments (DoCampo et al., 2021). This means that relative humidity (the amount of water vapour in a particular air volume) (Van der Pol et al., 2015) is a critical parameter for oil palm productivity (Maikasuwa, 2013; Obioha, 2008; Rhebergen et al., 2019). Van Ierland et al. (2006) stated that relative humidity (RH) significantly affects key internal mechanisms and the surrounding oil palm system, influencing the final yield. Lim et al. (2022) reported a relationship between stomatal aperture and ambient RH, where lower RH reduces stomatal apecture, leading to reduced photosynthetic efficiency and a decrease in net biomass weight. Oil palm seeds perform poorly during the germination stage when RH is lower than 75% and higher than 90% (Lubis, 1992).

Kirkham (2005) states that vapour pressure deficit (VPD) and RH are interrelated in function because RH is the ratio of the actual vapour pressure to the saturated air vapour pressure (Miller and Donahue, 1992; Van der Pol *et al.*, 2015). Jacquemard (1998) asserted that a high RH is required to offset the effect of high temperatures so that the VPD is maintained at the optimum state. Jacquemard (1998) inferred that VPD above 1.8 kPa and RH = 58% triggered stomatal closure at 30°C, causing significant yield reduction.

Based on the regression output, a high VPD would reduce stomatal conductance, which in turn, reduce photosynthesis (Henson, 1995; Van Ierland *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, Henson (2009) confirmed that considerably high VPD reduces total dry matter production, and its severity increases if VPD is coupled with soil moisture deficit (Lim *et al.*, 2008). In a separate study, Henson and Harun (2005) and Price and Black (1990) noted that VPD, together with temperature, explained 20%-31% of the carbon dioxide (CO₂) flux. This directly influenced the rate at which oil palm generated

photosynthates for FFB production. Suboptimal CO_2 levels adversely affect the oil palm internal hydraulic system, causing an appreciable (13.2%) yield loss (Grossiord *et al.*, 2020). Fieldwork evidence affirmed that at a very high VPD, oil palm metabolic activities are hampered or ceased completely, affecting the reproduction phase (Setyo *et al.*, 1996; Tani *et al.*, 2003; Villalobos *et al.*, 1993). The negative effect of high VPD on oil palm yield and growth attainment was significant at p=0.05, and these negative impacts could not be adequately reversed by re-watering efforts (ACT, 2011). The specific VPD threshold beyond which recovery becomes impossible still remains unclear.

Climate Prediction

Paterson et al. (2017) remarked that the climate over the next 70 years will be particularly challenging for oil palm production, thus requiring a paradigm shift in cultivation methods (Rival, 2017). Fleiss et al. (2017) and Paterson et al. (2015) stated that, like other crops, oil palm is highly dependent on climate. Therefore, the projected temperature increase of more than two-fold (IPCC, 2007) could potentially reduce its productivity by exacerbating water stress and weakening its defense mechanisms (Fleiss et al., 2017). Teh and Cheah (2018) reported that CORDEX SEA (https://cordex.org) projected the air temperature in Malaysia may rise by up to 3.2°C, and the country may experience lower rainfalls by 20% by the end of the 21st century. Loh *et al.* (2016) further estimated that the projected temperature rise across all the climate change scenarios ranged from 2.3°C to 3.7°C.

Leta *et al.* (2018) and Siderius *et al.* (2018) stated that the rate of drought occurrence and its intensity were projected to increase by 1%-30% within the 21st century. Based on NAHRIM prediction, from 2025 until 2030, Terengganu will be vulnerable to intermittent drought experiences (Malay Mail, 2020; Reuters, 2023). The rainfall forecast showed a highly variable status across seasons, but dry spells will persist longer by 30% in the driest months (December-May), while wet spells will increase by the same percentage around mid-year (Loh *et al.*, 2016).

Shanmuganathan *et al.* (2014) observed that the triangular benefits of oil palm production-high quantity, quality, and net profit-are likely to decline if climate conditions become unfavorable, as projected by Al-Wabel *et al.* (2020) and Teh and Cheah (2018). Extreme temperatures, irregular rainfall, and prolonged dry spells contribute to harsh weather conditions (Tang and Al-Qahtani, 2020). Therefore, closing all potential water loss gaps through enhanced conservation measures is essential for ensuring sustainable oil palm production.

EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS ON OIL PALM

The response of oil palm to water stress involves multiple physiological and genetic mechanisms (Hanafiah *et al.*, 2022; Jaleel *et al.*, 2009; Shao *et al.*, 2008), making it challenging to fully understand and predict its behavior under such conditions. In addition, the time taken for oil palm to reach maturity and reproduction stage complicates the understanding of the water stress-yield connection (Carr, 2010; Corley and Tinker, 2016).

Lim *et al.* (2008) and Suharyanti *et al.* (2020) found that water stress triggers various physiological responses as adaptive strategies, such as midday stomatal closure, shading of older leaves, extension of root system, and conversion of stored trunk starch to support bunch and inflorescence development (Carr, 2011). As a result, this process inhibits growth of new leaves and delays shoot development (Rivera *et al.*, 2012).

Under persistent water stress conditions, canopy thinning, dropping of developing bunches, and eventual tree death can occur (ACT, 2011). Jazayeri et al. (2015) observed that young oil palm seedlings in nurseries often failed to recover even after water was resupplied following periods of extreme water stress. This vulnerability is likely due to the absence of well-established root system and insufficient starch reserves in the trunk, which are characteristics typically found in mature palms (Lim et al., 2008). The underdeveloped state of these young plants makes them particularly susceptible to drought-induced physiological damage, from which they struggle to recover even when water becomes available again. This signifies that water stress is associated with poor yield and less vegetative vigour and could lead to permanent wilting and death at higher severity. Lim et al. (2008; 2021) posited flower abortion is a more immediate and severe consequence of water stress in oil palm compared to changes in sex differentiation.

Henson and Harun (2005) stated that during the 4-5 dry months in the northern part of Kedah, oil palm initially maintained high ETo rates in response to water stress, driven by high sensible and latent heat. However, as the stress persisted, ETo decreased, and only returned to normal levels when sufficient moisture (5 mm day⁻¹) was restored in June. However, under mild water stress, the ETo rate showed no significant difference compared to that of fully watered crops (Ibrahim *et al.*, 2020).

Jazayeri *et al.* (2015) experimented with the *tenera* hybrid of oil palm tolerance to water stress levels. They observed that high and severe water stress levels (50.0% and 25.0% of ETo, respectively) caused a drastic decline in leaf water potential by 66.7%, photosynthetic rate by 28.6%, and water use efficiency (WUE) by 26.87%. The rate

of photosynthesis in week 4 and 8 was reduced by 23.0% and 53.0% for IRHO7010 germplasm, respectively. For the IRHO1001 germplasm, the rate was reduced by 46.0% and 74.0%, respectively. Similar reduction in photosynthesis have been linked to chlorophyll and carotenoid degradation and reduce ATP synthesis in other crops (Boughalleb and Hajlaoui, 2011; Cha-um *et al.*, 2013; Pan *et al.*, 2020), which in turn stunted shoot growth (Farooq *et al.*, 2009). This suggests that different oil palm cultivars may respond differently to water stress, though more empirical evidence are needed to confirm this assertion.

High concentrations of proline, malondialdehyde, abscisic acid, and relative electrolyte leakage have been observed, all of which play a central role in moderating the stomatal responses to mitigate the effects of water deficit (Cha-um *et al.*, 2013; Henson *et al.*, 1992; Najihah *et al.*, 2022). Proline is the most prominent chemical indicator of water stress (Cao *et al.*, 2011), and it is a biochemical solute produced through glutamate intermediates and oxidation by P5CR (Sun *et al.*, 2011).

The flux of CO₂ peaked during the morning hours but gradually declined as water stress intensified by midday, reflecting maximum assimilation in the morning and minimum assimilation at midday, as observed in oil palm (Henson and Harun, 2005) and similarly reported for groundnut (Reddy et al., 2003). This was more marked in the driest month of February when CO_2 was as low as 1 g m⁻² h⁻¹ (Reddy *et al.*, 2003). (Henson and Harun 2005) and Price and Black (1990) reported that physiological responses to water stress, as measured through nighttime gaseous exchange, were difficult to interpret due to large hourly variability, and that this variability might be attributed to reduced VPD and the absence of solar irradiation during nighttime.

Oil palm primarily responds to water stress through two initial mechanisms: Stomatal closure and cell membrane depolarisation, as reported for oil palm (Jazayeri et al., 2015) and similarly observed in other crops (Hopper et al., 2014; Jaleel et al., 2009; Reddy et al., 2003). Depolarisation refers to a change in the electric charge distribution across the cell membrane. This process is initiated by the activation of anion channels in the stomatal guard cells (Brault et al., 2004). As a result, the interior of the cell becomes less negatively charged relative to the exterior (Nuhkat et al., 2021). This change in membrane potential plays a crucial role in facilitating communication between cells and coordinating various physiological responses within the plant (Nuhkat *et al.*, 2021).

Zhou and Yarra (2022) identified several genetic transcription factors, including bZIP, EgbZIPs, and specifically 11 EgbZIPs, that are activated when

oil palm is exposed to water stress. This discovery of genetic indicators has significant implications. Parveez *et al.* (2023) suggested that such discovery could broaden the scope for developing genetically modified oil palm varieties and enhance advanced conservation practices. However, despite these advancements, a key question remains unanswered: Among the various genetic, enzymatic, and hormonal responses to water stress, it remains unclear which occurs first to trigger stomatal closure. This gap in our understanding highlights the complex nature of plant responses to water stress and indicates areas for future research.

WATER STRESS IN RELATION TO SOIL PROPERTIES

The limited availability of water to plant roots, which is primarily determined by soil properties, is a critical factor that can lead to poor performance and, in severe cases, complete crop failure (Lindh et al., 2022; Miranda et al., 2021). This phenomenon explains the observations made by Safitri et al. (2019), Sukarman et al. (2022), and USDA (2017) regarding oil palm performance under different soil conditions. These studies found that oil palms receiving equal amounts of water exhibited varying degrees of water stress severity depending on soil texture. This variation in water stress, influenced by soil properties, ultimately contributes to the observed yield gap in oil palm cultivation (Hoffmann et al., 2015; Nasution et al., 2017; Woittiez et al., 2015). The prominent role of soil texture in water availability underscores the argument made by Norizan et al. (2021) against the practice of applying uniform irrigation across different soil types. As Kirkham (2005) explains, this approach is unjustifiable due to the varying hydro-physical attributes of different soil textures. These differences significantly influence how water is retained and made available to plants.

Idris (2020), Kushairi et al. (2019), and Paramananthan (2003) maintained that soil texture considerably influences the water productivity and yield of oil palm and other arable crops. Gunawan et al. (2020) and Kirkham (2005) highlighted that soil texture strongly determines water accessibility to crops, mostly in relation to drainage, infiltration, and hydraulic conductivity. Carr (2011) and Goh (2000) identified soil texture variation as a key factor influencing productivity differences among oil palm cultivated lands in Asia. Soil texture plays a crucial role in determining how much water the soil can retain and release at different stages of moisture content, such as when the soil is fully saturated (saturation), at its optimal water-holding capacity (field capacity), or when plants can no longer extract water (permanent wilting point) (Saxton *et al.*, 1986; Teh and Iba, 2010). These distinct moisture levels in soil water retention significantly impact oil palm productivity (Mutert *et al.*, 1999; Woittiez *et al.*, 2017).

Oil palm's susceptibility to water deficit stress is closely linked to soil texture, particularly the soil's capacity to retain plant-available water (PAWC) (Carr, 2011; Hoffmann *et al.*, 2014). This relationship becomes evident when comparing different soil types. For instance, Hoffmann *et al.* (2015) observed that sandy loam and clay loam soils possess significantly higher PAWC compared to sandy clay soils. The variation in PAWC among soil types has important implications for oil palm cultivation. Soils with intrinsically low PAWC make oil palms more vulnerable to water stress, potentially affecting crop productivity. This vulnerability is likely due to variations in the critical water depletion limit specific to each soil type.

In a separate study, Jourdan *et al.* (2000) examined oil palm root expansion and distribution, especially within the active root zone. Sandy soils retain less water and drain faster than clay soils (Kasno and Subardja, 2010; Teh, 2016). While oil palms can grow in various soil types due to its tolerance for different soil conditions, achieving maximum yield requires soils that retain water well yet make it easily accessible to the trees (Norizan *et al.*, 2021).

Coarse sandy soils and fine clayey soils (vertisols) significantly reduce oil palm yields (Paramananthan *et al.*, 2000). Heavy clay soils have very low infiltration rates, potentially causing waterlogging that can lead to sudden mortality in immature palms or yield reductions of up to 25% (Abram *et al.*, 2014; Lee and Ong, 2006). These findings indicate that neither coarse- nor fine-textured soils meet the optimal water requirements for oil palms. The varying clay and sand content in soils directly affects water availability.

Additionally, soil bulk density (BD) is strongly linked to water stress (Michael and Dunn, 2000). BD increases in deeper soil layers, implying that roots may increasingly struggle to penetrate the entire soil profile, limiting their ability to access water throughout the soil solum (Nasrul et al., 2002). Wiratmoko et al. (2015) advised that good tillage operations can be undertaken to improve BD and soil tilth for root development. BD also affects root anchorage and soil attachment. Gray et al. (2015) observed that poor anchoring due to low BD can render soil less suitable for sustainable oil palm production due to lodging and uprooting tendencies. Othman et al. (2011) reported that lodging exposes oil palm roots to excessive dehydration and breakage, reducing their water uptake potential (Lim et al., 2008). Kirkham (2005) and Venturas et al. (2017) maintained that when crop roots are injured, the xylem tissue responsible for conducting water also becomes affected; hence, water transport system becomes impaired. This indicates that exposed and broken root systems can increase water stress severity. Dolmat *et al.* (1993) and Tie (2004) recommended mechanical compaction (Mutert *et al.*, 1999) as a strategy to curtail root lodging and uprooting in low BD soils.

Poor soil cohesion leads to weak root attachment, potentially reducing water uptake (Paramananthan, 2013). Other soil morphological and chemical properties also influence water availability through various mechanisms.

Coarseness in the plough layer hinders hydraulic permeability, limiting water availability to roots (Nasrul *et al.*, 2002). Similarly, studies by Afandi *et al.* (2022) and Woittiez *et al.* (2017) indicated that soil shallowness restricts vertical root growth and reduces root density. Root density, particularly within the upper 30 cm of soil, is crucial as it directly influences the amount of water available for plant uptake (Afandi *et al.*, 2022). In Malaysia, soil shallowness is a significant issue, exacerbating water stress and limiting optimal yields (Fairhurst and McLaughlin, 2009). In contrast, deep soils promote extensive root systems that enable better water exploration by oil palm (Dufrêne *et al.*, 1992; Rey *et al.*, 1998).

However, soil salinity also plays a critical role in controlling water transmission and uptake, as noted by Mutert *et al.* (1999). Furthermore, water stress is aggravated in soils with moderate to extreme acidity, which negatively impacts oil palm performance (Mutert, 1999; Paramananthan, 2013). Adam *et al.* (2011) found that when oil palm is exposed to both water stress and soil acidity, the plant produces more male inflorescences and fewer female flowers, which directly lowers its productivity.

Olivin (1986) categorised soils based on their oil palm yield productivity under water deficit conditions, assuming all other production factors remained constant. Soils yielding 25-27 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ under 0 mm water deficit and 16-18 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ under 200 mm water deficit were deemed suitable. In contrast, soils yielding 22-16 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ with no water deficit and 9-13 t ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ under a 200 mm water deficit were considered the least suitable for oil palm cultivation.

