



**EVALUATION OF INTERPOLATED RAINFALL DATA FOR WEATHER INDEX INSURANCE SPATIAL BASIS RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE MUDA IRRIGATION AREA, KEDAH, MALAYSIA**

**By**

**MUKHTAR JIBRIL ABDI**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Science**

**January 2023**

**FK 2023 4**

## **COPYRIGHT**

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

**EVALUATION OF INTERPOLATED RAINFALL DATA FOR WEATHER INDEX INSURANCE SPATIAL BASIS RISK MANAGEMENT IN THE MUDA IRRIGATION AREA, KEDAH, MALAYSIA**

By

**MUKHTAR JIBRIL ABDI**

**January 2023**

**Chair : Zed Diyana Zulkafli, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Weather index insurance allows small-scale farmers to secure income fluctuations caused by adverse weather conditions. However, the problem of basis risk hinders the demand for weather index insurance by farmers, while the systemic weather risk problem impedes the supply of weather index insurance by insurers. This work aimed to construct a hypothetical weather index insurance that protects small-scale rice farmers against extreme weather-induced risks for the Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) in north-western Peninsular Malaysia. We first developed a monthly rainfall dataset over the Muda area for 16 years (2001-2016) using different spatial interpolation methods such as Nearest Neighbour (NN), Inverse Distance Weighting (IDW), Ordinary Kriging (OK), and Kriging with External Drift (KED). The performance of the methods was evaluated and compared in a cross-validation framework using the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) and Relative Root Mean Square (RRMSE). We then derived various climate indices that include cumulative rainfall (CR) based on interpolated rainfall data and rainfall data from a rainfall station located in the centre of the insured area, cumulative Growing Degree Days (GDD), Standardised Precipitation Index (SPI) at different time scales, and average relative humidity. The CR, GDD, and humidity indices were derived at monthly, mid-season and seasonal time scales. The correlation between these indices and seasonal rice yield (e.g. off-season, and main season) at different levels of spatial aggregation was then analysed. A separate hypothetical index insurance contract for each season and area was developed, and their risk reduction potential was evaluated by comparing granary level contracts with sub-granary level contracts and interpolated-based rainfall contracts with station-based rainfall contracts. We found that the IDW2 method was the best approach to interpolate rainfall data, as evidenced by the RMSE and RRMSE, followed by IDW3, OK, and KED, while the NN method performed the worst. For the results of the correlation analysis, the GDD indices in June were mainly associated with rice yield in the dry off-season across most of the areas. Moreo-

ver, cumulative rainfall (i.e, CR\_station and CR\_interpolated) and SPI1 indices in December were mainly associated with rice yield in the wet main season across most areas. In GDD-based contracts of the dry off-season, the standard deviation reduction (average of all sub-granaries) at the sub-granary level was less than the standard deviation reduction at the granary level by approximately 28%, revealing an increased risk reduction potential at higher levels of spatial aggregation compared to lower levels. In CR-based contracts of the wet main season, a marginal risk reduction potential was achieved at higher levels of aggregation using rainfall-based contracts, compared to a higher risk reduction potential achieved with temperature-based contracts in off-season. The use of the CR\_interpolated index did not reduce spatial basis risk at both granary and sub-granary levels. The developed methodology, which analyses spatial basis risk using various interpolation methods, could serve as a foundation for future research on rainfall index insurance. This work could help farmers and policy makers with their risk management plans for rice production to respond to crop losses related to extreme weather.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

**PENILAIAN DATA HUJAN YANG TERSISIP BAGI PENGURUSAN RISIKO  
ASAS RUANGAN INSURANS BERASASKAN INDEKS CUACA DI  
KAWASAN PENGAIRAN MUDA, KEDAH, MALAYSIA**

Oleh

**MUKHTAR JIBRIL ABDI**

**January 2023**

**Pengerusi : Zed Diyana Zulkafli, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuruteraan**

Insurans berasaskan indeks cuaca membolehkan petani kecilan menjamin pendapatan yang tidak menentu disebabkan oleh cuaca buruk. Namun begitu, masalah risiko asas menyekat tuntutan insurans berasaskan indeks cuaca oleh petani, bilamana masalah risiko cuaca sistemik menghalang penawaran insurans berasaskan indeks cuaca oleh syarikat insurans. Matlamat kajian ini adalah untuk membina insurans berasaskan indeks cuaca hipotesis yang melindungi pesawah kecilan daripada risiko akibat cuaca yang melampau bagi Lembaga Kemajuan Pertanian Muda (MADA) di barat laut Semenanjung Malaysia. Kami terlebih dahulu menghasilkan set data hujan bulanan di kawasan Muda bagi tempoh 16 tahun (2001-2016) dengan menggunakan kaedah interpolasi ruangan beza seperti Titik Terdekat (NN), Pemberat Jarak Songsang (IDW), Kriging Biasa (OK), dan Kriging berserta Hanyutan Luaran (KED). Prestasi kaedah tersebut telah dinilai dan dibandingkan dalam sebuah rangka kerja pengesahan silang dengan menggunakan Ralat Puncu Min Kuasa Dua (RMSE) dan Puncu Min Kuasa Dua Relatif (RRMSE). Kami kemudiannya membuat pelbagai indeks iklim yang merangkumi hujan kumulatif (CR) berdasarkan data hujan yang tersisip dan data hujan dari sebuah stesen hujan yang terletak di tengah-tengah kawasan yang diinsuranskan, kumulatif Hari Darjah Pertumbuhan Kumulatif (GDD), Indeks Kerpasan Standard (SPI) pada skala masa yang berbeza, dan purata kelembapan relatif. Indeks CR, GDD dan kelembapan diperolehi secara bulanan, pertengahan musim dan skala masa bermusim. Korelasi antara indeks-indeks ini dan tuaian padi bermusim (contohnya, pada masa luar musim dan musim utama) dianalisis kemudiannya pada pelbagai peringkat pengagregatan. Kontrak insurans indeks hipotesis yang berasingan bagi setiap musim dan kawasan telah dibangunkan, dan keupayaan pengurangan risikonya telah dinilai dengan membandingkan kontrak peringkat jelapang dengan kontrak peringkat sub-jelapang serta kontrak hujan berasaskan interpolasi dengan kontrak hujan berasaskan stesen. Kami mendapati bahawa kaedah IDW2 merupakan pendekatan terbaik untuk menyisipkan data hujan, seperti yang telah dibuktikan oleh RMSE dan RRMSE, diikuti oleh IDW3, OK, dan KED.

Akan tetapi, kaedah NN merupakan pendekatan yang kurang baik. Keputusan analisis korelasi menunjukkan indeks GDD pada bulan Jun kebanyakannya dikaitkan dengan tuaian padi pada luar musim kemarau di kebanyakan kawasan. Tambahan pula, hujan kumulatif (cth., CR\_stesen dan CR\_tersisip) dan indeks SPI1 pada bulan Disember kebanyakannya dikaitkan dengan tuaian padi pada musim hujan utama di setiap kebanyakan kawasan. Dalam kontrak berasaskan GDD pada luar musim kemarau, pengurangan sisihan piawai (purata semua sub-jelapang) di peringkat sub-jelapang adalah kurang daripada pengurangan sisihan piawai di peringkat jelapang sebanyak lebih kurang 28%. Ini menunjukkan peningkatan keupayaan pengurangan risiko pada peringkat pengagregatan ruangan yang lebih tinggi berbanding dengan peringkat yang lebih rendah. Dalam kontrak berasaskan CR pada musim hujan utama, keupayaan pengurangan risiko marginal dicapai pada peringkat pengagregatan yang lebih tinggi melalui kontrak berasaskan hujan, berbanding dengan keupayaan pengurangan risiko yang lebih tinggi dicapai dengan kontrak berasaskan suhu pada luar musim. Penggunaan indeks CR\_tersisip tidak mengurangkan risiko asas ruangan pada kedua-dua peringkat jelapang dan sub-jelapang. Metodologi yang dibangunkan ini menganalisis risiko asas ruangan dengan menggunakan pelbagai kaedah interpolasi. Metodologi ini boleh digunakan sebagai asas untuk penyelidikan insurans berasaskan indeks hujan pada masa hadapan. Kajian ini dapat membantu petani dan pembuat dasar membuat rancangan pengurusan risiko mereka untuk pengeluaran padi bagi menangani kerugian hasil tuaian yang disebabkan oleh cuaca buruk.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, I would like to express my gratitude to Allah SWT, the Almighty, for His blessings throughout my research work that enabled me to successfully complete this study.

