



**GEOSPATIAL AI-BASED APPROACH TO ASSESS THE SPATIOTEMPORAL
SUITABILITY OF ONSHORE WIND SOLAR FARMS IN IRAQ**

By

MOURTADHA SARHAN SACHIT ALMUSHATTAT

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

July 2023

FK 2023 11

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

To the soul of my parents who have taken great pains to growing me up

And

To my teachers who providing me with best education



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

GEOSPATIAL AI-BASED APPROACH TO ASSESS THE SPATIOTEMPORAL SUITABILITY OF ONSHORE WIND SOLAR FARMS IN IRAQ

By

MOURTADHA SARHAN SACHIT ALMUSHATTAT

July 2023

Chairman : Associate Professor Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD
Faculty : Engineering

Nowadays, Renewable Energy (RE), such as wind and solar, play a vital role in meeting the increasing demand for electricity and ensuring a low-carbon future. Unfortunately, the efficiency of wind and solar plants depends on the spatially and temporally fluctuating nature of their renewable resources. These fluctuations have prompted planners and decision-makers to shift toward hybridizing such energies in an effort to make electricity generation more stable. Wind-solar hybrid plants have posed new challenges in evaluating suitable sites that meet the requirements of both types of energy. As a result, site selection models for hybrid power systems (wind-solar) have received wide attention in recent years as being a critical planning problem that needs accurate decision-making.

In the existing literature, the site suitability assessment of dual-energy systems (wind-solar) is frequently addressed as a Spatial Decision-Making (SDM) problem involving numerous climatic, economic, and environmental criteria. In most cases, the input factors are considered constant. However, most of these factors, such as wind speed and solar radiation, change over time. Besides, criteria are often subjectively or objectively weighted, generating biased and non-generalizable solutions. To overcome these challenges, the current research aims to develop a SpatioTemporal Decision-Making (STDM) model based on Geospatial Artificial Intelligence (GeoAI) to locate onshore wind-solar hybrid plants. The presented model seeks to fill in the existing gaps by considering the dynamic nature of decision criteria and formulating novel, more reliable global weights.

To achieve the research goal, a four-stage methodology was drawn. A system of spatial evaluation criteria was first designed based on literature statistics and expert judgments supported by content validity analysis. Second, eXplainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI) was introduced to formulate novel global weights for those criteria. In this context,

global geospatial data for 13 conditioning factors were collected, and 55,619 inventory samples of wind and solar stations worldwide were prepared to train three machine learning (ML) algorithms, namely Random Forest (RF), Support Vector Machine (SVM), and Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP). SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) algorithm was applied to interpret the results of the superior model and elicit the criteria weights. In a separate third step, a new temporal criterion was developed based on temporal complementarity assessment between wind and solar resources. Pearson's correlation coefficients were utilized to explore the synergistic patterns between the time-series dataset of wind speed and solar radiation across Iraqi territory. Finally, spatial, temporal, and exclusion criteria were incorporated along with AI weights into a unified STDM model using multiple overlay analyses in a GIS environment.

The outcomes of the criteria design demonstrated that 13 factors, with an excellent Kappa value of 0.76, would form valuable content for evaluating the spatial suitability of wind and solar farms. The results indicated the superiority of the RF algorithm in both wind and solar modeling, with an overall accuracy of 90% and 89%, a kappa coefficient of 0.79 and 0.78, and an area under the curve of 0.96 and 0.95, respectively. The XAI-based importance analysis revealed higher weights for technical and economic criteria than for other environmental and social criteria. Top weights were given to the criteria of wind speed and proximity to cities (0.373 and 0.149, respectively) in locating wind farms, and the criteria of proximity to cities and air temperature (0.180 and 0.149, respectively) in locating solar farms. The southwestern regions and some eastern parts of Iraq exhibited significant temporal synergistic patterns spanning more than 6 months of the year that influence spatial decision-making. Our spatiotemporal model identified three hotspots over Iraq—South Dhi-Qar, West Diyala, and East Wasit—with a total area of 3,632 km². The hotspots revealed exceptional suitability scores exceeding 0.8, meeting both the spatial and temporal constraints. The reported spots have the technical potential to generate electricity from wind turbines and solar PV cells at rates of 11.88–12.58 MW and 81.31–89.51 MW, respectively.

Overall, this research has led to the development of a new GeoAI-based STDM model that can find the best places to put wind-solar systems with great accuracy and consistency. Analyses of transferability, sensitivity, and uncertainty show that the GeoAI-based STDM model is more reliable than one-dimensional solutions. The advantage of the proposed model is not only to identify the technically, economically, and environmentally appropriate sites but also to ensure that they boast temporal synergistic patterns between renewables for stable power supplies around the clock. Consequently, the need to rely on energy storage systems will decrease, leading to reduced investment costs.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**PENDEKATAN BERASASKAN KECERDASAN BUATAN GEOSPATIAL
BAGI MENAKSIR KESESUAIAN SPATIOTEMPORAL BAGI LADANG
ANGIN SOLAR DI DARATAN DI IRAQ**

Oleh

MOURTADHA SARHAN SACHIT ALMUSHATTAT

Julai 2023

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD
Fakulti : Kejuruteraan

Kini, Tenaga Boleh Diperbaharui (RE), seperti angin dan solar, memainkan peranan yang penting dalam memenuhi permintaan yang meningkat terhadap tenaga elektrik dan memastikan masa hadapan rendah karbon. Malangnya, keberkesanan loji angin dan solar bergantung kepada sifat turun naik masa dan ruang bagi sumber boleh diperbaharui mereka. Turun naik tersebut telah mendorong perancang dan pembuat keputusan untuk beralih kepada menghibrid tenaga tersebut supaya penjanaan tenaga elektrik menjadi lebih stabil. Loji hibrid angin solar telah menimbulkan cabaran baharu dalam menilai tapak yang sesuai bagi memenuhi keperluan bagi kedua-dua jenis tenaga. Akibatnya, model pemilihan tapak bagi sistem kuasa hibrid (angin-solar) telah menerima perhatian yang meluas sejak kebelakangan ini sebagai masalah perancangan yang kritikal yang memerlukan pembuat keputusan yang tepat.

Dalam literatur yang sedia ada, penilaian kesesuaian tapak bagi sistem dual tenaga (angin-solar) sering digarap sebagai masalah Pembuat Keputusan Spatial (SDM) yang melibatkan pelbagai kriteria iklim, ekonomi, dan persekitaran. Dalam kebanyakan kes, faktor input dianggap sebagai konstan. Walau bagaimanapun, kebanyakan faktor tersebut, seperti kelajuan angin dan radiasi solar berubah dari masa ke semasa. Di samping itu, kriteria tersebut kerap digarap secara subjektif dan objektif, penjanaan yang berat sebelah dan penyelesaian tanpa boleh digeneralisasikan. Bagi mengatasi cabaran tersebut, penyelidikan ini bertujuan untuk membangunkan model Pembuat Keputusan SpatioTemporal (STDm) berasaskan Kecerdasan Buatan Geospasial (GeoAI) bagi mengesan loji hibrid angin solar di daratan. Model yang dibentangkan bertujuan untuk mengisi jurang dengan mengambil kira sifat dinamik kriteria keputusan dan memformulasikan beban global yang novel, lebih reliabel.

Bagi mencapai matlamat penyelidikan, pendekatan empat peringkat telah dilaksanakan. Satu sistem kriteria penilaian spatial pertamanya telah direka bentuk berdasarkan statistik literatur dan pertimbangan pakar yang disokong oleh analisis validiti kandungan. Kedua, Kecerdasan Buatan Boleh Dijelaskan (XAI) telah diperkenalkan bagi memformulasi beban global novel bagi kriteria tersebut. Dalam konteks ini, data geospasial global bagi 13 faktor penyesuaian telah dikumpul, dan 55,619 sampel inventori angin dan stesen solar di seluruh dunia telah disediakan bagi melatih tiga algoritma pembelajaran mesin (ML), iaitu Hutan Rawak (RF), Mesin Vektor Sokongan (SVM), dan Perseptron Pelbagai Lapis (MLP). Algoritma Penerangan Berdaya Tambah SHapley (SHAP) telah diaplikasikan bagi menginterpretasikan keputusan model superior dan mendapatkan beban kriteria. Dalam langkah ketiga yang berasingan, kriteria temporal baharu telah dibangun berasaskan penaksiran komplementariti temporal antara sumber angin dan solar. Koefisien korelasi Pearson telah dimanfaatkan untuk menyelidiki pola sinergistik antara dataset siri masa kelajuan angin dan radiasi solar merentasi wilayah Iraq. Akhirnya, kriteria spatial, temporal, dan penyisihan telah diinkorporasikan bersama dengan beban AI ke dalam model STDM disatu menggunakan analisis tindingan atas berbilang dalam persekitaran GIS.

Dapatan bagi reka bentuk kriteria memperlihatkan bahawa 13 faktor, dengan nilai Kappa 0.76 yang sangat cemerlang, berupaya untuk membentuk kandungan yang berharga bagi menilai kesesuaian spatial bagi ladang angin dan solar. Dapatan memperlihatkan keunggulan algoritma RF dalam kedua-dua permodelan angin dan solar, dengan masing-masing ketepatan keseluruhan 90% dan 89%, koefisien kappa 0.79 dan 0.78, dan kawasan di bawah lengkungan masing-masing 0.96 dan 0.95. Analisis kepentingan berasaskan XAI menunjukkan beban yang lebih tinggi bagi kriteria teknikal dan ekonomi daripada kriteria persekitaran dan sosial yang lain. Beban teratas telah diberikan kepada kriteria kelajuan angin dan kedekatan pada bandar (masing-masing, 0.373 dan 0.149) dalam mengesan ladang angin dan kriteria kedekatan pada bandar dan suhu udara (masing-masing, 0.180 dan 0.149) dalam mengesan ladang solar. Wilayah barat daya dan beberapa bahagian timur Iraq memperlihatkan pola sinergistik temporal yang signifikan menjangkau lebih daripada enam bulan tahun berkenaan yang mempengaruhi pembuat keputusan spatial. Model spatialtemporal kami mengenal pasti tiga kawasan panas di Iraq—Selatan Dhi-Qar, Barat Diyala, dan Timur Wasit—dengan keseluruhan kawasan 3,632 km². Kawasan panas memperlihatkan skor kesesuaian yang luar biasa melebihi 0.8, memenuhi konstrain kedua-dua spatial dan temporal. Kawasan yang dilaporkan tersebut mempunyai potensi teknikal untuk menjana tenaga elektrik daripada turbin angin dan sel PV solar, masing-masing pada kadar 11.88–12.58 MW dan 81.31–89.51 MW.

Keseluruhannya, penyelidikan ini telah membawa kepada pembangunan satu model STDM berasaskan GeoAI baharu yang dapat menyediakan tempat yang paling baik untuk meletakkan sistem angin solar dengan ketepatan dan ketekalan yang mantap. Analisis keterpindahan, sensitiviti, dan ketidaktentuan menunjukkan bahawa model STDM berasaskan GeoAI adalah lebih reliabel daripada penyelesaian matra tunggal. Manfaat model yang disyorkan ialah ia tidak sahaja dapat mengenal pasti tapak yang sesuai secara teknikal, ekonomik dan persekitaran tetapi ia juga memastikan bahawa ia mengutarakan pola sinergistik temporal antara bekalan tenaga stabil yang boleh diperbaharui sepanjang masa tersebut. Akibatnya, keperluan untuk bergantung kepada

sistem penyimpanan tenaga akan menurun, yang membawa kepada pengurangan kos pelaburan.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to thank almighty **ALLAH** (S.W.T), the Most Beneficent and the Most Merciful for giving me the strength, courage, and his blessed guidance during my post graduate period.

I would like to thank my wife and daughters for their prayers and encouragement throughout my PhD journey.

I am thankful and grateful to my supervisor, **Associate Professor Dr. Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri** for giving me the opportunity to embark on this research. His consistent motivation, support, and guidance have been very crucial in the completion of my research.

I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my supervisory committee members, **Associate Professor Dr. Ahmad Fikri bin Abdullah** and **Associate Professor Dr. Azmin Shakrine bin Mohd Rafie** for their constructive suggestions during my research period and for dedicating their time in reviewing this thesis.

I am grateful to the Iraqi institutions: University of Thi-Qar, General Authority of Survey, and Directorate of Renewable Energy for all efforts and support during the data gathering process. I am also thanking Engineer Jafar-Jabr for his programming guidance.

