

**EMERGENCY RESPONSE / OPERATING PROCEDURE
COMPETENCY AND EVALUATION OF HUMAN ERROR
POTENTIAL AT THE CHEMICAL LOADING BAY
OF A BULK CHEMICAL FACILITY
IN WESTPORT, PORT KLANG**



By
NG KOK KHENG

**Project Paper Submitted in Partial Fulfilment of the Requirements for
the Degree of Master of Science (Emergency Response and Planning)
in the Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

October 2000

FK 2000 69

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	v
ABSTRAK	ix
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xv
LIST OF TABLES	xv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvii
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	
1.1 Accident history	1
1.2 Problem statement	3
1.3 Background of bulk storage terminal facility being studied	5
1.4 Objectives of this study	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	
2.1 Description of bulk storage facility	7
2.2 Plant safety and protection features	9
2.2.1 Tanker truck loading stand	9
2.2.2 Safety management	10
2.2.3 Emergency response organization	11
2.2.4 Fire protection systems and organization	12
2.3 Previous Quantity Risk Assessment study	14
2.3.1 Onsite risk levels	14
2.3.2 Terminal onsite risks	15
2.3.3 Risk of ignition sources	16
2.4 CIMAH Regulations	16
2.5 Previous risk management inspection report	18
2.6 Major risk area – the tanker loading operation	19
2.6.1 Tanker truck loading stand	19
2.6.2 Top loading of cargo tank motor vehicles	20

2.7	Risk discussed and formulation of study	20
2.7.1	Human error in carrying out standard operating procedures at loading stand	20
2.7.2	Human reliability	22
2.7.3	Human error	23
2.8	Standard operating procedures at loading stand	27
2.8.1	Present standard operating procedures at the facility	27
2.8.2	NFPA standards	29
2.8.3	Comparison with other facilities	35
2.9	Exposures	36
2.9.1	Static electricity	36
2.9.2	Bonding	39
2.10	Emergency response	41
2.10.1	Emergency response requirement	43
2.10.2	Elements of an emergency response plan	49
2.10.3	Training and drills	53
2.10.4	Classification of incident	55
2.10.5	Hazard communications	56
3	THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK AND METHODOLOGY	
3.1	Risk exposures	57
3.2	Hazards and consequences	58
3.2.1	Hazards	58
3.2.2	Consequences	59
3.3	Various criteria for determining the risk exposures	60
3.4	Methodology	61
3.4.1	Structured observation/ obtaining human error probabilities (HEP)	61
3.4.2	Project investigation	64
3.5	Findings and evaluation	67
3.6	Response to questionnaire survey and structured observations	67
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	
4.1	Level of knowledge and emergency preparedness	70
4.1.1	Background of respondents to questionnaire	70
4.1.2	Level of knowledge in loading operation and emergency procedures	71
4.1.3	Emergency response equipment available at the workplace or tanker truck	77
4.2	Compliance with procedures during tanker loading operations	83

4.2.1	Compliance with procedures during loading operation	86
4.2.2	Compliance with the requirements for wearing of PPE during loading operation	91
4.3	Relationship between knowledge of loading procedures and compliance with procedures	93
5	CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
5.1	Conclusion	96
5.2	Recommendations	101
5.2.1	Operators	101
5.2.2	Contract drivers	102
	REFERENCES	104
	APPENDICES	A.1



ABSTRACT

Abstract of Research Project submitted to the Faculty of Engineering, Universiti Putra Malaysia in partial fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Master of Science (Emergency Response and Planning)

EMERGENCY RESPONSE / OPERATING PROCEDURE COMPETENCY AND EVALUATION OF HUMAN ERROR POTENTIAL AT THE CHEMICAL LOADING BAY OF A BULK CHEMICAL FACILITY IN WESTPORT, PORT KLANG

By

Ng Kok Kheng

October 2000

Supervisor: Dr. Fakhru'l Razi Ahmadun

Co-supervisor: Ir. Dr. Nor Mariah Adam

**Faculty of Engineering
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

A questionnaire survey and passive observation was conducted at a bulk terminal facility in Westport, Port Klang. The survey was conducted using a questionnaire consisting 44 elements that make up five categories, to assess the knowledge, training and skills of the operators and contract drivers, and four questions to determine the level of emergency equipment at their respective workplace or tanker truck. The observation was based on a structured checklist of procedures using the facility's operation procedures as well as NFPA standards.