I extend my deep and sincere appreciation to my research supervisor, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zed Diyana Zulkafli, for providing me with the opportunity to conduct this research under her guidance and for her invaluable support and guidance throughout my work. Working and learning under her supervision was a great privilege and honour. I am also grateful to my supervisory committee members, Assoc. Prof. Dr. Farrah Melissa Muham and Dr. Nurulhuda Khairuddin, for their continuing support, which was crucial to the completion of this work.

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to my mother, cousin, and siblings for their unwavering love, support, prayers, care, and sacrifices in preparing me for my future. I am also grateful to my friends and research colleagues for their constant encouragement and support.

Finally, I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to all the individuals who supported me directly or indirectly during my research work, particularly the lecturers and staff members of the Department of Civil Engineering, Faculty of Engineering, University Putra Malaysia.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Zed Diyana Zulkafli, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Nurulhuda Khairudin, PhD**

Senior Lecturer  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Farrah Melissa Muharam, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Agriculture  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 8 June 2023



## Declaration by the Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and the copyright of the thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as stipulated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from the supervisor and the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before the thesis is published in any written, printed or electronic form (including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials) as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld in accordance with the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Name and Matric No.: Mukhtar Jibril Abdi

## Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research and the writing of this thesis were done under our supervision;
- supervisory responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Zed Diyana Zulkafli

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Dr. Nurulhuda Khairuddin

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_

Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee:

Assoc. Prof. Dr. Farrah Melissa Muharam

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

|   | Page     |
|---|----------|
| <b>ABSTRACT</b>   | i        |
| <b>ABSTRAK</b>  | iii      |
| <b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>   | v        |
| <b>APPROVAL</b>   | vi       |
| <b>DECLARATION</b>  | viii     |
| <b>LIST OF TABLES</b>   | xiii     |
| <b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>  | xiv      |
| <br>  |          |
| <b>CHAPTER</b>  |          |
| <b>1 INTRODUCTION</b>   | <b>1</b> |
| 1.1 Introduction  | 1        |
| 1.2 Problem statement   | 3        |
| 1.3 Research Hypothesis   | 4        |
| 1.4 Research Objectives   | 5        |
| 1.5 Scope of the study  | 5        |
| <br>  |          |
| <b>2 LITERATURE REVIEW</b>  | <b>7</b> |
| 2.1 Overview of agricultural risk and its management  | 7        |
| 2.2 Overview of index-based insurance for agricultural risk management                                | 8        |
| 2.3 Challenges in the implementation and design of weather index insurance                            | 9        |
| 2.3.1 Basis risk  | 9        |
| 2.3.2 Systemic weather risk   | 11       |
| 2.4 Overview of spatial interpolation techniques  | 12       |
| 2.4.1 Deterministic interpolation methods   | 13       |
| 2.4.2 Geostatistical interpolation methods  | 13       |
| 2.5 Indices selection for representing yield losses in weather index insurance                        | 16       |
| 2.5.1 Meteorological indices  | 19       |
| 2.5.2 Drought and flood indices   | 20       |
| 2.5.3 Climate indices   | 22       |
| 2.5.4 Vegetation indices  | 22       |
| 2.6 Yield-index relationship modelling methods in weather index insurance                             | 24       |
| 2.6.1 Statistical methods   | 24       |
| 2.6.2 Econometric methods   | 25       |
| 2.6.3 Mechanistic methods   | 26       |
| 2.6.4 Machine learning methods  | 26       |
| 2.7 Overview of pricing and risk reduction potential evaluation techniques in weather index insurance | 27       |
| 2.7.1 Determining the price of the insurance  | 27       |
| 2.7.2 Evaluation of risk reduction potential of weather index insurance                               | 28       |

|          |   |           |
|----------|---|-----------|
| 2.8      | Summary   | 29        |
| <b>3</b> | <b>METHODOLOGY</b>  | <b>31</b> |
| 3.1      | Work procedure  | 31        |
| 3.2      | Study area  | 32        |
| 3.3      | Data collection   | 33        |
| 3.4      | Analysis of spatial interpolation methods   | 35        |
| 3.4.1    | Inverse Distance Weighting method   | 35        |
| 3.4.2    | Ordinary Kriging (OK)   | 35        |
| 3.4.3    | Kriging with External Drift (KED)   | 37        |
| 3.4.4    | Performance assessment of the interpolation methods   | 38        |
| 3.5      | Indices derivation and analysis of yield-index relationship   | 39        |
| 3.5.1    | Subdivision of the rice growth cycle  | 39        |
| 3.5.2    | Derivation of climate indices for yield-index relationship  | 39        |
| 3.5.3    | Yield-index relationship analysis and contract parameters estimation  | 41        |
| 3.6      | Designing and pricing of weather index insurance and evaluation of its potential risk reduction   | 42        |
| 3.6.1    | Development of weather index contract   | 42        |
| 3.6.2    | Calculation of the price of the insurance   | 43        |
| 3.6.3    | Evaluation of the risk reduction potential of the weather index insurance using the standard deviation of revenue                                       | 44        |
| <b>4</b> | <b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSION</b>   | <b>46</b> |
| 4.1      | Comparative performance analysis of interpolation methods using cross-validation results  | 46        |
| 4.2      | The results of correlation analysis between the derived indices and seasonal rice yield   | 49        |
| 4.2.1    | The correlation between the rice yield at the granary and sub-granary levels and the indices in both off-season and main season                         | 49        |
| 4.2.2    | Discussion of the correlation results in off-season and main season   | 53        |
| 4.2.3    | Proposed indices and the parameters of the designed contracts for each season   | 54        |
| 4.3      | Results of evaluation of the risk reduction potential of the weather index insurance contracts  | 57        |
| 4.3.1    | The risk reduction potential evaluation results of GDD-based contracts in the off-season  | 57        |
| 4.3.2    | The results of risk reduction potential evaluation of rainfall-based contracts in the main season   | 58        |
| 4.3.3    | Discussion of results of the evaluation of the potential risk reduction of the weather index insurance contracts for the off-season and the main season | 60        |

|          |   |    |
|----------|---|----|
| <b>5</b> | <b>CONCLUSION</b>                               | 62 |
| 5.1      | Conclusions                                     | 62 |
| 5.2      | Limitations and recommendations for future work | 63 |
|          | <b>REFERENCES</b>                               | 65 |
|          | <b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>                       | 79 |
|          | <b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>                     | 80 |



## LIST OF TABLES

| Table |  | Page |
|-------|--|------|
| 1     | Comparison of different rainfall interpolation methods used in this study.   | 15   |
| 2     | Categories and type/statistic of used indices in the literature  | 17   |
| 3     | Methods of yield-index relationship estimation   | 24   |
| 4     | Descriptive statistics of the seasonal rice yield data (kg/ha) for the Muda granary and its sub-granaries                              | 34   |
| 5     | Equations of experimental variogram  | 36   |
| 6     | Parameters of weather index insurance in off-season using GDD indices for rice production in Muda and its sub-granaries.               | 55   |
| 7     | Parameters of weather index insurance in main season using rainfall indices for rice production in Muda and its sub-granaries.         | 56   |
| 8     | Comparison of the standard deviation of the farmers' revenue with and without insurance in all areas for GDD indices in the off-season | 57   |
| 9     | Comparison of the standard deviation of the farmers' revenue with and without insurance in all areas for CR indices in the main season | 59   |

## LIST OF FIGURES

| Figure |  | Page |
|--------|--|------|
| 1      | Research workflow  | 32   |
| 2      | Location of the study area, Muda rice granary and its sub-granaries, weather stations, and rainfall stations.  | 33   |
| 3      | Heatmap of average monthly (A) RMSE and (B) RRMSE for each interpolation method (2001-2016). Units of RMSE are in mm/month and RRMSE are in %.   | 47   |
| 4      | The maps of mean monthly rainfall for January to December for the Muda area generated by the IDW2 method (2001-2016).  | 48   |
| 5      | Pearson's correlation results in off-season for Muda and its sub-granaries (i.e, Arau, Jitra, Pendang and KSS). Statistically significant correlation at p-value = 0.05 is demarked by *.  | 50   |
| 6      | Pearson's correlation results in main season for Muda and its sub-granaries (i.e, Arau, Jitra, Pendang and KSS). Statistically significant correlation at p-value = 0.05 is demarked by *. | 52   |

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Rice is the main staple food for the majority of Malaysians and the main source of revenue and livelihood for small-scale farmers (Siwar et al, 2014). In 2016, Malaysia shared 2.2% of the world's total rice imports. The recorded rice consumption per capita was 83.9 kg per year, which is equivalent to an average of two and a half plates of rice per person per day (Omar et al, 2019). The sustainability of Malaysia's paddy and rice industry has become the bottom-line in achieving food security and addressing poverty (Siwar et al, 2014). Like many other food crops, rice cultivation is exposed to extreme climate events. Climate change- and variability-induced rainfall variability and higher temperature records adversely affect rice production. Several studies that have been conducted in Malaysia have agreed on the negative influence of climate change on rice yield (Vaghefi et al, 2011, 2013, 2016).