My elder brothers Riyad and Harbi, as well as my close colleagues Ahmed, Alaa, Ali, Barakat, Bashar, Majid, and Sadiq deserve my sincere gratitude for their motivation and on-going discussions that aided in the maturation of this work.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Helmi Zulhaidi bin Mohd Shafri, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Fikri bin Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Azmin Shahrine bin Mohd Rafie, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 October 2023

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	vi
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	ix
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxi
 CHAPTER	
 1 INTRODUCTION	 1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	2
1.3 Motivations behind This Research	3
1.4 Research Objectives	4
1.5 Research Questions	4
1.6 Research Hypothesis	5
1.7 Scope of This Thesis	5
1.8 Thesis Limitations	6
1.9 Thesis Organization	7
 2 LITERATURE REVIEW	 8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Hybrid Renewable Energy Systems	8
2.3 Suitability Assessment	9
2.4 Spatial Suitability	11
2.4.1 Types of Spatial Suitability Problems	11
2.4.1.1 Site Selection Problem	11
2.4.1.2 Site Search Problem	11
2.4.2 Criteria Design	12
2.4.2.1 Criteria Selection	12
2.4.2.2 Criteria Categorization	12
2.4.2.3 Criteria Weighting	22
2.4.3 Suitability Assessment Approaches	23
2.4.3.1 MCDM-based Suitability	23
2.4.3.2 GIS-based Suitability	24
2.4.3.3 ML-based Suitability	25
2.5 Temporal Suitability	26
2.5.1 Resource Complementarity Evaluation	26
2.5.1.1 Timescale	28
2.5.1.2 Time-series Dataset	28
2.5.1.3 Complementarity Metrics	28
2.5.2 Climate Change Evaluation	29
2.6 Literature's Pros and Cons	35

2.7	Summary	36
3	METHODOLOGY	37
3.1	Introduction	37
3.2	Overall Methodology	37
3.3	Study Area	40
3.4	Criteria System Design	42
3.4.1	Concepts and Domain	44
3.4.2	Literature-based Criteria	44
3.4.3	Criteria System Refinement	44
3.4.3.1	Expert Panel Selection	45
3.4.3.2	Questionnaire Design	45
3.4.3.3	Questionnaire Validity and Applicability	46
3.4.3.4	Questioning Experts	46
3.4.3.5	Content Validity Index	46
3.5	XAI-based Global Weighting Model	48
3.5.1	Data Acquisition and Preparation	49
3.5.1.1	Samples inventory	49
3.5.1.2	Thematic layers of conditioning criteria	49
3.5.1.3	Dataset Extraction and Pre-Processing	55
3.5.1.4	Multicollinearity Analysis	56
3.5.2	Application of Machine Learning Models	57
3.5.2.1	Random Forest (RF)	57
3.5.2.2	Support Vector Machine (SVM)	58
3.5.2.3	Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP)	58
3.5.2.4	Models' Fine-tuning and Programming	59
3.5.3	Algorithms Performance Evaluation	59
3.5.4	Model Calibration	60
3.5.5	Model Interpretation via XAI	61
3.5.6	Model Transferability Analysis	61
3.6	Temporal Complementarity Factor Development	62
3.6.1	Data Acquisition and Preparation	63
3.6.1.1	Time-Series Dataset of Wind and Solar Resources	63
3.6.1.2	Land Cover and GEOSUN	64
3.6.2	Wind Speed Extrapolation	65
3.6.2.1	Application of Power Law	65
3.6.2.2	Wind Shear Coefficient Mapping	66
3.6.2.3	Accuracy Analysis	67
3.6.3	Wind-solar Resources Complementarity Mapping	68
3.6.3.1	Timescale Selection	68
3.6.3.2	Complementarity Index	68
3.7	Wind-Solar Spatiotemporal Assessment	69
3.7.1	Data Acquisition and Preparation	70

	3.7.1.1	Thematic Layers of Evaluation Criteria	70
	3.7.1.2	Exclusion Areas	80
	3.7.1.3	Data Normalization	82
	3.7.2	Multiple Suitability Indices Overlay	86
	3.7.2.1	Weighted Overlay Analysis	86
	3.7.2.2	Fuzzy Overlay Analysis	87
	3.7.3	Model Validation and Uncertainty	89
	3.7.3.1	Sensitivity Analysis	89
	3.7.3.2	Field Verification	89
	3.7.4	Climate Change Influence	90
	3.7.5	Power Generation Potential	92
	3.8	Summary	93
4		RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	94
	4.1	Introduction	94
	4.2	Results of the Spatial Criteria System	94
	4.2.1	Criteria Refinement Outcomes	94
	4.2.2	Content Validity Indices	96
	4.2.3	Discussion	98
	4.3	Results of the Global XAI Model	99
	4.3.1	Collinearity of Conditioning Factors	100
	4.3.2	Evaluation Results	100
	4.3.3	Calibration Results	102
	4.3.4	SHAP Explanations	102
	4.3.5	Spatial Criteria Weightages	105
	4.3.6	Global Suitability Mapping	106
	4.3.7	Discussion	108
	4.4	Results of Temporal Complementarity Assessment	112
	4.4.1	Wind Shear Coefficient Map	112
	4.4.1.1	Accuracy Evaluation	113
	4.4.2	Monthly Cycle Complementarity	113
	4.4.2.1	Significance Test Results	117
	4.4.2.2	Complementarity Statistics	117
	4.4.3	Discussion	119
	4.4.3.1	Temporal Complementarity Index (TCI)	119
	4.4.3.2	Spatial Distribution of Effective Complementarity	120
	4.5	Results of the STDM Model	122
	4.5.1	Single Power Systems Suitability	122
	4.5.2	Dual Power Systems Suitability	125
	4.5.2.1	Spatiotemporal Hotspots	129
	4.5.3	Validation Outcomes	130
	4.5.3.1	Sensitivity Maps	130
	4.5.3.2	On-site Verification	135
	4.5.4	Results of Climate Change Influence	139
	4.5.5	Results of Energy Potential	144
	4.5.6	Discussion	146
	4.6	Summary	147

5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	149
5.1	Introduction	149
5.2	Conclusions	149
5.3	Research Benefit and Implications	150
5.4	Recommendations for Future Work	151
	REFERENCES	153
	APPENDICES	175
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	184
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	185



LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 The adopted methods in the literature for designing criteria systems	13
2.2 Evaluation criteria used in the literature of siting wind-solar farms	16
2.3 Exclusion indicators used in the literature of siting wind-solar farms	18
2.4 Restrictive thresholds for common evaluation criteria	19
2.5 Restrictive thresholds for common exclusion criteria	21
2.6 Criteria Weighting and Candidates Ranking Methods considered in the literature	31
2.7 Timescales, period, data sources, and metrics considered in previous complementarity studies	34
3.1 Demographics of the experts involved in refining the criteria system	45
3.2 Global dataset characteristics of conditioning factors used in this study	51
3.3 Look-up table of WSC in different landscape types	65
3.4 Explanations of complementarity index values	69
3.5 Sources of the Iraqi dataset used in preparing the thematic layers of evaluation criteria	73
3.6 Sources of the Iraqi dataset used to identify the exclusion areas	82
3.7 The range and rank of the reclassified criteria classes	83
3.8 Geographical locations of existing and planned RE farms in Iraq	90
4.1 The experts (e) ratings for refining the criteria system, where 1 indicates expert agreement and 0 denotes disagreement	96
4.2 Outcomes of content validity metrics for the criteria under examination	97
4.3 Overall S-CVI results of the criteria with an excellent Kappa Index	97
4.4 Multicollinearity statistics within the conditioning factors	100
4.5 Results of performance metrics for the algorithms used	101
4.6 Area and percentage of global map suitability classes	107

4.7	Findings from the p-value test for negative correlation coefficients	117
4.8	Percentage of Iraq's area for each complementarity degree recorded throughout the 12 months	119
4.9	Statistics of the land classes for the WSS and SSS indices	125
4.10	Statistics of the land classes for the WSSS and WSSTS indices	128
4.11	The relative importance of wind criteria under the considered weighting scenarios	131
4.12	The relative importance of solar criteria under the considered weighting scenarios	131
4.13	Annual percentage change in WS, SR, and AT over the studied hotspots according to the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios	143
4.14	Solar energy potential according to characteristics of PV technology and geographical location	144
4.15	Wind energy potential according to characteristics of wind turbine and geographical location	145

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page
2.1 Network visualization map of the suitability assessment studies over the last decade (a) spatially (b) temporally	10
3.1 Flowchart of the overall methodology	39
3.2 Supply and demand for electricity in Iraq	41
3.3 Iraq's geographical location relative to the global sunbelt, as it enjoys more than 3000 hours of bright sunlight annually	42
3.4 Workflow methodology for designing a spatial criteria system for this research	43
3.5 Methodology flowchart of ML and XAI-based spatial assessment for RE farms	48
3.6 Locations of wind and solar power plants globally (Dunnett et al., 2020)	49
3.7 Global thematic maps for the conditioning factors. (a) WS, (b) WD, (c) SR, (d) AT, (e) CI, (f) E, (g) S, (h) PC, (i) PR (j) PG, (k) LC, (l) ND, and (m) PD	55
3.8 Schematic representation of RF algorithm	57
3.9 Schematic representation of SVM algorithm	58
3.10 Schematic representation of MLP algorithm	59
3.11 Methodology flowchart of temporal complementarity factor development	63
3.12 (0.25° × 0.25°) grid cells over Iraq to collect a time series dataset of MERRA-2	64
3.13 The sequential process adopted for creating the WSC map in ArcGIS Model Builder	66
3.14 Original land cover map by ESRI (left), and the majority layer of land cover classes over the grid cells (right)	67
3.15 GEOSUN map of 100 m altitude (left), and the corresponding GEOSUN map above the grid cells (right)	68
3.16 Methodology flowchart of the STDm model for siting wind-solar power plants	70

3.17	Locations of IMS used to verify the open-source climate data	71
3.18	Field verification of SRTM and Esri landcover data	72
3.19	Thematic maps of spatial criteria over Iraq, (a) WS, (b) WD, (c) SR, (d) AT, (e) CI, (f) E, (g) S, (h) PC, (i) PR (j) PG, (k) LC, (l) ND, and (m) PD	80
3.20	Spatial distribution of the exclusion criteria under consideration	81
3.21	Fuzzification by the Fuzzy linear membership function, the blue and green lines represent a positive and negative membership, respectively	85
3.22	The relationship between the available fuzzy operators (Esri, n.d.)	87
3.23	Workflow and tools incorporated into ArcGIS ModelBuilder	88
3.24	Workflow diagram for analyzing the impact of climate change	92
4.1	Top 10 evaluation criteria in the relevant studies	95
4.2	The valid criteria content for siting wind-solar energy farms	98
4.3	Comparison of k^* value and I-CVI for all considered criteria	99
4.4	AUC test of RF-based spatial prediction of wind (a) and solar (b) energy plants	101
4.5	Calibration plots of RF models to predict the spatial suitability of (a) wind and (b) solar plants	102
4.6	Global explanation using the SHAP summary plot for the factors' influence of (a) wind dataset and (b) solar dataset on the prediction results	103
4.7	Local explanation using the SHAP force plot for the influence of factors on the pixels classified as suitable for wind (a), unsuitable for wind (b), suitable for solar (c), and unsuitable for solar (d)	104
4.8	SHAP dependence plots to interpret the interaction between WS and PC for the suitable (a) and unsuitable (b) classes of wind power installations and the interaction between AT and PC for the suitable (c) and unsuitable (d) classes of solar farms	105
4.9	Weights and contribution percentages of the conditioning factors in mapping site suitability for (a) wind and (b) solar power plants	106
4.10	Global spatial distribution of site suitability degrees for (a) wind and (b) solar energy farms	108
4.11	RE inventory samples based on an individual suitability degree	109

4.12	Suitable sites worldwide to host wind, solar and wind-solar power plants	110
4.13	Comparing the results of the current study with the findings of a group of other work conducted in: (a) the USA (Shahab & Singh, 2019), (b) China (Huang et al., 2018), (c) the African continent (Doorga et al., 2022) and (d) the Middle East (Jahangiri et al., 2016)	111
4.14	WSC map derived from land cover data	112
4.15	Comparison of the extrapolated wind speeds at 100 m altitude with GEOSUN-derived wind speed data	113
4.16	Monthly complementarity maps between wind and solar resources from January to June	115
4.17	Monthly complementarity maps between wind and solar resources from July to December	116
4.18	Box plot showing the median, interquartile range, and maximum and minimum complementarity values observed for each month of the year	118
4.19	The trend of median complementary values across months of the year	118
4.20	TCI map in which the spatial distribution of the number of months having complementary behavior is shown	120
4.21	Areas with renewable resources below the recommended thresholds	121
4.22	Spatial distribution map of the effective complementarity	122
4.23	Spatial suitability index for (a) wind and (b) solar systems over Iraq	124
4.24	Graphical comparison of the results of WSS and SSS indices	125
4.25	Fuzzification consequences of the WSS, SSS, TCI, and EZI indices	126
4.26	Spatial suitability index for wind-solar hybrid systems over Iraq (WSSS)	127
4.27	Spatiotemporal suitability index for wind-solar hybrid systems over Iraq (WSSTS)	128
4.28	Graphical comparison of the results of WSSS and WSSTS indices	129
4.29	Hotspots of a very high spatiotemporal suitability class to host wind-solar hybrid plants	130
4.30	Sensitivity analysis maps of the WSS index according to the three weighting scenarios	133