MITIGATION EFFORTS IN OIL PALM PRODUCTION

Irrigation

When rainfall fails to meet oil palm ETo demands, irrigation is applied to meet the shortfall. A fully irrigated oil palm has a crop coefficient (Kc) between 0.9 and 1.0, indicating minimal water

stress (Carr, 2011; Teh, 2016). Early research by Ochs and Daniels (1976) revealed a significant effect of irrigation on yield, with results showing threefold increase. Further studies by Tui and Arifin (2013) reported a 57% higher mean annual yield under irrigation conditions than under rainfed conditions over approximately 33 years. Henson (2009), using OPRODSIM, found a 25% yield increase with irrigation, with further improvements when comparing the two irrigation schedules. Teh (2017) used an oil palm growth model to estimate that even a daily supplementation of 1 mm could boost yields by 1.5 t ha⁻¹, particularly in water-deficient areas where yields commonly increase by 50% (Lee and Izwanizam, 2013). Woittiez et al. (2017) found a direct link between irrigation water volume and FFB yield, and Nasir et al. (2014) showed that oil palm irrigation increased bunch weight, number, FFB, and average fruit weight by 10.0%, 44.0%, 27.0%, and -22.3%, respectively.

Rao *et al.* (2008) discovered that irrigating oil palm progeny hastened fruit production by 20% and increased yield by 55%. Chalvantharan *et al.* (2023) reported an 18.2% yield increase with sprinkler irrigation, although Palat *et al.* (2008; 2012) observed no significant yield variation across different irrigation methods. Prioux *et al.* (1992) reported that irrigation doubled the mass of tertiary roots and increased their spread by 20-100 cm, and Lee *et al.* (2005) noted a 5% increase in bunch number and oil-bunch ratio over three years.

Despite the high initial costs, the long-term yield benefit makes irrigation a viable option (Norizan et al., 2021; Sadiq et al., 2022b). However, these costs pose a challenge to smallholder farmers (Teh and Cheah, 2018). Sadiq et al. (2022a; 2022b) and Tui and Arifin (2013) found that irrigation investment is profitable in tomato cultivation, and Tui and Arifin (2013) similarly reported profitability, although economic benefits can vary, as seen in the negative ROI findings for smart irrigation by Chalvantharan et al. (2023) and the modest yield increases reported by Corley and Hong (1982). Variability in returns can be attributed to differences in water costs, production scale, and other factors (Miller and Donahue, 1992). The lack of technical expertise among Malaysian growers presents a significant barrier to effective irrigation (Chalvantharan et al., 2023; Khan et al., 2018; Norizan et al., 2021), and Mason et al. (2019) recommended tailored irrigation strategies based on climate forecasts.

Surface Mulching

Water conservation efforts have focused on optimising the productivity of increasingly scarce freshwater due to climate variability for higher yield and sustainability (Abdullah and Sulaiman, 2013; Donough *et al.*, 2011; Fereres and Soriano, 2007). Mulching with oil palm residues, such as shredded trunks and fronds, mitigates excessive evaporation and runoff, thereby enhancing water conservation (Khalid et al., 2000; Moraidi et al., 2013; Morgan, 2005). DoCampo et al. (2021) noted that such mulching reduces water stress impact, which is prevalent in dry months. Khalid et al. (2000) found that mulching with shredded residues increased soil moisture by 28.0%, compared to 25.2% in other treatments. Mulching with empty fruit bunches (EFB) led to a 39.0% increase in yield by improving water storage and mitigating heat effects (Rudolf et al., 2021), with similar benefits observed using other mulching materials under maize (Li et al., 2018). Moreover, Donglin et al. (2019) reported 5.7%-19.8% and 7.1%-20.9% increases in energy and water productivity, respectively, owing to mulching.

Economic analysis shows a maximum marginal rate of return of 5.75 ha⁻¹ yr⁻¹ from mulching (Wairegi and van Asten, 2010) and a 27.0%-53.8% increase in net income compared to unmulched systems (Donglin *et al.*, 2019; Jianguo *et al.*, 2014). For every 1 m³ of water used, mulching achieved a 5.0% higher value than that of the control (Adetoroa *et al.*, 2020). Nwokocha *et al.* (2017) concluded that a mix of 12.00 t ha⁻¹ EFB and 4.00 t ha⁻¹ palm bunch ash provided the best net return. Abubakar *et al.* (2012), Khalid *et al.* (2000) and Moraidi *et al.* (2012) documented significant improvements in the hydrophysical properties following EFB mulching.

Despite the benefits, the use of oil palm biomass as mulch or incorporating it into the soil as an economical practice remains debated. Moreover, addressing water deficits through irrigation on large oil palm farms is both challenging and costly (Miller and Donahue, 1992; Abdullah and Sulaiman, 2013; Teh, 2016). While mulching with 30 t ha⁻¹ of EFB can reduce surface evaporation (Lim et al., 2008), Carr (2011) argued that such high application rates are impractical. Rudolf et al. (2021) suggested that motivating farmers to adopt mulching is difficult due to the large quantities required for significant benefits. The costs associated with transportation and application can increase total expenses by as much as 73.3% (Wairegi and van Asten, 2010; Rudolf et al., 2021). Sari et al. (2022) highlighted farmers' reluctance toward mulching because of the cost-yield trade-off. Furthermore, effective weed suppression requires a thick mulch layer, which can result in impractically large volumes (Nwokocha et al., 2017; Wairegi and van Asten, 2010).

Furthermore, mulching can lead to pest infestations, affecting soil temperature and potentially inhibiting germination owing to allelopathy (Benoit, 2022; Cabona *et al.*, 2021; Donglin *et al.*, 2019; Iqbal *et al.*, 2020; Korkança and Sahin, 2021; Ni *et al.*, 2016). It also increases the risk of fire hazards and wild animal-human conflict (Ni *et al.*, 2016; Rongbin *et al.*, 2020). Thus, the choice of mulch material must be tailored to the specific climate conditions to mitigate these risks.

Silt-Pit and Cover Crops

Mechanical techniques for water harvesting, such as silt-pit and bund terraces, significantly increase resilience to water stress risks by reducing runoff and enhancing soil water reserves (Gabrielle et al., 2018; Murtilaksono et al., 2011). For example, the silt-pit technique reduced water run-off by 89% and increased soil water reserves by up to 183 mm (Bohluli et al., 2012; Murtilaksono et al., 2011; Yuswar et al., 2020). Moreover, different silt pit sizes affect soil water content, with larger dimensions showing varying outcomes (Bohluli et al., 2012; Masnang et al., 2022; Ping et al., 2012). However, this technique has limitations, including its suitability only for highlands and areas with contrasting slopes and potential soil structure disruption (Bohluli et al., 2015; DoCampo et al., 2021).

Cover cropping also enhances water stress tolerance. Planting of leguminous crops, such as *Brachiaria* and *Pueraria javanica*, improves water infiltration and retention, reduces dry days, and enhances water use efficiency (WUE) (Agusta *et al.*, 2020; Ariyanti *et al.*, 2017; Nouy *et al.*, 1999; Zhang *et al.*, 2023). The integration of diverse cover crops can help mitigate runoff water loss (Gabrielle *et al.*, 2018; Morton *et al.*, 2017; Nabara and Norsida, 2018).

Nonetheless, challenges include interference with mechanisation operations, inter-crop competition, and increased risk of pest and disease transfer, which may complicate the oil palm-legume cropping pattern (Chalmers, 2017; Dowling *et al.*, 2020; Echarte *et al.*, 2011).

Application of Synthetic Polymer

Synthetic soil conditioners, notably referred to as super absorbent polymers (SAPs), are an alternative for water deficit management (Lentz and Sojka, 2009; Zhang et al., 2021). SAPs are networks of flexible porous polymers (Zhang et al., 2021) that are tridimensionally cross-linked (Kiatkamjornwong, 2007). They can retain a large quantity of water (Lucero et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2019; Zhao et al., 2021), and make water more accessible to roots (Azman, 2013; Rafiei et al., 2013). SAPs application effectively minimises water stress in many field crops (Han et al., 2010; Ibrahim et al., 2020; Zohuriaan-Mehr et al., 2010). Yang et al. (2022) found after a decade of research that conditioning the soil with 45 kg ha⁻¹ SAP significantly enhanced soil macroaggregates

(>0.25 mm) by 16.5%-36.33%, WUE by 16.0%, and rate of photosynthesis by up to 18.5% (Yang *et al.*, 2020). The application of SAPs reduced runoff by up to 103.0%, and improved the soil moisture by 29.0% (Yuanbo *et al.*, 2017). Additionally, meta-analysis by Zheng *et al.* (2023) indicated a 15.0% yield increment from SAPs treatment.

However, Idris and Yahaya (2022) and Nasereldin et al. (2023) noted the unavailability of SAPs in local markets and asserted their high purchasing cost as the core constraints limiting their accessibility. Furthermore, SAPs require a specialised storage environment that is relatively dark, dry, and cool to maintain their shelf life (Mechtcherine *et al.*, 2021; Snoeck and De Belie, 2019). Unfortunately, most farmers do not have such required storage facilities.

To date, there has been no published research on the effectiveness of SAPs on water stress reduction in oil palm in Malaysia or other Asian countries. This is a knowledge gap that needs to be addressed.

CONCLUSION

Over time, Malaysia's climate has become increasingly variable, marked by a rise in temperature (7.5%), reduced rainfall (-5.0%), and more frequent extreme weather events. This variability has exacerbated water deficits, constraining sustainable oil palm production. Future climate projections suggest higher temperatures, decreased rainfall, and consequently, more severe water stress.

While the use of oil palm biomass and cover crops has been tested and shown to mitigate water deficits, further research is needed to understand the short-, medium-, and long-term effects of these practices. Similarly, treatment methods such as biochar, mulching, and use of silt pits require deeper investigation. Additionally, the potential of synthetic polymers as a solution for managing water stress in oil palm plantations remains to be explored.

Table 1 provides a summary of water stress effects for various plantation crops, and *Figure 1* shows the water stress levels experienced by oil palms across the 12 months.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

The authors acknowledge the support of the Fundamental Research Grant Scheme (FRGS) (No. FRGS/1/2022/WAB04/UPM/02/2 from the Ministry of Higher Education of Malaysia. All authors contributed to this work. The authors declare that there are no conflicts concerning the content of this article.

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF YIELD, GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS FOR DIFFERENT CROP CATEGORIES

S/N	Mode/Level of water stress inducement	Crop	Resultant effect	Source
01	100 mm less deficit	Oil palm	10%-15% FFB yield reduction	Caliman and Southworth (1998)
02	Intermittent water stress from rainfall cessation and inadequacy	Oil Palm	The number and yield of FFB downsized by >91.00% and 88.46%, respectively	Gawankar et al. (2003)
03	Natural water deficit stress from rainfall cessation was monitored	Oil palm	Declination of leaf water content, WUE and photosynthetic rate and increased chlorophyll content were observed.	Noor (2006)
04	Experimental sites were under yearly water deficit of 150, 250 and 400 mm	Oil palm	Higher water stress got the least number of bunches (- 82%), least bunch weight (- 79%), and least FFB (- 88%)	Dwarko <i>et al</i> . (2008)
05	Water balance and fraction transpirable soil water approaches were adopted to predispose the test crop to equivalent water stress.	Oil palm	Yield is more sensitively affected by water stress at 2 ½ years prior to bunch maturity.	Legros <i>et al</i> . (2009)
06	Consistent non-watering for 24 days after full establishment (30 days) in the glasshouse	Oil palm	All gas exchange variables declined drastically, and at 24 days of severe water stress, photosynthesis stopped while 200% leaf water potential became 2 times higher.	Suresh <i>et al</i> . (2010)
07	Natural water stress equivalent to an annual shortfall of 450 mm due to an unimodal rainfall pattern	Oil palm	Stages most badly affected were fruit filling, sex differentiation and state central arrow.	Renny et al. (2011)
08	Superimposition of stress by supplying 0.5 of full FC water volume	Oil palm	The root/shoot ratio improved by 23.0%. Number of leaves decreased by 11.4%	Sun <i>et al</i> . (2011)
09	Water deficit was induced by maintaining moisture at -0.042, -0.5, -1.0, and -2.0 MPa tensions.	Oil palm	Assimilation of CO ₂ completely ceased, and bulb diameter decreased by 48% at -2 MPa; stomatal conductance was not affected by genotype-water potential interaction.	Méndez et al. (2012)
10	Water stress imposed for 12 and 16 days equivalated to 13% and 6% SWC, respectively.	Oil palm	Chlorophyll was disrupted by 59.0% and 95.9%, while photosynthetic rate diminished by 71.7% and 91.1% respectively.	Cha-um <i>et al</i> . (2013)
11	4 and 8 weeks without watering after water deficit at – 1.50 MPa pressure was attained	Oil Palm	Photosynthesis declined by 23% and 53% for the respective duration, and WUE steadily went down.	Jazayeri <i>et al</i> . (2015)
12	Crop exposed to moderate water deficit of -0.5 MPa	Oil palm	Significant reduction in the stomatal conductance, rates of photosynthesis and transpiration, as well as vegetative development were recorded.	Rivera-Méndes <i>et al.</i> (2016)
13	Only half and a quarter of FC water content were given as deficits for 60 days after 30 establishment days.	Oil palm	Except root-to-shoot ratio, all growth variables reduced drastically, but proline status increased greatly.	Duangpang et al. (2018)
14	Water stress was induced under bio- silica-treated soils	Oil palm	Water-stressed crop with no bio-silica showed higher proline status by up to 90%, and Nitrate reductase activity (NRA) decreased by 93%.	Amanah <i>et al</i> . (2019)
15	Studied the impact of dry season water stress abiotic factor	Oil palm	32.5% decrease in photosynthesis, a significant drop in gas exchange, but WUE and leaf sugar content improved by up to 27% and 1%, 14%, respectively.	Bayona-Rodriguez and Romero (2019)
16	No-irrigation + fertiliser and no- irrigation without fertiliser were studied against full irrigation.	Oil palm	The final harvested FFB was 17% lower due to the water deficit	Rhebergen <i>et al</i> . (2019)
17	Studied the damaging effect of water stress on leaf attributes only in areas of moderate to severe water stress due to sub-optimal rainfall	Oil palm	Multicollinearity results indicated that the number of green broken leaves (NGBL), number of folded leaves (NFL) and number of trees with central leaf cabbage toppled (NLCT) were more strongly correlated with water stress than unopened leaves (NUL) and number of base leaves dry out (NBLD) parameters which showed moderate correlation status.	Yehouessi <i>et al.</i> (2019)

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF YIELD, GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS FOR DIFFERENT CROP CATEGORIES (continued)

S/N	Mode/Level of water stress inducement	Crop	Resultant effect	Source
18	100 mm less water at sex determination and floral abortion phases	Oil palm	Yield loss occurred at both phases by 6% and 7%, respectively	Suharyanti <i>et al.</i> (2020)
19	Molecular study based on 2-week induced-water stress on seedling	Oil palm	Identified more than 1293 genes associated with water stress response across biosynthetic and metabolic, transportation and homeostatic processes	Wang <i>et al</i> . (2020)
20	Irrigated and non-irrigated parental stocks	Oil palm	Water deficit badly affected male and female inflorescence ratio and lowered bunch number significantly. The water deficit negatively impacted FFB and oil yield by 20%.	Abdul Wahid (2021)
21	Monitored water stress induced by extreme <i>El-Niño</i> Southern Oscillation (ENSO)-facilitated drought	Oil palm	Reduction of the Total Fruit produced by 31%	Mauro <i>et al</i> . (2021)
22	Dry season water stress	Oil palm	Inflorescence and fruit formation stage was staggered	Mendoza-Hernández <i>et al.</i> (2021)
23	Water stress induced to young seedlings at "bifd" saplings developmental stage. By water deprivation for 14 consecutive days. At the end of this period, the substrate water potential, as measured, equivalent to -13.61 ± 1.79 MPa	Oil palm	Distortion of starch, sucrose, glyoxylate and dicarboxylate metabolism pathways occurred. Also, alanine, aspartate, glutamate, arginine and proline synthesis were positively affected	Neto <i>et al</i> . (2021)
24	Studies the agronomic impact of the 2015 <i>El Nino</i> -facilitated water stress at 4-12 months and 24-30 after the occurrence	Oil palm	Reduction in harvestable FFB stood at 23%-30%, but the older palms recorded the maximum values. The decline of the oil extraction ratio was found to be explained by the water stress effect.	Sidhu <i>et al</i> . (2021)
25	Observational study due to normal climate dryness in low-rainfall receiving areas	Oil palm	Naturally tolerant cultivars had 44% and 38% greater FFB than susceptible ones in the first and second trials, respectively. Exactly 56 Single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) were observed in the genetic information of water stress-tolerant lines. MRL1, At1g35710, RNP1, and BDA1 genes were found to be closely associated with the SNPs detected.	Yono <i>et al</i> . (2021)
26	14 consecutive days of water deprivation	Oil palm	Water stress-associated miRNAs and genes specific to oil palm, namely egu-miR28ds and egu-miR29ds and MYBs, HOXs and NF-Ys, were identified.	Salgado et al. (2022)
27	Irrigation was withheld for 20 consecutive days for different young progenies.	Oil palm	Biomass of all progenies tested decreased by 34%; proline content increased by up to 300%; stomatal conductance reduced significantly, and nine moisture stress-responsive miRNA were identified.	Ithnin <i>et al</i> . (2022)
28	1.0, 1.5 and 2.0 L per polybag per day were supplied as deficits	Oil palm	Plant height went down in 1.0 and 1.5 L by 23.0% and 20.0% and the former reduced shoot dry weight by 12.0%	Kautsar <i>et al.</i> (2022)
29	Used Fraction of Transpirable Soil Water approach to consider PWP = FTSW 0 and 15 FC = FTSW 0.15 as deficit	Oil palm	Seedling weight was reduced by 9.7% and 8.3% at PWP and 15% FC, respectively. Generally, growth by height was reduced by one-third.	Pangaribuan and Akoeb (2022)
30	Oil palm was predisposed to water deficit on Histosols, Entisols and Spodosols.	Oil palm	Water stress was more severe in Entisols and Spodosols up to 22% than in Histosols (max. 19%)	Sukarman <i>et al</i> . (2022)
31	Chemically induced water stress at 0% to 30% (m/v) of polyethylene glycol (PEG 8000)	Date palm	Progressive growth reduction was obviously observed, and increased manufacturing of proline (inducer of stomatal closure) was noticed.	Al-Khayri and Al- Bahrany (2004)