The objective of this study is to evaluate the competencies of the facility operators and contract drivers who are involved in loading operations at the tanker loading stand area. This activity had been rated as having the highest risk compared to all other activities in the bulk terminal facility. The study also intends to determine the error rates for each of the procedural step involved in this operation.

It was found that operators on the average have failed to meet the minimum baseline score in all the five categories, namely; (i) knowledge of emergency response plan, (ii) level of training, (iii) familiarity with the emergency equipment, (iv) familiarity with the loading procedures, and (v) ability to respond during an emergency.

Contract drivers have been able to meet the minimum requirements of the last three categories mentioned above. This could be due to contract drivers having almost twice the experience in years in this type of work compared to facility operators.

The emergency equipment level for both facility operators' workplace and drivers' tankers all fell below the baseline requirement.

Error rates during loading operations were also found to be quite high, especially errors involving parking and positioning of the tanker (24.5%) where many incidents of the tankers brushing or hitting the overhead hoses were recorded. Next in frequency is the failure to attach the earth clamp according to procedures (i.e. before commencement of product loading) where 18.9% error was recorded. High errors involving product spills over the tanker were also recorded (between 17% and 19%)

especially during nozzle insertion into and withdrawal from the compartment. The above situations are considered critical as they could lead to an accident involving fire and explosion.

Poor compliance in terms of wearing of goggles and appropriate breathing masks when required were also recorded. During loading of acid, operators and drivers have completely ignored the requirement to wear full PVC chemical suit as well as full visors/breathing masks.

There was no correlation found between drivers' competencies and their ability to carry out procedures correctly. Observations suggest that this is due to the lack of enforcement and the lack of proper attitude in appreciating the safety and health procedures that were in place.

It is recommended that adequate and regular briefing and training should be provided to operators, and where possible to contract drivers as well. The areas to cover include:

- 1) Site emergency response plan.
- 2) Appropriate use of personal protective equipment during loading operation.
- 3) The correct usage of emergency response and personal protective equipment during an emergency, such as fire or spill.
- 4) Familiarity with evacuation procedures and location of all emergency equipment.

The facility management should ensure strict enforcement of all loading procedures to reduce the present error rate recorded. The enforcement will need to be facilitated by adequate training and familiarity with the procedures and risk exposures present at the loading stand area.



ABSTRAK

Abstrak Projek Penyelidikan yang dikemukakan kepada Fakulti Kejuruteraan, Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi sebahagian daripada keperluan untuk mendapatkan Ijazah Master Sains (Perancangan dan Respon Kecemasan).

Oleh

Ng Kok Kheng

October 2000

Penyelia: Dr. Fakhru'l Razi Ahmadun

Penolong penyelia: Ir. Dr. Nor Mariah Adam

**Fakulti Kejuruteraan
Universiti Putra Malaysia**

Satu tinjauan borang soal-balas and 'passive observation' telah dilaksanakan di suatu terminal pukal kimia yang bertempat di Westport, Pelabuhan Kelang. Survei ini dilaksanakan dengan menggunakan borang soal-balas yang mengandungi 44 elemen dan dibahagikan kepada lima kategori bagi menilai tahap pengetahuan, latihan dan kecekapan operator-operator and pemandu-pemandu. Empat soalan lagi adalah bagi menentukan kelengkapan alat-alat kecemasan di tempat kerja and kenderaan pengangkut masing-masing. 'Observation' pula berdasarkan senarai langkah-langkah berstruktur yang mengikut langkah-langkah operasi terminal yang sedia ada and juga piawaian NFPA.

Tujuan kajian ini adalah untuk menilai tahap kecekapan operator yang bekerja di terminal menyimpan bahan kimia dan juga pemandu-pemandu kontrek yang terlibat dalam operasi mengisi bahan kimia di bahagian pengisian pengangkut. Jika dibandingkan dengan bahagian-bahagian yang lain di terminal ini, bahagian pengisian pengangkut mempunyai risiko yang tertinggi. Kajian ini juga bertujuan menentukan kadar salahlaku (ataupun 'error rate') bagi setiap langkah operasi pengisian ini.

Daripada hasil kajian ini, kami mendapati bahawa operator-operator terminal tidak mencapai tahap minima dalam kesemua lima kategori yang ditinjau, iaitu (i) tahap pengetahuan pelan tindakan kecemasan, (ii) tahap latihan, (iii) kebiasaan dengan alat-alat kecemasan, (iv) kebiasaan dengan langkah-langkah pengisian, dan (v) kebolehan bertindak dengan betul semasa berlaku kecemasan.