4.31	Sensitivity analysis maps of the SSS index according to the three weighting scenarios	134
4.32	The percentages of wind and solar map sensitivities for each scenario	135
4.33	SSS index validation with existing and planned solar energy projects	136
4.34	Landscape reconnaissance at representative points within (a) South Dhi-Qar, (b) East Wasit, and (c) West Diyala	139
4.35	Annual change (%) in the WS projections according to the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios over (a) South Dhi-Qar, (b) East Wasit, and (c) West Diyala	141
4.36	Annual change (%) in the SR projections according to the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios over (a) South Dhi-Qar, (b) East Wasit, and (c) West Diyala	142
4.37	Annual change (%) in the AT projections according to the RCP4.5 and RCP8.5 scenarios over (a) South Dhi-Qar, (b) East Wasit, and (c) West Diyala	143
4.38	Potential capacity for solar system installations	144
4.39	Power curves of Vestas V66/1750 and Enercon E70/2300 turbines	145
4.40	Potential capacity for wind system installations	146

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AHP	Analytic Hierarchy Process
AI	Artificial Intelligence
ANP	Analytic Network Process
AT	Air Temperature
AUC	Area Under the Curve
BL	Boolean Logic
BWM	Best Worst Method
CI	Cloud Index
CMIP	Coupled Model Inter-comparison Project
CSP	Concentrating Solar Power
CVI	Content Validity Index
DT	Decision Tree
E	Elevation
EWM	Entropy Weighting Method
EZI	Exclusion Zones Index
GCM	General Circulation Model
GHI	Global Horizontal Irradiation
GIS	Geographic Information System
GPS	Global Positioning System
HadGEM2-AO	Hadley Centre Global Environment Model version 2 Atmosphere Ocean
I-CVI	Item-level Content Validity Index
IMS	Iraqi Meteorological Stations
KNN	K-Nearest Neighbors
LC	LandCover

LULC	Land Use/Land Cover
MCDM	Multi Criteria Decision Making
MERRA	Modern-Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications
ML	Machine Learning
MLP	Multi-Layer Perceptron
ND	Natural Disasters
PC	Proximity to City
PCC	Pearson's Correlation Coefficient
PD	Population Density
PG	Proximity to Grid
PR	Proximity to Road
PV	Photovoltaic
QSL	Quality, Similarity, and Latest
RCP	Representative Concentration Pathway
RE	Renewable Energy
RF	Random Forest
RI	Random Index
RMSE	Root Mean Squared Error
ROC	Receiver Operating Characteristic
RS	Remote Sensing
S	Slope
S-CVI	Scale-level Content Validity Index
SDM	Spatial Decision Making
SHAP	SHapley Additive exPlanations
SR	Solar Radiation
SRTM	Shuttle Radar Topography Mission

SSS	Solar Spatial Suitability
STDm	SpatioTemporal Decision-Making
SVM	Support Vector Machine
T	Tolerance
TCI	Temporal Complementarity Index
TOPSIS	Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution
VIF	Variance Inflation Factor
WD	Wind Density
WLC	Weighted Linear Combination
WS	Wind Speed
WSC	Wind Shear Coefficient
WSS	Wind Spatial Suitability
WSSS	Wind-Solar Spatial Suitability
WSSTS	Wind-Solar SpatioTemporal Suitability
XAI	eXplainable Artificial Intelligence

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Energy is an essential factor connected to the quality of life. Energy demand is increasing due to population growth, urbanization, and rapid industrialization in many parts of the world (Karunathilake et al., 2020; Shahbaz & Lean, 2012). Energy systems that are based on fossil fuel resources (e.g., oil, gas, and coal) affect the economies of any country because such resources have limited reserves (Sbia et al., 2017). Moreover, greenhouse gas releases from burning fossil fuels are the prime driver of global warming above pre-industrial levels (Mensour et al., 2019). As a result, researchers and industry practitioners have become interested in solving this problem, and recent years have witnessed considerable interest in utilizing alternative energy sources.

Renewable energy (RE) has become a significant source of electricity in recent years to meet the growing energy demand and contribute to undermining climate change (Rediske et al., 2020). In particular, wind and solar energy systems are the most mature and popular green energy sources being explored globally due to their cleanliness degree, availability, capacity factor, and construction cost compared to other clean energy sources (Adedeji et al., 2020; Bajpai & Dash, 2012; W. Chen et al., 2017). According to the -2°C climate target, worldwide wind and solar PV should develop from about 3.5% and 1%, respectively, of total electricity generation in 2015 to 36% and 22%, respectively, in 2050 (Solaun & Cerdá, 2019). The challenges of providing huge lands for such investments on the one hand, and the temporal and spatial fluctuations of wind and solar resources on the other hand, prompted planners to shift towards the hybridization of RE systems in an attempt to make electricity generation more stable and less expensive (Saraswat et al., 2021). Wind-solar hybrid systems obtain efficiencies higher than that could be obtained from a single power source (Amer et al., 2013). However, as they are quite complex due to multiple generation systems, exploring suitable sites that meet standard operating conditions for both types of energy (wind and solar) poses new challenges for researchers and decision-makers (Khare et al., 2016).

The site suitability assessment for wind-solar hybrid power generation projects has been one of the most challenging tasks in recent years (Dhunney et al., 2019). This task aims to determine optimal locations for constructing a wind-solar hybrid plant in a study area. The complexity of siting wind-solar systems is the contribution of many factors in the decision process, including technical, economic, and social aspects, as well as natural disasters (W. Chen et al., 2017). In this context, Multi-Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) techniques have been undertaken as a well-known approach in sustainable energy planning since they provide solutions to issues involving multiple and conflicting objectives (Strantzali & Aravossis, 2016). In MCDM, a set of predefined alternatives are evaluated against a set of decision criteria, and then the former is ranked using the latter according to different evaluation techniques (Amjad & Shah, 2020). Commonly, diverse groups of decision-makers are invited to participate in resolving MCDM issues, and each group presents different criteria and judgments, which must be addressed in a framework

of mutual understanding and compromise (Zhou, Ang, and Poh 2016). Various MCDM methods have been developed to deal with the complexity of site selection for hybrid power generation projects. The most common methods are Analytic Hierarchy Process (AHP), Fuzzy methods, and Technique for Order Preference by Similarity to Ideal Solution (TOPSIS) (Koc et al., 2019; Mohsin et al., 2019; Shao et al., 2020).

Recently, the rapid developments of Artificial Intelligence (AI) have contributed to introducing Machine Learning (ML) models as an alternative approach for decision-making and solving site problems. ML is a computational method that provides systems with the ability to automatically learn and improve performance from experiments (Mohri et al., 2018). It uses statistics and algorithms to develop models that mimic the way humans think (Al-ruzouq et al., 2019). ML models, which have the ability to learn from large data sets, seek to efficiently perform a specific task without using explicit instructions (Han et al., 2022). Generally, ML uses two types of techniques: supervised learning to address classification and regression issues and unsupervised learning to solve clustering problems (Al-ruzouq et al., 2019). Supervised learning algorithms have demonstrated their ability to handle complexity and non-linearity in many site suitability analyses (Kalantar et al., 2021). AI algorithms have been successfully applied to address location suitability, spatial prediction, and hazard susceptibility in different fields (Al-Abadi, 2018; Al-ruzouq et al., 2019; Al-Ruzouq et al., 2021; Almansi et al., 2021; Di Napoli et al., 2020).

Geospatial technologies such as Geographic Information Systems (GIS) and Remote Sensing (RS) are the most common tools used to support spatial analysis due to their ability to handle different data formats (Tarife et al., 2020). GIS, a computer system, is designed to manage and analyze spatial and RS data and is capable to capture, store, and present geographical information (Hassaan et al., 2020; Jahangiri et al., 2016). It is also an indispensable tool in spatial decision-making (SDM), where georeferenced data has an important role (Sun et al., 2020). Essentially, GIS visualizes raw, irrelevant data in a meaningful way when integrated with expert perceptions and/or AI outcomes, saving planning time and cost and achieving informed solutions (Barzehkar et al., 2020). Thus, by combining MCDM, ML, and GIS approaches, a unique and cohesive framework is possible that can handle complex spatial planning problems (Saraswat et al., 2021). AI algorithms, driven by GIS and RS technologies, are currently emerging among the most appropriate approaches for mapping spatial suitability (Al-Ruzouq et al., 2021).

1.2 Problem Statement

As an essential component of the climate change mitigation strategy, the development of wind and solar energy systems enjoys widespread governmental and popular support in various world countries, including Iraq (M. Li et al., 2022). However, the failure to explore suitable sites for implementing these projects may result in reduced efficiency of power plants or even outage in the future. Therefore, site selection for hybrid power systems (wind-solar) is a critical planning problem that needs accurate decision-making due to the difficulty of its assorted factors.

In recent years, several studies have addressed the site suitability assessment of wind-solar farms as an SDM problem involving multiple criteria such as climatic, economic, environmental, and other relevant factors (Asadi & Pourhossein, 2019; Obane et al., 2020; Saraswat et al., 2021). In most of the applied SDM methods, input factors are considered constant. However, most of these factors are of a dynamic nature over time, such as wind speed and solar radiation. Furthermore, criteria are often weighted subjectively or objectively. Subjective weighting is criticized for bias, while objective weighting is not generalizable (Zardari et al., 2014). In other separate works, the assessment of temporal suitability through exploring complementarity patterns between wind and solar resources across time-series datasets is considered (Gallardo, Ríos, et al., 2020; Kapica et al., 2021; H. Zhang et al., 2018). Nevertheless, complementarity studies have neglected criteria with a spatial dimension.

According to the aforementioned challenges, there is an urgent need to introduce a hybrid AI-based assessment model that takes into account the spatial and temporal aspects of decision-making criteria. The target spatiotemporal model can employ real-world experiences (in-situ wind and solar plants) to formulate global weights that are more reliable, generalizable, and free of subjective judgments. The difficulty of the problem is the processing part of the time-series dataset of primary renewable resources: wind speed and solar irradiation. Existing models usually process only raster data, whether continuous or discrete. Therefore, historical datasets are needed to be adapted so that they can be handled spatially along with other factors in a single decision-making model. Another challenge is to train ML algorithms on a global scale to derive the importance of various conditioning factors. The advantage of the improved spatiotemporal model is not only a better understanding of sites that are technically, economically, and environmentally suitable for the deployment of hybrid systems but also that the site has temporal synergistic patterns between renewable resources to ensure stable energy output around the clock. Consequently, the need to rely on energy storage systems will decrease, leading to reducing investment costs.

1.3 Motivations behind This Research

With the fact that our planet is at stake, researchers' efforts are accelerating in an unprecedented way to harness and plan RE. In particular, wind and solar energy are receiving the bulk of the attention due to their abundance around the world, and they are almost negligible impact on the environment. However, their efficiency depends on the spatially and temporally variable nature of wind speed and solar radiation resources. This fluctuation has prompted planners and policymakers to shift towards hybridizing such energies in an effort to make electricity generation more stable. Meanwhile, the investigation of site suitability for wind-solar hybrid plants has become more complicated due to the increasing number of technical, economic, and environmental indicators that must be considered to meet the requirements of both types of energy. Furthermore, for the deployment of wind turbines alongside solar panels to be meaningful, further evaluation is required to confirm that the candidate sites possess promising temporal synergistic patterns among the resources under consideration.

The aforementioned challenges, on the one hand, and the accelerating penetration of AI in various fields, on the other hand, have prompted us to think of formulating intelligent solutions that would implement a spatiotemporal assessment to explore the appropriate locations for wind-solar stations. Moreover, the lack of relevant comprehensive investigations covering developing countries such as Iraq is another motivation for this research.

1.4 Research Objectives

The master aim of this thesis is to develop a decision-making model that would work efficiently for the spatiotemporal assessment of dual-energy systems (wind-solar) based on ML and apply it to the case of Iraq. For the main goal to be practical, it has to be split up into specific objectives as follows:

1. To investigate multiple spatial evaluation criteria and exclusion constraints that impact selecting optimal sites for wind-solar farms.
2. To develop reliable and generalizable ML-based global weights for decision criteria that would work best in SDM models of wind and solar systems.
3. To develop a temporal complementarity map between wind and solar resources covering the entire Iraqi territory to be used as a novel temporal factor in the site suitability assessment for hybrid RE plants.
4. To integrate the SDM model augmented by global weights and the developed temporal complementarity factor into a SpatioTemporal Decision-Making (STDM) model for comprehensive planning of wind-solar energy projects.

