



**DEVELOPMENT OF A SEMI-ACTIVE BYPASS VISCOUS DAMPER FOR  
BRIDGE STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO DYNAMIC LOADS**

By

**HENGAMEH FARAH POUR**

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra  
Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of  
Doctor of Philosophy**

**September 2021**

**FK 2021 115**

## COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

## **DEVELOPMENT OF A SEMI-ACTIVE BYPASS VISCOUS DAMPER FOR BRIDGE STRUCTURES SUBJECTED TO DYNAMIC LOADS**

By

**HENGAMEH FARAH POUR**

**September 2021**

**Chairman : Associate Professor Farzad Hejazi, PhD**  
**Faculty : Engineering**

Variable traffic vibration loads on overcrossing and bridges are the cause of damages in essential load-bearing components could consequently lead to damage or failure of the bridge. Nowadays, the fluid viscous dampers are the most conventional energy dissipation system to implement in bridges. However, the viscous dampers are the passive type control system and its function is the same for an entire operation time of the device. Since the applied vibration in the bridge is dependent on various traffic loads (heavy, medium, and low traffic), it is required to change the function of the damper.

In this research, a Semi-active Bypass Viscous Damper is developed by utilizing a pair of external fluid flow patches as bypass valves to the sides of the viscous damper cylinder to flow the fluid from two chambers of the cylinder during the movement of the piston. Two flow control valves have been implemented in the device to control the flow pressure of the fluid passing through the bypass valve during the functioning of the damper device. Therefore, the function of the damper device is adjustable by changing the flow control valves positions within a range upon the displacement of the structure.

The analytical model of the proposed bypass viscous damper is developed and the performance of the device under different loading conditions has been formulated according to the control valves position and fluid pressure inside the cylinder. Then the finite volume model of the moving fluid inside the device has been developed and the function of the device evaluated through Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis.

In the next step, the prototype of the damper and the control panel has been fabricated and synchronized to perform the real-time control of the damper. Then the experimental tests have been conducted using a dynamic actuator.

The numerical analysis and experimental test results for the prototype revealed that the developed device is capable of developing a wide range of damping levels and there is a desirable agreement between numerical predictions and experimental results.

Thereafter, in order to examine the effect of the application of the semi-active control system in the bridge structures, the proposed system was implemented in the 19/5 California overcrossing bridge. The considered bridge equipped with the damper device is modeled using the finite element method and it is subjected to the passing vehicle loadings. The results showed that the bridge's response is dramatically improved with the implementation of the developed damper systems by reducing the peak displacement of the structure up to 70 percent by the mean of the semi-active control system while the control valves have been set to be 50 percent of the operational level of the device.

Afterward, to develop a real-time control system, a Fuzzy control algorithm has been developed and implemented to the numerical model. The fuzzy control algorithm is designed based on the formulated performance and it is introduced according to the American AASHTO standard code for minimum, maximum allowable, and extreme traffic loads applying to the bridge.

Then, to enhance the function of the semi-active system in a real-time control system, a MATLAB Simulink code was developed, and the control system with a semi-active Fuzzy control loop was implemented in a 3-story concrete frame structure subjected to a seismic load. The resultant data from this numerical study revealed that the developed semi-active Fuzzy control system works effectively to control the maximum displacement of the structure under seismic loads. Although the number and location of the installed dampers are very important and should be designed properly to obtain the desirable displacement control.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia  
sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

## **PEMBANGUNAN PENYERAP LIKAT PINTASAN SEPARUH AKTIF UNTUK STRUKTUR JAMBATAN YANG TERTAKLUK KEPADA BEBAN DINAMIK**

Oleh

**HENGAMEH FARAH POUR**

**September 2021**

**Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Farzad Hejazi, PhD**  
**Fakulti : Kejuteraan**

Beban getaran lalu lintas yang berubah-ubah diatas lintasan dan jambatan adalah punca keletihan dan kerosakan pada komponen gelas beban penting seperti gelas getah akan menyebabkan kerosakam atau kegagalan jambatan tersebut. Pada masa kini, penyerap likat bendalir adalah sistem pelepasan tenaga yang paling konvensional untuk diaplikasikan di jambatan. Walau bagaimanapun, penyerap likat adalah sistem kawalan jenis pasif dan ianya berfungsi sama untuk keseluruhan masa operasi peranti. Memandangkan, getaran yang digunakan di jambatan bergantung pada pelbagai beban lalu lintas (lalu lintas berat, sederhana, dan rendah), ianya perlu menukar fungsi penyerap. Dalam penyelidikan ini, Penyerap Likat Pintas Separuh Aktif dimajukan dengan menggunakan sepasang tompok aliran bendalir luaran sebagai injap pintasan ke sisi silinder penyerap likat untuk mengalirkan bendalir daripada dua ruang silinder semasa pergerakan ombok. Dua injap kawalan aliran telah diaplikasikan didalam peranti untuk mengawal tekanan aliran bendalir yang melalui injap pintasan semasa penyerap peranti itu berfungsi. Oleh itu, fungsi peranti boleh dilaraskan dengan menukar kedudukan injap kawalan aliran dalam julat anjakan struktur yang ditetapkan.