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF YIELD, GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS FOR DIFFERENT CROP CATEGORIES (continued)

S/N	Mode/Level of water stress inducement	Crop	Resultant effect	Source
32	Irrigation was only given after 50, 100, 150 and 200 mm soil water had been depleted by evaporation.	Date palm	Fruit diameter and fruit weight significantly lowered but were more chronic at 200 mm depletion.	Alihouri and Torahi (2013)
33	0 water supply was considered a deficit due to scanty rainfall	Date palm	Fruit size went down by 53%-76%, pulp content of date fruit reduced drastically by 57%-75% across three development stages examined, while cell wall lignification reduced insignificantly by 1.9% only in stage 1.	Gribaa <i>et al.</i> (2012)
34	Under the growth chamber environment, water was supplied fully at one-day intervals for two weeks to get the date palm acclimatised. The water supply was then stopped to induce water stress.	Date palm	Water-stressed germplasms showed increased accumulation of fucose and glucose compounds, signifying an adaptive switch to carbohydrate metabolism.	Safronov <i>et al</i> . (2017)
35	Involved application of 60% and 80% ETc	Date palm	Seedling establishment percentage was not affected by the 80% ETc but was significantly affected by 60%. However, the 60% competed well for the trunk perimeter index.	Moheb (2019)
36	Only half of ETc applied using reclaimed wastewater and well-water	Date palm	A reduction of 86 kg of fruit output per palm was recorded. Total sugar and non-reducing sugar content enrichment occurred.	Mattar <i>et al</i> . (2021)
37	Triggered water stress by administering 10%, 20%, 30%, 40% and 50% of actual water demand	Date palm	Fruit yield compressed by 4.5%-12.3%	Isaid <i>et al</i> . (2021)
38	Bubbler irrigation system was used to supply 0.25 and 0.5 of full ETc targeting flowering, hababouk, and Rutub and Tamr (third fruit development phase when moisture is lost and sucrose is converted to sugar) stages.	Date palm	Decreased final yield by 28.39% compared to full ETc	Al-Mansor <i>et al.</i> (2021)
39	50% and 25% less than full ETc was delivered using drip and sub-surface irrigation systems	Date palm	10.8% and 6.65% reduction for 50% and 25% deficit were obtained for chlorophyll. However, while a declination of 1.7% for the 50% deficit was observed for photosynthesis, the 25% deficit numerically got higher by 0.15% relative to the full ETc.	Mohammed et al. (2021)
40	Tested only one deficit level (25% FC)	Date palm	Photosynthetic rate, relative moisture content of leaf, chlorophyll enrichment, stomatal conductance and transpiration became statistically lowered. Over half of the EST (water stress-responsive mRNAs) detected were associated with photosynthesis, metabolism and gaseous exchange.	Alhajhoj <i>et al.</i> (2022)
41	This involved water stress interval viz: 3, 5 and 7-day intervals at 100%, 50% and 25% FC.	Cocoa	A perfect linear trend was observed between the deficit levels and physiological and morphological attributes measured. 75% water deficit at 7-day intervals had the poorest performance <i>e.g.</i> for plant height, it was 26.7% below the average.	Ayegboyin and Akinrinde (2016)
42	Enforcement of strong (10%-15% of Vol. WC) and moderate (16%-22%) water stress level	Cocoa	29%-62% seedling mortality occurred, retarded growth, proline content increased by 937%	Niether et al. (2020)
43	Zero irrigation under harmattan season (dry season accompanied by harsh, dry, cloudy air)	Cocoa	The leaf area index decreased by 33%	Sala et al. (2021)
44	Subjected to artificial water stress until the leaf water potentials were at -3.0 and -3.5 MPa	Cocoa	Photosynthesis dropped by 98% and energy metabolism was completely distorted.	Zambrano et al. (2021)
45	80%, 60% and 40% fraction of full water required administered	Cocoa	A highly significant reduction in pollen grain production was recorded	García-Cruzatty <i>et al.</i> (2023)

TABLE 1. SUMMARY OF YIELD, GROWTH AND PHYSIOLOGICAL EFFECTS OF WATER STRESS FOR DIFFERENT CROP CATEGORIES (continued)

S/N	Mode/Level of water stress inducement	Crop	Resultant effect	Source
46	Observation of anomalous dry spell effect	Rubber	Yield reduced by 50%	Thomas <i>et al</i> . (2011)
47	Water stress from seasonal drought	Rubber	Partial stomatal conductance, impaired transpiration and loss of vigor were observed.	Kunjet et al. (2013)
48	26, 33 and 40 days of drought stress after two months of proper establishment	Rubber	Stuntedness: Nig 801 and RRIM628 cultivars increased in height only by 1.0% and 6.0%	Korieocha <i>et al.</i> (2015)
49	7 days withholding of water during the summer period	Rubber	Maximum quantum yield, leaf wax content and photosynthetic rate indices became negatively affected.	Thomas <i>et al.</i> (2015)
50	Water deficit consisted of: FTSW > 0.75 (control); 0.1 < FTSW < 0.20 (severe), and FTSW > 0.75 after rewatering (recovery)	Rubber	Many biochemical and enzymatic complexes, including superoxide dismutase, peroxidase, and hydrogen peroxide content, were adversely affected.	Cahyo <i>et al</i> . (2022)

Note: FC - field capacity; WC - water content; ETC - crop evapotranspiration; FSTW - fraction of transpirable soil water; PWP - permanent wilting point.



Figure 1. Water deficit based on 251 m³ month⁻¹ ha⁻¹ OP requirement from average monthly rainfall in Malaysia in 2021.

REFERENCES

Abdul Rahman, H (2018). Climate change scenarios in Malaysia: Engaging the public. *Int. J. Malay-Nusantara Stud.*, 1(2): 55-77.

Abdul Wahid, M A; Hanafi, N F F; Mohammad, M N; Abdul Rahim, M F; Roowi, S H; Mokhtar, M A A; Norizan, M S and Khairuddin, M N (2021). Preliminary assessment on drought tolerance of oil palm in semi-arid area. *J. Food Agric. Soc.*, *9*(*5*): 1-9. DOI: 10.17170/kobra-202102144894.

Abdullah, N and Sulaiman, F (2013). The Oil Palm Wastes in Malaysia. *Biomass now - Sustainable Growth and Use* (Miodrag, D M eds.). InTech Open Limited, London. p. 75-100. Abram, N K; Xofis, P; Tzanopoulos, J; MacMillan, D C; Ancrenaz, M; Chung, R; Peter, L; Ong, R; Lackman, I; Goossens, B; Ambu, L and Knight, A T (2014). Synergies for improving oil palm production and forest conservation in floodplain landscapes. *PLoS ONE*, *9*(*6*): 1-12. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0095388.

Abubakar, A; Ishak, M Y and Makmom, A A (2021). Impacts of and adaptation to climate change on the oil palm in Malaysia: A systematic review. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 28: 54339-54361.* DOI: 10.1007/ s11356-021-15890-3.

Abubakar, A and Ishak, M Y (2022). An overview of the role of smallholders in oil palm production systems in changing climate. *Nat. Environ.*

Pollut. Technol., 21(5): 2055-2071. DOI: 10.46488/ NEPT.2022.v.21i05.004.

ACMAD (2022). Hydrometeorological status of and S2S forecasting capacity. Paper presented at the 1st Hydro-Meteorological Status and Outlook System (HydroSoS) Workshop. Transcorp Hilton Hotel, Maitama, Abuja. 11 March 2022.

ACT (2011). *Agronomic Principles and Practices of Oil Palm Cultivation* (Goh, K J; Chew, S B and Paramananthan, S eds). Agricultural Crop Trust (ACT). p. 134-177.

Adam, H; Collin, M; Richaud, F; Beule, T; Cros, D; Omore, A; Nodichao, L; Nouy, B and Tregear, J W (2011). Environmental regulation of sex determination in oil palm: Current knowledge and insights from other species. *Ann. Bot.*, *108*(*8*): 1529-1537. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcr151.

Adetoroa, A A; Abrahamb, S; Paraskevopoulosc, A L; Owusu-Sekyerea, E; Jordaana, H and Orimoloye, I R (2020). Alleviating water shortages by decreasing water footprint in sugarcane production: The impacts of different soil mulching and irrigation systems in South Africa. *Groundw. Sustain. Dev.*, *11*: 1-7. DOI: 10.1016/j.gsd.2020.100464.

Adileksana, C; Yudono, P; Purwanto, B H and Wijoyo, R B (2020). The growth performance of oil palm seedlings in pre-nursery and main nursery stages as a response to the substitution of NPK compound fertilizer by oil palm kernel shell biochar and its potential as slow release nitrogen-phosphate fertilizer and carbon sink. *J. Sust. Agric.*, *35*(1): 89-97. DOI: 10.20961/carakatani.v35i1.33884.

Afandi, A M; Zulkifli, H; Nur Zuhaili, H A Z; Norliyana, Z Z; Hisham, H; Saharul, A M; Dzulhelmi, M N and Vu Thanh, T A (2022). Oil palm water requirement and the need for irrigation in dry Malaysian areas. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *35*(*3*): 391-405. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2022.0052.

Agusta, H; Handoyo, G C; Sudaryanto, M T and Hendrayanto, T (2020). Cover crops and frond piles for improving soil water infiltration in oil palm plantations. *IOP Conf. Ser. Earth Environ. Sci.*, 460: 1-7. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/460/1/012045.

Alam, M; Siwar, C; Murad, M W and Toriman, M (2017). Impacts of climate change on agriculture and food security issues in Malaysia: An empirical study on farm level assessment. *World Appl. Sci. J.*, 14(3): 431-442.

Al-Amin, A; Rasiah, R and Chenayah, S (2015). Prioritizing climate change mitigation: An assessment using Malaysia to reduce carbon emissions in the future. *Environ. Sci. Policy*, 50: 24-33. DOI: 10.1016/j.envsci.2015.02.002.

Al-Amin, A Q and Leal Filho, W (2014). A return to prioritizing needs: Adaptation or mitigation alternatives? *Prog. Dev.. Stud.*, 14: 359-371. DOI: 10.1177/1464993414521487.

Al-Amin, A Q; Leal, W; Trinxeria J M; Jaafar, A H and Abdul Ghani, Z A (2011). Assessing the impacts of climate change in the Malaysian agriculture sector and its influences on investment decisions. *Middle-East J. Sci. Res.*, 7: 225-234.

Alhajhoj, M R; Munir, M; Sudhakar, B; Ali-Dinar, H M and Iqbal, Z (2022). Common and novel metabolic pathways related ESTs were upregulated in three date palm cultivars to ameliorate drought stress. *Sci. Rep., 12*: 1-16. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-022-19399-8.

Alihouri, M and Torahi, A (2013). Effects of water stress on quantitative and qualitative fruit characteristics of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.). *Acta Hortic.*, 975: 287-292. DOI: 10.17660/ActaHortic.2013.975.33.

Al-Khayri, J M and Al-Bahrany, A M (2004). Growth, water content, and proline accumulation in drought-stressed callus of date palm. *Biol. Plant., 48 (1)*: 105-108.

Al-Mansor, A N; Dakhil, R N and Al-Mosawi, K A (2021). Effects of regulated deficit irrigation on water productivity of date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* L.) in the arid environment of south Iraq. *Nat. Vol. Essent. Oil.*, *8*(6): 2164-2182.

Al-Wabel, M; Sallam, A; Ahmad, M; Alanazi, K and Usman, A (2020). The extent of climate change in Saudi Arabia and its impacts on agriculture: A case study from qassim region. *Environment, Climate, Plant and Vegetation Growth* (Fahad S; Alam, M; Mirzan, H; Ullah, H; Saeed M; Khan, I A and Adnan, M eds.). Springer International Publishing. p. 635-657.

Amanah, D A; Haris, N and Santi, L P (2019). Physiological responses of bio-silica-treated oil palm seedlings to drought stress. *Menara Perkebunan*, *87*(1): 20-30. DOI: 10.22302/iribb.jur. mp.v1i87.306.

Ariffin, T; Ariff, T M and Abdullah, M Y (2002). Stabilisation of upland agriculture under El Ninoinduced climatic risk: impact, assessment and mitigation measures in Malaysia. CGPRI Centre Working Paper No. 61. Ariyanti, M; Mubarok, S and Asbur, Y (2017). Study of *Asystasia gangetica* (L.) as cover crop against soil water content in mature oil palm plantation. *J. Agro.*, *16*: 154-159. DOI: 10.3923/ja.2017.154.159.

AsianAgri (2023). The benefit of oil palm. https://www.asianagri.com/en/media-publications/articles/the-benefits-of-palm-oil/., accessed on 14 December 2023.

Ayegboyin, K O and Akinrinde, E A (2016). Effect of water deficit imposed during the early developmental phase on photosynthesis of cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.). *Agric. Sci.*, 7: 11-19. DOI: 10.4236/as.2016.71002.

Aziz, R M; Zabawi, A G M; Azdawiyah, A T S and Fazlyzan, A (2018). Effects of haze on net photosynthetic rate, stomatal conductance and yield of Malaysian rice (*Oryza sativa* L.) varieties. *Trop. Agric. Fd. Sc.*, *46*(2): 157-169.

Azman, A A B (2013). *Effect of pH solution on the water absorbency of superabsorbent polymer composite.* Bachelor project. Universiti Malaysia Pahang.

Bakoumé, C; Shahbudin, N; Yacob, S; Siang, C S and Thambi, M N A (2013). Improved method for estimating soil moisture deficit in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) areas with limited climatic data. *J. Agric. Sci.*, *5*(*8*): 57-65. DOI: 10.5539/jas.v5n8p57.

Bayona-Rodriguez, C J and Romero, H M (2019). Physiological and agronomic behavior of commercial cultivars of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) and OxG hybrids (*Elaeis oleifera* x *Elaeis guineensis*) at rainy and dry seasons cristihian jarri. *AJCS*, 13(03): 424-432. DOI: 10.21475/ ajcs.19.13.03.

Benešova, M D; Hola, L; Fischer, P L; Jedelsky, F; Hnilička, N; Wilhelmova, O; Rothova, M; Kočova, D; Prochazkova, J; Honnerova, L; Fridrichova, L and Hniličkova, H (2012). The physiology and proteomics of drought tolerance in maize: Early stomatal closure as a cause of lower tolerance to short-term dehydration? *PLoS ONE*, *7*(*6*): 1-16. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0038017.

Benoit, C (2022). Disadvantages of mulching. https://sustainablyoffgrid.com/disadvantages-ofmulching/., accessed on 17 January 2024.