1.5 Research Questions

Some of the most exciting questions that we can't yet answer but will address in this study include:

1. What evaluation criteria and exclusion constraints influence the site suitability analysis for wind-solar hybrid plants? How can valid content be designed for these indicators using robust statistical methods?
2. What are the challenges of SDM models currently applied to assess the land suitability of wind-solar systems? Which correction and extra factors can be used to get better results?
3. Unbiased and generalizable weights contribute to informed decision-making. How can ML algorithms and real-world experiences of wind and solar plants worldwide be harnessed to formulate robust global weights for SDM models of hybrid power farms? Do weights based on real-world experiences have transferability to other geographic locations?
4. Temporal complementary patterns between wind and solar resources are necessary for more stable energy production. How are these synergistic patterns distributed spatially across Iraqi territory?

5. What GIS approach can be employed to combine temporal and spatial evidence into a thorough spatiotemporal index? How does the developed temporal complementary factor affect the results of the ML-based SDM model for wind and solar stations across the study area?

1.6 Research Hypothesis

The main endeavor of this research is to develop a comprehensive methodology to explore the spatiotemporal suitability of hybrid power systems. Accordingly, our basic hypothesis is:

"The efficiency and reliability of optimal site selection models to host wind-solar hybrid farms can be increased when spatial and temporal aspects of the decision criteria are integrated."

Spatial aspects, on the one hand, are referred to as technical, economic, environmental, and social factors with a spatial dimension, which will be designed in the first objective of this study. On the other hand, temporal aspects, refer to the dynamic nature of the core renewable resource criteria, which we seek to include in this research by developing the temporal complementarity factor between wind speed and solar radiation. The integration of spatial and temporal factors into a comprehensive spatiotemporal decision-making model would not only shed light on development sites that are technically, economically, and environmentally appropriate, but also ensure the availability of renewable resources around the clock and thus more stability of electricity output.

For the purposes of incorporating different criteria, this study proposes adopting new global weights that would overcome the challenges of subjective and objective weights. In light of this, the following sub-hypothesis can be put in:

"Harnessing real-world expertise of wind and solar plants around the world formulates unbiased and generalizable weightings for decision criteria." The global weights will be free of biases as they do not rely on the subjective judgments of experts. Its generalizability stems from its reliance on capturing the presence and contribution of spatial criteria in RE projects around the world.

1.7 Scope of This Thesis

The scope of this thesis is to design a decision support system based on ML to perform a country-level spatiotemporal suitability assessment for wind-solar hybrid plants. This thesis does not propose a new method of decision-making. More precisely, this thesis aspires to provide an operational tool based on what is already known from decision-making methods and ML algorithms. This unique tool can potentially consider the spatial and temporal aspects of renewable resource criteria. ML-based global criteria weights are a new contribution to the proposed tool workflow. The RE sources targeted in this

thesis are onshore wind energy and onshore solar photovoltaic (PV) energy. The entire Iraqi territory was chosen as a study area.

1.8 Thesis Limitations

Although this thesis endeavors to consider various aspects of a spatiotemporal decision support system, it has some limitations as follows:

1. This study has not considered the micro-site assessment, which refers to identifying the layout of the energy unit through the techno-economic comparison. Instead, the current work has focused on the macro-site assessment that covers natural resources, topography, proximity to major infrastructure, and environmental and social criteria over vast areas. It is noteworthy that the macro-site assessment is a significant basis for micro-site assessment and power project construction. Therefore, the results of the current work will pave the way for future research work at the micro-level.
2. The second limitation relates to the data applied in the study. The lack of adequate data based on ground measurements is a common problem in site suitability assessment studies, particularly in developing countries. In this work, therefore, we use some open-access data available from reliable sources. However, the uncertainty associated with the scarcity of site-measured data can be mitigated through field verification of open-access data.
3. The assessment results will not be appropriate for siting offshore wind farms, concentrating solar power plants, or rooftop solar PV systems. However, the proposed STDm model can be adapted to consider the assessment criteria related to these RE types. It is worth noting that Iraq lacks beaches that can accommodate the installation of offshore wind turbines. Moreover, the country is still young in solar energy technology. Thus, the current work directions for assessing the onshore wind and solar PV systems are best suited for the applications of the study area at present.

1.9 Thesis Organization

The thesis is divided into the following five chapters:

Chapter 1: Introduction

This chapter gives a general study background, problem statements, and specific research objectives. Moreover, the chapter highlights the research questions, the scope of the study, and the thesis limitations.

Chapter 2: Literature Review

This chapter overviews the available models and discusses several relevant and essential studies. It provides a comprehensive review of the methodologies applied in the context of a spatial suitability assessment and temporal complementarity assessment.

Chapter 3: Materials and Methodology

This chapter describes the various steps required to implement the proposed methodologies, including data acquisition and processing, design of experiments, and training and evaluation of models.

Chapter 4: Results and Discussion

This chapter discusses the outcomes of the experiments carried out under the specific research objectives and offers a body of proof regarding the validity and dependability of the models for real-world use.

Chapter 5: Conclusions and Recommendations

This chapter summarizes the significant research findings and offers recommendations and suggestions for future work.

REFERENCES

- Aalianvari, A., Katibeh, H., & Sharifzadeh, M. (2012). Application of fuzzy Delphi AHP method for the estimation and classification of ghomrud tunnel from groundwater flow hazard. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-010-0172-8>
- Abbas, W., Hassan, A., & Ismael, H. (2021). Climate change impact on renewable energy resources in the arab world based on Jacobson's roadmap of renewable wind, water, and sunlight (WWS) 2050. *Geography, Environment, Sustainability*, 14(2), 92–104. <https://doi.org/10.24057/2071-9388-2020-133>
- Abd Ali, L. M., Al-Rufae, F. M., Kuvshinov, V. V., Krit, B. L., Al-Antaki, A. M., & Morozova, N. V. (2020). Study of Hybrid Wind–Solar Systems for the Iraq Energy Complex. *Applied Solar Energy (English Translation of Geliotekhnika)*. <https://doi.org/10.3103/S0003701X20040027>
- Abdollahi, A., & Pradhan, B. (2021). Urban vegetation mapping from aerial imagery using explainable AI (XAI). *Sensors*, 21(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21144738>
- Abdul wahab, R. A., Nassir, S. T., & Hadi, F. A. (2019). Number of Electricity Hours Generation Map for Different Wind Turbines in the Province of Wasit “Iraq. *Iraqi Journal of Science*. <https://doi.org/10.24996/ij.s.2019.60.6.9>
- Abirami, S., & Chitra, P. (2020). Energy-efficient edge based real-time healthcare support system. In *Advances in Computers*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/bs.adcom.2019.09.007>
- Achbab, E., Rhinane, H., Maanan, M., & Saifaoui, D. (2020). Developing and applying a GIS-Fuzzy AHP assisted approach to locating a hybrid renewable energy system with high potential: Case of Dakhla region-Morocco-. *Proceedings - 2020 IEEE International Conference of Moroccan Geomatics, MORGEO 2020*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/Morgeo49228.2020.9121891>
- Peeking Inside the Black-Box: A Survey on Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI), 6 *IEEE Access* 52138 (2018). <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2018.2870052>
- Adedeji, P. A., Akinlabi, S. A., Madushele, N., & Olatunji, O. O. (2020). Neuro-fuzzy resource forecast in site suitability assessment for wind and solar energy: A mini review. In *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122104>
- Ahmadi, S. H. R., Noorollahi, Y., Ghanbari, S., Ebrahimi, M., Hosseini, H., Foroozani, A., & Hajinezhad, A. (2020). Hybrid fuzzy decision making approach for wind-powered pumped storage power plant site selection: A case study. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2020.100838>
- Ahn, B. S. (2011). Compatible weighting method with rank order centroid: Maximum entropy ordered weighted averaging approach. *European Journal of*

- Al-Abadi, A. M. (2018). Mapping flood susceptibility in an arid region of southern Iraq using ensemble machine learning classifiers: a comparative study. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-018-3584-5>
- Al-Aboosi, F. Y. (2020). Models and hierarchical methodologies for evaluating solar energy availability under different sky conditions toward enhancing concentrating solar collectors use: Texas as a case study. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-019-00326-z>
- Al-Hamadani, S. (2020). Solar energy as a potential contributor to help bridge the gap between electricity supply and growing demand in Iraq: A review. *International Journal of Advances in Applied Sciences*, 9(4), 302. <https://doi.org/10.11591/ijaas.v9.i4.pp302-312>
- Al-Kayiem, H. H., & Mohammad, S. T. (2019). Potential of renewable energy resources with an emphasis on solar power in Iraq: An outlook. *Resources*, 8(1), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/resources8010042>
- Al-Ruzouq, R., Abdallah, M., Shanableh, A., Alani, S., Obaid, L., & Gibril, M. B. A. (2021). Waste to energy spatial suitability analysis using hybrid multi-criteria machine learning approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-021-15289-0>
- Al-ruzouq, R., Shanableh, A., Yilmaz, A. G., & Idris, A. (2019). *Dam Site Suitability Mapping and Analysis Using an Integrated GIS and Machine Learning Approach*.
- Al Garni, H. Z., & Awasthi, A. (2018). Solar PV Power Plants Site Selection: A Review. In *Advances in Renewable Energies and Power Technologies*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-812959-3.00002-2>
- Algarín, C. R., Llanos, A. P., & Castro, A. O. (2017). An analytic hierarchy process based approach for evaluating renewable energy sources. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*.
- Ali, S., Taweekun, J., Techato, K., Waewsak, J., & Gyawali, S. (2019). GIS based site suitability assessment for wind and solar farms in. *Renewable Energy*, 132, 1360–1372. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.09.035>
- Ali, T., Nahian, A. J., & Ma, H. (2020). A hybrid multi-criteria decision-making approach to solve renewable energy technology selection problem for Rohingya refugees in Bangladesh. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 273, 122967. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122967>
- Almanasreh, E., Moles, R., & Chen, T. F. (2019). Evaluation of methods used for estimating content validity. *Research in Social and Administrative Pharmacy*, 15(2), 214–221. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.sapharm.2018.03.066>

- Almansi, K. Y., Shariff, A. R. M., Abdullah, A. F., & Ismail, S. N. S. (2021). Hospital site suitability assessment using three machine learning approaches: Evidence from the Gaza Strip in Palestine. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app112211054>
- Álvarez-Alvarado, J. M., Ríos-Moreno, J. G., Ventura-Ramos, E. J., Ronquillo-Lomeli, G., & Trejo-Perea, M. (2020). An alternative methodology to evaluate sites using climatology criteria for hosting wind, solar, and hybrid plants. *Energy Sources, Part A: Recovery, Utilization and Environmental Effects*. <https://doi.org/10.1080/15567036.2020.1772911>
- Amatulli, G., Domisch, S., Tuanmu, M. N., Parmentier, B., Ranipeta, A., Malczyk, J., & Jetz, W. (2018). Data Descriptor: A suite of global, cross-scale topographic variables for environmental and biodiversity modeling. *Scientific Data*. <https://doi.org/10.1038/sdata.2018.40>
- Amer, M., Namaane, A., & M'Sirdi, N. K. (2013). Optimization of hybrid renewable energy systems (HRES) using PSO for cost reduction. *Energy Procedia*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2013.11.032>
- Amjad, F., & Shah, L. A. (2020). Identification and assessment of sites for solar farms development using GIS and density based clustering technique- A case of Pakistan. *Renewable Energy*, 155, 761–769. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.03.083>
- Anjos, P. S. dos, Silva, A. S. A. da, Stošić, B., Stošić, T., dos Anjos, P. S., Alves Da Silva, A. S., Stošić, B., Stošić, T., da Silva, A. S. A., Stošić, B., & Stošić, T. (2015). Long-term correlations and cross-correlations in wind speed and solar radiation temporal series from Fernando de Noronha Island, Brazil. *Physica A-Statistical Mechanics and Its Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.PHYSA.2015.01.003>
- Anwarzai, M. A., & Nagasaka, K. (2017). Utility-scale implementable potential of wind and solar energies for Afghanistan using GIS multi-criteria decision analysis. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 71(June 2015), 150–160. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.12.048>
- Arderne, C., Nicolas, C., Zorn, C., & Koks, E. E. (2020). *Data from: Predictive mapping of the global power system using open data*. <https://doi.org/10.5281/ZENODO.3628142>
- Asadi, M., & Pourhossein, K. (2019). Wind and Solar Farms Site Selection Using Geographical Information System (GIS), Based on Multi Criteria Decision Making (MCDM) Methods: A Case-Study for East-Azerbaijan. *2019 Iranian Conference on Renewable Energy and Distributed Generation, ICREDG 2019, Mcdm*, 11–12. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICREDG47187.2019.190216>
- Asadi, M., & Pourhossein, K. (2020). Neural network-based modelling of wind/solar farm siting: a case study of East-Azerbaijan. *International Journal of Sustainable Energy*, 40(0), 616–637. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14786451.2020.1833881>