Pemandu-pemandu kontrek pula didapati telah mencapai tahap minima dalam tiga kategori yang terakhir seperti di atas. Ini mungkin kerana secara puratanya pemandu-pemandu mempunyai hampir dua kali lebih lama pengalaman dalam menjalankan kerja seperti ini jika dibandingkan dengan operator.

Kelengkapan alat-alat kecemasan di kedua-dua tempat kerja operator ataupun kenderaan pengangkut masing-masing adalah tidak memuaskan dan jatuh di bawah tahap minima.

Kadar salahlaku semasa operasi pengisian juga didapati tinggi, terutama sekali jika salahlaku itu melibatkan pengendalian and meletak kenderaan pengangkut (24.5%) di tempat pengisian bahan kimia. Kebanyakan salahlaku-salahlaku yang tercatat melibatkan bahagian atas kenderaan yang mengeres atau menghentak hos yang tergantung. Yang berikutnya ialah sebanyak 18.9% pemandu-pemandu tidak mengepit 'earth clamp' mengikut langkah-langkah pengisian yang ditetapkan (iaitu sebelum memulakan pengisian bahan merbahaya). Kadar salahlaku yang tinggi, iaitu tumpahan bahan kimia keatas kenderaan pengangkut juga dicatat (daripada 17% hingga 19%) dan ini kebanyakannya melibatkan langkah memasukkan nozzle ke dalam tangki ataupun langkah mengeluarkan nozzle. Keadaan-keadaan yang dinyatakan di atas adalah kritikal kerana mereka mungkin boleh melibatkan kebakaran atau letupan.

Pematuhan kepada langkah pemakaian 'goggles' dan juga alat penafasan seperti yang dikehendakinya adalah tidak memuaskan. Semasa pengisian bahan asid, kami mendapati bahawa kesemua operator and pemandu tidak memakai 'full chemical suit' dan juga 'full visors/breathing masks'.

Kami mendapati bahawa tiada sebarang kaitan ataupun 'correlation' wujud di antara kecekapan pemandu-pemandu dengan kebolehan mereka menjalankan operasi pengisian dengan baik. Penjelasan yang kami boleh berikan adalah langkah-langkah keselamatan itu tidak dikuatkuasakan dengan baik dan juga 'attitude' yang lemah terhadap langkah-langkah keselamatan dan kesihatan yang telah ditetapkan.

Kami mengesyorkan bahawa taklimat and latihan yang cukup dan kerap perlu diberi kepada operator-operator, dan jika boleh kepada pemandu-pemandu kontrek juga. Ia perlu merangkumi tajuk-tajuk seperti yang berikut:

- 1) Pelan tindakan kecemasan tapak.
- 2) Cara penggunaan alat pelindung peribadi yang sesuai semasa melakukan operasi pengisian.
- 3) Penggunaan secara betul alat tindakan kecemasan dan alat pelindung peribadi semasa berlaku kecemasan, seperti yang melibatkan kebakaran ataupun tumpahan bahan kimia.
- 4) Memastikan kebiasaan dan pengetahuan yang cukup mengenai langkah beredar dari tapak semasa kecemasan dan juga lokasi alat-alat kecemasan.

Pihak pengurusan terminal juga perlu memastikan bahawa kesemua langkah-langkah keselamatan dan pengisian yang betul diikuti atau dikuatkuasai bagi mengurangkan kadar salahlaku yang kini tercatat. Latihan yang cukup serta pengetahuan yang lengkap mengenai langkah-langkah keselamatan dan risiko di bahagian pengisian bahan kimia ini adalah perlu supaya penguatkuasaan ini boleh dilaksanakan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my sincere appreciation to the following individuals and organizations for their contribution and assistance during my pursuit of this Masters of Science degree:

Dr. Fakhru'l Razi Ahmadun and Ir. Dr. Nor Mariah Adam, who are my project supervisor and co-supervisor respectively, whose vast knowledge, insight and wisdom, and valuable advice have spurred me through the many hurdles in the preparation of this project.

Prof. Madya Ir. Dr. Mohamed Daud, Ir. Fuad Abas, Dr. Azmi Yahya, Puan Aini Mat Said, Dr. Rimfiel Janius, and all my lecturers from UPM, for their advice, assistance and dedication in the course of my study and conduct of this project.

My fellow course mates for their assistance, cooperation and friendship throughout our study together.