Throughout the thesis, various terms associated with the concept of "risk" will be used. Therefore, it is essential to define the term "risk" and other terms that fall under its umbrella before proceeding further. According to Mitkov (2016), risk is "the potential danger of an event causing damage to a particular object". Agricultural risks are complex and unpredictable factors as a result of a variety of climatic and biological factors (Komarek et al, 2020). These risks can manifest in various types, including production risk, market risk, financial risk, institutional risk, and personal risk (De Mey et al, 2016; Komarek et al, 2020). Production risk refers to the situation where crop yield growth is highly volatile due to unpredictable external factors such as climate, pests, and disease (Baethgen et al, 2008). Insurers commonly face systemic weather risk, which refers to unfavourable weather conditions such as droughts, floods, or extreme temperatures that affect a large geographical area (trading area of the insurance) (Miranda & Farrin, 2012). Finally, basis risk is related to the design of weather index insurance and refers to the imperfect correlation between the weather index and the risk it aims to protect against (Hess et al, 2002).

The policy interventions for rice production by the Malaysian government are primarily developed to meet three main objectives. Firstly, securing food production is the main objective and can be achieved by maintaining a reasonable self-sufficiency level of 65%. Secondly, boosting productivity and farmers' income to make paddy cultivation financially achievable and supporting the rice sector by controlling the price of farm productions to increase farmers' income by providing input subsidies, particularly fertilisers, and to minimise production costs. Thirdly, securing a sufficient food supply with stable and fair prices for consumers, particularly low-income consumers, and it can be achieved by allowing market forces to regulate the quality and price of rice (Vaghefi et al, 2016).



Furthermore, to adapt to climate change, the government's current farm-level strategies primarily focus on two aspects. Firstly, increasing farmers' awareness about the current and future risks of climate change and informing them of the necessary adaptation actions to respond to these risks. Secondly, securing the farm and farmers' income if the crop is damaged (Alam et al, 2012). For example, the government spends considerable compensation for post-disaster relief in agriculture after flooding (Alam et al, 2020).

The use of crop insurance as an ex-ante risk management mechanism is a viable alternative for risk transfer in Malaysia. Crop insurance has been adopted in various countries. By adopting crop insurance, the government's intervention in rice production could be limited, resulting in a reduction of ex-post costs borne by the government (World Bank, 2011).

Two types of crop insurance exist: indemnity-based insurance and index-based insurance. The payout in traditional indemnity-based insurance is calculated by assessing the farm losses in the area affected by a bad climate event, while the payout in index-based insurance is calculated based on an independent proxy for yield loss, that is, weather index (World Bank, 2011). Skees et al. (1999) have proposed the idea of index-based insurance to serve as a risk management tool for developing countries. During the first decade after its proposal, there have been more than 30 weather index insurance pilots in developing nations (Collier et al, 2009). Since then, the literature on weather index insurance has mainly focused on the problems related to weather index insurance such as basis risk, data scarcity and systemic weather risk, and the methodological aspect of designing weather index insurance (Musshoff et al. 2011; Turvey and McLaurin, 2012; Elabed et al, 2013; Leblois et al, 2014a; Conradt et al, 2015a; Bokusheva et al, 2016; Dalhaus & Finger, 2016; Okpara et al, 2017; Dalhaus et al, 2018; Shirsath et al, 2019; Hohl et al, 2020; Salgueiro & Tarrazon-Rodon, 2021). Weather index insurance, however, is vulnerable to a basis risk, which impedes its demand by agricultural producers (Clement et al, 2018). Another problem associated with the supply of crop insurance is systemic weather risk (Miranda & Farrin, 2012).

There are three types of basis risk, namely, design, spatial and temporal. Design basis risk is characterized by a weak relationship between the crop yield and the weather index (Leblois et al, 2014a); temporal basis risk is a result of imperfect selection of the time window that captures critical crop growth stages for deriving the index (Leblois et al, 2014b); and spatial basis risk is a result of the distance between the point where the weather index is monitored and the location of the insured farm (Dalhaus & Finger, 2016).

There are different strategies suggested to reduce the different types of basis risk. Conradt et al, (2015a) suggested improving the yield-index relationship using statistical methods such as quantile regression and copula to reduce the design basis risk, while the use of flexible time windows rather than fixed time windows based on crop growth phases (Conradt et al, 2015b) was suggested to reduce temporal basis risk. To reduce the spatial basis risk, weather index observed from a nearby station or station that is geographically located in the centre of the insured area could be used (Vedenov and Barnett 2004; Woodard & Garcia, 2006). Chen et al, (2017) suggested the interpolation of station

rainfall data, while Dalhaus and Finger (2016) suggested the use of freely accessible to public interpolated (gridded) rainfall data.

Duncan & Myers (2000) argued that systemic weather risk in agriculture is the main inhibiting factor of the private insurance market providing crop insurance. The optimal requirement for insurance markets to exist and function effectively is that idiosyncratic risks has to be uncorrelated and occur with high frequency (Miranda & Farrin, 2012; Salgueiro & Tarrazon-Rodon, 2021). Insurance providers could use weather index insurance as a reinsurance tool to reduce their exposure to the systemic weather risk problem (Miranda & Gonzalez-Vega, 2011). Some studies found that using yield data spatially aggregated at higher levels (e.g, from farm level to district/county level) could increase the risk reduction potential of weather index insurance (Woodard and Garcia, 2006; Weber et al, 2015), consequently reducing the systemic weather risk problem faced by insurance providers.

## **1.2 Problem statement**

Climate change- and variability-induced adverse weather events (i.e, floods and droughts) cause massive crop losses in Malaysia. Historical climate variables such as low and high temperatures, excessive and deficit rainfall, and other extreme weather events significantly affect rice crop production, consequently challenging food security (Firdaus et al, 2020; Zulkafli et al, 2021). Projected increased temperature trends and changing rainfall patterns would have a mixture of negative and positive impacts on rice in Malaysia (Vaghefi et al, 2016; Tan et al, 2021; Houma et al, 2021). In the case of the Muda irrigation area, extreme rainfall trends have caused significant flood events in the years 2003, 2005, and 2017, which caused massive yield losses (Firdaus et al, 2020). In response to these losses, the Malaysian government spends a considerable amount of subsidies and post-disaster relief funds in the agriculture sector (Omar et al, 2019; Alam et al, 2020). Climate adaptation and agricultural risk management tools need to be incorporated with more risk transfer tools. Weather index insurance, if properly designed, is a risk transfer tool that can allow small-scale farmers to protect their paddy production from losses caused by adverse weather conditions. However, the literature related to weather index insurance is limited in Malaysia, and this gap needs to be filled before it can practically occur.