- Ashkezari, A. D., Hosseinzadeh, N., Chebli, A., & Albadi, M. (2018). Development of an enterprise Geographic Information System (GIS) integrated with smart grid. *Sustainable Energy, Grids and Networks*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.segan.2018.02.001>
- Aydin, N. Y., Kentel, E., Duzgun, H. S., & Sebnem Duzgun, H. (2013). GIS-based site selection methodology for hybrid renewable energy systems: A case study from western Turkey. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 70, 90–106. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2013.02.004>
- Ayodele, T. R., Ogunjuyigbe, A. S. O., Odigie, O., & Munda, J. L. (2018). A multi-criteria GIS based model for wind farm site selection using interval type-2 fuzzy analytic hierarchy process: The case study of Nigeria. *Applied Energy*, 228(July), 1853–1869. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.07.051>
- Aza-Gnandji, M., Fifatin, F. X., Hounnou, A. H. J., Dubas, F., Chamagne, D., Espanet, C., & Vianou, A. (2018). Complementarity between Solar and Wind Energy Potentials in Benin Republic. *Advanced Engineering Forum*. <https://doi.org/10.4028/www.scientific.net/aef.28.128>
- Aziz, A. S., Tajuddin, M. F. N., Adzman, M. R., Mohammed, M. F., & Ramli, M. A. M. (2020). Feasibility analysis of grid-connected and islanded operation of a solar PV microgrid system: A case study of Iraq. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116591>
- Baidya, P., Chutia, D., Sudhakar, S., Goswami, C., Goswami, J., Saikhom, V., Singh, P. S., & Sarma, K. K. (2014). Effectiveness of Fuzzy Overlay Function for Multi-Criteria Spatial Modeling—A Case Study on Preparation of Land Resources Map for Mawsynram Block of East Khasi Hills District of Meghalaya, India. *Journal of Geographic Information System*, 06(06), 605–612. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jgis.2014.66050>
- Bajpai, P., & Dash, V. (2012). Hybrid renewable energy systems for power generation in stand-alone applications: A review. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.009>
- Barredo Arrieta, A., Díaz-Rodríguez, N., Del Ser, J., Bennetot, A., Tabik, S., Barbado, A., García, S., Gil-Lopez, S., Molina, D., Benjamins, R., Chatila, R., & Herrera, F. (2020). Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI): Concepts, taxonomies, opportunities and challenges toward responsible AI. *Information Fusion*, 58(December 2019), 82–115. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.inffus.2019.12.012>
- Barzehkar, M., Parnell, K. E., Dinan, N. M., Brodie, G., Mobarghaee Dinan, N., & Brodie, G. (2020). Decision support tools for wind and solar farm site selection in Isfahan Province, Iran. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-020-01978-w>
- Bella, A., Ferri, C., Hernández-Orallo, J., & Ramírez-Quintana, M. J. (2013). On the effect of calibration in classifier combination. *Applied Intelligence*, 38(4), 566–585. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10489-012-0388-2>

- Bertsiou, M. M., Theochari, A. P., & Baltas, E. (2020). Multi-criteria analysis and Geographic Information Systems methods for wind turbine siting in a North Aegean island. *Energy Science and Engineering*, August, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ese3.809>
- Bett, P. E., & Thornton, H. E. (2016). The climatological relationships between wind and solar energy supply in Britain. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2015.10.006>
- Bjerre, E., Fienen, M. N., Schneider, R., Koch, J., & Højberg, A. L. (2022). Assessing spatial transferability of a random forest metamodel for predicting drainage fraction. *Journal of Hydrology*, 612(June). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhydrol.2022.128177>
- Böken, B. (2021). On the appropriateness of Platt scaling in classifier calibration. *Information Systems*, 95, 101641. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.is.2020.101641>
- Boogar, A. R., Salehi, H., Pourghasemi, H. R., & Blaschke, T. (2019). Predicting habitat suitability and conserving *Juniperus* spp. habitat using SVM and maximum entropy machine learning techniques. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11102049>
- Boulesteix, A. L., Bender, A., Bermejo, J. L., & Strobl, C. (2012). Random forest Gini importance favours SNPs with large minor allele frequency: impact, sources and recommendations. *Briefings in Bioinformatics*, 13(3), 292–304. <https://doi.org/10.1093/BIB/BBR053>
- Campana, P. E., Younes, A., Sharshir, S. W., Elkadeem, M. R., Wang, S., Younes, A., Sharshir, S. W., Campana, P. E., & Wang, S. (2021). Sustainable siting and design optimization of hybrid renewable energy system: A geospatial multi-criteria analysis. *Applied Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.APENERGY.2021.117071>
- Canales, F. A., Jurasz, J., Beluco, A., & Kies, A. (2020). Assessing temporal complementarity between three variable energy sources through correlation and compromise programming. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116637>
- Cao, Y., Zhang, Y., Zhang, H., & Zhang, P. (2019). Complementarity assessment of wind-solar energy sources in Shandong province based on NASA. *The Journal of Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1049/JOE.2018.9367>
- Chaichan, M. T., & Kazem, H. A. (2018). Generating electricity using photovoltaic solar plants in Iraq. In *Generating Electricity Using Photovoltaic Solar Plants in Iraq*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-75031-6>
- Chakraborty, D., Başağaoğlu, H., & Winterle, J. (2021). Interpretable vs. noninterpretable machine learning models for data-driven hydro-climatological process modeling. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 170, 114498. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ESWA.2020.114498>

- Chaudhry, Q. Z. (2009). An investigation on wind power potential of Gharo-Sindh, Pakistan. *Pakistan Journal of Meteorology*, 6(11).
- Chen, H. H., Kang, H.-Y. Y., & Lee, A. H. I. I. (2010). Strategic selection of suitable projects for hybrid solar-wind power generation systems. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 14(1), 413–421. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2009.08.004>
- Chen, J., Yang, S., Li, H., Zhang, B., & Lv, J. (2013). Research on Geographical Environment Unit Division Based on the Method of Natural Breaks (Jenks). *The International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences*, XL-4-W3(4W3), 47–50. <https://doi.org/10.5194/ISPRSARCHIVES-XL-4-W3-47-2013>
- Chen, W., Zhu, Y., Yang, M., & Yuan, J. (2017). Optimal site selection of wind-solar complementary power generation project for a large-scale plug-in charging station. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su9111994>
- Chu, C.-T. T., & Hawkes, A. D. (2020). A geographic information system-based global variable renewable potential assessment using spatially resolved simulation. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2019.116630>
- Collini, E., Palesi, L. A. I., Nesi, P., Pantaleo, G., Nocentini, N., & Rosi, A. (2022). Predicting and Understanding Landslide Events with Explainable AI. *IEEE Access*, 10, 31175–31189. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2022.3158328>
- Cook, D. A., & Beckman, T. J. (2006). Current concepts in validity and reliability for psychometric instruments: Theory and application. In *American Journal of Medicine*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amjmed.2005.10.036>
- Costoya, X., deCastro, M., Carvalho, D., Arguilé-Pérez, B., & Gómez-Gesteira, M. (2022). Combining offshore wind and solar photovoltaic energy to stabilize energy supply under climate change scenarios: A case study on the western Iberian Peninsula. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 157, 112037. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2021.112037>
- Cronin, J., Anandarajah, G., & Dessens, O. (2018). Climate change impacts on the energy system: a review of trends and gaps. *Climatic Change*, 151(2), 79–93. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10584-018-2265-4>
- Cunden, T. S. M., Doorga, J., Lollchund, M. R., & Rughooputh, S. D. D. V. (2020). Multi-level constraints wind farms siting for a complex terrain in a tropical region using MCDM approach coupled with GIS. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118533>
- D’Isidoro, M., Briganti, G., Vitali, L., Righini, G., Adani, M., Guarnieri, G., Moretti, L., Raliselo, M., Mahahabisa, M., Ciancarella, L., Zanini, G., Fino, E., D’Isidoro, M., Briganti, G., Vitali, L., Righini, G., Adani, M., Guarnieri, G., Moretti, L., ... Fino, E. (2020). Estimation of solar and wind energy resources over Lesotho and their complementarity by means of WRF yearly simulation at high resolution. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.05.106>

- Dankowski, T., & Ziegler, A. (2016). Calibrating random forests for probability estimation. *Statistics in Medicine*, 35(22), 3949–3960. <https://doi.org/10.1002/sim.6959>
- David, L. (1992). Instrument review: Getting the most from your panel of experts. *Applied Nursing Research*.
- de Jong, P., Barreto, T. B., Tanajura, C. A. S., Kouloukoui, D., Oliveira-Esquerre, K. P., Kiperstok, A., & Torres, E. A. (2019). Estimating the impact of climate change on wind and solar energy in Brazil using a South American regional climate model. *Renewable Energy*, 141, 390–401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.03.086>
- Deltenre, Q., De Troyer, T., & Runacres, M. C. (2020). Performance assessment of hybrid PV-wind systems on high-rise rooftops in the Brussels-Capital Region. *Energy and Buildings*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enbuild.2020.110137>
- Deshmukh, R., Wu, G. C., Callaway, D. S., & Phadke, A. (2019). Geospatial and techno-economic analysis of wind and solar resources in India. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2018.11.073>
- Dhunni, A. Z., Doorga, J. R. S., Allam, Z., Lollchund, M. R., & Boojhawon, R. (2019). Identification of optimal wind, solar and hybrid wind-solar farming sites using fuzzy logic modelling. *Energy*, 188, 116056. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116056>
- Di Napoli, M., Carotenuto, F., Cevasco, A., Confuorto, P., Di Martire, D., Firpo, M., Pepe, G., Raso, E., & Calcaterra, D. (2020). Machine learning ensemble modelling as a tool to improve landslide susceptibility mapping reliability. *Landslides*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-020-01392-9>
- Diemuodeke, E. O., Addo, A., Oko, C. O. C. C., Mulugetta, Y., & Ojapah, M. M. (2019). Optimal mapping of hybrid renewable energy systems for locations using multi-criteria decision-making algorithm. *Renewable Energy*, 134, 461–477. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2018.11.055>
- Dikshit, A., & Pradhan, B. (2021a). Explainable AI in drought forecasting. *Machine Learning with Applications*, 6(October), 100192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mlwa.2021.100192>
- Dikshit, A., & Pradhan, B. (2021b). Interpretable and explainable AI (XAI) model for spatial drought prediction. *Science of the Total Environment*, 801, 149797. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.149797>
- Doorga, J. R. S., Hall, J. W., & Eyre, N. (2022). Geospatial multi-criteria analysis for identifying optimum wind and solar sites in Africa: Towards effective power sector decarbonization. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 158, 112107. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2022.112107>
- Du, P., Chen, Z., & Gong, X. (2020). Load response potential evaluation for distribution networks: A hybrid decision-making model with intuitionistic normal cloud and unknown weight information. *Energy*.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.116673>

- Dunnnett, S., Sorichetta, A., Taylor, G., & Eigenbrod, F. (2020). Harmonised global datasets of wind and solar farm locations and power. *Scientific Data* 2020 7:1, 7(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41597-020-0469-8>
- Enteria, N., Yoshino, H., Satake, A., Takaki, R., Ishihara, H., & Baba, S. (2016). Benefits of utilizing on-site and off-site renewable energy sources for the single family detached house. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-016-0205-5>
- Esri. (n.d.). *How Fuzzy Overlay works—Help | ArcGIS for Desktop*. Retrieved October 13, 2022, from <https://desktop.arcgis.com/en/arcmap/10.3/tools/spatial-analyst-toolbox/how-fuzzy-overlay-works.htm>
- Esri. (2020). *Esri 10-Meter Land Cover*. Esri. <https://livingatlas.arcgis.com/landcover/>
- Esri. (2021). *Esri 2020 Land Cover - Overview*. <https://www.arcgis.com/home/item.html?id=d6642f8a4f6d4685a24ae2dc0c73d4ac>
- Feng, J. (2021). Wind farm site selection from the perspective of sustainability: A novel satisfaction degree-based fuzzy axiomatic design approach. *International Journal of Energy Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/er.6256>
- Finn, T., & McKenzie, P. (2020). A high-resolution suitability index for solar farm location in complex landscapes. *Renewable Energy*, 158, 520–533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.05.121>
- Gallardo, R. P., Castro, A. O., & Ríos, A. M. (2020). An image processing-based method to assess the monthly energetic complementarity of solar and wind energy in Colombia. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en13051033>
- Gallardo, R. P., Ríos, A. M., & Ramírez, J. S. (2020). Analysis of the solar and wind energetic complementarity in Mexico. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122323>
- Gao, J., Guo, F., Ma, Z., & Huang, X. (2021). Multi-criteria decision-making framework for large-scale rooftop photovoltaic project site selection based on intuitionistic fuzzy sets. *Applied Soft Computing*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2021.107098>
- Ghasemi, G., Noorollahi, Y., Alavi, H., Energy, M. M.-R., & 2019, undefined. (2019). Theoretical and technical potential evaluation of solar power generation in Iran. *Elsevier*, 138, 1250–1261. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.02.068>
- Ghose, D., Naskar, S., Shabbiruddin, Sadeghzadeh, M., Assad, M. E. H., & Nabipour, N. (2020). Siting high solar potential areas using Q-GIS in West Bengal, India. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.seta.2020.100864>