Bognár, E; Hellner, G; Radnóti, A; Somogyi, L and Kemény, Z (2020). Effect of different chloride sources on the formation of 3-monochloro-1,2propanediol and 2-monochloro-1,3-propanediol fatty acid esters during frying period. *Chem. Eng.*, *64*(4): 523-529. DOI: 10.3311/PPch.14137. Bohluli, M; Teh, C B S; Husni, M H A and Zaharah, A R (2015). Review on the use of silt pit (contour terraces) as a soil and water conservation. *Advances in Tropical Soil Science, III* (Jusop, S and Jol, H eds.). Universiti Putra Malaysia Press. Bangi. p. 6-19.

Bohluli, M; Teh, C B S; Husni, M H A and Zaharah, A R (2012). The effectiveness of silt pit as a soil, nutrient and water conservation method in nonterraced oil palm plantations. *Proc. of Soil Science Conference of Malaysia, 2012 on Soil Quality Towards Sustainable Agriculture Production.* MSSS, Kota Bahru, Kelantan. p. 138-143.

Boughalleb, F and Hajlaoui, H (2011). Physiological and anatomical changes induced by drought in two olive cultivars (cv. zalmati and chemlali). *Acta Physiol. Plant, 33*: 53-65. DOI: 10.1007/s11738-010-0516-8.

Brault, M; Amiar, Z; Pennarun, A M; Monestiez, M; Zhang, Z; Cornel, D; Dellis, O; Knight, H; Bouteau, F and Rona, J P (2004). Plasma membrane depolarisation induced by abscisic acid in arabidopsis suspension cells involves reduction of proton pumping in addition to anion channel activation, which are both Ca²⁺ dependent. *Plant Physiol.*, *135*(1): 231-243. DOI: 10.1104/ pp.104.039255.

Butler, R A and Laurance, W F (2009). Is oil palm the next emerging threat to the amazon? *Trop. Conserv. Sci.*, 2: 1-10. DOI: 10.1177/194008290900200102.

Cabona, M; Galvaneka, D; Detheridge, A P; Griffith, G W; Marakova, S and Adamcík, S (2021). Mulching has negative impact on fungal and plant diversity in slovakoligo trophic grasslands. *Basic Appl. Ecol.*, 52: 24-37. DOI: 10.1016/j.baae.2021.02.007.

Cahyo, A N; Murti, R H; Putra, E T S; Oktavia, F; Ismawanto, S and Montoro, P (2022). Rubber genotypes with contrasting drought factor index revealed different mechanisms for drought resistance in *Hevea brasiliensis*. *Plants*, *11*(24): 1-13. DOI: 10.3390/plants11243563.

Caliman, J P and Southworth, A (1998). Effect of drought and haze on the performance of oil palm. *Proc. of the 1998 International Oil Palm Conference on Commodity of the Past, Today and the Future.* Indonesian Oil Palm Research Institute, Medan. p. 250-274.

Cao, H X; Sun, C X; Shao, H B and Lei, X T (2011). Effects of low temperature and drought on the physiological and growth changes in oil palm seedlings. *Afri. J. Biotechnol.*, *10*(14): 2630-2637. DOI: 10.5897/AJB10.1272.

Carr, M K V (2010). The role of water in the growth of the tea (*Camellia sinensis* L.) crop: A synthesis of research in Eastern Africa. 1. Water relations. *Exp. Agric.*, 46(3): 327-349.

Carr, M K V (2011). The water relations and irrigation requirement of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*): A review. *Exp. Agric.*, 47(4): 629-652. DOI: 10.1017/ s0014479711000494.

Chalmers, S (2017). Responses of pea and canola intercrops to nitrogen and phosphorus applications. *WADO 2017 Annual Report*. Manitoba. p. 127-136.

Chalvantharan, A; Lim, C H and Ng, D K S (2023). Economic feasibility and water footprint analysis for smart irrigation systems in palm oil industry. *Sustainability*, *15*: 1-14. DOI: 10.3390/su15108069.

Chang, SK; Hamajima, H; Amin, I; Yanagita, T; Mohd, E N and Baharuldin, M T H (2014). Cytotoxicity effect of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) kernel protein hydrolysates. *Int. Food Res. J.*, *21*(*3*): 909-914.

Cha-um, S; Yamada, N; Takabe, T and Kirdmanee, C (2013). Physiological features and growth characters of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) in response to reduced water-deficit and rewatering. *Australian J. Crop Sci.*, 7(3): 432-439.

Cheah, S C and Hoi, W K (1999). By-products for chemical, microbiological and other uses. *Oil Palm and the Environment – A Malaysian Perspective* (Singh, G eds.). Malaysian Oil Palm Growers' Council, Kuala Lumpur. p. 241-252.

Cheah, S S; Teh, C B S; Ismail, M R and Yusop, M R (2020). Modelling hourly air temperature, relative humidity and solar irradiance over several major oil palm growing areas in Malaysia. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, 32(1): 34-49. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2020.0010.

Chi, S and Qi, Z (2021). Analysis of environmental protection and green ecological construction in northwest China. *IOP Conf. Ser.: Earth Environ. Sci.*, 768: 1-6. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/768/1/012065.

Chin, Y C; Jing, C Y; Kheang, L S; Vimala, S C; Chin, S A; Fong, C M; Lye, C C and Keong, L L (2020). Comparison of different industrial scale palm oil mill effluent anaerobic systems in degradation of organic contaminants and kinetic performance. *J. Clean. Prod.*, 262: 1-15. DOI: 10.1016/j. jclepro.2020.121361.

Corley, R H V and Hong, T K (1998). Irrigation of oil palms in Malaysia. *The Oil Palm in Agriculture in the Eighties* (Pushparajah, E and Chew, P S eds.).

Incorporated Society of Planters, Kuala Lumpur. p. 348-346.

Corley, R H V and Hong, T K (1982). Irrigation of oil palms in Malaysia. *Oil Palm in Agriculture in the Eighties* (Pushparajah, E and Chew, P S eds.). Incorporated Society of Planters, Kuala Lumpur. p. 343-356.

Corley, R H V and Tinker, P B (2016). *The Oil Palm*. 5th edition. Wiley Blackwell, West Sussex. 688 pp.

Dislich, C; Keyel, A C; Salecker, J; Kisel, Y; Meyer, K M; Auliya, M; Barnes, A D; Corre, M D; Darras, K; Faust, H; Hess, B; Klasen, S; Knohl, A; Kreft, H; Meijide, A; Nurdiansyah, F; Otten, F; Pe, G; Steinebach, S and Wiegand, K (2017). A review of the ecosystem functions in oil palm plantations, using forests as a reference system. *Biol. Rev.*, 49: 1539-1569. DOI: 10.1111/brv.12295.

DoCampo, I; Katie, N; Raymond, H; Alexander, A and Clement, S (2021). Evaluating how root capital's client businesses impacts smallholder livelihood: *Oil Palm in Ghana*. Root Capital, Cambridge. p. 1-55.

Dolmat, M; Hamdan, A B and Zulkifli, H (1993). Novel agronomic innovations in the exploitation of peat for oil palm. *Proc. 1993 PORIM International Palm Oil Congress - Agriculture*. Palm Oil Research Institute of Malaysia, Kuala Lumpur. p. 360-372.

Donglin, W; Feng, H; Lib, Y; Zhangc, T; Dyckd, M and Feng, W (2019). Energy input-output, water use efficiency and economics of winter wheat under gravel mulching in northwest China. *Agri. Water Manag.*, 222: 354-366. DOI: 10.1016/j. agwat.2019.06.009.

Donough, C R; Oberthur, T; Cock, J; Gatot, A; Kooseni, I; Ahmad, L; Tenri, D; Witt, C and Fairhurst, T H (2011). Successful yield intensification with best management practices (BMP) for oil palm at six plantation locations representing major growing environments of southeast Asia. *Proc. of the PIPOC* 2011 Agriculture, Biotechnology & Sustainability Conference. MPOB, Kuala Lumpur. p. 464-469.

DOSM (2020). Malaysian economic statistics review. Vol. 2/2020. https://v1.dosm.gov.my/v1/uploads/ files/1_Articles_By_Themes/External_Sector/ MESR/Malaysia_Economic_Statistics_Review-Vol-2-2020.pdf., accessed on 19 September 2023.

Dowling, A; Victor O; Roberts, P; Doolette, A; Zhou, Y and Denton, M (2020). Legume-oilseed intercropping in mechanised broadacre agriculture – A Review. *Field Crop. Res., 260*: 1-16. DOI: 10.1016/j. fcr.2020.107980.

Duangpang, S; Buapet, P; Sujitto, S and Eksomtramage, T (2018). Early assessment of drought tolerance in oil palm DxP progenies using growth and physiological characters in seedling Stage. *Plant Genet. Res.*, *16*(6): 544-554. DOI: 10.1017/S1479262118000151.

Dufrêne, E; Dubos, B; Rey, J; Quencez, P and Sauugier, B (1992). Changes in evapotranspiation from an oil palm stand (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) exposed to seasonal water deficit. *Acta Oecol.*, *13*: 299-314.

Dwarko, D A; Nuertey, B N; Okyere-Boateng, G; Baidoo-Addo, K; Asamoah, T E O; Marfo-Ahenkora, E and Opoku, A (2008). Effect of water stress on the yield performance of seven *dura* x *pisifera* oil palm progenies. *J. Ghana Sci. Assoc.*, 1: 26-35.

Echarte, L; Maggiora, A D; Cerrudo, D; Gonzalez, V H; Abbate, P; Cerrudo, A; Sadras, V O and Calvino, P (2011). Yield response to plant density of maize and sunflower intercropped with soybean. *Field Crops Res.*, *121*: 423-429. DOI: 10.1016/j.fcr.2011.01.011.

Fairhurst, T and McLaughlin, D (2009). Sustainable oil palm development on degraded land in Kalimantan. https://files.worldwildlife.org/ wwfcmsprod/files/Publication/file/20ojeuncnj_ Sustainable_Oil_P lm_Development_on_Degraded_ Land_in_Kalimantan_Indonesia.pdf., accessed on 19 July 2023.

Farooq, M; Wahid, A; Kobayashi, N; Fujita, D and Basra, S M A (2009). Plant drought stress: Effects, mechanisms and management. *Agron. Sustain. Dev.*, 29: 185-212. DOI: 10.1051/agro:2008021.

Fereres, E and Soriano, M A (2007). Deficit irrigation for reducing agricultural water use. Special issue: Integrated approaches to sustainable and improved plant production under drought stress. *J. Exp. Bot.*, *58*: 147-159. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erl165.

Ferwerda, J D and Ehrencron, J (1977). Unpublished data. Dept. of Crop Sci., Wageningen, Netherland.

Finucane, ML and Keener, VW (2015). Understanding the climate-sensitive decisions and information needs of island communities. *J. Indian Ocean Reg.*, *11*: 110-120. DOI: 10.1080/19480881.2015.1021181.

Fischer, G; Tubiello, F N; Van Velthuizen, H and Wiberg, D A (2007). Climate change impacts on irrigation water requirements: Effects of mitigation, 1990-2080. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Change*, 74 (7): 1083-1107. DOI: 10.1016/j.techfore.2006.05.021.

Fitton, N; Alexander, P; Arnell, N; Bajzelj, B; Calvin, K and Doelman, J (2019). The vulnerabilities of

agricultural land and food production to future water scarcity. *Global Environ. Change, 58*: 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2019.101944.

Fitzherbert, E B; Struebig, M; Morel, A; Danielsen, F; Bruhl, C; Donald, P and Phalan, B (2008). How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? *Trends Ecol.*, 23: 538-545. DOI: 10.1016/j.tree.2008.06.012.

Fleiss, S; Hill, J K; Mcclean, C; Lucey, J M and Reynolds, G (2017). Potential impacts of climate change on oil palm cultivation: *A Science for Policy Paper No.* 1.

Gabrielle, E R; Arbuckleb, J G and Tyndall, J C (2018). Barriers to implementing climate resilient agricultural strategies: The case of crop diversification in the U.S. corn belt. *Global Environ. Change*, *48*: 206-226. DOI: 10.1016/j. gloenvcha.2017.12.002.

Gao, B; Jin, M; Zheng, W; Zhang, Y and Yu, L Y (2020). Current progresses on monochloropropanediol esters in 2018-2019 and their future research trends. *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, *68*(46): 12984-12992. DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.0c00387.

García-Cruzatty, L; Arteaga-Alcívar, F; Vera-Pinargote, L and Pérez-Almeida, I (2023). Water deficit influence upon pollen grain production in cacao genotypes (*Theobroma cacao*). *Bioagro.*, 35(1): 167-174. DOI: 10.51372/bioagro352.9.

Gawankar, M S; Devmore, J P; Jamadagni, B M; Sagvekar, V V and Hameed Khan, H (2003). Effects of water stress on growth and yield of *tenera* oil palm. *J. Appl. Hort.*, *5*(1): 39-40.

Gleick, P H (1989). The implications of global climatic changes for international security. *Clim. Change*, *15*(*1*): 309-325. DOI: 10.1007/BF00138857.

Goh, K J (2000). Climatic equirements of the oil palm for high yields. *Proc. Seminar on Managing Oil Palm for High Yields: Agronomic Principles*. Malaysian Society of Soil Science and Param Agricultural Surveys, Kuala Lumpur. p. 1-17.

Gray, C L; Lewis, O T; Chung, A Y C and Fayle, T M (2015). Riparian reserves within oil palm plantations conserve logged forest leaf litter ant communities and maintain associated scavenging rates. *J. Appl. Ecol.*, *52*(1): 31-40. DOI: 10.1111/1365-2664.12371.

Green Peace (2023). What causes climate change? https://www.greenpeace.org.uk/challenges/ climate-change/what-causes-climate-change/, accessed on 23 October 2023. Gribaa, A; Dardelle, F; Lehner, A; Rihouey, C; Burel, C; Ferchichi, A; Driouich, A and Mollet, J (2012). Effect of water deficit on the cell wall of the date palm (*Phoenix dactylifera* 'Deglet nour', Arecales) fruit during development. *Plant Cell Environ.*, *36*: 1056-1070. DOI: 10.1111/pce.12042.

Grossiord, C; Buckley, T N; Cernusak, L A; Novick, K A; Poulter, B; Siegwolf, R W; Sperry, J S and McDowell, N G (2020). Plant responses to rising vapor pressure deficit. *New Phytol.*, 226: 1550-1566. DOI: 10.1111/nph.16485.

Gunawan, S; Budiastuti, M T S; Sutrisno, J and Wirianata, H (2020). Effects of organic materials and rainfall intensity on the productivity of oil palm grown under sandy soil condition. *Int. J. Adv. Sci., Eng. Inf. Technol., 10(1)*: 356-361. DOI: 10.18517/ ijaseit.10.1.11001.

Gustafson, D; Hayes, M; Janssen, E; Lobell, D B; Long, S; Nelson, G C; Pakrasi, H B; Raven, P; Robertson, G P; Robertson, R and Wuebbles, D (2015). Pharaoh's dream revisited: An integrated US Midwest field research network for climate adaptation. *BioScience*, *66*(1): 80-85. DOI: 10.1093/ biosci/biv164.

Han, Y G; Yang, P L; Luo, Y P; Ren, S M; Zhang, L X and Xu, L (2010). Porosity change model for watered super absorbent polymer-treated soil. *Environ. Earth Sci.*, *61*(*6*): 1197-1205. DOI: 10.1007/s12665-009-0443-4.

Hamid, H; Samah, A A and Man, N (2013). The level of perceptions toward agriculture land development programme among Orang Asli in Pahang, *Malaysia. Asian Soc. Sci.*, *9*(10): 151-159. DOI: 10.5539/ass.v9n10p151.

Hanafiah, K M; Abd Mutalib, A H; Miard, P; Goh, C S; Mohd Sah, A S and Ruppert, N (2022). Impact of Malaysian palm oil on sustainable development goals: Co-benefits and trade-offs across mitigation strategies. *Sustain. Sci.*, *17*: 1639-1661. DOI: 10.1007/s11625-021-01052-4.

Hartley, CWS (1988). *The Oil Palm* (Elaeis guineensis *Jacq.*). 3rd edition. Longman, London. 958 pp.