In Malaysia, weather index insurance has been the subject of a few studies (Taib & Benth, 2012; Wen et al, 2019; Alam et al, 2020; Zulkafli et al, 2021). A pilot-scale study investigating the weather index insurance for paddy farmers in five selected states across Malaysia used temperature and rainfall indices and found that the yield in three paddy cultivation area zones was not adequately correlated with the evaluated indices (Wen et al, 2019). Before that, Taib & Benth (2012) analysed the pricing of weather insurance using a cooling degree days-based index and three different pricing methods; however, the study did not consider the aspect of yield-index modelling. Neither of these two studies evaluated the risk reduction potential of weather index insurance in Malaysia. Recently, Zulkafli et al. (2021) investigated the influence of different hydroclimatic variables on rice production in the Muda area and found that the minimum temperature and the average streamflow were strongly correlated with rice yield. Despite these findings,

the work did not develop a weather index contract. Evaluation of the risk reduction potential of weather index insurance in the Muda irrigation area is an important question, particularly for the small-scale tropical rice production systems in developing countries, that warrant further study.

Spatial basis risk occurs when the weather conditions recorded at a rainfall station differ from the actual weather conditions experienced on the farm. This happens when the rainfall station used in the contract design is remote from the farm location and insufficiently depicts the weather situation at the farm (Leppert et al, 2021). In this case, interpolating the data from existing rainfall stations may provide advantages such as improving the spatial coverage of the rainfall data and better capturing the rainfall patterns in the farm area. Therefore, testing whether interpolated data for rainfall (versus rainfall station data) can reduce spatial basis risk and thereby improve the performance of weather index insurance may require further study. It is also important to evaluate the performance of various interpolation methods before using the interpolated rainfall data generated by the method with the highest accuracy for weather index insurance design. This is particularly related to the density of the station network available in the study area. IDW and NN methods are superior when the rainfall station density is high, OK, and KED methods are superior when the rainfall stations density is low (Goovaerts, 2000; Akhtari et al, 2009).

### **1.3 Research Hypothesis**

The following hypothesis was to be tested in this study:

- 1) IDW method is likely to perform better than other interpolation methods (NN, OK, and KED) in densely gauged areas.
- 2) Temperature is associated with rice yield in the off-season, while rainfall is associated with rice yield in the main season.
- 3) The risk reduction potential of weather index insurance i) using crop yield data observed from high levels of aggregation is higher compared to crop yield data observed from low levels of aggregation, and ii) using interpolated rainfall observations is higher compared to rainfall observations from a station located in centre of the insured area.

## 1.4 Research Objectives

This main objective of this study was to develop a weather index insurance protecting rice farmers against extreme weather-induced production losses in Malaysia's Muda rice area and investigate the spatial basis risk and spatial aggregation effect.

Specific objectives:

- 1) To establish a gridded total monthly rainfall dataset over the Muda area using interpolation methods by quantifying and comparing their performance for 16 years (2001-2016).
- 2) To derive climate indices for the Muda granary and its sub-granaries by analysing their correlation with seasonal yield data at different levels of spatial aggregation.
- 3) To evaluate the risk reduction potential of a weather index insurance contracts by comparing granary level contracts with sub-granary level contracts for off-season and main season and interpolation-based rainfall contracts with station-based rainfall contracts for the main season.

## 1.5 Scope of the study

This work aimed to construct a hypothetical weather index insurance contract that protects small-scale rice farmers against extreme weather-induced risks for the Muda irrigation area. Weather index insurance contracts were developed for both the off-season and main season. In the Muda area, the drier off-season begins in March and ends in July, while the wetter main season starts in September of the same year and ends in January of the subsequent year.

Historical data on rainfall and seasonal rice yield, maximum and minimum temperature, and humidity were collected from Muda Agricultural Development Authority (MADA) and Department of Irrigation and Drainage Malaysia (DID) and Malaysian Meteorological Department (MMD), respectively. Rainfall data was collected from 60 observation stations, while temperature and humidity data were collected from two stations. The data collected period extended over 16 years from 2001 to 2016.

The Muda area was selected as the study area due to the lack of prior research on the performance of weather index insurance at the rice production area scale. Muda area is the largest rice-producing granary in Malaysia, accounting for approximately 38.8% of the country's rice production. In the context of agriculture in Malaysia, a granary refers to a specific area of land that is reserved for cultivating rice crops, as stated in the literature (Omar et al, 2019). Currently, there are eight granary areas designated for rice cultivation in Malaysia, including the Muda area. Within the Muda area, the rice fields are managed by four districts, namely, Arau, Jitra, Pendang, and Kota Sarang Semut, which are referred to as sub-granaries in this work. Therefore, a sub-granary is a district within a designated granary area that is responsible for managing the cultivation of rice crops in a specific area.

It is important to recognise that this thesis has several limitations that should be acknowledged. Firstly, due to the limited availability of yield and climate data, our analysis was limited to a 16-year time series. However, for a more comprehensive understanding of the impact of extreme weather events on crop yields, at least 30 years of data would be ideal. Another limitation was the inaccessibility of yield data at the individual farmer organisation (PPK) level, despite the presence of 27 such organizations in the Muda area.

Furthermore, while we used an ordinary least-squares-based correlation to estimate the relationship between climate indices and rice yield, the current literature on weather index insurance suggests that quantile regression and copula approaches are more appropriate. Additionally, although historical burn analysis and index value modelling are common methods for pricing weather index insurance contracts, we only used the historical burn method. It is worth noting that our study only analysed spatial basis risk, whereas design basis risk and temporal basis risk issues also exist in weather index insurance.

## REFERENCES

- Afshar, M. H., Foster, T., Higginbottom, T. P., Parkes, B., Hufkens, K., Mansabdar, S., Ceballos, F., & Kramer, B. (2021). Improving the Performance of Index Insurance Using Crop Models and Phenological Monitoring. *Remote Sensing*, 13(5), 924. <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs13050924>
- Akhtari, R., Morid, S., Mahdian, M. H., & Smakhtin, V. (2009). Assessment of areal interpolation methods for spatial analysis of SPI and EDI drought indices. *International Journal of Climatology*, 29(1), 135–145. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.1691>
- Alam, A. S. A. F., Begum, H., Masud, M. M., Al-Amin, A. Q., & Filho, W. L. (2020). Agriculture insurance for disaster risk reduction: A case study of Malaysia. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 47(May), 101626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2020.101626>
- Alam, M., Siwar, C., Talib, B., Mokhtar, M., & Toriman, M. (2012). Climate change adaptation policy in Malaysia: Issues for agricultural sector. *African Journal of Agricultural Research*, 7(9), 1368–1373.
- Awondo, S. N. (2019). Efficiency of region-wide catastrophic weather risk pools: Implications for African Risk Capacity insurance program. *Journal of Development Economics*, 136, 111–118. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jdeveco.2018.10.004>
- Baethgen, W., Hansen, J. W., Ines, A. V. M. M., Jones, J. W., Meinke, H., & Steduto, P. (2008). Contributions of agricultural systems modeling to weather index insurance. A *Workshop on 'Technical Issues in Index Insurance'*, Held, 7–8.
- Barnett, B. J., & Mahul, O. (2007). Weather index insurance for agriculture and rural areas in lower-income countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 89(5), 1241–1247. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2007.01091.x>
- Barnston, A. G. (1992). Correspondence among the correlation, RMSE, and Heidke forecast verification measures; refinement of the Heidke score. *Weather and Forecasting*, 7(4), 699–709.
- Baskot, B., & Stanic, S. (2020). Parametric crop insurance against floods: The case of Bosnia and Herzegovina. *Economic Annals*, 65(224), 83–100. <https://doi.org/10.2298/EKA2024083B>
- Bhattacharjee, S., Ghosh, S. K., & Chen, J. (2019). *Semantic Kriging for Spatio-temporal Prediction* (Vol. 839). Springer Singapore. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-13-8664-0>
- Biffis, E., & Chavez, E. (2017a). Satellite Data and Machine Learning for Weather Risk Management and Food Security. *Risk Analysis*, 37(8), 1508–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12847>

Biffis, E., & Chavez, E. (2017b). Satellite Data and Machine Learning for Weather Risk Management and Food Security. *Risk Analysis*, 37(8), 1508–1521. <https://doi.org/10.1111/risa.12847>

Blakeley, S. L., Sweeney, S., Husak, G., Harrison, L., Funk, C., Peterson, P., & Osgood, D. E. (2020). Identifying precipitation and reference evapotranspiration trends in West Africa to support drought insurance. *Remote Sensing*, 12(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/RS12152432>