- Giamalaki, M., & Tsoutsos, T. (2019). Sustainable siting of solar power installations in Mediterranean using a GIS/AHP approach. *Renewable Energy*, 141, 64–75. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.03.100>
- Gonzalez Zelaya, C. V. (2019). Towards explaining the effects of data preprocessing on machine learning. *Proceedings - International Conference on Data Engineering*, 2019-April, 2086–2090. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICDE.2019.00245>
- Gorsevski, P. V., Cathcart, S. C., Mirzaei, G., Jamali, M. M., Ye, X., & Gomezdelcampo, E. (2013). A group-based spatial decision support system for wind farm site selection in Northwest Ohio. *Energy Policy*, 55, 374–385. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2012.12.013>
- Gueymard, C. A., & Yang, D. (2020). Worldwide validation of CAMS and MERRA-2 reanalysis aerosol optical depth products using 15 years of AERONET observations. *Atmospheric Environment*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosenv.2019.117216>
- Guezgouz, M., Jurasz, J., Chouai, M., Bloomfield, H., & Bekkouché, B. (2021). Assessment of solar and wind energy complementarity in Algeria. *Energy Conversion and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114170>
- Gupta, N. (2016). A review on the inclusion of wind generation in power system studies. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 59, 530–543. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2016.01.009>
- Habib, S. M., El-Raie Emam Suliman, A., Al Nahry, A. H., & Abd El Rahman, E. N. (2020). Spatial modeling for the optimum site selection of solar photovoltaics power plant in the northwest coast of Egypt. *Remote Sensing Applications: Society and Environment*, 18(December 2019), 100313. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rsase.2020.100313>
- Haddad, B., Díaz-Cuevas, P., Ferreira, P., Djebli, A., & Pérez, J. P. (2021). Mapping concentrated solar power site suitability in Algeria. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.12.081>
- Han, S., Jia, X., Chen, X., Gupta, S., Kumar, A., & Lin, Z. (2022). Search well and be wise: A machine learning approach to search for a profitable location. *Journal of Business Research*, 144, 416–427. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2022.01.049>
- Hanoon, S. K., Abdullah, A. F. K. ; F. ;, Shafri, H. Z. M. ;, Wayayok, A., Hanoon, S. K., Abdullah, A. F. K. ; F. ;, Shafri, H. Z. M. ;, Wayayok, A., & My, A. W. (2022). Comprehensive Vulnerability Assessment of Urban Areas Using an Integration of Fuzzy Logic Functions: Case Study of Nasiriyah City in South Iraq. *Earth*, 3(2), 699–732. <https://doi.org/10.3390/earth3020040>
- Hassaan, M. A., Hassan, A., & Al-Dashti, H. (2020). GIS-based suitability analysis for siting solar power plants in Kuwait. *Egyptian Journal of Remote Sensing and Space Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrs.2020.11.004>

- Hassan, W. H. (2020). Climate change impact on groundwater recharge of Umm er Radhuma unconfined aquifer Western Desert, Iraq. *International Journal of Hydrology Science and Technology*, 10(4), 392–412. <https://doi.org/10.1504/IJHST.2020.108268>
- Hassan, W. H., & Nile, B. K. (2021). Climate change and predicting future temperature in Iraq using CanESM2 and HadCM3 modeling. *Modeling Earth Systems and Environment*, 7(2), 737–748. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40808-020-01034-y>
- Hellmann, G. (1914). *Über die Bewegung der Luft in den untersten Schichten der Atmosphäre*. Kgl. Akademie der Wissenschaften [G.] Reimer.
- Henao, F., Viteri, J. P., Rodríguez, Y., Gómez, J., & Dyer, I. (2020). Annual and interannual complementarities of renewable energy sources in Colombia. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2020.110318>
- Hoicka, C. E., & Rowlands, I. H. (2011). Solar and wind resource complementarity: Advancing options for renewable electricity integration in Ontario, Canada. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2010.06.004>
- Hong, Q. N., Pluye, P., Fàbregues, S., Bartlett, G., Boardman, F., Cargo, M., Dagenais, P., Gagnon, M. P., Griffiths, F., Nicolau, B., O’Cathain, A., Rousseau, M. C., & Vedel, I. (2019). Improving the content validity of the mixed methods appraisal tool: a modified e-Delphi study. *Journal of Clinical Epidemiology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclinepi.2019.03.008>
- Huang, T., Wang, S., Yang, Q., & Li, J. (2018). A GIS-based assessment of large-scale PV potential in China. *Energy Procedia*, 152, 1079–1084. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egypro.2018.09.126>
- Ibrahim, G. R. F., Hamid, A. A., Darwesh, U. M., & Rasul, A. (2020). A GIS-based Boolean logic-analytical hierarchy process for solar power plant (case study: Erbil Governorate—Iraq). *Environment, Development and Sustainability*, 0123456789. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10668-020-00862-3>
- International Electrotechnical Commission. (2005). Part 12-1: Power performance measurements of electricity producing wind turbines. *IEC TC/SC 88. Tech. Rep. IEC*.
- Islam, S., Sara, U., Rahman, A., Kundu, D., Hasan, M., Kawsar, A., Dipta, D. Das, & Rezaul Karim, A. N. M. (2021). SGBBA: An Efficient Method for Prediction System in Machine Learning using Imbalance Dataset. *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.14569/IJACSA.2021.0120351>
- Istepanian, H. (2018). *Solar Energy i n Iraq : From Outset to Offset*. October.
- Istepanian Harry H. (2020). Iraq Solar Energy: From Dawn to Dusk. *Al-Bayan Center*, July 2020, 24.

- Jahangir, M. H., Mahsa, S., Reineh, M., & Abolghasemi, M. (2019). Spatial predication of fl ood zonation mapping in Kan River Basin , Iran , using arti fi cial neural network algorithm. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 25(July), 100215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2019.100215>
- Jahangiri, M., Ghaderi, R., Haghani, A., & Nematollahi, O. (2016). Finding the best locations for establishment of solar-wind power stations in Middle-East using GIS: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 66, 38–52. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2016.07.069>
- Jahangiri, M., Shamsabadi, A. A., Mostafaeipour, A., Rezaei, M., Yousefi, Y., & Pomares, L. M. (2020). Using fuzzy MCDM technique to find the best location in Qatar for exploiting wind and solar energy to generate hydrogen and electricity. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 45(27), 13862–13875. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.03.101>
- Jain, A., Das, P., Yamujala, S., Bhakar, R., & Mathur, J. (2020). Resource potential and variability assessment of solar and wind energy in India. *Energy*, 211(2020), 118993. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118993>
- Jani, H. K., Kachhwaha, S. S., Nagababu, G., & Das, A. (2022). Temporal and spatial simultaneity assessment of wind-solar energy resources in India by statistical analysis and machine learning clustering approach. *Energy*, 248, 123586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2022.123586>
- Janke, J. R. (2010). Multicriteria GIS modeling of wind and solar farms in Colorado. *Renewable Energy*, 35(10), 2228–2234. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2010.03.014>
- Jasim, M. A., Shafri, H. Z. M., Hamedianfar, A., & Sameen, M. I. (2016). Land transformation assessment using the integration of remote sensing and GIS techniques: a case study of Al-Anbar Province, Iraq. *Arabian Journal of Geosciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12517-016-2697-y>
- Jerez, S., Trigo, R. M., Sarsa, A., Lorente-Plazas, R., Pozo-Vázquez, D., & Montávez, J. P. (2013). Spatio-temporal Complementarity between Solar and Wind Power in the Iberian Peninsula☆. *Energy Procedia*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.EGYPRO.2013.08.007>
- Jong, S. de, Auping, W., Oosterveld, W., & Usanov, A. (2017). *The geopolitical impact of climate mitigation policies: How hydrocarbon exporting rentier states and developing nations can prepare for a more sustainable*. <https://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=wZUnDwAAQBAJ&oi=fnd&pg=PA3&dq=THE+GEOPOLITICAL+IMPACT+OF+CLIMATE+MITIGATION+POLICIES&ots=3ALdlq-OCC&sig=SdxyUUajVBFqVKohekxxrSs4biQ>
- Jun, D., Tian-Tian, F., Yi-Sheng, Y., & Yu, M. (2014). Macro-site selection of wind/solar hybrid power station based on ELECTRE-II. *Renewable & Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 35, 194–204. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2014.04.005>

- JURASZ, J. (2017). Site selection for wind and solar parks based on resources temporal and spatial complementarity – mathematical modelling approach. *PRZEGLĄD ELEKTROTECHNICZNY*. <https://doi.org/10.15199/48.2017.07.20>
- Jurasz, J., Beluco, A., & Canales, F. A. (2018). The impact of complementarity on power supply reliability of small scale hybrid energy systems. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.07.182>
- Jurasz, J., Canales, F. A., Kies, A., Guezgouz, M., & Beluco, A. (2020). A review on the complementarity of renewable energy sources: Concept, metrics, application and future research directions. In *Solar Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2019.11.087>
- Jurasz, J., Mikulik, J., Dabek, P. B., Guezgouz, M., & Kaźmierczak, B. (2021). Complementarity and ‘resource droughts’ of solar and wind energy in poland: An era5-based analysis. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en14041118>
- Jurasz, J., Wdowikowski, M., Kaźmierczak, B., Dabek, P., & Dąbek, P. (2017). Temporal and spatial complementarity of wind and solar resources in Lower Silesia (Poland). *E3S Web of Conferences*. <https://doi.org/10.1051/E3SCONF/20172200074>
- Kabak, M., & Akalın, S. (2021). A model proposal for selecting the installation location of offshore wind energy turbines. *International Journal of Energy and Environmental Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40095-021-00421-0>
- Kalantar, B., Ueda, N., Saeidi, V., Janizadeh, S., Shabani, F., Ahmadi, K., & Shabani, F. (2021). Deep neural network utilizing remote sensing datasets for flood hazard susceptibility mapping in Brisbane, Australia. *Remote Sensing*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13132638>
- Kannan, D., Moazzeni, S., Darmian, S. mostafayi, & Afrasiabi, A. (2021). A hybrid approach based on MCDM methods and Monte Carlo simulation for sustainable evaluation of potential solar sites in east of Iran. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122368>
- Kapica, J., Canales, F. A., & Jurasz, J. (2021). Global atlas of solar and wind resources temporal complementarity. *Energy Conversion and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2021.114692>
- Karunathilake, H., Nahiduzzaman, K. M., Prabatha, T., Hewage, K., Sadiq, R., Alam, S., & Shaw, P. (2020). The Nexus of Climate Change and Increasing Demand for Energy: A Policy Deliberation from the Canadian Context. In *Lecture Notes in Energy*. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-43578-3_13
- Katrutsa, A., & Strijov, V. (2017). Comprehensive study of feature selection methods to solve multicollinearity problem according to evaluation criteria. *Expert Systems with Applications*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2017.01.048>
- Kazem, H. A., & Chaichan, M. T. (2012). Status and future prospects of renewable energy in Iraq. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 16(8), 6007–6012. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.03.058>

- Khare, V., Nema, S., & Baredar, P. (2016). Solar-wind hybrid renewable energy system: A review. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.223>
- Khayyun, T. S., Alwan, I. A., & Hayder, A. M. (2020). Selection of Suitable Precipitation CMIP-5 Sets of GCMs for Iraq Using a Symmetrical Uncertainty Filter. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 671(1). <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/671/1/012013>
- Khazael, S. M., & Al-Bakri, M. (2021). The Optimum Site Selection for Solar Energy Farms using AHP in GIS Environment, A Case Study of Iraq. *Iraqi Journal of Science*, 62(11), 4571–4587. [https://doi.org/10.24996/IJS.2021.62.11\(SI\).36](https://doi.org/10.24996/IJS.2021.62.11(SI).36)
- Koc, A., Turk, S., & Şahin, G. (2019). Multi-criteria of wind-solar site selection problem using a GIS-AHP-based approach with an application in Igdir Province/Turkey. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 26(31), 32298–32310. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-06260-1>
- Konstantinos, I., Georgios, T., & Garyfalos, A. (2019). A Decision Support System methodology for selecting wind farm installation locations using AHP and TOPSIS: Case study in Eastern Macedonia and Thrace region, Greece. *Energy Policy*, 132(January 2019), 232–246. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2019.05.020>
- Krzywinski, M., & Altman, N. (2013). Points of significance: Significance, P values and t-tests. *Nature Methods*, 10(11), 1041–1042. <https://doi.org/10.1038/NMETH.2698>
- Kwon, M., & Sung, J. H. (2019). Changes in future drought with HadGEM2-AO projections. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020312>
- Li, C., Xu, C., & Li, X. (2020). A multi-criteria decision-making framework for site selection of distributed PV power stations along high-speed railway. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.124086>
- Li, J., Wang, X., & Yu, X. (Bill). (2018). Use of spatio-temporal calibrated wind shear model to improve accuracy of wind resource assessment. *Applied Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.01.063>
- Li, M., Virguez, E., Shan, R., Tian, J., Gao, S., & Patiño-Echeverri, D. (2022). High-resolution data shows China's wind and solar energy resources are enough to support a 2050 decarbonized electricity system. *Applied Energy*, 306, 117996. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2021.117996>
- Liang, Z., & Liu, N. (2014). Efficient feature scaling for support vector machines with a quadratic kernel. *Neural Processing Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11063-013-9301-1>
- Lima, E., Gorski, E., Loures, E. F. R., Portela Santos, E. A., & Deschamps, F. (2019). Applying machine learning to AHP multicriteria decision making method to assets prioritization in the context of industrial maintenance 4.0. *IFAC-PapersOnLine*, 52(13), 2152–2157.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifacol.2019.11.524>