Hasan, H H; Mohd Razali, S F; Muhammad, N S and Ahmad, A (2021). Hydrological drought across Peninsular Malaysia: Implication of drought index. *Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci.*, 176: 1-34. DOI: 10.5194/ nhess-2021-176.

Hekstra, G (1986). Will climatic changes flood the Netherlands? Effects on agriculture, land use and well-being. *Ambio*, *15*(6): 316-326.

Henson, I E (1995). Carbon assimilation, water use and energy balance of an oil palm plantation assessed using micrometeorology techniques. *Proc. of the 1993 PORIM Int. Palm Oil Congress*. PORIM, Kula Lumpur. p. 137-158.

Henson, I E (2002). Oil palm pruning and relationships between leaf area and yield: A review of previous experiments. *The Planter*, *78*: 351-362.

Henson, I E (2009). Comparative ecophysiology of oil palm and tropical rain forest. *Sustainable Production of Palm Oil: A Malaysian Experience* (Singh, G. Lim, K H and Chan, K W eds.). MPOA, Kuala Lumpur. p. 1-51.

Henson, I E and Harun, M H (2005). The influence of climatic conditions on gas and energy exchanges above a young oil palm stand in north Kedah, Malaysia. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *17*: 73-91.

Henson, I E; Harun, M H and Chang, K C (2008). Some observations on the effects of high water tables and flooding on oil palm, and a preliminary model of oil palm water balance and use in the presence of a high water table. *Oil Palm Bull.*, 05: 4-22.

Henson, I E; Jamil, Z M and Dolmat, M T (1992). Regulation of gas exchange and abscisic acid concentrations in young oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*). *Transac. Malaysian Soc. Plant Physiol.*, 3: 29-34.

Hermantoro, L S; Purboseno, S; Kautsar, V; Wijayanti, Y and Ardiyanto, A (2018). Development of oil palm water balance tool for predicting water content distribution in root zone. *Int. J. Engin. Technol. Sci.*, *5*(2): 38-49. DOI: 10.15282/ijets.5.2.2018.5.1101.

Hoffmann, C R; Donough, S E; Cook, M J; Fisher, C H; Lim, Y L; Lim, J; Cock, S P; Kam, S N; Mohanaraj, K; Indrasuara, P; Tittinutchanon, T and Oberthür, T (2017). Yield gap analysis in oil palm: Framework development and application in commercial operations in Southeast Asia. *Agric. Syst.*, *151*: 12-19. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2016.11.005.

Hoffmann, M P; Donough, C; Oberthür, T; Vera, A C; Wijk M T; Lim, C H; Asmono, D; Samosir, Y; Lubis, A P; Moses, D S and Whitbread, A M (2015). Benchmarking yield for sustainable intensification of oil palm production in Indonesia using PALMSIM. *Planter*, *91* (1067): 81-96.

Hoffmann, M P; Vera, A C; Wijk, M T; Giller, K E; Oberthur, T; Donough, C and Whitebread, A M (2014). Simulating potential growth and yield of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) with PALMSIM: model description, evaluation and application. *Agric. Syst.*, *131*: 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2014.07.006. Hopper, D W; Ghan, R and Cramer, G R (2014). A rapid dehydration leaf assay reveals stomatal response differences in grapevine genotypes. *Hortic. Res.*, *1*(2): 1-8. DOI: 10.1038/hortres.2014.2.

Huang, Y F; Lin, N J; Fung, K F; Weng, T K; AlDahoul, N; Ahmed, A N; Sherif, M; Chaplot, B; Chong, K L and Elshafe, A (2023). Space-time heterogeneity of drought characteristics in Sabah and Sarawak, East Malaysia: Implications for developing effective drought monitoring and mitigation strategies. *Appl. Water Sci.*, *13*: 205. DOI: 10.1007/s13201-023-01989-0.

Ibrahim, T; Idris, S; Yahaya, S M; Adamu, M; Abubakar, F and Abu, S T (2020). Determination of water and nitrogen use efficiency and crop coefficient of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) as influenced by irrigation regimes and AQUASORB® rates in Sudan. *Nigerian J. Soil Environ. Res.*, *19*: 1-13.

Idowu, A A; Ayoola, S O; Opele, A I and Ikenweiwe, N B (2011). Impact of climate change in Nigeria. *Iran. J. Energy Environ.*, 2(2): 145-152.

Idris, S (2020). Effects of long-term flooding and landuse under different soil types on selected soil and groundwater properties of Hadejia-Nguru wetland, Nigeria. Masters thesis. Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria, Nigeria.

Idris, S and Yahaya, S M (2022). Crop farming in drylands: Challenges, mitigation strategies and prospects for food security in Africa. *Nigerian J. Soil Environ. Res.*, *21*: 8-21.

IPCC (2007). AR4 Climate change 2007 - Synthesis report. *IPCC Assessment Report No.* 4. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar4/syr/, accessed on 22 October 2023.

IPCC (2013). Climate change 2013 - The physical science basis. *IPCC Assessment Report No. 5*. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/, accessed on 22 October 2023.

IPCC (2014). AR5 Synthesis report: Climate change 2014. *IPCC Assessment Report No. 5*. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/syr/, accessed on 21 October 2023.

IPCC (2021). Climate change 2021 - Summary for policymakers. *IPCC Assessment Report No. 6*. https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/wg1/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_WGI_SPM.pdf., accessed on 21 October 2023.

Iqbal, R; Raza, M A S; Valipour, M; Saleem, M F; Zaheer, M S; Ahmad, S; Toleikiene, M; Haider, I; Aslam, M U and Nazar, M A (2020). Potential agricultural and environmental benefits of mulches: A review. *Bull. Natl. Res. Cent.,* (2020): 44-75. DOI: 10.1186/s42269-020-00290-3.

IRRI (2007). Coping with climate change. https://ricetoday.irri.org/coping-with-climate-change/, accessed on 10 July 2023.

Isaid, H; Bitar, A and Abu-Qaoud, H (2021). Effect of water stress at fruit maturity stage on production and skin separation phenomenon of date palm cv. *Medjool. Hebron University Research Journal, B*(10): 1-17.

Ithnin, N; How, T C; Tian, T T; Ching, W Y; Selvaraj, M; Keat, N B; Mebus, K; Bin, J L Z; Appleton, D R and Kulaveerasingam, H (2022). Physiological responses and identification of drought-responsive miRNAs in oil palm seedlings under drought stress conditions. *J. Trop. Plant Physiol.*, *14*(2): 13-29. DOI: 10.56999/jtpp.2022.14.2.23.

Jacquemard, J C (1998). *Oil Palm: The Tropical Agriculturist*, MacMillan Education Ltd., Hong Kong. p. 23-25.

Jadhav, R (2019). India's palm oil imports could hit record on raising demand: Analyst. https:// in.reuters.com/article/india-palmoil-importsidINKBN1WA17P, accessed on 15 June 2023.

Jagtap, S (2007). Managing vulnerability to extreme weather and climate events: Implications for agriculture and food security in Africa. *Proc. of the International Conference on Climate Change and Economic Sustainability*. Nnamdi Azikiwe University, Enugu, Nigeria. p. 12-14.

Jaleel, C A; Manivannan, P; Wahid, A; Farooq, M; Al-Juburi, H; Somasundaram, R and Vam, R P (2009). Drought stress in plants: A review on morphological characteristics and pigments composition. *Int. J. Agric. Biol.*, *11*: 100-105.

Jamshaid, M; Masjuki, H H; Kalam, M A; Zulkifli, N W M; Arslan, A and Qureshi, A A (2022). Experimental investigation of performance, emissions and tribological characteristics of b20 blend from cottonseed and palm oil biodiesels. *Energy*, 239: 1-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.energy.2021.121894.

Jazayeri, S M; Rivera, Y D; Camperos-Reyes, J E and Romero, H M (2015). Physiological effects of water deficit on two oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) genotypes. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 33(2): 164-173. DOI: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v33n2.49846.

Jianguo, S; Jinghui, L; Baoping, Z; Shaoxia, X; Lixin, J and Xiaoping, J (2014). Optimisation economic

benefits of plastic film mulching on sunflower. Paper presented at the 5th International Conference on Intelligent Systems Design and Engineering Applications. Hunan, China. 15-16 June 2014.

Jourdan, C; Ferrière, N M and Perbal, G (2000). Root system architecture and gravitropism in the oil palm. *Ann. Bot., 85:* 861-868. DOI: 10.1006/ anbo.2000.1148.

Kamil, N N and Omar, S F (2017). The impact of *El niño* and *La niña* on Malaysian palm oil industry. *Oil Palm Bull.*, 74: 1-6.

Karananidi, P; Som, A M; Loh, S K and Bachmann, R T (2020). Flame curtain pyrolysis of oil palm fronds for potential acidic soil amelioration and climate change mitigation. *J. Environ. Chem. Eng.*, *8*: 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.jece.2020.103982.

Kasno, A and Subardja, D (2010). Soil fertility and nutrient management on spodosol for oil palm. *Agrivita*, 32: 285-292. DOI: 10.17503/agrivita. v32i3.26.

Kautsar, V; Ismawanto, D; Dyah, W and Parwati, U (2022). The response of oil palm seedlings' growth to vermicompost and water stress under the main nursery stage. *Jurnal Pertanian Tropik*, *9*(3): 232-239. DOI: 10.32734/jpt.v9i3.

Kawamura, F; Saary, N S; Hashim, S R; Sulaiman, O; Hashida, K; Otsuka, Y; Nakamura, Y and Ohara, S (2014). Subcritical water extraction of low-molecular-weight phenolic compounds from oil palm biomass. *JARQ*, *48*(*3*): 355-362.

Khalid, H; Zin, Z Z and Anderson, J M (2000). Soil nutrient dynamics and palm growth performance in relation to residue management practices following replanting of oil palm plantations. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *12*(*1*): 25-45.

Khan, R; Ali, I; Zakarya, M; Ahmad, M; Imran, M and Shoaib, M (2018). Technology-assisted decision support system for efficient water utilisation: A real-time testbed for irrigation using wireless sensor networks. *IEEE Access*, 6: 25686-25697. DOI: 10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2836185.

Kiatkamjornwong, S (2007). Superabsorbent polymers and superabsorbent polymer composites. *Sci. Asia*, *33*(*1*): 39-43. DOI: 10.2306/scienceasia1513-1874.2007.33(s1).039.

Kim, C (2010). The impact of climate change on the agricultural sector: Implications of the agro-industry for low carbon, green growth strategy and roadmap for the East Asian region. ESCAP, Thailand. 50 pp.

Kirkham, M B (2005). *Principles of Soil and Plant Water Relations*. Elsevier Inc. California, USA. 301 pp.

Koh, L P; Miettinen, J; Liew, S C and Ghazoul, J (2011). Remotely sensed evidence of tropical peatland conversion to oil palm. *Natl. Acad. Sci.*, *108(12)*: 5127-5132. DOI: 10.1073/pnas.1018776108.

Korieocha, J N; Korieocha, D S; Orunwense, K O and Ijie, K O (2015). Evaluation of different drought stress periods on *Hevea brasiliensis* grown in ultisols of South Eastern Nigeria at nursery stage. *Niger. Agric. J.*, 46(1): 20-30.

Korkança, S Y and Sahin, H (2021). The effects of mulching with organic materials on the soil nutrient and carbon transport by runoff under simulated rainfall conditions. *J. Afr. Earth Sci.*, *176*: 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2021.104152.

Kunjet, S; Thaler, P; Gay, F; Chuntuma, P; Sangkhasila, K and Kasemsap, P (2013). Effects of drought and tapping for latex production on water relations of *Hevea brasiliensis* trees. *Kasetsart J. Nat. Sci.*, 47: 506-515.

Kushairi, A; Ong-Abdullah, M; Nambiappan, B; Hishamuddin, E; Bidin, M N I Z; Ghazali, R; Subramaniam, V; Sundram, S and Parveez, G K A (2019). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and R&D progress in 2018. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *31*: 165-194. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2009.0026.

Kushairi, A; Singh, R and Ong-Abdullah, M (2017). The oil palm industry in Malaysia: thriving with transformative technologies. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, 29: 431-439. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2017.00017.

Lamade, E and Setiyo, I (2002). Characterisation of carbon pools and dynamics for oil palm and forest ecosystems: Application to environmental evaluation. Paper presented at the International Oil Palm Conference. Nusa Dua, Bali, Indonesia. 8-12 July 2002.

Lamade, E; Setiyo, E and Purba, A (1998). Gas exchange and carbon allocation of oil palm seedlings submitted to water logging in interaction with N fertilizer application. *Proc of the 1998 International Oil Palm Conference. Commodity of the Past, Today and the Future.* IOPRI, Bali. p. 573-584.

Laudicina, P A and Peterson, E R (2015). Projected changes of future climate extremes in Malaysia. *Sains Malaysiana*, 42 (8): 1051-1059.

Lee, C T and Izwanizam, A (2013). Lysimeter studies and irrigation of oil palm in some inland soils of

Peninsular Malaysia Felda's experience. *Planter*, *89*(1042): 15-29.

Lee, C T; Nga, S K; Romzi, I and Ismail, H (2005). Early growth and yield performance of irrigated and non-irrigated oil palms planted on undulating and terraced areas in Peninsular Malaysia. *Proceedings of Agriculture, Biotechnology and Sustainability Conference: Technologies Breakthrough and Commercialisation – The Way Forward*. Sunway Pyramid Convention Centre. p. 267-284.

Lee, W K and Ong, B K (2006). The unseen flood: Waterlogging in large oil palm plantations. http://dspace.unimap.edu.my/xmlui/handle/ 123456789/13860, accessed on 30 November 2023.

Legros, S; Mialet-Serra, I; Caliman, J P; Siregar, F A; Clément-Vidal, A and Dingkuhn, M (2009). Phenology and growth adjustments of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) to photoperiod and climate variability. *Ann. Bot.*, *104*(6): 1171-1182. DOI: 10.1093/aob/mcp214.

Lentz, R D and Sojka, R E (2009). Long-term polyacry-lamide formulation effects on soil erosion, water infiltration, and yields of furrow-irrigated crops. *Agron. J.*, *101*(2): 305-314. DOI: 10.2134/agronj2008.0100x.

Leta, O T; El-Kadi, A I and Dulai, H (2018). Impact of climate change on daily streamflow and its extreme values in Pacific Island watersheds. *Sustain.*, *10(6)*: 2057. DOI: 10.3390/su10062057.

Li, S; Li, Y; Haixia, L; Feng, H and Miles, D (2018). Effects of different mulching technologies on evapotranspiration and summer maize growth. *Agric. Water Manage.*, 201(31): 309-318. DOI: 10.1016/J.AGWAT.2017.10.025.

Liew, Z K; Chan, Y Z; Ho, Z T; Yip, Y H; Teng, M C; Abbas, A T; Chong, S; Show, P L and Chew, C L (2021). Biogas production enhancement by codigestion of empty fruit bunch (EFB) with palm oil mill effluent (POME): Performance and kinetic evaluation. *Renew. Energ.*, *179*: 766-777. DOI: 10.1016/j.renene.2021.07.073.

Lim, C H; Cheah, Z H; Lee, X H; How, B S; Ng, W P Q; Ngan, S L; Lim, S and Lam, H L (2021). Harvesting and evacuation route optimisation model for fresh fruit bunch in the oil palm plantation site. *J. Clean. Prod.,* 307: 1-11. DOI: 10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.127238.

Lim, K H; Goh, K J; Kee, K K and Henson, I E (2008). Climatic requirements of oil palm. *Proc. seminar on agronomic principles and practices of oil palm cultivation*. Agricultural Crop Trust. p. 1-28. Lim, S H; Im, N H; An, S K; Lee, H B and Kim, K S (2022). Daily light integral affects photosynthesis, growth, and flowering of Korean native *Veronica rotunda* and *V. longifolia. Hortic. Environ. Biotechnol.*, 63: 13-22. DOI: 10.1007/s13580-021-00374-7.

Lindh, M; Hoeber, S; Weih, M and Manzoni, S (2022). Interactions of nutrient and water availability control growth and diversity effects in a salix two-species mixture. *Ecohydrol.*, *15*(5): 1-16. DOI: 10.1002/eco.2401.

