



**EFFECTS OF CFRP ON REINFORCED CONVENTIONAL CONCRETE AND
ULTRA HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE FRAMES**

By

SHAHNAZ BASIM ALI

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirement for the Degree of
Master of Science**

August 2017

FK 2017 115

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia
in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science

EFFECTS OF CFRP ON REINFORCED CONVENTIONAL CONCRETE AND ULTRA HIGH PERFORMANCE CONCRETE FRAMES

By

SHAHNAZ BASIM ALI

August 2017

Chair: Farzad Hejazi, PhD
Faculty: Engineering

In this era, mankind faces lots of threats and misfortunes, one of the biggest threats is earthquake, which destroy building and leave thousands of people dead and homeless, during earthquake load, the first part that lead to buildings failure are the joints. Many researches have been conducted to investigate the effect of the external use and rehabilitate of old structures with Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP). However, very few researches have been carried out investigating the internal use of the CFRP in the structures. The main objective of this study is, to reinforce the frame beam-column joint with CFRP in order to sustain the seismic load. CFRP bars were implemented into two frames, conventional reinforced concrete (RC) frame and ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC) frame, to investigate the effect of embedded CFRP. Experimental test was conducted on the frames with embedded CFRP, to assess the seismic performance, functionality of the frames subjected to cyclic load, the experimental test showed that the ductility behaviour, overall stiffness and failure mechanism, enhanced in both the RC frame with embedded CFRP and the UHPC with embedded CFRP, where the load capacity increased 26%, 11% respectively, compared with the bare frame. Numerical analysis were also used alongside the experimental test, to evaluate the seismic behaviour of the embedded CFRP in joints. Numerical analysis result showed that, the ultimate load capacity increased in the frames with embedded CFRP in the RC frame and UHPC frame, 23%, 52% respectively. Moreover, a good agreement observed between the experimental test and the numerical analysis. Furthermore, finite element model developed for the RC frame with embedded CFRP in the special finite element program code, static nonlinear and nonlinear dynamic analysis were conducted. The static nonlinear result showed that the capacity of the frame with CFRP increased 66% compared to the bare frame and Nonlinear dynamic analysis showed that the maximum displacement for the frame with CFRP diminished 66% compared to bare frame. The efficiency and capability of embedded CFRP in RC frame and UHPC is proved.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Master Sains

KESAN CFRP PADA KONKRIT KONVENSIONAL BERTETULANG DAN BINGKAI KONKRIT PRESTASI ULTRA TINGGI

Oleh

SHAHNAZ BASIM ALI

Ogos 2017

Pengerusi: Farzad Hejazi, PhD
Fakulti: Kejuruteraan

Pada zaman ini, manusia menghadapi pelbagai ancaman dan musibah, salah satu ancaman yang terbesar adalah gempa bumi, yang memusnahkan bangunan dan menyebabkan kematian beribu-ribu orang dan kehilangan tempat tinggal, semasa kejadian gempa bumi, bahagian pertama yang membawa kepada kegagalan bangunan adalah rusuk. Pelbagai kajian telah dijalankan untuk mengkaji kesan penggunaan luaran dan rehabilitee struktur lama terhadap Carbon Fiber Reinforced Polymer (CFRP). Namun, sangat sedikit kajian yang telah dijalankan menyiasat penggunaan dalaman CFRP di dalam struktur. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk mengukuhkan kerangka rasuk-tiang bersama dengan CFRP untuk mengekalkan beban seismik. Bar CFRP telah dilaksanakan kepada dua bingkai, bingkai "conventional reinforced concrete" (RC) dan bingkai "ultra-high performance concrete" (UHPC), untuk menilai kesan penanaman CFRP. Penyelidikan telah dijalankan ke atas bingkai yang dimasukkan CFRP, untuk menilai prestasi seismik, kefungsi bingkai tertakluk kepada beban kitaran, hasil kajian menunjukkan bahawa mekanisme tingkah laku kemuluran, kekakuan keseluruhan dan kegagalan, dipertingkatkan dalam kedua-dua bingkai RC dengan unsur CFRP dan UHPC dengan unsur CFRP, di mana kapasiti beban meningkat 26%, masing-masing 11%, berbanding dengan bingkai yang terdedah. Analisis "numerical" juga telah dijalankan bersama-sama ujian eksperimen, untuk menilai tingkah laku seismic CFRP yang dimasukkan di dalam sendi. Hasil "numerical" analisis menunjukkan bahawa, kapasiti beban muktamad meningkat dalam bingkai dengan CFRP yang dimasukkan di dalam bingkai RC dan bingkai UHPC, masing-masing 23% dan 52%. Selain itu, persamaan di lihat di antara ujian eksperimen dan analisis "numerical". Tambahan pula, model unsur "finite" dibangunkan untuk rangka RC dengan kemasukan CFRP di dalam elemen "finite" kod program khas, statik tak linear dan analisis dinamik tak linear telah dijalankan. Hasil tak linear statik menunjukkan bahawa kapasiti bingkai dengan CFRP meningkat 66% berbanding dengan bingkai yang terdedah dan analisis dinamik tak linear menunjukkan bahawa anjakan maksimum untuk bingkai dengan CFRP.