Mr. Ambrose Peter Felix and Capt. (R) Khoo Chey Kim for their kind hospitality and generous assistance in allowing me to conduct this study on their facility for the purpose of completing my project. Also all his staff, security guards and customers' drivers and contractors who have also contributed and assisted in various ways in my data collection.

My friends who have lent their support throughout the year.

My mother and father for their care and upbringing, and especially for the suffering that they have gone through in the earlier years so as to ensure a good education for me and by siblings.

My wife, Peggy Yin Peck Kee, and two lovely daughters, Natalie Ng Yue Huey and Nicole Ng Yue Wern, for their patience and understanding, having to bear the additional burden and missing our precious quality time together, which I will make up after this course.

LIST OF FIGURES

		Page
Figure 2.1	Flowchart of bulk storage terminal's operation	8
Figure 4.1	Distribution of experience: facility operators and contract drivers	71
Figure 4.2	Percentage of operators and contract drivers meeting baseline requirement	73
Figure 4.3	Overall level of knowledge for operators and contract drivers	73
Figure 4.4	Availability of emergency equipment at operators' workplace and contract drivers' tankers – percent meeting 80% baseline requirement	82
Figure 4.5	Summary of compliance to standard operating procedures based on structured observation	85
Figure 4.6	Compliance with personal protective equipment requirement during loading operation	92

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2.1	Onsite average risk calculation data (Runny Poh, 1996)	14
Table 2.2	Failure cases associated with tanker loading (Runny Poh, 1996)	15
Table 2.3	CIMAH – Category of substances and threshold quantities	17
Table 2.4	Priority Table (Rimac, 1999)	19
Table 2.5	Commonly referenced performance shaping factors and typical questions addressing their effect on performance (Gertman & Blackman, 1994)	26
Table 3.1	Data sample: Observations and response to questionnaire (over 2-week period)	68
Table 3.2	Sample scoring table for questionnaire survey	69
Table 4.1	Summary of questionnaire: emergency and personal protective equipment at the workplace	79
Table 4.2	Record of incidents during positioning and parking (Step 1)	87
Table 4.3	Record of incidents involving earth clamping	88
Table 4.4	Record of incidents involving insertion of nozzle	89

Table 4.5	Record of incidents during repetition of Steps 2 – 5 and 8 – 15 for further loading of other compartments on the same tanker	90
Table 4.6	Variables for analysis	94
Table 5.1(a)	Summary of findings and comments (category of knowledge)	97
Table 5.1(b)	Summary of findings and comments (category of emergency equipment available)	98
Table 5.2	Error rates of loading activities	99
Table 5.3	Level of non-compliance in the wearing of personal protective equipment	100



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

APELL	Awareness and Preparedness for Emergencies at Local Level
APR	Air-Purifying Respirators
CIMAH	Control of Industrial Major Hazard Accidents
DOSH	Department of Occupational Safety and Health
HEP	Human Error Probability
HER	Human Error Rates
HRA	Human Risk Analysis
IchemE	Institution of Chemical Engineers (UK)
ICS	Incident Command System
IDLH	Immediately Dangerous to Life and Health
LBT	Liquid Bulk Terminal
MHI	Major Hazard Installation
MSDS	Material Safety Data Sheet
MT	Metric tons
NFPA	National Fire Protection Association
OSHA	Occupational Safety and Health Act
PPE	Personal Protective Equipment
PVC	Polyvinyl chloride
QRA	Quantitative Risk Assessment
SAR	Supplied-Air Respirators
SCBA	Self-Contained Breathing Apparatus
SCDI	Serious Chemical Distribution Incident
SOP	Standard Operating Procedures
THERP	Technique for Human Error Rate Prediction
TLV	Threshold Limit Value
TWA	Time Weighted Average (8 hours)
UK	United Kingdom
UN	United Nations
VAM	Vinyl Acetate Monomer

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Accident History

The Institute of Chemical Engineers (UK) has recorded many accidents involving loading operations at loading stands. One significant event occurred in April 1967¹ in the United Kingdom where the plant supervisor switched over operations to the manual position without realizing that a number of other manual valves were locked in the open position. This error was committed despite the switching cabinet being boldly marked stating to the effect that manual valves had to be closed before operating the switches. The result was about 2 m³ per minute of product flowing out of each valve that were still open, resulting in the loading stand being immediately engulfed by fire and the fire swept across the stand and towards other buildings. It was reported that a diesel vehicle being left in gear started up on its own, crossed the yard and ended up blazing near some aboveground product pipelines. The explosion and fire destroyed the loading stand and 19 road tankers, resulting in a loss estimated at £5,000,000 (in 1967). Three drivers were killed and 11 others were injured in this accident.