Bokusheva, R. (2018). Using copulas for rating weather index insurance contracts. *Journal of Applied Statistics*, 45(13), 2328–2356. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02664763.2017.1420146>

Bokusheva, R., Kogan, F., Vitkovskaya, I., Conradt, S., & Batyrbayeva, M. (2016). Satellite-based vegetation health indices as a criteria for insuring against drought-related yield losses. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 220, 200–206. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agrformet.2015.12.066>

Tan B. T., Pei Shan, F., Radin Firdaus, R. B., Mou Leong, T., & Mahinda Senevi, G. (2021). Impact of climate change on rice yield in malaysia: A panel data analysis. *Agriculture (Switzerland)*, 11(6). <https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture11060569>

Borges, P. de A., Franke, J., da Anunciação, Y. M. T., Weiss, H., & Bernhofer, C. (2016). Comparison of spatial interpolation methods for the estimation of precipitation distribution in Distrito Federal, Brazil. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 123(1–2), 335–348. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00704-014-1359-9>

Breustedt, G., Bokusheva, R., & Heidelberg, O. (2008). Evaluating the potential of index insurance schemes to reduce crop yield risk in an arid region. *Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 59(2), 312–328. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1477-9552.2007.00152.x>

Bucheli, J., Dalhaus, T., & Finger, R. (2020). The optimal drought index for designing weather index insurance. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, jbaa014–jbaa014.

Caloiero, T., Pellicone, G., Modica, G., & Guagliardi, I. (2021). Comparative analysis of different spatial interpolation methods applied to monthly rainfall as support for landscape management. *Applied Sciences (Switzerland)*, 11(20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/app11209566>

Carter, M., de Janvry, A., Sadoulet, E., & Sarris, A. (2017). Index insurance for developing country agriculture: A reassessment. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 9, 421–438.

Chang, S., Wu, B., Yan, N., Davdai, B., & Nasanbat, E. (2017). Suitability assessment of satellite-derived drought indices for Mongolian grassland. *Remote Sensing*, 9(7), 650.

Chen, W., Hohl, R., & Tiong, L. K. (2017). Rainfall index insurance for corn farmers in Shandong based on high-resolution weather and yield data. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 77(2), 337–354. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-10-2015-0042>

Chutsagulprom, N., Chaisee, K., Wongsajjai, B., Inkeaw, P., & Oonariya, C. (2022). Spatial interpolation methods for estimating monthly rainfall distribution in Thailand. *Theoretical and Applied Climatology*, 148(1-2), 317-328.

Clarke, D. J., Mahul, O., Rao, K. N., & Verma, N. (2012). Weather Based Crop Insurance in India. In *Policy Research Working Paper* (Issue 5985). The World Bank.

Clement, K. Y., Wouter Botzen, W. J., Brouwer, R., & Aerts, J. C. J. H. (2018). A global review of the impact of basis risk on the functioning of and demand for index insurance. In *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction* (Vol. 28, pp. 845–853). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2018.01.001>

Collier, B., Skees, J., & Barnett, B. (2009). Weather index insurance and climate change: Opportunities and challenges in lower income countries. *Geneva Papers on Risk and Insurance: Issues and Practice*, 34(3), 401–424. <https://doi.org/10.1057/gpp.2009.11>

Conradt, S., Finger, R., & Bokusheva, R. (2015a). Tailored to the extremes: Quantile regression for index-based insurance contract design. *Agricultural Economics*, 46(4), 537–547. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/agec.12180>

Conradt, S., Finger, R., & Spörri, M. (2015b). Flexible weather index-based insurance design. *Climate Risk Management*, 10, 106–117. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2015.06.003>

Dalhaus, T., & Finger, R. (2016). Can gridded precipitation data and phenological observations reduce basis risk of weather index-based insurance? *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 8(4), 409–419. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-16-0020.1>

Dalhaus, T., Musshoff, O., & Finger, R. (2018). Phenology Information Contributes to Reduce Temporal Basis Risk in Agricultural Weather Index Insurance. *Scientific Reports*, 8(1). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-18656-5>

De Mey, Y., Wauters, E., Schmid, D., Lips, M., Vancauteren, M., & Van Passel, S. (2016). Farm household risk balancing: Empirical evidence from Switzerland. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, 43(4), 637–662. <https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbv030>

Díaz Nieto, J., Fisher, M., Cook, S., Läderach, P., & Lundy, M. (2012). Weather Indices for Designing Micro-Insurance Products for Small-Holder Farmers in the Tropics. *PLoS ONE*, 7(6), e38281. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0038281>

Dirks, K. N., Hay, J. E., Stow, C. D., & Harris, D. (1998). High-resolution studies of rainfall on Norfolk Island Part II: Interpolation of rainfall data. *Journal of Hydrology*, 7.

Doms, J., Hirschauer, N., Marz, M., & Boettcher, F. (2018). Is the hedging efficiency of weather index insurance overrated? A farm-level analysis in regions with moderate natural conditions in Germany. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 78(3), 290–311. <https://doi.org/10.1108/AFR-07-2017-0059>

Duncan, J., & Myers, R. J. (2000). Crop insurance under catastrophic risk. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 82(4), 842–855.



Eduard, N. (2008). *Munich Personal RePEc Archive Agricultural insurances in Romania: Present and future aspects*. 10773.

Elabed, G., Bellemare, M. F., Carter, M. R., & Guirking, C. (2013). Managing basis risk with multiscale index insurance. *Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)*, 44(4–5), 419–431. <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12025>

Ender, M., & Zhang, R. (2015). Efficiency of weather derivatives for Chinese agriculture industry. *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 7(1), 102–121. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CAER-06-2013-0089>

Enenkel, M., Farah, C., Hain, C., White, A., Anderson, M., You, L., Wagner, W., & Osgood, D. (2018). What rainfall does not tell us-enhancing financial instruments with satellite-derived soil moisture and evaporative stress. *Remote Sensing*, 10(11). <https://doi.org/10.3390/rs10111819>

Enenkel, M., Osgood, D., Anderson, M., Powell, B., McCarty, J., Neigh, C., Carroll, M., Wooten, M., Husak, G., Hain, C., & Brown, M. (2019). Exploiting the convergence of evidence in satellite data for advanced weather index insurance design. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 11(1), 65–93. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-17-0111.1>

Erec Heimfarth, L., Finger, R., & Musshoff, O. (2012). Hedging weather risk on aggregated and individual farm-level. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 72(3), 471–487. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00021461211277295>

Erec Heimfarth, L., & Musshoff, O. (2011). Weather index-based insurances for farmers in the North China Plain. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 71(2), 218–239. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00021461111152582>

Firdaus, R. B. R., Leong Tan, M., Rahmat, S. R., & Senevi Gunaratne, M. (2020). Paddy, rice and food security in Malaysia: A review of climate change impacts. *Cogent Social Sciences*, 6(1). <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311886.2020.1818373>

Fraisse, C. W., Bellow, J., & Brown, C. (2007). Degree days: Heating, cooling, and growing. *EDIS*, 2007(20).

Fung, K. F., Chew, K. S., Huang, Y. F., Ahmed, A. N., Teo, F. Y., Ng, J. L., & Elshafie, A. (2021). Evaluation of spatial interpolation methods and spatiotemporal modeling of rainfall distribution in Peninsular Malaysia. *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, xxx, 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asej.2021.09.001>

Gandin, L. S., & Murphy, A. H. (1992). Equitable skill scores for categorical forecasts. *Monthly Weather Review*, 120(2), 361–370.

Gaurav, S., Cole, S., & Tobacman, J. (2011). Marketing complex financial products in emerging markets: Evidence from rainfall insurance in India. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 48(SPL), S150–S162.

Gerrity Jr, J. P. (1992). A note on Gandin and Murphy's equitable skill score. *Monthly Weather Review*, 120(11), 2709–2712.

Glauber, J., Baldwin, K., Antón, J., & Ziebinska, U. (2021). *Design principles for agricultural risk management policies*.

Goodwin, B. K., & Smith, V. H. (1995). *The economics of crop insurance and disaster aid*. American Enterprise Institute.

Goovaerts, P. (1999). Using elevation to aid the geostatistical mapping of rainfall erosivity. *Catena*, 34(3–4), 227–242.