Loh, J L; Tangang, F; Juneng, L; Hein, D and Lee, D (2016). Projected rainfall and temperature changes over Malaysia at the end of the 21st century based on PRECIS modelling system. *Asia-Pacific J. Atmos. Sci.*, 52: 191-208. DOI: 10.1007/s13143-016-0019-7.

Low, M (2019). Making an impact: A case study of palm oil. https://www.kingsimpactinvesting.com/single-post/2019/02/21/Making-an-Impact-A-Case-Studyof-Palm-Oil, accessed on 17 May 2023.

Lubis, A U (1992). *Oil Palm* (Elaeis guineensis *Jacq*.) *in Indonesia*. Oil Palm Research Center. 127 pp.

Lucero, M; Dreesen, D and VanLeeuwen, D (2010). Using hydrogel filled, embedded tubes to sustain grass transplants for arid land restoration. *J. Arid Environ.*, 74(8): 987-990. DOI: 10.1016/j. jaridenv.2010.01.007.

Luhaim, Z B; Tan, M L; Tangang, F; Zulkafli, Z; Chun, K P; Yusop, Z and Yaseen, Z M (2021). Drought variability and characteristics in the Muda river basin of Malaysia from 1985 to 2019. *Atmosphere*, *12*(*9*): 1-19. DOI: 10.3390/atmos12091210.

Maikasuwa, S A (2013). Climate change and developing countries: Issues and policy implication. *J. Res. Develop., 187 (941)*: 1-10. DOI: 10.1016/j. heliyon.2021.e07941.

Malay Mail (2020). Forecast of severe drought, floods in Terengganu, says expert. https://www.malaymail.com/news/malaysia/2020/12/18/ forecast-of-severe-drought-floods-in-terengganu-says-expert/1933003, accessed on 10 October 2023.

Malay Mail (2015). Recent Malaysian flood to dent palm oil supply in Q1. http://www. themalaymailonline.com/money/article/recent-malaysian-flood-to-dent-palm-oil-supply-in-q1, accessed on 27 July 2023.

Manikandan, M and Tamilmani, D (2015). Assessing hydrological drought characteristics: A case study in a sub basin of Tamil Nadu, India. *Agric. Eng.*, 1: 71-83.

Marengo, J A; Jones, R; Alves, L M and Valverde, M C (2009). Future change of temperature and precipitation extremes in South America as derived from the PRECIS regional climate modeling system. *Int. J. Climatol.*, *29*(15): 2241-2255. DOI: 10.1002/joc.1863.

Masharuddin, S M S; Karim, Z A A; Said, M A M; Amran, N H and Ismael, M A (2021). The evolution of a single droplet water-in-palm oil derived biodiesel emulsion leading to micro-explosion. *Alex. Eng. J.*, *61(1)*: 541-547. DOI: 10.1016/j.aej.2021.06.043.

Masnang, A; Jannah, A; Andriyanty, R and Haryati, U (2022). The effectiveness and valuation of using silt pit to reduce erosion and nutrient loss of andosol. *J. Trop. Soil*, 27 (1): 27-35. DOI: 10.5400/jts.2022.v27i1.27-35.

Mason, B; Rufí-Salís, M; Parada, F; Gabarrell, X and Gruden, C (2019). Intelligent urban irrigation systems: Saving water and maintaining crop yields. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 226: 1-8. DOI: 10.1016/j. agwat.2019.105812.

Masud, M M; Azam, M N; Mohiuddin, M; Banna, H; Akhtar, R; Alam, A F and Begum, H (2017). Adaptation barriers and strategies towards climate change: Challenges in the agricultural sector. J. Clean. Prod., 156: 698-706. DOI: 10.1016/j. jclepro.2017.04.060.

Mattar, M A; Said, S S and Al-Obeed, S R (2021). Effects of various quantities of three irrigation water types on yield and fruit quality of 'succary' date palm. *Agronomy*, *11*(4): 779-796. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy11040796.

Mauro, B; Rafael S O; Gutiérrez, L J; Julian, L; Thomas, P; Sanchez, C G; Salles, T R and Heidi, A (2021). Effects of irrigation on oil palm transpiration during enso-induced drought in the Brazilian Eastern Amazon. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 245: 106569. DOI: 10.1016/j.agwat.2020.106569.

Mayowa, O O; Pour, S H; Shahid, S; Mohsenipour, M; Harun, S B; Heryansyah, A and Ismail, T (2015). Trends in rainfall and rainfall-related extremes in the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *J. Earth Syst. Sci.*, *120(8)*: 1-16. DOI: 10.1007/s12040-015-0639-9.

Mazlan, N A; Abdul Samad, K; Yussof, H W; Abu Samah, R and Jahim, J M (2021). Xylan recovery from dilute nitric acid pretreated oil palm frond bagasse using fractional factorial design. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *33*(2): 307-319. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2020.0093.

Mechtcherine, V; Wyrzykowski, M; Schröf, C; Snoeck, D; Lura, P; De Belie, N; Mignon, A; Vlierberghe, S V; Klemm, A J; Fernando, C R; Almeida, J R; Filho, T; Boshoff, W P; Hans-Reinhardt, W and Igarashi, S (2021). Application of super absorbent polymers (SAP) in concrete construction - Update of RILEM state-of-the-art report. *Mater. Struct.*, *54*(80): 1-20. DOI: 10.1617/s11527-021-01668-z.

Melillo, J M T; Richmond, C and Yohe, G W (2014). *Highlights of Climate Change Impacts in the United States: The Third National Climate Assessment*. U.S. Global Change Research Program, 148 pp.

Méndez, Y D R; Chacón, L M; Bayona, C J and Romero, H M (2012). Physiological response of oil palm interspecific hybrids (*Elaeis oleifera* H.B.K. Cortes versus *Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) to water deficit. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, 24(4): 273-280. DOI: 10.1590/S1677-04202012000400006.

Mendoza-Hernández, R H J; Vázquez-Navarrete, C J; Lagunes-Espinoza, L D C; Rincón-Ramírez, J A; Rivero-Bautista, N D; Pérez-Bonilla, M D C; Gutiérrez-López, J and Asbjornsen, H (2021). Effect of supplementary irrigation on the transpiration and reproductive development of oil palm trees during the dry season in Tabasco, Mexico. *Cah. Agric.*, *30*(*41*): 1-10. DOI: 10.1051/cagri/2021026.

MetOffice (2023). Causes of climate change. https://www.metoffice.gov.uk/weather/climatechange/causes-of-climate-change, accessed on 9 September 2023.

Michael, S and Dunn, S M N (2000). *Soil: An Introduction*. Courier Kendall Ville, Pearson Education, Australia. 451 pp.

Miller, R W and Donahue, R L (1992). *Soils: An Introduction to Soil and Plant Growth*. 6th edition. Prentice-Hall Inc, Englewood Cliffs.

Miranda, M; Silva, S; Silveira, N; Pereira, L; Machado, E and Ribeiro, R (2021). Root osmotic adjustment and stomatal control of leaf gas exchange are dependent on citrus rootstocks under water deficit. *J. Plant Growth Regul.*, 40: 1-9. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-020-10069-5.

Mohammed, M; Sallam, A; Munir, M and Ali-Dinar, H (2021). Effects of deficit irrigation scheduling on water use, gas exchange, yield, and fruit quality of date palm. *Agronomy*, *11*: 2-18. DOI: 10.3390/agronomy11112256.

Mohd Arif, S (2005). Oil palm planting in marginal soils: Selected cases. *Oil Palm Bull.*, *50*: 24-30.

Moheb, A (2019). Effects of superabsorbents on growth and physiological responses of date palm seedling under water deficit conditions. *Int. J. Hortic. Sci. Technol., 6*(1): 77-88. DOI: 10.22059/ ijhst.2019.273709.273.

Moraidi, A; Teh, C B S; Goh, K J; Husni, M H A and Fauziah, C I (2012). Evaluation of four soil conservation practices in a non-terraced oil palm plantation. *Agron. J.*, *104*: 1727-1740. DOI: 10.2134/agronj2012.0120.

Moraidi A; Teh, C B S; Goh, K J; Husni, M H A and Fauziah, C I (2013). Soil organic carbon sequestration due to different oil palm residue mulch. *Advances in Tropical Soil Science*, (J. Hamdan, J and Shamshudin, J eds.). Universiti Putra Malaysia Press, Serdang. p. 169-186.

Morgan, R P C (2005). *Soil Erosion and Conservation*. 3rd edition. Oxford: Blackwell Publishing. 301 pp.

Morton, L W; Roesch-McNally, G E and Wilke, A (2017). Upper midwest farmer perceptions: Too much uncertainty about impacts of climate change to justify changing current agricultural practices. *J. Soil Water Conserv.*, 72(3): 215-225. DOI: 10.2489/jswc.

MPIC (2018). *The employment survey in oil palm plantations, Malaysia 2018.* https://www.mpic.gov.my/kpk/en/publications, accessed on 30 November 2023.

MPOB (2018). Overview of the Malaysian oil palm industry 2017. http://www.mpob.gov.my/, accessed on 20 March 2023.

MPOB (2022). *Malaysian Oil Palm Statistics* 2021. 41st edition. MPOB, Bangi. 214 pp.

MPOC (2019). Palm oil holds promise for current and future food security. http://palmoiltoday.net/palm-oil-holds-promise-for-current-and-future-food-security/, accessed on 23 August 2023.

Mueller, A (2009). *Climate Change and Agriculture: Challenges and Opportunities for Mitigation*. Food and Agriculture Organisation (FAO), Rome. p. 25-30.

Muhamad Rizal, A R and Tsan, F Y (2008). Low rainfall for two or more months in succession will depress OER about 11 months later. http://www.iipm.com.my/ipicex2014/docs/ posters/Muhamad%20Rizal%20and%20Tsan.pdf, accessed on 3 August 2023. Murad, W A; Molla, R I; Mokhtar, M B and Raquib, M A (2010). Climate change and agricultural growth: An examination of the link in Malaysia. *Int. J. Clim. Change Strateg. Manag.*, 2(4): 403-417. DOI: 10.1108/17568691011089927.

Murphy (2021). Murphy Oil Corporation 2021 annual report. https://ir.murphyoilcorp.com/ static-files/1730e4cf-d067-4404-9958-0ff173630a68, accessed on 12 October 2023.

Murtilaksono, K W; Darmosarkoro, E S; Sutarta, H H; Siregar, Y; Hidayat Y and Yusuf, M A (2011). Feasibility of soil and water conservation techniques on oil palm plantation. *AGRIVITA J. Agric. Sci.*, *33*(1): 63-69. DOI: 10.17503/agrivita.v33i1.40.

Mutert, E (1999). Suitability of soils for oil palm in southeast Asia. *Better Crops Int.*, 13: 36-38.

Mutert, E; Fairhurst, T H and von Uexküll, H R (1999). Agronomic management of oil palms on deep peat. *Better Crops Int.*, 13(1): 22-27.

Nabara, I S and Norsida, M (2018). The role of extension in activity-based adaptation strategies towards climate impact among oil palm smallholders in Malaysia: A systematic review. *J. Agric. Vet. Sci., 11*: 37-44. DOI: 10.9790/2380-1108013744.

Najihah, T S; Ibrahim, M H; Nulit, R and Wahab, P E M (2022). Growth analysis, leaf gas exchange and biochemical response of *Elaeis guineensis* to irrigation regimes and different sources of potassium fertilisers. *Biosci. Res.*, 19(SI-1): 1-16.

Nasereldin, Y A; Chandio, A A; Osewe, M; Abdullah, M and Ji, Y (2023). The credit accessibility and adoption of new agricultural inputs nexus: Assessing the role of financial institutions in Sudan. *Sustainability*, *15*(2): 2-18. DOI: 10.3390/su15021297.

Nashwan, MS; Ismail, T and Ahmed, K (2019). Nonstationary analysis of extreme rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia. *J. Sustain. Sci. Manag.*, 14: 17-34.

Nasir, A R M; Ishak, R and Hamzah, S (2014). The effect of irrigation on yield components of a mature lysimeter palm. *TMC Academic J.*, *8*(2): 16-22.

Nasrul, B; Hamzah, A and Anom, E (2002). Klasifikasi tanah dan evaluasi kesesuaian lahan kebun percobaan Fakultas Pertanian Universitas Riau. *Jurnal Sagu*, 2: 16-26. Nasution, R K; Rahayu, E and Astuti, Y T M (2017). Kajian produktivitas tanaman kelapa sawit (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq) pada jenis tanah yang berbeda di PT. Subur Arum Makmur I, Desa Danau Lancang, Kec. Tapung Hulu, Kab. Kampar, Riau. *Jurnal Agromast*, *2*(*1*): 1-20.

National Geographic, (2023). Drought, earth science, climatology, conservation, anthropology. https://education.nationalgeographic.org/resource/drought/, accessed on 07 August 2022.

Nelson, P N; Banabas, M; Scotter, D R and Webb, M J (2006). Using soil water depletion to measure spatial distribution of root activity in oil palm (*Elaeis guinensis* Jacq.) plantations. *Plant Soil*, 286: 109-121. DOI: 10.1007/s11104-006-9030-6.

Neto, J C R; Vieira, L R; Ribeiro, J D; Sousa, C F D; Júnior, M T S and Abdelnur, P V (2021). Metabolic effect of drought stress on the leaves of young oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis*) plants using UHPLC-MS and multivariate analysis. *Sci. Rep.*, *11*: 1-9. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-021-97835-x.

Ni, X; Song, W; Zhang, H; Yang, X and Wang, L (2016). Effects of mulching on soil properties and growth of tea olive (*Osmanthus fragrans*). *PLoS ONE, 1:* 1-11. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0158228.

Niether, W; Glawe, A; Pfohl, K; Adamtey, N; Schneider, M; Karlovsky, P and Pawelzik, E (2020). The effects of short-term *vs.* longterm soil moisture stress on the physiological response of three cocoa (*Theobroma cacao* L.) cultivars. *Plant Growth Regul.*, 92: 295-306. DOI: 10.1007/s10725-020-00638-9.

NiMet, (2022). Existing hydrometeorological status, assessment, and capacity within Nigeria. Paper presented at the 1st Hydro-Meteorological Status and Outlook System (HydroSoS) Workshop. Transcorp Hilton Hotel, Maitama, Abuja. 11 October 2022.

Nkongho, R N; Laurène Feintrenie, L and Patrice Levang, P (2014). Strengths and weaknesses of the smallholder oil palm sector in Cameroon. *OCL*, *21*(2): D208. DOI: 10.1051/ocl/2013043.

Noor, M; Ismail, T; Chung, E; Shahid, S and Sung, J H (2018). Uncertainty in rainfall intensity duration frequency curves of Peninsular Malaysia under changing climate scenarios. *Water*, *10*: 1750. DOI: 10.3390/w10121750.

Noor, M R B (2006). *Effect of water stress on the physiological processes and water use efficiency in oil palm*. Masters thesis, Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Norizan, M S; Wayayok, A; Abd Karim, Y; Fikri Abdullah, A and Muhammad Razif, M (2021). A quantitative approach for irrigation requirement of oil palm: Case study in Chuping, Northern Malaysia. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, 33(2): 278-288. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2020.0094.

Nouy, B; Baudouin, L; Dejégul, N and Omoré, A (1999). Le palmier à huile en conditions hydriques limitantes. *Plant. Rech. Dev.*, 6: 31-45.

NRE, (2015). Malaysia biennial update report to the UNFCC. Ministry of Natural Resources and Environment Malaysia, Putrajaya, Malaysia.

Nuhkat, M; Brosché, M; Stoelzle-Feix, S; Dietrich, P; Hedrich, R; Rob, M; Roelfsema, G and Kollist, H (2021). Rapid depolarisation and cytosolic calcium increase go hand-in-hand in mesophyll cells' ozone response. *New Phytol.*, *232*(*4*): 1692-1702. DOI: 10.1111/nph.17711.

Nuruddin, A A and Tokiman, L (2005). Air and soil temperature characteristics of two sizes forest gap in tropical forest. *Asian J. Plant Sci.*, *4*: 144-148. DOI: 10.3923/ajps.2005.144.148.