In another accident in February 1979², for some unknown reason the loading arm came out of the compartment and motor spirit continued to be delivered over the vehicle and onto the ground. It was thought that the spirit came in contact with the

¹ IchemE's (Institute of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom) Accident Database, reference 9151.

² IchemE's (Institute of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom) Accident Database, reference 10034.

vehicle exhaust pipe or caused the battery to short resulting in the fire.

There were also many other accidents at loading stands recorded, some of them involved:

- The mistake of loading product into the wrong product tank resulting in a chemical reaction ³;
- The release of product due to valve operating sequence error ⁴; or
- The removal of face hood (personal protective equipment) resulting in face being splashed with product (phenol) ⁵;

In Malaysia, the most serious bulk storage chemical fire occurred in May 1980 ⁶ in the Shell Chemical Plant in Port Kelang where a severe explosion and fire on the marine vessel from which product was being unloaded spread onshore and involved several storage tanks and drums stored in the open. The accident killed three persons and injured about 200 people in the surrounding area. The latest accident involved another bulk petrochemical storage and refinery facility in Bintulu in 1998 ⁷ where fire and explosion involving several large storage tanks resulted in one of the most expensive insurance claims in the Malaysian insurance industry, said to be several hundred million ringgit.

³ IChemE's (Institute of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom) Accident Database, reference 8743.

⁴ IChemE's (Institute of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom) Accident Database, reference 8071.

⁵ IChemE's (Institute of Chemical Engineers, United Kingdom) Accident Database, reference 7560.

⁶ APELL (Awareness and Preparedness for Emergencies at Local Level) list of selected accidents.

⁷ Foong H.W. / Yong P. (personal communication), 2000.

1.2 Problem Statement

Looking at the above accidents, the common problem areas identified are that the procedures may be inadequate or the operators have failed to comply with the established procedures.

So far, on checking with the Department of Occupational Safety & Health (DOSH), Malaysia, there is no local standard regulating loading procedures at dangerous chemical bulking and loading installations. Although such bulking and handling facilities would certainly fall under the CIMAH Regulations 1996⁸ Major Hazard Installation category, requiring the mandatory preparation and submission of a “Report on Industrial Activity and Preparation of Emergency Plan” to DOSH, the department has not adopted any standard approach on such procedures.

As such, chemical bulk storage and handling facilities would have to adopt industry codes or standards such as NFPA 30, Chapter 5 (Operations)⁹ and NFPA 385¹⁰, or procedures established and practiced by their principals. Chapter 5 of NFPA 30 outlines the requirements for liquid handling, transfer and use, including loading and unloading operations involving tank vehicles, and fire prevention and control requirements. NFPA 385 outlines the requirements for tank vehicle design (not emphasized in this project report) as well as operations involving tank vehicles. One of the areas of investigation would be to compare the loading procedures and safety

⁸ Occupational Safety and Health (Control of Industrial Major Accident Hazards) Regulations 1996.

⁹ NFPA 30 – Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code, 1990 edition.

¹⁰ NFPA 385 – Tank Vehicles for Flammable and Combustible Liquids, 1990 edition.

precautions at the bulk storage terminal facility with the requirements stipulated in the above reference standards for their compliance.

For the bulk storage terminal facility under study in this project, initial observations conducted at the loading stands have indicated that tanker drivers and operators may have violated some of the standard operating procedures ¹¹ as well as committed such acts that may endanger the unloading operation. However, the extent of errors and types of errors committed is not known. There was no comparative data available from other similar facilities to relate the observations.

Two earlier reports from two consultants, Rayvonne (1996) ¹² and Rimac (1999) ¹³, have both indicated that the highest risk present involves the loading operations at the loading stands of this facility.

Loading operations at loading stand are carried out by the facility's operators and external tanker drivers. The level of knowledge and training on the emergency procedures and loading operation procedures are not fully understood or known. Moreover, there is also no knowledge on whether the standard operating procedures have been executed correctly or whether what errors or unsafe acts have been committed and at what degree of regularity.

¹¹ Bulking facility's Operation Procedure Manual (Section 2)

¹² Quantitative Risk Assessment Study of the Pulau Indah Terminal (Final Report) prepared for "facility" by Rayvonne Sdn Bhd, author Runny Poh, June 1996.