Goovaerts, P. (2000). Geostatistical approaches for incorporating elevation into the spatial interpolation of rainfall. *Journal of Hydrology*, 228(1–2), 113–129. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694\(00\)00144-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0022-1694(00)00144-X)

Guo, J., Jin, J., Tang, Y., & Wu, X. (2019). Design of temperature insurance index and risk zonation for single-season rice in response to high-temperature and low-temperature damage: A case study of Jiangsu province, China. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 16(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph16071187>

Guttman, N. B. (1998). Comparing the palmer drought index and the standardized precipitation index 1. *JAWRA Journal of the American Water Resources Association*, 34(1), 113–121.

Gyamerah, S. A., Ngare, P., & Ikpe, D. (2019). Hedging Crop Yields Against Weather Uncertainties—A Weather Derivative Perspective. *Mathematical and Computational Applications*, 24(3), 71. <https://doi.org/10.3390/mca24030071>

Hadi, S. J., & Tombul, M. (2018). Comparison of Spatial Interpolation Methods of Precipitation and Temperature Using Multiple Integration Periods. *Journal of the Indian Society of Remote Sensing*, 46(7), 1187–1199. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12524-018-0783-1>

Hazell, P., Anderson, J., Balzer, N., Hastrup Clemmensen, A., Hess, U., & Rispoli, F. (2010). *The potential for scale and sustainability in weather index insurance for agriculture and rural livelihoods*. World Food Programme (WFP).

Headey, D. (2011). Rethinking the global food crisis: The role of trade shocks. *Food Policy*, 36(2), 136–146. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodpol.2010.10.003>

Hess, U., Richter, K., & Stoppa, A. (2002). Weather Risk Management for Agriculture and Agri-Business in Developing Countries. Climate Risk and the Weather Market, Financial Risk Management With Weather Hedges. *CLIMATE RISK AND THE WEATHER MARKET*, 1–16.

Hohl, R., Jiang, Z., Minh Tue, V., Vijayaraghavan, S., & Shie-Yui, L. (2020). Using a regional climate model to develop index-based drought insurance for sovereign disaster risk transfer. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 81(1), 151–168. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/AFR-02-2020-0020>

Hohl, R. M. (2019). *Agricultural risk transfer: From insurance to reinsurance to capital markets*. John Wiley & Sons.

Houma, A. A., Kamal, M. R., Mojid, M. A., Abdullah, A. F. B., & Wayayok, A. (2021). Climate change impacts on rice yield of a large-scale irrigation scheme in Malaysia. *Agricultural Water Management*, 252(May), 106908. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agwat.2021.106908>

Hu, Q., Li, Z., Wang, L., Huang, Y., Wang, Y., & Li, L. (2019). Rainfall Spatial Estimations: A Review from Spatial Interpolation to Multi-Source Data Merging. *Water*, 11(3), 579. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030579>

Jensen, N., Barrett, C., & Mude, A. (2015). *The favourable impacts of Index-Based Livestock Insurance: Evaluation results from Ethiopia and Kenya*. International Livestock Research Institute.

Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A. A., Stone, R., Marcussen, T., & Kouadio, L. (2019). Spatial variability in regional scale drought index insurance viability across Australia's wheat growing regions. *Climate Risk Management*, 24, 13–29. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.04.002>

Kath, J., Mushtaq, S., Henry, R., Adeyinka, A., & Stone, R. (2018). Index insurance benefits agricultural producers exposed to excessive rainfall risk. *Weather and Climate Extremes*, 22, 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wace.2018.10.003>

Kim, Y. U., Moon, K., & Lee, B. W. (2021). Climatic constraints to yield and yield components of temperate japonica rice. *Agronomy Journal*, 113(4), 3489–3497. <https://doi.org/10.1002/agj2.20689>

Kogan, F., Guo, W., & Yang, W. (2019). Drought and food security prediction from NOAA new generation of operational satellites. *Geomatics, Natural Hazards and Risk*, 10(1), 651–666. <https://doi.org/10.1080/19475705.2018.1541257>

Kogan, F. N. (1998). A typical pattern of vegetation conditions in southern Africa during El Nino years detected from AVHRR data using three-channel numerical index. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 19(18), 3688–3694.

Kramer, A. S. (2012). Critical Distinctions between Weather Derivatives and Insurance. *Structured Finance and Insurance: The ART of Managing Capital and Risk*, 639–652.

Komarek, A. M., De Pinto, A., & Smith, V. H. (2020). A review of types of risks in agriculture: What we know and what we need to know. *Agricultural Systems*, 178, 102738.

Kusuma, A., Jackson, B., & Noy, I. (2018). A viable and cost-effective weather index insurance for rice in Indonesia. *GENEVA Risk and Insurance Review*, 43(2), 186–218. <https://doi.org/10.1057/s10713-018-0033-z>

Leblois, A., & Quirion, P. (2013). Agricultural insurances based on meteorological indices: Realizations, methods and research challenges. *Meteorological Applications*, 20(1), 1–9. <https://doi.org/10.1002/met.303>

- Leblois, A., Quirion, P., Alhassane, A., & Traoré, S. (2014a). Weather Index Drought Insurance: An Ex Ante Evaluation for Millet Growers in Niger. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 57(4), 527–551. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10640-013-9641-3>
- Leblois, A., Quirion, P., & Sultan, B. (2014b). Price vs. Weather shock hedging for cash crops: Ex ante evaluation for cotton producers in Cameroon. *Ecological Economics*, 101, 67–80. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2014.02.021>
- Leppert, D., Dalhaus, T., & Lagerkvist, C.-J. (2021). Accounting for Geographic Basis Risk in Heat Index Insurance: How Spatial Interpolation Can Reduce the Cost of Risk. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 13(2), 273–286. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-20-0070.1>
- Liu, W. T., & Kogan, F. N. (1996). Monitoring regional drought using the vegetation condition index. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 17(14), 2761–2782.
- Lin, J., Boyd, M., Pai, J., Porth, L., Zhang, Q., & Wang, K. (2015). Factors affecting farmers' willingness to purchase weather index insurance in the Hainan Province of China. *Agricultural Finance Review*.
- Lloyd, C. D. (2010). Nonstationary models for exploring and mapping monthly precipitation in the United Kingdom. *International Journal of Climatology: A Journal of the Royal Meteorological Society*, 30(3), 390–405.
- Ly, S., Charles, C., & Degré, A. (2011). Geostatistical interpolation of daily rainfall at catchment scale: The use of several variogram models in the Ourthe and Ambleve catchments, Belgium. *Hydrology and Earth System Sciences*, 15(7), 2259–2274. <https://doi.org/10.5194/hess-15-2259-2011>
- Ly, S., Charles, C., & Degré, A. (2013). Different methods for spatial interpolation of rainfall data for operational hydrology and hydrological modeling at watershed scale. A review. *Biotechnologie, Agronomie, Société et Environnement*, 17(2), 392–406. <https://doi.org/10.6084/m9.figshare.1225842.v1>
- Mahul, O., & Stutley, C. J. (2010). *Government Support to Agricultural Insurance: Challenges and Options for Developing Countries*. The World Bank. <https://doi.org/10.1596/978-0-8213-8217-2>
- Makaudze, E. M. (2018). Malawi's Experience with Weather Index Insurance as Agricultural Risk Mitigation Strategy Against Extreme Drought Events 1. In *Extreme Weather* (p. 125). BoD–Books on Demand. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.77106>
- Makaudze, E. M., & Miranda, M. J. (2010). Catastrophic drought insurance based on the remotely sensed normalised difference vegetation index for smallholder farmers in Zimbabwe. *Agrekon*, 49(4), 418–432. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03031853.2010.526690>
- Martínez Salgueiro, A. (2019). Weather index-based insurance as a meteorological risk management alternative in viticulture. *Wine Economics and Policy*, 8(2), 114–126. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.wep.2019.07.002>

Matheron, G. (1963). Principles of geostatistics. *Economic Geology*, 58(8), 1246–1266.

Matheron, G. (1971). The theory of regionalised variables and its applications. *Les Cahiers Du Centre de Morphologie Mathématique*, 5, 212.

McKee, T. B., Doesken, N. J., & Kleist, J. (1993). The relationship of drought frequency and duration to time scales. *Proceedings of the 8th Conference on Applied Climatology*, 17(22), 179–183.