Nwokocha, C C; Ano, A O; Njoku, N R; Korieocha, D S; Achebe, U; Nwangwu, B C; Daniel-Ogbonna, C and Uchechukwu, U N (2017). Economic potentials of oil palm products and weed control on sustainable turmeric production and selected soil physical properties in Southeastern Nigeria. *Niger. Agric. J.*, 48(1): 249-255.

Obioha, E (2008). Climate change, population drift and violent conflict over land resources in Northeastern Nigeria. *J. Hum. Ecol.*, 23(4): 311-324. DOI: 10.1080/09709274.2008.11906084.

Ochs, R and Daniel, C (1976). Research on techniques adapted to dry regions. *Oil Palm Research* (Corley, R H V; Hardon, J J and Wood, B J eds.). Elsevier, Amsterdam. p. 315-330.

Oettli, P; Behera, S K and Yamagata, T (2018). Climate based predictability of oil palm tree yield in Malaysia. *Sci Rep.*, *8*: 1-14. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-018-20298-0.

Ogle, S M; Olander, L; Wollenberg, L; Rosenstock, T; Tubiello, F; Paustian, K; Buendia, L; Nihart, A and Smith, P (2014). Reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting agricultural management for climate change in developing countries: Providing the basis for action. *Glob. Change Biol.,* 20(1): 1-6. DOI: 10.1111/gcb.12361.

Oil World (2022). Oil weekly statistics update 1 April 2022. https://www.iea.org/reports/oil-market-report-april-2022., accessed on 10 February 2024.

Okon, E M; Falana, B M; Solaja, S O; Yakubu, S O; Alabi, O O; Okikiola, B T; Awe, T E; Adesina, B T and Tokula, B E (2021). Systematic review of climate change impact research in Nigeria: Implication for sustainable development. *Helion*, 7(9): DOI: 10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e07941.

Olivin, J (1986). Study for the siting of a commercial oil palm plantation. *Oléagineux*, *41*(3): 113-118.

Othman, H; Mohammed, A T; Darus, F M; Harun, M H and Zambri, M P (2011). Best management practices for oil palm cultivation on peat: Ground water-table maintenance in relation to peat subsidence and estimation of CO₂ emissions at Sessang, Sarawak. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, 23: 1078-1086.

Otsuka, Y; Nakamura, M; Shigehara, K; Sigimura, K; Masai, E; Ohara, S and Katayama, Y (2006). Efficient production of 2-pyrone-4,6- dicarboxylic acid as a novel polymer-based material from protocatechuate by microbial function. *Appl. Microb. Biotechnol.*, *71*: 608-614. DOI: 10.1007/s00253-005-0203-7.

Pacheco, P; Gnych, S; Dermawan, A; Komarudin, H and Okarda, B (2017). *The Palm Oil Global Value Chain: Implications for Economic Growth and Social and Environmental Sustainability*. Working Paper 220. CIFOR, Indonesia. 44 pp.

Paeth, H; Born, K; Girmes, R; Podzun, R and Jacob, D (2009). Regional climate change in tropical and Northern Africa due to greenhouse forcing and land use changes. *Int. J. Clim.,* 22(1): 114-132. DOI: 10.1175/2008jcli2390.1.

Palat, T; Chayawat, N and Corley, R H V (2012). Maximising oil palm yield by high density planting and thinning. *Planter, 88*: 241-256. DOI: 10.56333/ tp.2012.004.

Palat, T; Nakharin, C; Clendon, J H and Corley, R H V (2008). A review of 15 years of oil palm irrigation research in Southern Thailand. Paper presented in the Indian National Conference on Oil Palm, Andhra Pradesh, India. 15 August 2008.

Pan, X; Caoa, P; Sua, X; Liua, Z and Li, M (2020). Structural analysis and comparison of light-harvesting complexes I and II. *BBA Bioenergetics*, *1861* (4): 1-14. DOI: 10.1016/j.bbabio.2019.06.010.

Pangaribuan, I F and Akoeb, E N (2022). Analysis of morphological responses of drought stress oil palm in nursery phase. *Earth Environ. Sci.*, 977: 1-8. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/977/1/012013.

Paramananthan, S (2003). Land selection for oil palm. *The Oil Palm-Management for Large and Sustainable Yields* (Fairhurst, T and Härdter, R eds.). Potash and Phosphate Institute, International Potash Institute, Singapore. p. 27-58.

Paramananthan, S (2013). Managing marginal soils for sustainable growth of oil palms in the tropics. *J. Oil Palm Environ.*, 4: 1-16. DOI: 10.5366/JOPE.2013.1.

Paramananthan, S; Chew, P and Goh, K (2000). Towards a practical framework for land evaluation for oil palm in the 21st century. *Proceedings of the International Planters Conference*. Kuala Lumpur. p. 869-885.

Parveez, G K A; Abd Rasid, O; Ahmad, M N; Taib, H M; Bakri, M A M; Abdul Hafid, S R; Ismail, T N M; Loh, S K; Ong-Abdullah, M; Zakaria, K and Idris, Z (2023). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and R&D progress in 2022. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *35*(2): 193-216. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2023.0028.

Parveez, G K A; Kamil, N N; Zawawi, N Z; Ong-Abdullah, M; Rasuddin, R; Loh, K S; Selvaduray, K R; Hoong, S S and Idris, Z (2022). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and R&D progress in 2021. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, *34*(2): 185-218. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2022.0036.

Paterson, R R M and Lima, N (2017). Climate change affecting oil palm agronomy, and oil palm cultivation increasing climate change, require amelioration. *Ecol. Evol.*, *8*: 452-461. DOI: 10.1002/ece3.3610.

Paterson, R R M; Kumar, L; Shabani, F and Lima, N (2017). World climate suitability projections to 2050 and 2100 for growing oil palm. *J. Agric. Sci.*, *155*(5): 659-702. DOI: 10.1017/S0021859616000605.

Paterson, R R M; Russell, M; Kumar, L; Taylor, S and Lima, N (2015). Future climate effects on suitability for growth of oil palms in Malaysia and Indonesia. *Sci. Rep.*, *5*: 1-11. DOI: 10.1038/srep14457.

Ping, L Y; Teh, C B S; Joo, G K and Moradi, A (2012). Effects of four soil conservation methods on soil aggregate stability. *Malay. J. Soil Sci.*, *16*: 43-56.

Pirker, J; Mosnier, A; Kraxner, F; Havlík, P and Obersteiner, M (2016). What are the limits to oil palm expansion? *Glob. Environ. Chang.*, 40: 73-81.

Pour, S H; Harun, S B and Shahid, S (2014). Genetic programming for the downscaling of extreme rainfall events on the East Coast of Peninsular Malaysia. *Atmosphere*, *5*: 914-936. DOI: 10.3390/atmos5040914.

Price, D T and Black, T A (1990). Effects of short-term variation in weather on diurnal canopy CO_2 flux and evapotranspiration of a juvenile douglasfir stand. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, 50: 139-158. DOI: 10.1016/0168-1923(90)90050-g.

Prioux, G; Jaquemard, J C; Franqueville, H and Caliman, J P (1992). Oil palm irrigation: Initial results obtained by PHCI (Ivory Coast). *Oléagineux*, 47: 497-509.

Qaim, M; Sibhatu, K T; Siregar, H and Grass, I (2020). Environmental, economic, and social consequences of the oil palm boom. *Annu. Rev. Resour. Econ.*, *12*: 321-344. DOI: 10.1146/annurev-resource-110119-024922.

Rafiei, F; Nourmohammadi, G; Chokan, R; Kashani, A; Haidari, H and Abad, S (2013). Investigation of superabsorbent polymer usage on maize under water stress. *Glob. J. Med. Plant Res.*, 1(1): 82-87.

Rao, V; Palat, T; Chayawat, N and Corley, R H V (2008). The univanich oil palm breeding programme and progeny trial results from Thailand. *Planter*, *84*: 519-531.

Reddy, T; Reddy, V R and Anbumozhi, V (2003). Physiological responses of groundnut (*Arachis hypogea* L.) to drought stress and its amelioration: A critical review. *Plant Growth Regul.*, *41*: 75-88. DOI: 10.1023/A:1027353430164.

Rees, A R (1961). Midday closure of stomata in the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq). *J. Exp. Bot.*, 12: 129-146. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/12.1.129.

Renny, R M; Mark, D; Rivas, E; Fariñas, J; Salazar, J and Rodríguez, G (2011). Effect of water deficit on the productive cycle of oil palm in monagas state, Venezuela. *Agronomía Trop.*, *61*(3): 267-274.

Reuters, (2023). Malaysia forecasts peak of *El Niño* to hit in early 2024. https://www.reuters.com/world/asia-pacific/malaysia-forecasts-peak-el-nio-hit-early-2024-2023-07-07/, accessed 10 October 2023.

Rey, H; Quencez, P; Dufrene, E and Dubos, B (1998). Oil palm water profiles and water supplies in Côte d'Ivoire. *Plant. Rech. Dév., 5:* 47-57.

Rhebergen, T; Fairhurstc, T; Gillerb, K E and Zingorea, S (2019). The influence of water and nutrient management on oil palm yield trends

on a large-scale plantation in Ghana (2019). *Agric. Water Manag.*, 221: 377-387. DOI: 10.1016/j. agwat.2019.05.003.

Rhebergen, T; Fairhurst, T; Whitbread, A; Giller, K E and Zingore, S (2018). Yield gap analysis and entry points for improving productivity on large oil palm plantations and smallholder farms in Ghana. *Agric. Syst., 165*: 14-25. DOI: 10.1016/j.agsy.2018.05.012.

Rhebergen, T; Zingore, S; Giller, K E; Frimpong, C A; Acheampong, K; Ohipeni, F T; Panyin, E K; Zutah, V and Fairhurs, T (2020). Closing yield gaps in oil palm production systems in Ghana through best management practices. *Eur. J. Agron., 115*: 1-19. DOI: 10.1016/j.eja.2020.126011.

Rival, A (2017). Breeding the oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) for climate change. *OCL*, 24(1): 1-7. DOI: 10.1051/OCL/2017001.

Rivera, M; Moreno, C L; Bayona, C J and Romero, H M (2012). Physiological response of oil palm interspecific hybrids (*Elaeis oleifera* HBK Cortes versus *Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) to water deficit. *Braz. J. Plant Physiol.*, 24: 273-280. DOI: 10.1590/S1677-04202012000400006.

Rivera-Méndes, Y D; Cuenca, J C and Romero, H M (2016). Physiological responses of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) seedlings under different water soil conditions. *Agronomía Colombiana*, 34: 163-171. DOI: 10.15446/agron.colomb.v34n2.55568.

Rongbin, X; Pei, Y; Michael, J; Abramson, J; Fay, H; Jonathan, M S; Michelle, L B; Haines, A; Kristie, L E; Shanshan, L and Guo, Y (2020). Wildfires, global climate change, and human health. *N. Engl. J. Med.*, *383*(22): 2173-2181.

Rudolf, K; Hennings, N; Dippold, M A; Edison, E and Wollni, M (2021). Improving economic and environmental outcomes in oil palm smallholdings: The relationship between mulching, soil properties and yields. *Agric. Syst.*, 193: 1-13. DOI: 10.1016/j. agsy.2021.103242.

Sadiq, Y; Idris, S; Igbadun, H; Zakari, M D; Ganiyu, S R and Sani, M (2022a). Effect of deficit irrigation on yield and water productivity of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon*) in fadama plain of Bunga, Bauchi, Nigeria. *AJBAR*, *26*(1): 85-98.

Sadiq, Y; Raji, S G and Idris, S (2022b). Evaluation of hydraulic performance of drip irrigation system and yield response of tomato (*Solanum lycopersicon* L.) to deficit irrigation and fertigation using bucket drip irrigation kits. *Taraba J. Agric. Res.*, 1: 13-22.

Safitri, L; Hermantoro, H; Purboseno, S; Kautsar, V; Saptomo, S K and Kurniawan, A (2019). Water footprint and crop water usage of oil palm (*Eleasis guineensis*) in central Kalimantan: Environmental sustainability indicators for different crop age and soil sonditions. *Water*, *11*(35): 2-16. DOI: 10.3390/w11010035.

Safronov, O; Kreuzwieser, J; Haberer, G; Alyousif, M S; Schulze, W; Arab, N A; Ache, P; Stempfl, T; Kruse, J; Mayer, K X; Hedrich, R; Rennenberg, H; Salojärvi, J and Kangasjarvi, J (2017). Detecting early signs of heat and drought stress in *Phoenix dactylifera* (date palm). *PLoS ONE*, *12*(6): e0177883. DOI: 10.1371/ journal.pone.0177883.

Saifan, S; Shibli, R; Ariffin, I A; Yajid, M S and Tham, J (2021). Climate change and extension services' effects on farm level income in Malaysia: A time series analysis. *AgBioForum*, *23*(*2*): 72-81.

Sala, P D; Cilas, C; Gimeno, T E; Wohl, S; Opoku, S Y; Gainus, A and Ribeyre, B F (2021). Assessment of atmospheric and soil water stress impact on a tropical crop: The case of *Theobroma cacao* under harmattan conditions in Eastern Ghana. *Agric. For. Meteorol.*, *311*: 3-17. DOI: 10.1016/j. agrformet.2021.108670.

Salgado, F F; da Silva, T L C; Vieira, L R; Silva, V N B; Leão, A P; Costa, M D C; Togawa, R C; de Sousa, C A F; Grynberg, P and Souza, M T (2022). The early response of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) plants to water deprivation: Expression analysis of miRNAs and their putative target genes, and similarities with the response to salinity stress. *Front. Plant Sci., 13:* 97-113. DOI: 10.3389/ fpls.2022.970113.

Sammathuria, M K and Ling, L K (2009). Regional climate observation and simulation of extreme temperature and precipitation trends. Paper presented at the 14th International Rainwater Catchment Systems Conference. PWTC, Kuala Lumpur. 3-6 August 2009.

Sari, LN; Madusari, S and Sari, VI (2022). Application of oil palm empty bunches as organic mulch in oil palm plantation (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.): An evaluation and SWOT analysis. *Earth Environ. Sci.*, 1041: 1-7. DOI: 10.1088/1755-1315/1041/1/012053.

Sarkar, M S K; Begum, R A and Pereira, J J (2020). Impacts of climate change on oil palm production in Malaysia. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.*, 27: 9760-9770. DOI: 10.1007/s11356-020-07601-1.

Saxton, K E; Rawls, W J; Romberger, J S and Papendick, R I (1986). Estimating

generalized soil water characteristics from texture. *Soil Sci. Soc. Am. J., 50*: 1031-1035. DOI: 10.2136/sssaj1986.03615995005000040039x.

Setyo, I E; Subronto and Lamade, E (1996). Photosynthetic rate of three different DxP clones: The sensitivity to vapour pressure deficit in North Sumatra. *Proc. of the 1996 PORIM International Palm Oil Congress: Agriculture Conference*. PORIM, Bangi. p. 421-426.

Shahid, S; Pour, S H; Wang, X; Shourav, S A; Minhans, A and Ismail, T (2017). Impacts and adaptation to climate change in Malaysian real estate. *Int. J. Clim. Chang. Strateg. Manag.*, 9: 87-103. DOI: 10.1108/IJCCSM-01-2016-0001.

Shanmuganathan, S; Narayanan, A; Mohamed, M; Ibrahim, R and Khalid, H (2014). A hybrid approach to modeling the climate change effects on Malaysia's oil palm yield at the regional scale. *Adv. Intell. Syst.*, *287*: 335-346. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-319-07692-8_32.

Shao, H B; Chu, L Y; Jaleel, C A and Zhao, C X (2008). Water-deficit stress-induced anatomical changes in higher plants. *Comp. Rend. Biol, 331*: 215-225. DOI: 10.1016/j.crvi.2008.01.002.

Shevade, V S and Loboda, T V (2019). Oil palm plantation in Peninsular Malaysia determinants and constraints on expansion. *PLoS ONE*, *14*(2): 1-19. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0210628.

Shobande, O A (2021). Is climate change a monetary phenomenon? Evidence from time series analysis. *Int. J. Sustain. Dev. World Ecol.*, 1-13. DOI: 10.1080/13504509.2021.1920064.

Siderius, C; K E; Gannon, N M; Opere, A; Batisani, N; Olago, D; Pardoe, J and Conway, D (2018). Hydrological response and complex impact pathways of the 2015/2016 *El Niño* in Eastern and Southern Africa. *Earth Futur.*, 6: 2-22. DOI: 10.1002/2017EF000680.