¹³ Risk Management Inspection Report for "facility" by Rimac Consultants Sdn Bhd, author K.K. Ng, 1999.

1.3 Background of bulk storage terminal facility being studied

The name of the bulk storage terminal facility being studied for this project has been withheld for reasons of sensitivity and to protect the interests of the company.

The company is a liquid bulk storage service facility handling various dangerous cargoes solely for third party clients. Clients unload their products from marine vessels that call at the terminal, store them in the tank farm provided by the facility until they dispatch their tanker trucks to pick up the product in the quantities they require.

The company is a joint venture between a local listed company and an international cargo transport and storage operator with operations in many parts of the world. The majority of the facility's design and operating and emergency procedures were a result of the foreign partner's input.

1.4 Objectives of this study

The objectives of this study is as follows:

1. Evaluate the competency of operators and contract drivers on their knowledge on:
 - Emergency response procedures and equipment
 - Operating procedures
2. Audit the workplace and tanker trucks on their emergency and personal protective equipment.
3. Assess operating procedures compliance at the tanker loading stand, hence developing a sample error rate for the loading activities.
4. Provide recommendations to the facility for improvement.

The investigations will focus on the tanker loading stand operations of the facility.

REFERENCES

- 1 - 5 Institution of Chemical Engineers, U.K., "The Accident Database, v2.01". (References ¹9151, ²10034, ³8743, ⁴8071 and ⁵7560.)
- 6 APELL (Awareness and Preparedness for Emergencies at Local Level) (1998), List of Selected Accidents up to 1998, UNEP.
- 7 Foong H.W. & Yong P. (2000), Insurance Industry sources (personal communication).
- 8 Occupational Safety and Health Act 1994, "Control of Industrial Major Accident Hazards Regulations 1996 – CIMAH". Part IV: Report on Industrial Activity and Preparation of Emergency Plan for Major Hazard Installation and Schedule 2 (Regulation 2) Part 2: Categories of Substances and Preparations not specifically named in Part 1.
- 9 National Fire Protection Association (1990), "NFPA 30: Flammable and Combustible Liquids Code", 1990. Chapter 5: Operations.
- 10 National Fire Protection Association (1990), "NFPA 385: Standard for Tank Vehicles for Flammable and Combustible Liquids", 1990. Chapter 5: Auxiliary Equipment and Chapter 6: Operation of Tank Vehicles.
- 11 'Bulking Facility' (1997), "Operation Procedure Manual (Section 2)".
- 12 & 15 Rayvonne Sdn Bhd (1996), "Quantitative Risk Assessment Study of the Pulau Indah Terminal – Final Report", prepared by Runny Poh.
- 13 & 16 Rimac Consultants Sdn Bhd (1999), "Risk Management Inspection Report", prepared by K.K. Ng.
- 14 (i) 'Bulking Facility' (1997), "Safety, Health and Environment Manual (Section 3)", "Emergency Response Plan (Section 4) and "Operational Equipment / Machinery Maintenance Manual (Section 5).
(ii) 'Bulking Facility' (1997), "Fire Fighting Operation and Maintenance Manual".
- 17 U.S. Department of Transportation (1999), "Prohibiting Hazardous Material in External Piping of MC306 / DOT 406 Cargo Tank Motor Vehicles (Preliminary Assessment)", January 1999.
- 18 Hollnagel E. (1993), "Human Reliability Analysis Context and Control", Academic Press, London, Chapters 1 – 3, pp 5 – 144.

- 19 Kyung S.P. (1987), "Human Reliability: Analysis, Prediction, and Prevention of Human Errors", Elsevier Science, Amsterdam, Chapter 7: Human Reliability Prediction, pp 191 – 258.
- 20 Gertman D.I. & Blackman H.S. (1994), "Human Reliability and Safety Analysis Data Handbook", John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chapter 1: Introduction and Background to Human Reliability Analysis, pp 1 – 26.
- 21 Chew S.K. (2000), (personal communication), March 2000.
- 22 Department of Occupational Safety and Health, Malaysia, "A Guide For The Preparation Of An Effective On-Site Emergency Response Planning", Major Hazards Division, DOSH.
- 23 Hosty J. (1992), "A Practical Guide to Chemical Spill Response", Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York.
- 24 Transport Canada (TC), the U.S. Department of Transportation (DOT) & the Secretariat of Transport and Communications of Mexico (STC) (2000), 2000 Emergency Response Guidebook.