berkurangan 66% berbanding dengan tempoh yang terdedah. Kecekapan dan keupayaan CFRP tertanam dalam bingkai RC di antra UHPC terbukti.



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In name of Allah, most gracious, most merciful

Thanks to Allah who made me finish this work, I wouldn't be able to do anything without your help. Thank you for giving me the strength to complete it.

This journey would not have been possible without the support of my family, thank you. I am especially grateful to my parents, my brother and sisters for their continuous support and encouragement to complete my thesis. Who supported me emotionally and financially.

And I would like to express my gratitude and appreciation to my supervisor, Associate Professor Dr.Frazad Hejazi, for his supervision and guidance of this research, and his continues support throughout my study in Universiti Putra Malaysia.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Farzad Hejazi, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Raizal Saifulnaz Bin Muhammad Rashid, PhD

Associate Professor Ir.
Faculty Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Yoshikazu Araki, PhD

Associate Professor
Department of Architecture and Architectural Engineering
Kyoto University
(Member)

ROBIAH BINTI YUNUS, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____ Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Shahnaz Basim Ali

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	ii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	iv
APPROVAL	v
DECLARATION	vii
LIST OF TABLES	xii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background	1
1.2 Problem Statement	1
1.3 Objectives	2
1.4 Research questions	2
1.5 Scope of study	2
1.6 Limitation of study	3
1.7 Organization of the thesis	3
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	4
2.1 Introduction	4
2.2 Reinforced concrete frames	4
2.3 Seismicity of Malaysia	4
2.4 The external use FRP in beam-column joints	5
2.5 The external use FRP in structure	7
2.6 FRP embedded in concrete structure.	9
2.7 Finite element modelling for FRP in concrete structures	10
2.8 Ultra-high performance concrete (UHPC)	11
2.9 Ductility requirements for structure	12
2.10 Stiffness requirement for structure	13
2.11 Summary	14
3 METHODOLOGY	15
3.1 Introduction	15
3.2 Proposed new design for Beam-column joints with embedded CFRP	16
3.3 Designed Frame Specimen	18
3.3.1 Dimension of Specimen model	19
3.3.2 RC frame and UHPC frame Benchmark	19
3.3.3 Conventional RC frame with the embedded CFRP in the joints	20
3.3.4 UHPC frame with the embedded CFRP in joints	22
3.4 Material properties	22
3.4.1 Concrete	22
3.4.2 Steel rebar	24
3.4.3 Preparation of CFRP	24
3.5 Test Setup	26

3.6	Finite Element Method (FEM)	27
3.6.1	Elements	29
3.6.2	Solid Element	29
3.6.3	Truss element	29
3.6.4	Boundary conditions and loading	29
3.6.5	Interaction	31
3.6.6	Mesh	31
3.7	Parametric study	32
3.8.	Development of FEM model for frame with CFRP using ARCS3D	34
3.8.1	Development of the constitutive model with CFRP	34
3.8.2	Implementing the proposed CFRP frame in ARCS3D software	36
3.9	Summary	41
4	RESULT AND DISCUSSION	42
4.1	Introduction	42
4.2	Experimental test result	42
4.2.1	Result of cyclic dynamic experiment on RCF and RC- CFRP	43
4.2.2	Result of cyclic dynamic experimental test for UHF and BU-CFRP	48
4.2.3	Load–strain relationship	52
4.3	Numerical Result for simulation	53
4.3.1	Numerical result of RCF and RC-CFRP	53
4.3.2	Numerical result of UHF and BU-CFRP	57
4.3.3	Stresses distributions in RCF and RC-CFRP	59
4.3.4	Stresses distributions in UHF and BU-CFRP	62
4.4	Validation between the experimental result and numerical result	64
4.5	Parametric study result	64
4.6	Analytical result ARCS3D for single story RC frame with CFRP in joints	66
4.6.1	Static nonlinear analysis	66
4.6.2	Validation between experiment test and ARCS3D	67
4.6.3	Dynamic nonlinear analysis for proposed single story framewith CFRP	68
4.7	Analytical result ARCS3D for Two-story frame building with CFRP in joints	70
4.7.1	Static nonlinear analysis	70
4.7.2	Dynamic nonlinear analysis	72
4.8	Summary	74
5	CONCLUSION	75
5.1	General conclusion	75
5.2	Specific conclusion	75
5.3	Recommendation for future study	76
	REFERENCES	77
	APPENDICES	80