McMaster, G. S., & Wilhelm, W. W. (1997). Growing degree-days: One equation, two interpretations. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 87(4), 291–300.

Mendez, M., Calvo-Valverde, L. A., Maathuis, B., & Alvarado-Gamboa, L. F. (2019). Generation of monthly precipitation climatologies for Costa Rica using irregular rain-gauge observational networks. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(1). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11010070>

Miranda, M. J. (1991). Area-yield crop insurance reconsidered. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 73(2), 233–242.

Miranda, M. J., & Farrin, K. (2012). Index Insurance for Developing Countries. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 34(3), 391–427. <https://doi.org/10.1093/aep/pps031>

Miranda, M. J., & Glauber, J. W. (1997). Systemic Risk, Reinsurance, and the Failure of Crop Insurance Markets. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 79(1), 206–215. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1243954>

Miranda, M. J., & Gonzalez-Vega, C. (2011). Systemic Risk, Index Insurance, and Optimal Management of Agricultural Loan Portfolios in Developing Countries. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 93(2), 399–406. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ajae/aaq109>

Miquelluti, D. L., & Ozaki, V. A. (2021). *An Application of Geographically Weighted Quantile Lasso to Weather Index Insurance Design*. November. <https://doi.org/10.1590/1982-7849rac2022200387.en>

Möllmann, J., Buchholz, M., & Musshoff, O. (2019). Comparing the Hedging Effectiveness of Weather Derivatives Based on Remotely Sensed Vegetation Health Indices and Meteorological Indices. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 11(1), 33–48. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-17-0127.1>

Mitkov, M. (2016). Status and Trends in the Development of Agricultural Insurance in Bulgaria. *Бизнес Управление*, 26(1), 47–60.

Mortensen, E., & Block, P. (2018). ENSO index-based insurance for agricultural protection in Southern Peru. *Geosciences (Switzerland)*, 8(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/geosciences8020064>

Musshoff, O., Odening, M., & Xu, W. (2011). Management of climate risks in agriculture—will weather derivatives permeate? *Applied Economics*, 43(9), 1067–1077. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00036840802600210>

Nalder, I. A., & Wein, R. W. (1998). Spatial interpolation of climatic normals: Test of a new method in the Canadian boreal forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 92(4), 211–225.

Norton, M., Boucher, S., & Chiu, L. V. (2015). *Geostatistics , Basis Risk , and Weather Index Insurance*. 1–13.

Norton, M. T., Turvey, C., & Osgood, D. (2012). Quantifying spatial basis risk for weather index insurance. *Journal of Risk Finance*, 14(1), 20–34. <https://doi.org/10.1108/15265941311288086>

Odening, M., Musshoff, O., & Xu, W. (2007). Analysis of rainfall derivatives using daily precipitation models: Opportunities and pitfalls. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 67(1), 135–156. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00214660780001202>

Okpara, J. N., Afiesimama, E. A., Anuforom, A. C., Owino, A., & Ogunjobi, K. O. (2017). The applicability of Standardized Precipitation Index: Drought characterization for early warning system and weather index insurance in West Africa. *Natural Hazards*, 89(2), 555–583. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11069-017-2980-6>

Omar, S. C., Shaharudin, A., & Tumin, S. A. (2019). The status of the paddy and rice industry in Malaysia. *Khazanah Research Institute. Kuala Lumpur*.

Otieno, H., Yang, J., Liu, W., & Han, D. (2014). Influence of Rain Gauge Density on Interpolation Method Selection. *Journal of Hydrologic Engineering*, 19(11), 04014024. [https://doi.org/10.1061/\(ASCE\)HE.1943-5584.0000964](https://doi.org/10.1061/(ASCE)HE.1943-5584.0000964)

Otkin, J. A., Anderson, M. C., Hain, C., Mladenova, I. E., Basara, J. B., & Svoboda, M. (2013). Examining rapid onset drought development using the thermal infrared–based evaporative stress index. *Journal of Hydrometeorology*, 14(4), 1057–1074.

Palmer, W. C. (1965). *Meteorological drought* (Vol. 30). US Department of Commerce, Weather Bureau.

Parul, S. (2017). Rice technical manual for extension officers. *Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations, Apia*, 3–6.

Paulson, N. D., Hart, C. E., & Hayes, D. J. (2010). A spatial Bayesian approach to weather derivatives. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 70(1), 79–96. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00021461011042657>

Ped, D. A. (1975). *O Pokazatele zasuch i izbyutochnogo uvlashneniya (Drought Index)*. Working papers of the USSR’s Hydrometeorology Centre.

Pelka, N., Musshoff, O., & Finger, R. (2014). Hedging effectiveness of weather index-based insurance in China. *China Agricultural Economic Review*, 6(2), 212–228. <https://doi.org/10.1108/CAER-11-2012-0124>

Pellicone, G., Caloiero, T., Modica, G., & Guagliardi, I. (2018). Application of several spatial interpolation techniques to monthly rainfall data in the Calabria region (southern

Italy). *International Journal of Climatology*, 38(9), 3651–3666. <https://doi.org/10.1002/joc.5525>

PIETOLA, K., MYRÄ, S., & JAUHAINEN, L. (2011). Predicting the yield of spring wheat by weather indices in Finland: Implications for designing weather index insurances. *Agricultural and Food Science*, 20(4), 269–286. <https://doi.org/10.23986/afsci.6024>

Prokopchuk, O., Prokopchuk, I., Mentel, G., & Bilan, Y. (2020). Parametric Insurance as Innovative Development Factor of the Agricultural Sector of Economy. *AGRIS On-Line Papers in Economics and Informatics*, 12(3), 69–86. <http://dx.doi.org/10.7160/aol.2020.120307>

Raju, S., & Chand, R. (2008). *Agricultural insurance in India problems and prospects*.

Rauthe, M., Steiner, H., Riediger, U., Mazurkiewicz, A., & Gratzki, A. (2013). A Central European precipitation climatology—Part I: Generation and validation of a high-resolution gridded daily data set (HYRAS). *Meteorologische Zeitschrift*, 22(3), 235–256.

Ritter, M., Mußhoff, O., & Odening, M. (2014). Minimizing Geographical Basis Risk of Weather Derivatives Using A Multi-Site Rainfall Model. *Computational Economics*, 44(1), 67–86. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10614-013-9410-y>

Raffar, N., Zulkafli, Z., Yiwen, M., Muharam, F. M., Rehan, B. M., & Nurulhuda, K. (2022). Watershed-scale modelling of the irrigated rice farming system at Muda, Malaysia, using the Soil Water Assessment Tool. *Hydrological Sciences Journal*, 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02626667.2021.2022682>

Ruiz, J., Bielza, M., Garrido, A., & Iglesias, A. (2015). Dealing with drought in irrigated agriculture through insurance schemes: An application to an irrigation district in Southern Spain. *Spanish Journal of Agricultural Research*, 13(4), e0106. <https://doi.org/10.5424/sjar/2015134-6941>

Salgueiro, A. M., & Tarrazon-Rodon, M.-A. (2021). Is diversification effective in reducing the systemic risk implied by a market for weather index-based insurance in Spain? *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 62, 102345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijdrr.2021.102345>

Schmidt, L., Odening, M., Schlanstein, J., & Ritter, M. (2022). Exploring the weather-yield nexus with artificial neural networks. *Agricultural Systems*, 196, 103345. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2021.103345>

Shen, Z., & Odening, M. (2013). Coping with systemic risk in index-based crop insurance. *Agricultural Economics*, 44(1), 1–13. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1574-0862.2012.00625.x>

Shi, H., & Jiang, Z. (2016). The efficiency of composite weather index insurance in hedging rice yield risk: Evidence from China. *Agricultural Economics (United Kingdom)*, 47(3), 319–328. <https://doi.org/10.1111/agec.12232>