- Liu, Y., Xiao, L., Wang, H., Dai, S., & Qi, Z. (2013). Analysis on the hourly spatiotemporal complementarities between China's solar and wind energy resources spreading in a wide area. *Science China Technological Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/S11431-012-5105-1>
- Lundberg, S. M., Erion, G. G., & Lee, S.-I. (2018). *Consistent Individualized Feature Attribution for Tree Ensembles*. 2. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1802.03888>
- Lundberg, S. M., & Lee, S. I. (2017). A Unified Approach to Interpreting Model Predictions. *Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems, 2017-December*, 4766–4775. <https://doi.org/10.48550/arxiv.1705.07874>
- Malczewski, J. (2000). On the use of weighted linear combination method in GIS: Common and best practice approaches. *Transactions in GIS*, 4(1), 5–22. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9671.00035>
- Mangalathu, S., Hwang, S. H., & Jeon, J. S. (2020). Failure mode and effects analysis of RC members based on machine-learning-based SHapley Additive exPlanations (SHAP) approach. *Engineering Structures*, 219, 110927. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENGSTRUCT.2020.110927>
- Martinez, A., & Iglesias, G. (2022). Climate change impacts on wind energy resources in North America based on the CMIP6 projections. *Science of the Total Environment*, 806, 150580. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2021.150580>
- Marzuki, O. F., Rafie, A. S. M., Romli, F. I., & Ahmad, K. A. (2015). Torque performance study of Magnus Wind Turbine. *International Review of Mechanical Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.15866/ireme.v9i1.4726>
- Matin, S. S., & Pradhan, B. (2021). Earthquake-induced building-damage mapping using explainable ai (Xai). *Sensors*, 21(13). <https://doi.org/10.3390/s21134489>
- Mekhilef, S., Saidur, R., & Kamalisarvestani, M. (2012). Effect of dust, humidity and air velocity on efficiency of photovoltaic cells. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2012.02.012>
- Meng, F., Liang, X., Xiao, C., & Wang, G. (2021). Integration of GIS, improved entropy and improved catastrophe methods for evaluating suitable locations for well drilling in arid and semi-arid plains. *Ecological Indicators*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolind.2021.108124>
- Mensour, O. N., El Ghazzani, B., Hlimi, B., & Ihlal, A. (2019). A geographical information system-based multi-criteria method for the evaluation of solar farms locations: A case study in Souss-Massa area, southern Morocco. *Energy*, 182, 900–919. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.06.063>
- Miao, H., Dong, D., Huang, G., Hu, K., Tian, Q., & Gong, Y. (2020). Evaluation of Northern Hemisphere surface wind speed and wind power density in multiple reanalysis datasets. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117382>

- Miglietta, M. M., Huld, T., & Monforti-Ferrario, F. (2016). Local Complementarity of Wind and Solar Energy Resources over Europe: An Assessment Study from a Meteorological Perspective. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-16-0031.1>
- Mohamed, S. A. (2020). Application of geo-spatial Analytical Hierarchy Process and multi-criteria analysis for site suitability of the desalination solar stations in Egypt. *Journal of African Earth Sciences*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jafrearsci.2020.103767>
- Mohammadzadeh Bina, S., Jalilinasrabad, S., Fujii, H., & Farabi-Asl, H. (2018). A comprehensive approach for wind power plant potential assessment, application to northwestern Iran. *Energy*, 164, 344–358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ENERGY.2018.08.211>
- Mohammed, B., Abdullah, O. I., & Al-Tmimi, A. I. (2020). Investigation and analysis of wind turbines optimal locations and performance in Iraq. *FME Transactions*. <https://doi.org/10.5937/fmet2001155B>
- Mohammed, N. A. (2018). Modelling of unsuppressed electrical demand forecasting in Iraq for long term. *Energy*, 162, 354–363. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2018.08.030>
- Mohan, S., & Bhaskaran, P. K. (2019). Evaluation of CMIP5 climate model projections for surface wind speed over the Indian Ocean region. *Climate Dynamics*, 53(9–10), 5415–5435. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00382-019-04874-2>
- Mohri, M., Rostamizadeh, A., & Talwalkar, A. (2018). Foundations of Machine Learning second edition. In *Вестник Казнму*.
- Mohsin, M., Zhang, J., Saidur, R., Sun, H., & Sait, S. M. (2019). Economic assessment and ranking of wind power potential using fuzzy-TOPSIS approach. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11356-019-05564-6>
- Mokarram, M., Mokarram, M. J., Gitizadeh, M., Niknam, T., & Aghaei, J. (2020). A novel optimal placing of solar farms utilizing multi-criteria decision-making (MCDA) and feature selection. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121098>
- Mollalo, A., Sadeghian, A., Israel, G. D., Rashidi, P., Sofizadeh, A., & Glass, G. E. (2018). Machine learning approaches in GIS-based ecological modeling of the sand fly *Phlebotomus papatasi*, a vector of zoonotic cutaneous leishmaniasis in Golestan province, Iran. *Acta Tropica*, 188(September), 187–194. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actatropica.2018.09.004>
- Monforti, F., Huld, T., Bódis, K., Vitali, L., D'Isidoro, M., & Lacal-Arántegui, R. (2014). Assessing complementarity of wind and solar resources for energy production in Italy. A Monte Carlo approach. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2013.10.028>

- Moradi, S., Yousefi, H., Noorollahi, Y., & Rosso, D. (2020). Multi-criteria decision support system for wind farm site selection and sensitivity analysis: Case study of Alborz Province, Iran. *Energy Strategy Reviews*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.esr.2020.100478>
- Mukhamediev, R. I., Mustakayev, R., Yakunin, K., Kiseleva, S., & Gopejenko, V. (2019). Multi-criteria spatial decision making support system for renewable energy development in Kazakhstan. *IEEE Access*, 7, 122275–122288. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ACCESS.2019.2937627>
- Naeem, A., Hassan, N. U., Yuen, C., & Muyeen, S. M. (2019). Maximizing the economic benefits of a grid-tied microgrid using solar-wind complementarity. *Energies*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/en12030395>
- NASA. (n.d.). *Goddard Earth Sciences Data and Information Services Center (GES DISC)*. Retrieved June 28, 2021, from <https://disc.gsfc.nasa.gov/>
- Nayyar, Z. A., & Ali, A. (2020). Roughness classification utilizing remote sensing techniques for wind resource assessment. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2019.12.044>
- Obane, H., Nagai, Y., & Asano, K. (2020). Assessing land use and potential conflict in solar and onshore wind energy in Japan. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RENENE.2020.06.018>
- Odu, G. O. (2019). Weighting methods for multi-criteria decision making technique. *Journal of Applied Sciences and Environmental Management*. <https://doi.org/10.4314/jasem.v23i8.7>
- Ornella, L., & Tapia, E. (2010). Supervised machine learning and heterotic classification of maize (*Zea mays* L.) using molecular marker data. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compag.2010.08.013>
- Orynbaikyzy, A., Gessner, U., & Conrad, C. (2022). Spatial Transferability of Random Forest Models for Crop Type Classification Using Sentinel-1 and Sentinel-2. *Remote Sensing*, 14(6), 1493. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs14061493>
- Patchali, T. E., Ajide, O. O., Matthew, O. J., Salau, T. A. O., & Oyewola, O. M. (2020). Examination of potential impacts of future climate change on solar radiation in Togo, West Africa. *SN Applied Sciences*, 2(12). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s42452-020-03738-3>
- Pedregosa, F., Varoquaux, G., Gramfort, A., Michel, V., Thirion, B., Grisel, O., Blondel, M., Prettenhofer, P., Weiss, R., Dubourg, V., Vanderplas, J., Passos, A., Cournapeau, D., Brucher, M., Perrot, M., & Duchesnay, É. (2011). Scikit-learn: Machine learning in Python. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*.
- Polit, D. F., Beck, C. T., & Owen, S. V. (2007). Focus on research methods: Is the CVI an acceptable indicator of content validity? Appraisal and recommendations. *Research in Nursing and Health*, 30(4), 459–467. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nur.20199>

- Prasad, A. A., Taylor, R. A., & Kay, M. (2017). Assessment of solar and wind resource synergy in Australia. *Applied Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.12.135>
- Rabbani, R., & Zeeshan, M. (2020). Exploring the suitability of MERRA-2 reanalysis data for wind energy estimation, analysis of wind characteristics and energy potential assessment for selected sites in Pakistan. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.03.100>
- Rasham, A. M., & Mahdi, J. M. (2018). Performance of wind turbines at three sites in Iraq. *International Journal of Renewable Energy Research*, 8(3), 1327–1338. <https://doi.org/10.20508/ijrer.v8i3.7715.g7432>
- Ravestein, P., van der Schrier, G., Haarsma, R., Scheele, R., & van den Broek, M. (2018). Vulnerability of European intermittent renewable energy supply to climate change and climate variability. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 97, 497–508. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2018.08.057>
- Rediske, G., Siluk, J. C. M., Michels, L., Rigo, P. D., Rosa, C. B., & Cugler, G. (2020). Multi-criteria decision-making model for assessment of large photovoltaic farms in Brazil. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.117167>
- Ren, G., Wan, J., Liu, J., & Yu, D. (2019). Spatial and temporal assessments of complementarity for renewable energy resources in China. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2019.04.023>
- Resen, A. K., Khamees, A. B., & Yaseen, S. F. (2020). Determination of Wind Shear Coefficients and Conditions of Atmospheric Stability for Three Iraqi Sites. *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1757-899X/881/1/012161>
- Rezaei, M., Khalilpour, K. R., & Jahangiri, M. (2020). Multi-criteria location identification for wind/solar based hydrogen generation: The case of capital cities of a developing country. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 45(58), 33151–33168. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2020.09.138>
- Rezaei, M., Mostafaeipour, A., Qolipour, M., & Tavakkoli-Moghaddam, R. (2018). Investigation of the optimal location design of a hybrid wind-solar plant: A case study. *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 43(1), 100–114. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijhydene.2017.10.147>
- Richardson, D. B., & Andrews, R. W. (2014). Validation of the MERRA dataset for solar PV applications. *2014 IEEE 40th Photovoltaic Specialist Conference, PVSC 2014*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/PVSC.2014.6925039>
- Rizeei, H. M., Pradhan, B., & Mahlia, T. M. I. (2018). GIS-based suitability analysis on hybrid renewal energy site allocation using integrated MODIS and ASTER Satellite imageries in Peninsular Malaysia. *Proceedings - 39th Asian Conference on Remote Sensing: Remote Sensing Enabling Prosperity, ACRS 2018*.