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3. 1	Frame label	19
3. 2	Material property of RC model concrete class B50	23
3. 3	Mechanical properties of UHPC with 2% steel fiber	24
3. 4	steel properties	24
3. 5	Characteristic and property of CFRP characteristic	24
4. 1	Comparison between RCF and RC-CFRP	48
4. 2	Comparison between UHF and BU-CFRP	52
4. 3	Comparison between RCF and RC-CFRP	57
4. 4	Comparison between UHF and BU-CFRP	59
4. 5	Maximum stress comparison RCF vs RC-CFRP	62
4. 6	Maximum stress UHF vs BU-CFRP	63
4. 7	Max load-displacement experiment test VS FE simulation	64
4. 8	Parametric study load VS displacement	65
4. 9	RC Frame VS RC Frame with CFRP	67
4. 10	Bare building VS RC building with CFRP	72

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2. 1	Interaction between three major tectonic plates around Malaysia	5
2. 2	lateral force vs lateral displacement relation	13
3. 1	Schematic procedure of study	16
3. 2	Damage in beam-column joint	17
3. 3	CFRP configuration	18
3. 4	Pair of CFRP	18
3. 5	RC frame model	20
3. 6	Dimension of the RC frame with CFRP	21
3. 7	Location of CFRP	21
3. 8	UHPC frame with CFRP	22
3. 9	Concrete casting	23
3. 10	CFRP installation in RC-CFRP	25
3. 11	CFRP installation in UHPC frame	25
3. 12	Frame setup in the lab	26
3. 13	Frame and the actuator	26
3. 14	Strain gauge location	27
3. 15	RCF FE model	28
3. 16	RC-CFRP model	28
3. 17	boundary condition and loading	30
3. 18	Cyclic loading	30
3. 19	Frame meshing	31
3. 20	Parametric study models	33
3. 21	Frame element	34
3. 22	CFRP bar element	35
3. 23	Modelling process in ARCS3D	36
3. 24	Assigning nodes and elements	37
3. 25	Defining CFRP	38
3. 26	Defining the loads	38
3. 27	Defining support and load	39
3. 28	Type of analysis selection	40
4. 1	prepared frame	43
4. 2	Cracking pattern on the frame	43
4. 3	Crack pattern on the RCF	44
4. 4	RCF hysteresis loop	45
4. 5	Crack pattern on the RC-CFRP	46
4. 6	RC-CFRP hysteresis loop	46
4. 7	Comparison between RCF and RC-CFRP hysteresis loop	47
4. 8	RCF and RC-CFRP Skeleton graph	48
4. 9	UHF cracks	49
4. 10	Crack pattern on BU-CFRP	49
4. 11	Hysteresis loop for the UHF	50
4. 12	Hysteresis loop for the BU-CFRP	50
4. 13	Comparison between UHF and BU-CFRP hysteresis loop	51

4. 14	UHF and BU-CFRP Skeleton graph	52
4. 15	Strain comparison of the frames	53
4. 16	RCF hysteresis loop	54
4. 17	Damage of RCF in tension and compression	54
4. 18	RC-CFRP hysteresis loop	55
4. 19	Damage of RC-CFRP in tension and compression	55
4. 20	Comparison between RCF and RC-CFRP hysteresis loop	56
4. 21	RCF and RC-CFRP Skeleton graph	56
4. 22	UHF hysteresis loop	57
4. 23	BU-CFRP hysteresis loop	58
4. 24	Hysteresis loop UHF vs BU-CFRP	58
4. 25	UHF and BU-CFRP Skeleton graph	59
4. 26	Stress in RCF	60
4. 27	Stress in RC-CFRP	60
4. 28	Stress at steel for RCF	61
4. 29	Stress at steel in RC-CFRP	61
4. 30	Stress at the embedded CFRP	61
4. 31	Stress in UHF	62
4. 32	Stress at BU-CFRP	63
4. 33	Stress at the CFRP in BU-CFRP	63
4. 34	Comparison between all the frame models in the parametric study	65
4. 35	One story frame	66
4. 36	Push-over analysis for the bare RC frame	67
4. 37	Push-over analysis for the bare RC frame with CFRP	67
4. 38	Difference between the ARCS3D model and the Experimental test	68
4. 39	Time history bare frame vs CFRP frame	69
4. 40	Drift ration bare single story frame vs single story frame with CFRP	69
4. 41	Two-story building	71
4. 42	Bare two-story building	71
4. 43	Two-story building with CFRP	72
4. 44	Bare two-story building vs two-story building with CFRP	72
4. 45	Drift ratio bare two-story building vs two-story building with CFRP	73