- Shirsath, P., Vyas, S., Aggarwal, P., & Rao, K. N. (2019). Designing weather index insurance of crops for the increased satisfaction of farmers, industry and the government. *Climate Risk Management*, 25, 100189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.crm.2019.100189>
- Siebert, A. (2016). Analysis of index insurance potential for adaptation to hydroclimatic risks in the west African Sahel. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 8(3), 265–283. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-15-0040.1>
- Singla, S., & Sagar, M. (2012). Integrated risk management in agriculture: An inductive research. *The Journal of Risk Finance*.
- Siwar, C., Idris, N. D. M., Yasar, M., & Morshed, G. (2014). Issues and challenges facing rice production and food security in the granary areas in the East Coast Economic Region (ECER), Malaysia. *Research Journal of Applied Sciences, Engineering and Technology*, 7(4), 711–722.
- Skees, J., Hazell, P., & Miranda, M. (1999). New approaches to public/private crop yield insurance. *Unpublished Working Paper, The World Bank, Washington, DC*.
- Skees, J. R. (2008a). Challenges for use of index-based weather insurance in lower income countries. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 68(1), 197–217. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00214660880001226>
- Skees, J. R. (2008b). Innovations in Index Insurance for the Poor in Lower Income Countries. *Agricultural and Resource Economics Review*, 37(1), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1068280500002094>
- Skees, J. R., & Barnett, B. J. (1999). Conceptual and Practical Considerations for Sharing Catastrophic/Systemic Risks. *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 21(2), 424–441. <https://doi.org/10.2307/1349889>
- Soenario, I., & Sluiter, R. (2010). Optimization of rainfall interpolation. *Intern Rapport of the Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut, March*, 31.
- Tadesse, M. A., Shiferaw, B. A., & Erenstein, O. (2015). Weather index insurance for managing drought risk in smallholder agriculture: Lessons and policy implications for sub-Saharan Africa. In *Agricultural and Food Economics* (Vol. 3, Issue 1). Agricultural and Food Economics. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40100-015-0044-3>
- Taib, C. M. I. C., & Benth, F. E. (2012). Pricing of temperature index insurance. *Review of Development Finance*, 2(1), 22–31. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rdf.2012.01.004>
- Tan, M. L., Samat, N., Chan, N. W., Lee, A. J., & Li, C. (2019). Analysis of Precipitation and Temperature Extremes over the Muda River Basin, Malaysia. *Water*, 11(2), 283. <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11020283>
- Tao, T., Chocat, B., Liu, S., & Xin, K. (2009). Uncertainty Analysis of Interpolation Methods in Rainfall Spatial Distribution—A Case of Small Catchment in Lyon. *Journal of Water Resource and Protection*, 01(02), 136–144. <https://doi.org/10.4236/jwarp.2009.12018>



The World Bank. (2011). Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture. In *Weather Index Insurance for Agriculture* (Issue 50). <https://doi.org/10.1596/26889>

Tobias, D. (2018). *Agricultural Weather Insurance: Basis Risk Reduction, Behavioral Insurance and Uncovering Quality Risks* (p. 187 p.) [Thesis, ETH Zurich; Application/pdf]. <https://doi.org/10.3929/ETHZ-B-000297299>

Turvey, C. G. (2001). Weather Derivatives for Specific Event Risks in Agriculture. *Review of Agricultural Economics*, 23(2), 333–351. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9353.00065>

Turvey, C. G., & McLaurin, M. K. (2012). Applicability of the Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) in Index-Based Crop Insurance Design. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 4(4), 271–284. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-11-00059.1>

Vaghefi, N., Shamsudin, M. N., Makmom, A., & Bagheri, M. (2011). The economic impacts of climate change on the rice production in Malaysia. *International Journal of Agricultural Research*, 6(1), 67–74.

Vaghefi, N., Shamsudin, M. N., Radam, A., & Rahim, K. A. (2013). Impact of climate change on rice yield in the main rice growing areas of Peninsular Malaysia. *Research Journal of Environmental Sciences*, 7(2), 59.

Vaghefi, N., Shamsudin, M. N., Radam, A., & Rahim, K. A. (2016). Impact of climate change on food security in Malaysia: Economic and policy adjustments for rice industry. *Journal of Integrative Environmental Sciences*, 13(1), 19–35. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1943815X.2015.1112292>

Vedenov, D. V., & Barnett, B. J. (2004). Efficiency of weather derivatives as primary crop insurance instruments. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 29(3), 387–403.

Vicente-Serrano, S. M., Beguería, S., & López-Moreno, J. I. (2010). A multiscalar drought index sensitive to global warming: The standardized precipitation evapotranspiration index. *Journal of Climate*, 23(7), 1696–1718.

Vroege, W., Bucheli, J., Dalhaus, T., Hirschi, M., & Finger, R. (2021). Insuring crops from space: The potential of satellite-retrieved soil moisture to reduce farmers' drought risk exposure. *European Review of Agricultural Economics*, 48(2), 266–314. <https://doi.org/10.1093/erae/jbab010>

Wauters, E., Van Winsen, F., De Mey, Y., & Lauwers, L. (2014). Risk perception, attitudes towards risk and risk management: Evidence and implications. *Agricultural Economics*, 60(9), 389–405.

Weber, R., Fecke, W., Moeller, I., & Musshoff, O. (2015). Meso-level weather index insurance. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 75(1), 31–46. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/AFR-12-2014-0045>

Webster, R., & Oliver, M. A. (2007). *Geostatistics for environmental scientists*. John Wiley & Sons.

Wen, Y. W. J., Ponnusamy, R. R., & Kang, H. M. (2019). Application of weather index-based insurance for paddy yield: The case of Malaysia. *Int. J. Adv. Appl. Sci*, 6, 51–59.

Williams, T. M., & Travis, W. R. (2019). Evaluating alternative drought indicators in a weather index insurance instrument. *Weather, Climate, and Society*, 11(3), 629–649. <https://doi.org/10.1175/WCAS-D-18-0107.1>

Woodarda, J. D., & Garcia, P. (2006). Weather derivatives, spatial aggregation, and systemic risk: Implications for reinsurance hedging. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 33(1), 34–51. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41220612>

Woodarda, J. D., & Garcia, P. (2008). Weather derivatives, spatial aggregation, and systemic risk: Implications for reinsurance hedging. *Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 33(1), 34–51. <https://doi.org/10.2307/41220612>

World Bank. (2005). Managing Agricultural Production Risk: Innovations in Developing Countries. *The World Bank, Agriculture and Rural Development Department, Report*, 32727.

World Bank. (2011). *Weather index insurance for agriculture: Guidance for development practitioners*. World Bank.

Xu, W., Filler, G., Odening, M., & Okhrin, O. (2010). On the systemic nature of weather risk. *Agricultural Finance Review*, 70(2), 267–284. <https://doi.org/10.1108/00021461011065283>

Wong, C. L., Liew, J., Yusop, Z., Ismail, T., Venneker, R., & Uhlenbrook, S. (2016). Rainfall characteristics and regionalization in Peninsular Malaysia based on a high resolution gridded data set. *Water*, 8(11), 500.

Xu, W., Odening, M., & Musshoff, O. (2008). Indifference pricing of weather derivatives. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 90(4), 979–993. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8276.2008.01154.x>

Yang, R., & Xing, B. (2021). A comparison of the performance of different interpolation methods in replicating rainfall magnitudes under different climatic conditions in chongqing province (China). *Atmosphere*, 12(10). <https://doi.org/10.3390/atmos12101318>

Yang, M. (2015). *Benchmarking rainfall interpolation over the Netherlands*.

Y. Xiao, J. Yao, Double trigger agricultural insurance products with weather index and yield index, *China Agri. Econ. Rev.* 11 (2) (2019) 299–316, <https://doi.org/10.1108/CAER-01-2018-0021>.

Zargar, A., Sadiq, R., Naser, B., & Khan, F. I. (2011). A review of drought indices. *Environmental Reviews*, 19(NA), 333–349.

Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., & Tao, F. (2017). Performance of Temperature-Related Weather Index for Agricultural Insurance of Three Main Crops in China. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Science*, 8(1), 78–90. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13753-017-0115-z>

Zulkafli, Z., Muharam, F. M., Raffar, N., Jajarmizadeh, A., Abdi, M. J., Rehan, B. M., & Nurulhuda, K. (2021). Contrasting Influences of Seasonal and Intra-Seasonal Hydroclimatic Variabilities on the Irrigated Rice Paddies of Northern Peninsular Malaysia for Weather Index Insurance Design. *Sustainability*, 13(9), 5207. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13095207>