- Rogna, M. (2020). Land Use Policy A first-phase screening method for site selection of large-scale solar plants with an application to Italy. *Land Use Policy*, 99(May 2019), 104839. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.104839>
- Romano, G., Dal Sasso, P., Trisorio Liuzzi, G., & Gentile, F. (2015). Multi-criteria decision analysis for land suitability mapping in a rural area of Southern Italy. *Land Use Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2015.05.013>
- Ruiz, H. S., Sunarso, A., Ibrahim-Bathis, K., Murti, S. A., & Budiarto, I. (2020). GIS-AHP Multi Criteria Decision Analysis for the optimal location of solar energy plants at Indonesia. *Energy Reports*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.egyr.2020.11.198>
- Sadeghi, M., & Karimi, M. (2017). GIS-based solar and wind turbine site selection using multi-criteria analysis: Case study Tehran, Iran. *International Archives of the Photogrammetry, Remote Sensing and Spatial Information Sciences - ISPRS Archives*. <https://doi.org/10.5194/isprs-archives-XLII-4-W4-469-2017>
- Saeed, I. M., Ramli, A. T., & Saleh, M. A. (2016). Assessment of sustainability in energy of Iraq, and achievable opportunities in the long run. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 58(2016), 1207–1215. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.12.302>
- Saha, S. (2017). Groundwater potential mapping using analytical hierarchical process: a study on Md. Bazar Block of Birbhum District, West Bengal. *Spatial Information Research*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s41324-017-0127-1>
- Sahin, E. K., Ipbuker, C., & Kavzoglu, T. (2015). A Comparison of Feature and Expert-based Weighting Algorithms in Landslide Susceptibility Mapping. *Procedia Earth and Planetary Science*, 15, 462–467. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proeps.2015.08.043>
- Salman, S. A., Shahid, S., Ismail, T., Ahmed, K., & Wang, X. J. (2018). Selection of climate models for projection of spatiotemporal changes in temperature of Iraq with uncertainties. *Atmospheric Research*, 213(April), 509–522. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.atmosres.2018.07.008>
- Santos-Alamillos, F. J., Pozo-Vázquez, D., Ruiz-Arias, J. A., Lara-Fanego, V., & Tovar-Pescador, J. (2012). Analysis of Spatiotemporal Balancing between Wind and Solar Energy Resources in the Southern Iberian Peninsula. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology*. <https://doi.org/10.1175/JAMC-D-11-0189.1>
- Santos, R. M., & Bakhshoodeh, R. (2021). Climate change/global warming/climate emergency versus general climate research: comparative bibliometric trends of publications. *Heliyon*, 7(11), e08219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.HELIYON.2021.E08219>
- Saraswat, S. K. K., Digalwar, A. K., Yadav, S. S. S., & Kumar, G. (2021). MCDM and GIS based modelling technique for assessment of solar and wind farm locations in India. *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2021.01.056>
- Sarkar, D., Saha, S., Maitra, M., & Mondal, P. (2021). Site suitability for Aromatic Rice cultivation by integrating Geo-spatial and Machine learning algorithms in

- Kaliyaganj C.D. block, India. *Artificial Intelligence in Geosciences*, 2, 179–191. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.AIIG.2022.03.001>
- Sbia, R., Shahbaz, M., & Ozturk, I. (2017). Economic growth, financial development, urbanisation and electricity consumption nexus in UAE. *Economic Research-Ekonomska Istrazivanja* . <https://doi.org/10.1080/1331677X.2017.1305792>
- Schindler, D., Behr, H. D., & Jung, C. (2020). On the spatiotemporal variability and potential of complementarity of wind and solar resources. *Energy Conversion and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2020.113016>
- Sekandari, M., & Pour, A. B. (2021). Fuzzy Logic Modeling for Integrating the Thematic Layers Derived from Remote Sensing Imagery: A Mineral Exploration Technique. *Environmental Sciences Proceedings 2021*, Vol. 6, Page 8, 6(1), 8. <https://doi.org/10.3390/IECMS2021-09349>
- Shahab, A., & Singh, M. P. (2019). Comparative analysis of different machine learning algorithms in classification of suitability of renewable energy resource. *Proceedings of the 2019 IEEE International Conference on Communication and Signal Processing, ICCSP 2019*, 2019, 360–364. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICCSP.2019.8697969>
- Shahbaz, M., & Lean, H. H. (2012). Does financial development increase energy consumption? The role of industrialization and urbanization in Tunisia. *Energy Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2011.10.050>
- Shaner, M. R., Davis, S. J., Lewis, N. S., & Caldeira, K. (2018). Geophysical constraints on the reliability of solar and wind power in the United States. *Energy and Environmental Science*. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c7ee03029k>
- Shao, M., Han, Z., Sun, J., Xiao, C., Zhang, S., & Zhao, Y. (2020). A review of multi-criteria decision making applications for renewable energy site selection. In *Renewable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.renene.2020.04.137>
- Shapley, L. S. (1953). A value for n-person games. Contributions to the Theory of Games. *Annals of Mathematical Studies*, 2, 307–317.
- Slusarewicz, J. H., & Cohan, D. S. (2018). Assessing solar and wind complementarity in Texas. *Renewables: Wind, Water, and Solar*. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40807-018-0054-3>
- Solaun, K., & Cerdá, E. (2019). Climate change impacts on renewable energy generation. A review of quantitative projections. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 116. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.109415>
- Solomon, A. A., Kammen, D. M., & Callaway, D. (2016). Investigating the impact of wind-solar complementarities on energy storage requirement and the corresponding supply reliability criteria. *Applied Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2016.01.070>
- Sterl, S. H., Liersch, S., Koch, H., Lipzig, N. van P. M. V., & Thiery, W. (2018). A new approach for assessing synergies of solar and wind power: Implications for

- West Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1748-9326/AAD8F6>
- Strantzali, E., & Aravossis, K. (2016). Decision making in renewable energy investments: A review. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 55, 885–898. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2015.11.021>
- Sun, L., Jiang, Y., Guo, Q., Ji, L., Xie, Y., Qiao, Q., Huang, G., & Xiao, K. (2020). A GIS-based multi-criteria decision making method for the potential assessment and suitable sites selection of PV and CSP plants. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.105306>
- Taghizadeh-Mehrjardi, R., Nabiollahi, K., Rasoli, L., Kerry, R., & Scholten, T. (2020). Land suitability assessment and agricultural production sustainability using machine learning models. *Agronomy*. <https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy10040573>
- Tan, Q., Wei, T., Peng, W., Yu, Z., & Wu, C. (2020). Comprehensive evaluation model of wind farm site selection based on ideal matter element and grey clustering. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.122658>
- Tarife, R. P., Nakanishi, Y., Bondaug, J. V. S., Irosido, R. V., Tahud, A. P., & Estoperez, N. R. (2020). Optimization of Electric Transmission Line Routing for a Renewable Energy Based Micro-Grid System using Geographic Information System (GIS) Spatial Analysis. *9th International Conference on Renewable Energy Research and Applications, ICRERA 2020*, 215–220. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ICRERA49962.2020.9242762>
- Tercan, E., Eymen, A., Urfali, T., & Saracoglu, B. O. (2021). A sustainable framework for spatial planning of photovoltaic solar farms using GIS and multi-criteria assessment approach in Central Anatolia, Turkey. *Land Use Policy*, 102(October 2020), 105272. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landusepol.2020.105272>
- Uyan, M. (2017). Optimal site selection for solar power plants using multi-criteria evaluation: A case study from the Ayranci region in Karaman, Turkey. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10098-017-1405-2>
- Uzun Ozsahin, D., Uzun, B., Ozsahin, I., Mustapha, M. T., & Musa, M. S. (2020). Fuzzy logic in medicine. *Biomedical Signal Processing and Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare*, 153–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-818946-7.00006-8>
- Vega-Sanchez, M. A., Castaneda-Jimenez, P. D., Pena-Gallardo, R., Ruiz-Alonso, A., Morales-Saldana, J. A., & Palacios-Hernandez, E. R. (2017). Evaluation of complementarity of wind and solar energy resources over Mexico using an image processing approach. *2017 IEEE International Autumn Meeting on Power, Electronics and Computing, ROPEC 2017*. <https://doi.org/10.1109/ROPEC.2017.8261637>
- Venkateswari, R., & Rajasekar, N. (2021). Review on parameter estimation techniques of solar photovoltaic systems. *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*, 31(11). <https://doi.org/10.1002/2050-7038.13113>

- Viviescas, C., Lima, L., Diuana, F. A., Vasquez, E., Ludovique, C., Silva, G. N., Huback, V., Magalar, L., Szklo, A., Lucena, A. F. P., Schaeffer, R., & Paredes, J. R. (2019). Contribution of Variable Renewable Energy to increase energy security in Latin America: Complementarity and climate change impacts on wind and solar resources. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 113(November 2017). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rser.2019.06.039>
- Watson, J. J. W., & Hudson, M. D. (2015). Regional Scale wind farm and solar farm suitability assessment using GIS-assisted multi-criteria evaluation. *Landscape and Urban Planning*, 138, 20–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.landurbplan.2015.02.001>
- Wild, M., Folini, D., Henschel, F., Fischer, N., & Müller, B. (2015). Projections of long-term changes in solar radiation based on CMIP5 climate models and their influence on energy yields of photovoltaic systems. *Solar Energy*, 116, 12–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.solener.2015.03.039>
- Wilson, A. M., & Jetz, W. (2016). Remotely Sensed High-Resolution Global Cloud Dynamics for Predicting Ecosystem and Biodiversity Distributions. *PLoS Biology*. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.1002415>
- Wu, Y., Liao, M., Hu, M., Lin, J., Zhou, J., Zhang, B., & Xu, C. (2020). A decision framework of low-speed wind farm projects in hilly areas based on DEMATEL-entropy-TODIM method from the sustainability perspective: A case in China. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.119014>
- Xu, F., Uszkoreit, H., Du, Y., Fan, W., Zhao, D., & Zhu, J. (2019). Explainable AI: A Brief Survey on History, Research Areas, Approaches and Challenges. *Lecture Notes in Computer Science (Including Subseries Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence and Lecture Notes in Bioinformatics)*, 11839 LNAI, 563–574. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-32236-6_51
- Xu, L., Wang, Z., & Liu, Y. (2017). The spatial and temporal variation features of wind-sun complementarity in China. *Energy Conversion and Management*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2017.10.031>
- Xu, Y., Li, Y., Zheng, L., Cui, L., Li, S., Li, W., & Cai, Y. (2020). Site selection of wind farms using GIS and multi-criteria decision making method in Wafangdian, China. *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2020.118222>
- Yan, J., Qu, T., Han, S., Liu, Y., Lei, X., & Wang, H. (2020). Reviews on characteristic of renewables: Evaluating the variability and complementarity. *International Transactions on Electrical Energy Systems*. <https://doi.org/10.1002/2050-7038.12281>
- Yang, L., Jiang, J., Liu, T., Li, Y., Zhou, Y., & Gao, X. (2018). Projections of future changes in solar radiation in China based on CMIP5 climate models. *Global Energy Interconnection*, 1(4), 452–459. <https://doi.org/10.14171/J.2096-5117.GEI.2018.04.005>
- Yousefi, S., Avand, M., Yariyan, P., Jahanbazi Goujani, H., Costache, R., Tavangar, S., & Tiefenbacher, J. P. (2021). Identification of the most suitable afforestation

- sites by *Juniperus excelsa* using machine learning models: Firuzkuh semi-arid region, Iran. *Ecological Informatics*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecoinf.2021.101427>
- Youssef, A. M., Pourghasemi, H. R., Pourtaghi, Z. S., & Al-Katheeri, M. M. (2016). Landslide susceptibility mapping using random forest, boosted regression tree, classification and regression tree, and general linear models and comparison of their performance at Wadi Tayyah Basin, Asir Region, Saudi Arabia. *Landslides*. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10346-015-0614-1>
- Yun-Na, W., Yi-Sheng, Y., Tian-Tian, F., Li-na, K., Wei, L., & Luo-jie, F. (2013). Macro-site selection of wind/solar hybrid power station based on Ideal Matter-Element Model. *International Journal of Electrical Power & Energy Systems*, 50(1), 76–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijepes.2013.02.024>
- Yunna, W., & Geng, S. (2014). Multi-criteria decision making on selection of solar-wind hybrid power station location: A case of China. *Energy Conversion and Management*, 81, 527–533. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.enconman.2014.02.056>
- Yusoff, M. S. B. (2019). ABC of Content Validation and Content Validity Index Calculation. *Education in Medicine Journal*, 11(2), 49–54. <https://doi.org/10.21315/eimj2019.11.2.6>
- Zadeh, L. A. (1965). Fuzzy sets. *Information and Control*, 8(3), 338–353. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958\(65\)90241-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0019-9958(65)90241-X)
- Zardari, N. H., Ahmed, K., Shirazi, S. M., & Yusop, Z. Bin. (2014). Weighting Methods and their Effects on Multi-Criteria Decision Making Model Outcomes in Water Resources Management. In *SpringerBriefs in Water Science and Technology*.
- Zhang, F., Wang, C., Xie, G., Kong, W., Jin, S., Hu, J., & Chen, X. (2018). Projection of global wind and solar resources over land in the 21st century. *Global Energy Interconnection*, 1(4), 443–451. <https://doi.org/10.14171/j.2096-5117.gei.2018.04.004>
- Zhang, H., Cao, Y., Zhang, Y., & Terzija, V. (2018). Quantitative synergy assessment of regional wind-solar energy resources based on MERRA reanalysis data. *Applied Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apenergy.2018.02.094>
- Zhou, P., Ang, B. W., & Poh, K. L. (2006). Decision analysis in energy and environmental modeling: An update. In *Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2005.10.023>
- Zhu, Y., Chen, S., Huang, W., Wang, L., & Ma, G. (2018). Complementary operational research for a hydro-wind-solar hybrid power system on the upper Jinsha River. *Journal of Renewable and Sustainable Energy*. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5027758>
- Zhuo, C., Junhong, G., Wei, L., Fei, Z., Chan, X., & Zhangrong, P. (2022). Changes in wind energy potential over China using a regional climate model ensemble. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 159, 112219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RSER.2022.112219>