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Earthquakes and tsunamis are threats that destroy buildings and leave thousands of people dead and homeless, during earthquake load the first part that lead to buildings failure are joints. And strengthening the joint could minimize the damage. There are different ways to strengthen structures. One way is by using composites, as humans since ancient times were using composite, by mixing mud and straw to make bricks to give it more strength. The merge of Fiber Reinforced Polymer (FRP) into the commercial world is much less than hundred years. FRP composite materials date back to the early 1940s in the defence industry, especially for use in aerospace and naval features. The FRP is high strength weight ratio and strong resistance to the corrosive effects of weather, salt air, and the ocean. FRP composites were initially introduced to reinforce concrete structures fifty years ago and since then Composites have been upgraded starting with temporary buildings and rehabilitation of historic buildings and structural enforcement. A big growth of FRP in civil engineering is the use of externally bonded FRP for rehabilitation, strengthening of RC structures (Busel et al. 2007).

Recently, FRP reinforcements have been utilized broadly as an alternative reinforcement material to steel for new development and also to strengthen and repair of existing structures. Bonded FRP sheets and strips are presently the most used techniques for flexural and shear enforcement of concrete beams and slabs. A few researchers detailed that the failure of members reinforced with bonded FRP sheets and strips externally can be brittle due to de-bonding, exfoliation of the FRP sheets and strips, especially in areas with high flexural and shear stresses (Ei-hacha & Rizkalla, 2005). Another way to strengthen structures is by using the Ultra- High Performance Concrete (UHPC), as in structural field cement is one of the principle materials that is utilized as a part of development. UHPC is formulated by means of Portland cement and silica fume, quartz flour, fine sand, excessive variety water reducer, water, steel or fibres. UHPC is the most encouraging cementitious materials created to date it has the possibility of being a reasonable answer for enhancing the flexibility and maintainability of the structures due to its high strength, sturdiness. Research UHPC illustrated a fantastic impact resistance, yet there may be no any statistics about its dynamic behaviour.

1.2 Problem Statement

The brief literature review above highlight the following problems:

1. The experience of the recent earthquake indicated that the joints are the most critical to the initial damage under dynamic load.
2. In the areas of high humidity, after few small cracks in the concrete led to corrosion in the steel reinforcements, which reduce the strength of the structure.
3. Little information is observed on the application of the embedded CFRP as most of the research available in the literature regarding of retrofitting and strengthening are focused on the externally use of CFRP.
4. The application of CFRP rod in RC frame is not investigated well.
5. There is no study for application of CFRP in UHPC frames.

1.3 Objectives

The objectives of this study are:

1. To propose new design for beam-column connection with embedded CFRP bar in RC frame and UHPC frame to increase the capacity and flexibility of the frame against dynamic load.
2. To evaluate dynamic behaviour of the RC frame and UHPC frame strengthened by embedded CFRP bars in beam column joint through experimental test using dynamic actuator and verify with finite element analysis.
3. To develop finite element model and evaluate the effect of CFRP bar in RC frame subjected to dynamic load.

1.4 Research questions

Bases on the review of literature, the following questions were concluded:

1. What are the effects of embedded CFRP in conventional reinforced concrete frame?
2. What are the effects of embedded CFRP in ultra-high performance concrete frame?
3. How can CFRP improve the seismic performance of the RC and UHPC frames?
4. How is the performance of UHPC frame against lateral dynamic load?

Therefore, to address these questions. The aim of this research is to reinforce the frame beam-column joints with embedded CFRP in both RC and UHPC frames. And then investigate and assess the seismic performance of embedded CFRP subjected to dynamic load.