Sidhu, M; Sinuraya, A Z and Sharma, M (2021). Impact of prolonged dry period on oil palm yield and mill extraction ratio: A case study. *Planter*, *97*: 1146. DOI: 10.56333/tp.2021.014.

Singh, R; Lee, K T; Ooi, L C; Low, E T L; Ong-Abdullah, M; Sambanthamurthi, R and Azman, R (2021). An overview of the development of the oil palm industry and impact of the shell gene innovation as a quality control tool to improve productivity. *J. Oil Palm Res.*, 34(2): 219-233. DOI: 10.21894/jopr.2021.0001.

Snoeck, D and De Belie, N (2019). Autogenous healing in strain-hardening cementitious materials with and without superabsorbent polymers: An 8-year study. *Front. Mater.*, *6*(48): 1-12. DOI: 10.3389/fmats.2019.00048.

Suharyanti, N A; Mizuno, K and Sodri, A (2020). The effect of water deficit on inflorescence period at palm oil productivity on peatland. *E3SWeb of Conf.*, 211: 1-10. DOI: 10.1051/e3sconf/202021105005.

Sukarman, R; Saidy, A R; Rusmayadi, G; Adriani, D E; Primananda, S; Suwardi; Wirianata, H and Fitriana, C D A (2022). Effect of water deficit of ultisols, entisols, spodosols, and histosols on oil palm productivity in Central Kalimantan. *J. Soil Sci. Agroclimatol.*, *19*(2): 180-191. DOI: 10.20961/stjssa. v19i2.65455.

Sun, C X; Cao, H X; Shao, H B; Lei, X T and Xiao, Y T (2011). Growth and physiological responses to water and nutrient stress in oil palm. *Afr. J. Biotechnol.*, *10*: 10465. DOI: 10.5897/ajb11.463.

Suresh, K; Nagamani, C; Ramachandrudu, K and Mathur, R K (2010). Gas-exchange characteristics, leaf water potential and chlorophyll a fluorescence in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) seedlings under water stress and recovery. *Photosynthetica*, *48*(3): 430-436. DOI: 10.1007/s11099-010-0056-x.

Swaray, S; Rafii, M Y; Amiruddin, M D; Ismail, M F; Jamian, S; Marjuni, M; Jalloh, M; Yusuff, O and Mohamad, M M (2021). Study on yield variability in oil palm progenies and their genetic origins. *Biol. Life Sci. Forum*, 4: 68-80. DOI: 10.3390/IECPS2020-08760.

Tabari, H; Nikbakht, J and Hosseinzadeh, T P (2013). Hydrological drought assessment in Northwestern Iran based on streamflow drought index (SDI). *Water Resour. Manag.*, *27*(1): 137-151. DOI: 10.1007/ s11269-012-0173-3.

Tam, T H; Abdul Rahman, M Z; Harun, S; Try, S; Shahid, S; Jamal, M H; Ismail, Z; Razak, K A; Ghani, M K and Abdul Wahab, Y F (2021). Flood hazard assessment under climate change scenarios in Kelantan river basin, Malaysia. *Res. Square*, 1: 1-20. DOI: 10.21203/rs.3.rs-858810/v1.

Tang, K H D (2019). Climate change in Malaysia: Trends, contributors, impacts, mitigation and adaptations. *Sci. Total Environ.*, *650*: 1858-1871. DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2018.09.316.

Tang, K H D and Al-Qahtani, H M S (2020). Sustainability of oil palm plantations in Malaysia. *Environ. Dev. Sustain.*, 22(6): 4999-5023. DOI: 10.1007/s10668-019-00458-6. Tangang, F; Liew, J; Ester, S and Ahmad, F J (2018). Scientific understanding of *El niño*-Southern oscillation (enso) and its climatic impacts in Malaysia and surrounding region. *El-Nino - Review of Scientific Understanding and the Impacts of 1997/98 Event in Malaysia*. Academy of Sciences Malaysia Press. p. 23-44.

Tangang, F T; Juneng, L; Salimun, E; Sei, K M; Le, L J and Muhamad, H (2012). Climate change and variability over Malaysia: Gaps in science and research information. *Sains Malaysiana*, 41(11): 1355-1366.

Tani, M; Abdul Rahim, N; Ohtani, Y; Yasuda, Y; Mohd Md, S; Baharuddin, K; Takanashi, S; Noguchi, S; Zulkifli, Y and Watanabe, T (2003). Characteristics of energy exchange and surface conductance of a tropical rain forest in Peninsular Malaysia. *Pasoh: Ecology of a Lowland Rain Forest in Southeast Asia* (Okuda, T; Manokaran, N; Matsumoto, Y; Niiyama, K; Thomas, S C and Ashton, P S eds.). Springer-Verlag, Tokyo. p. 73-88.

Teh, C B S (2016). Availability, use and removal of oil palm biomass in Indonesia: *A working Paper*. International Council on Clean Transportation. p. 1-16.

Teh, C B S (2017). *Modeling Soil Water Flow in Python and Excel*. UPM Press. p. 15-20.

Teh, C B S and Cheah, S S (2018). Modelling crop growth and yield in palm oil cultivation. *Achieving Sustainable Cultivation of Oil Palm* (Rival, A eds.). Cambridge, UK: Burleigh Dodds Science Publishing. p. 183-227.

Teh, CBS and Cheah, SS (2023). Modelling of growth and FFB yield for increasing oil palm productivity. *Book of Abstracts of the PIPOC 2023, International Palm Oil Congress and Exhibition: Agriculture Biotechnology and Sustainability Conference.* MPOB, Bangi. p. 19.

Teh, C B S and Iba, J (2010). Accuracy of the saxtonrawls method to estimate the soil water characteristics for minerals soils of Malaysia. *Pertanika Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 33: 297-302.

The Star (2022). The effects of climate change in Malaysia. https://www.thestar.com.my/lifestyle/health/the-doctor-says/2022/07/05/the-effects-of-climate-change-in-malaysia, accessed on 12 June 2023.

Thomas, M; Cahyo, A N and Ardika, R (2011). Anticipation and effort to cope with *El Nino* climate anomaly in rubber plantation: Role of science and technology on climate change anticipation on the perspective sustainable Agriculture. *Departmental Seminar series* 20: Sriwijaya University, Palembang.

Thomas, M; Smitha, M; Xavier, K V; Sumesh, K; Annamalainathan, D B N and Mercy, M A (2015). Identification of potential drought tolerant *Hevea* germplasm accessions using physiological and biochemical parameters. *Rubber Sci.*, 28(1): 62-69.

Tie, Y L (2004). Long-term drainability of and water management in peat soil areas. *Planter*, 80: 423-439.

Tui, L C and Arifin, I (2013). Lysimeter studies and irrigation of oil palm in some inland soils of Peninsular Malaysia - Felda's Experience. *Planter*, *89*(1042): 15-29.

UCAR (2022). Climate variability. https://scied. ucar.edu/learning-zone/how-climate-works/clima tevariability#:~:text=However%2C%20climate%20 is%20variable%20as,volcanic%20eruptions%2C%20 and%20other%20factors, accessed on 5 September 2023.

Uke, A; Nagaoka, E N; Chuah, J A; Zain, N A; Amir, H G; Sudesh, K; Abidin, N Z H; Hashim, A Z and Kosugi, A (2021). Effect of decomposing oil palm trunk fibers on plant growth and soil microbial community composition. *J. Environ. Manag.*, 295: 2-9. DOI: 10.1016/j.jenvman.2021.113050.

UNM (2022). Why is climate change an issue in Malaysia? https://www.nottingham.edu.my/ Economics/documents/2022/Second-prize-Indeshwararaj-Vijayanand.pdf., accessed on 4 July 2023.

USDA (2017). *Soil Survey Manual*. United States Department of Agriculture Handbook No. 18. Government Printing Office, Washington D.C. p. 355-394.

USDA (2023). Oil palm explorer – Oil palm calendar. https://ipad.fas.usda.gov/cropexplorer/ cropview/commodityView.aspx?cropid=4243000, accessed 17 on October 2023.

Usman, S; Morton, J; Koko, I S; Aminu, A; Makai, A A and Adamu, A (2013). Climate change and soil degradation impact: Farmers' viewpoints in Kebbi state Nigeria. *Int. J. Curr. Res. Rev.*, *5*: 63-70.

Van Der Pol, T D; van Ierland, E C and Gabbert, S (2015). Economic analysis of adaptive strategies for flood risk management under climate change. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Change*, 22: 267-285. DOI: 10.1007/s11027-015-9637-0.

Van Ierland, E C; De Bruin, K; Dellink, R B and Ruijs, A (2006). A qualitative assessment of climate adaptation options and some estimates of adaptation costs. *Study Performed Within the Framework of the Netherlands Policy Programme ARK as Route planner Projects,* 3: 4-5.

Venturas, M D; John, S S and Uwe, G H (2017). Plant xylem hydraulics: What we understand, current research, and future challenges. *J. Integr. Plant Biol.*, *59*: 356-389. DOI: 10.1111/jipb.12534.

Villalobos, E; Chinchilla, C; Echandi, C and Fernandez, O (1993). Short-term responses of oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) to water deficit in Costa Rica. *Proc. of the 1991 PORIM Oil Development Conference: Module I (Agriculture)*. PORIM, Bangi. p. 95-101.

Voora, V; Cristina, L; Steffany, B and Sofia, B (2019). Global market report: Palm oil. https://www.iisd.org/system/files/publications/ ssi-global-market-report-palm-oil.pdf, accessed 16 July 2023.

Wairegi, L W I and Van Asten, P J A (2010). The agronomic and economic benefits of fertilizer and mulch use in highland banana systems in Uganda. *Agric. Syst., 103*: 543-550. DOI: 10.1016/j. agsy.2010.06.002.

Wang, L; Lee, M; Ye, B and Yue, G H (2020). Genes, pathways and networks responding to drought stress in oil palm roots. *Sci. Rep., 10*: 1-13. DOI: 10.1038/s41598-020-78297-z.

Wang, X J; Zhang, J Y; Shahid, S; Guan, E H; Wu, Y X; Gao, J and He, R M (2014). Adaptation to climate change impacts on water demand. *Mitig. Adapt. Strateg. Glob. Chang.*, 21: 81-99. DOI: 10.1007/s11027-014-9571-6.

Weil, R R and Brady, N C (2017). *The Nature and Properties of Soils*. 15th edition, Pearson, New York. 212 pp.

Williams, P A; Crespo, O; Abu, M and Simpson, N P (2018). A systematic review of how vulnerability of smallholder agricultural systems to changing climate is assessed in Africa. *Environ. Res. Lett.*, *13(10)*: 1-15. DOI: 10.1088/1748-9326/aae026.

Wiratmoko, D; Darlan, N H and Purba, A R (2015). Teknologi pengelolaan lahan sub optimal untuk optimalisasi produksi kelapa sawit. Proceedings of seminar optimalisasi pemanfaatan lahan marginal untuk usaha perkebunan, Surabaya, Indonesia. 29-30 April 2015. Woittiez, L S (2019). *On yield gaps and better management practices in Indonesian smallholder oil palm plantation*. Ph.D thesis, Wageningen University.

Woittiez, L S; Slingerland, M and Giller, K E (2015). Yield gaps in Indonesian smallholder plantations: Causes and solutions. Paper presented in PIPOC 2015 International Palm Oil Congress and Exhibition, Kuala Lumpur. 6-8 October 2016.

Woittiez, L S; van Wijk, M T; Slingerland, M; van Noordwijk, M and Giller, K E (2017). Yield gaps in oil palm: A quantitative review of contributing factors. *Eur. J. Agron.*, *83*: 57-77. DOI: 10.1016/j. eja.2016.11.002.

World Bank (2021). WBG and ADB report on climate risk country profile: Malaysia. https://www. bnm.gov.my/documents/20124/5949839/ADB_ WBG_Report_on_Climate_Risk_Country_Profile_ Malaysia.pdf, accessed 13 September 2023.

Yang, F; Cen, R; Feng, W; Liu, J; Qu, Z and Miao, Q (2020). Effects of super absorbent polymer on soil remediation and crop growth in arid and semiarid areas. *Sustainability*. *12*(*18*): 7825. DOI: 10.3390/ su12187825.

Yang, Y; Zhang, S; Wu, J; Gao, C; Lu, D; Darrell, W and Tang, S (2022). Effect of long-term application of super absorbent polymer on soil structure, soil enzyme activity, photosynthetic characteristics, water and nitrogen use of winter wheat. *Sec. Crop and Product Physiology*, *13*: 1-14. DOI: 10.3389/ fpls.2022.998494.

Yawson, G K (2015). *Overview of the oil palm industry in Ghana*. 1st edition. Kade: CSIR-Oil Palm Research Institute. 19 pp.

Yehouessi, L W; Nodichao, L; Adoukonou-Sagbadja, H and Ahanhanzo, C (2019). Genotypic variability in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) towards drought damages in Benin (West Africa). *Int. J. Biol. Chem. Sci.*, *13*(3): 1737-1746. DOI: 10.4314/ijbcs.v13i3.42.

Yono, D; Nugroho, Y A; Tanjung, Z A; Utomo, C and Liwang, T (2021). Genomewide SNP marker identification associated with drought tolerance in oil palm. *Biodiversitas (Journal of Biological Diversity)*, 22(6): 3138-3144. DOI: 10.13057/biodiv/d220616.

Yuanbo, C; Wang, B; Guo, H; Xiao, H and Wei, T (2017). The effect of super absorbent polymers on soil and water conservation on the terraces of the loess

plateau. J. Ecol. Eng., 102: 270-279. DOI: 10.1016/j. ecoleng.2017.02.043.

Yuswar, D; Bulan, Y; Dewi, R; Sartika, T and Sitorus, A (2020). Silt pit application in tropical palm dates plantation: Case study in Aceh province, Indonesia. *IJSRST*, *9*(10): 42-48.

Zainal, Z; Shamsudin, M N; Mohamed, Z A and Adam, S U (2012). The economic impact of climate change on Malaysian palm oil production. *Trends Tech. Sci. Res.*, 7: 872-880.

Zambrano, M A O; Castillo, D A; Rodríguez Pérez, L and Terán, W (2021). Cacao (*Theobroma cacao* L.) response to water stress: Physiological characterisation and antioxidant gene expression profiling in commercial clones. *Front. Plant Sci.*, 12: 1-20. DOI: 10.3389/fpls.2021.700855.

Zhang, H; Ghahramani, A; Ali, A and Erbacher, A (2023). Cover cropping impacts on soil water and carbon in dryland cropping system. *PLoS ONE*, *18*(6): 1-29. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0286748.

Zhang, W; Wang, P; Liu, S; Chen, J; Chen, R; He, X; Ma, G and Lei, Z (2021). Factors affecting the properties of super absorbent polymer hydrogels and methods to improve their performance: A review. *J. Mater. Sci.*, *56*: 16223-16242. DOI: 10.1007/s10853-021-06306-1.

Zhao, C; Zhang, M; Liu, Z; Guo, Y and Zhang, Q (2019). Salt-tolerant super absorbent polymer with high capacity of water-nutrient retention derived from sulfamic acid-modified starch. *ACS Omega*, *4*(*3*): 5923-5930. DOI: 10.1021/acsomega.9b00486.

Zheng, H; Mei, P; Wang, W; Yin, Y; Li, H; Zheng, M; Ou, X and Cui, Z (2023). Effects of super absorbent polymer on crop yield, water productivity and soil properties: A global meta-analysis. *Agric. Water Manag.*, 282: 1-15. DOI: 10.1016/j.agwat.2023.108290.

Zhou, L and Yarra, R (2022). Genome-wide identification and expression analysis of bZIP transcription factors in oil palm (*Elaeis guineensis* Jacq.) under abiotic stress. *Protoplasma*, 259: 469-483. DOI: 10.1007/s00709-021-01666-6.

Zohuriaan-Mehr, M; Omidian, H; Doroudiani, S and Kabiri, K (2010). Advances in non-hygienic applications of superabsorbent hydrogel materials. *J. Mater. Sci.*, *45*(21): 5711-5735. DOI: 10.1007/s10853-010-4780-1.