1.5 Scope of study

The following sequences are conducted to achieve the objectives:

1. Design the frames with embedded CFRP bars in both the conventional RC frame and UHPC.

2. Conducting cyclic test using dynamic actuator in the laboratory to evaluate the performances of the embedded CFRP in frame joints.
3. Carry out Finite element analysis using (ABAQUS) to evaluate the seismic behaviour of the embedded CFRP in joints.
4. A parametric study is conducted on different arrangements and geometry of the embedded CFRP in joints.
5. Developing finite element model with (ARCS3D) and perform nonlinear static (pushover) and dynamic (time history analysis).

1.6 Limitation of study

The limitations of this study are:

1. CFRP only embedded in the joints of the frames, and not the whole frame.
2. Only experimental done for one story frames, due to limitation of testing facility.

1.7 Organization of the thesis

The thesis is divided into 5 chapters and a brief description about each chapter is presented below:

Chapter 2, covers the review of works related to the application CFRP in structures. And the seismicity in Malaysia, finite element analysis for FRP, and ductility, stiffness requirements of the structure.

Chapter 3, presents the research methodology of this study, including the proposed new design joints, the prototype fabrication. Experimental test procedure, finite element analysis procedure using ABAQUS, and the development of finite element model using ARCS3D.

Chapter 4, illustrate the seismic performance of the RC and UHPC frame with the embedded CFRP in joints. Through experimental testing and numerical analysis. Finally the verification between the experiential test and numerical test is presented chapter.

Chapter 5, summarize and conclude the present study its general and specific conclusions. And recommendation of future works are also discussed.

REFERENCES

- alsayed, s. h., almusallam, t. h., & siddiqui, n. a. (2009). seismic behavior of frp-upgraded exterior rc beam-column joints, *14*(april), 405–406.
- amir mirmiran. mohsen shahawy. (1997). behavior of concrete columns confined by fiber composites. *journal of structural engineering*, (may), 583–590.
- antonopoulos, c. p., & triantafillou, t. c. (2003). experimental investigation of frp-strengthened rc beam-column joints. *journal of composites for construction*, *7*(1), 39–50.
- attari, n., amziane, s., & chemrouk, m. (2010). efficiency of beam–column joint strengthened by frp laminates. *advanced composite materials*, *19*(2), 171–183. doi:10.1163/092430409x12605406698192
- beydokhty, e. z., & shariatmadar, h. (2016). behavior of damaged exterior rc beam-column joints strengthened by cfrp composites. *latin american journal of solids and structures*, *13*, 880–897.
- binici, b., ozcebe, g., & ozcelik, r. (2007). analysis and design of frp composites for seismic retrofit of infill walls in reinforced concrete frames. *composites part b: engineering*, *38*(5-6), 575–583. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2006.08.007
- bischof, p., suter, r., chatzi, e., & lestuzzi, p. (2014). on the use of cfrp sheets for the seismic retrofitting of masonry walls and the influence of mechanical anchorage. *polymers*, *6*(7), 1972–1998. doi:10.3390/polym6071972
- busel, j. p., bank, l. c., mahfouz, i. m., & postma, m. a. (2007). *report on fiber-reinforced polymer (frp) reinforcement for concrete structures*.
- cheng, l. (2005). *development of a steel-free frp-concrete slab-on-girder modular bridge system*. university of california, san diego.
- deshpande, a. b. (2006). *characterization of cfrp and gfrp composite materials at high strain rate tensile loading*.
- ei-hacha, r., & rizkalla, s. h. (2005). near-surface-mounted fiber-reinforced polymer reinforcements for flexural strengthening of concrete structures. *aci structural journal*, (101), 717–726.
- erol, g., karadogan, h. f., & cili, f. (2008). seismic strengthening of infilled rc frames by cfrp. in *the 14 world conference on earthquake engineering october 12-17, 2008, beijing, china seismic*.

- esmaeeli, e., barros, j. a. o., sena-cruz, j., fasan, l., li prizzi, f. r., melo, j., & varum, h. (2015). retrofitting of interior rc beam-column joints using cfrp strengthened shcc: cast-in-place solution. *composite structures*, 122, 456–467. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2014.12.012
- ghosh, k. k. (2002). *seismic upgrade with cfrp of rc columns containing lap spliced rebars in plastic hinge region*. university of toronto. university of toronto.
- godat, a., hady, a. l., chaallal, o., & neale, k. w. (2012). bond behavior of the ets frp bar shear-strengthening method. *journal of composites for construction*, (october), 529–539. doi:10.1061/(asce)cc.1943-5614.0000280.
- ha, g. j., cho, c. g., kang, h. w., & feo, l. (2013). seismic improvement of rc beam-column joints using hexagonal cfrp bars combined with cfrp sheets. *composite structures*, 95, 464–470. doi:10.1016/j.compstruct.2012.08.022
- in sung kim, b.s., m. s. e. (2008). *use of cfrp to provide continuity in existing reinforced concrete members subjected to extreme loads*. univeristy of texas at austin. university of texas. retrieved from http://books.google.com/books?hl=en&lr=&id=okwztkmkrhic&oi=fnd&pg=pa1&dq=use+of+cfrp+to+provide+continuity+in+existing+reinforced+concrete+members+subjected+to+extreme+loads&ots=9xxfecc_kq&sig=bra_xaoyfso4bov4ex1ak1jgzse
- jaya, k. p., & mathai, j. (2012). strengthening of rc column using gfrp and cfrp.
- kim, g. b., pilakoutas, k., & waldron, p. (2008). development of thin frp reinforced gfrc permanent formwork systems. *construction and building materials*, 22(11), 2250–2259. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2007.07.029
- kim, s., & vecchio, f. j. (2008). modeling of shear-critical reinforced concrete structures repaired with fiber-reinforced polymer composites. *journal of structural engineering* ©american society of civil engineers, (august), 1288–1299.
- kim, y. (jimmy). (2006). *strengthening concrete structures with prestressed cfrp sheets: laboratory and numerical investigations to field application*. queen's university.
- le-trung, k., lee, k., lee, j., lee, d. h., & woo, s. (2010). experimental study of rc beam–column joints strengthened using cfrp composites. *composites part b: engineering*, 41(1), 76–85. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2009.06.005
- mohammad-kazem sharbatdar. (2003). *concrete columns and beams reinforced with frp bars and grids under monotonic and reversed cyclic loading*. university of ottawa reproduced.

- monti, g., & spacone, e. (2000). reinforced concrete fiber beam element with bond -s lip. *journal of structural engineering*, 654–661.
- mostofinejad, d., & talaeitaba, s. b. (2006). finite element modeling of rc connections strengthened with frp laminates. *iranian journal of science & technology*, 30.
- nor, n. m., boestamam, m. h. a., & yusof, m. a. et al. (2013). carbon fiber reinforced polymer (cfrp) as reinforcement for concrete beam. *international journal of emerging technology and advanced engineering*, 3(2), 6–10.
- ohu, r. b. (2012). *flexural response of reinforced concrete beams with embedded cfrp plates*. universiti putra malaysia.
- pantelides, c. p., okahashi, y., & reaveley, l. d. (2008). seismic rehabilitation of rc frame interior beam-column joints with frp composites. in *th the 14 world conference on earthquake engineering october 12-17, 2008, beijing, china*.
- quiertant, m., ferrier, e., chataigner, s., sadone, r., quiertant, m., & paris-est, u. (2012). anchoring frp laminates for the seismic strengthening of rc columns. in *international conference on concrete repair, rehabilitation and retrofitting*.
- refai, a. m. el. (2007). *monotonic and fatigue flexural performance of rc beams strengthened with externally post-tensioned cfrp tendons*. university of waterloo.
- t. el-amoury, a. g. (2002). seismic rehabilitation of beam – column joint using gfrp sheets, 24, 1397–1407.
- vincent, t., & ozbakkaloglu, t. (2013). influence of concrete strength and confinement method on axial compressive behavior of frp confined high-and ultra high-strength concrete. *elsevier, composites part b: engineering*, 50, 413–428. doi:10.1016/j.compositesb.2013.02.017
- wang, l., xuan, w., zhang, y., cong, s., liu, f., gao, q., & chen, h. (2016). experimental and numerical research on seismic performance of earthquake-damaged rc frame strengthened with cfrp sheets. *advances in materials science and engineering*, 2016.
- wang, x.-y. (2014). properties prediction of ultra high performance concrete using blended cement hydration model. *elsevier, construction and building materials*, 64, 1–10. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.04.084
- yu, r., spiesz, p., & brouwers, h. j. h. (2014). effect of nano-silica on the hydration and microstructure development of ultra-high performance concrete (uhpc) with a low binder amount. *construction and building materials*, 65, 140–150. doi:10.1016/j.conbuildmat.2014.04.063