Model analitikal peranti yang dicadangkan dimajukan dan prestasi peranti tersebut dalam keadaan pemuatan yang berbeza telah dirumuskan mengikut kedudukan kawalan injap dan tekanan bendalir di dalam silinder. Selepas itu, model volum terhingga bendalir bergerak di dalam peranti telah dimajukan dan fungsi peranti dinilai melalui analisis Dinamik Bendalir Pengiraan (CFD).

Dalam langkah seterusnya, prototaip peranti dan panel kawalan telah direka dan disegerakkan untuk melaksanakan kawalan masa nyata penyerap tersebut. Selepas itu, ujian eksperimen dilakukan menggunakan penggerak dinamik.

Analisis berangka dan keputusan ujian eksperimen untuk prototaip mendedahkan bahawa peranti yang dimajukan mampu membangunkan pelbagai tahap penyerap dan terdapat persetujuan yang wajar diantara ramalan berangka dan keputusan eksperimen.

Oleh yang demikian, untuk mengkaji kesan penggunaan peranti didalam struktur jambatan, peranti yang dicadangkan diaplikasikan di lintasan jambatan 19/5. Jambatan yang dipertimbangkan telah dilengkapi dengan peranti yang dimodelkan menggunakan kaedah elemen terhingga dan ianya tertakluk kepada muatan kenderaan yang lalu. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa tindak balas jambatan bertambah baik secara mendadak dengan pelaksanaan sistem penyerap yang dimajukan dengan mengurangkan anjakan puncak struktur sebanyak kira-kira 70 peratus dengan purata min enam penyerap manakala injap kawalan telah ditetapkan kepada 50 peratus daripada tahap operasi peranti.

Selepas itu, untuk memajukan sistem kawalan masa nyata, algoritma kawalan Fuzzy telah dimajukan dan diaplikasikan kepada model berangka. Algoritma kawalan Fuzzy telah direka bentuk berdasarkan prestasi yang dirumuskan dan telah diperkenalkan mengikut kod standard AASHTO Amerika untuk kod minimum, maksimum yang dibenarkan dan lalu lintas beban yang melampau yang dikenakan pada jambatan.

Kemudian, untuk meningkatkan fungsi separa aktif dalam sistem kawalan masa nyata, kod MATLAB Simulink telah dimajukan, dan peranti dengan gelung kawalan Fuzzy separa aktif telah diaplikasikan dalam struktur rangka konkrit 3 tingkat yang telah dikenakan beban seismik. Data terhasil daripada kajian berangka mendedahkan bahawa sistem kawalan Fuzzy separa aktif yang dimajukan berfungsi dengan berkesan untuk mengawal anjakan maksimum struktur di bawah beban seismik. Walaupun bilangan dan lokasi peranti yang dipasang adalah sangat penting dan harus direka bentuk dengan betul untuk mendapatkan kawalan anjakan yang diinginkan.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Foremost, I would like to express my sincere gratitude to my advisor Prof. Farzad Hejazi for the continuous support of my Ph.D. study and research, and for his patience, motivation, enthusiasm, and immense knowledge.

Besides my advisor, I would like to thank the rest of my thesis committee: Prof. Mohd Saleh bin Jaafar, and Prof. Faizal bin Mustapha for their encouragement, insightful comments, and hard questions.

My sincere thanks also go to the University of Putra Malaysia and Hercules Engineering, for offering me the facilities, equipment, and opportunities in their groups and leading me to work on diverse exciting projects.

I thank my classmates in the Anti-vibration group for the stimulating discussions, for the all experiences and work we have done together, and for all the fun we have had in the last four years.

I would like to thank all my friends who always push me further and my leaders who always inspire and encourage me.

And last but not least, I would like to thank my family:

My mother, Badri Feiz, my father, Dr. Mehdi Farahpour, and my charming and intelligent son, Mohammad Hossein Kelich, who had faith in me and sacrificed a lot for me.

My brothers and sisters, Hoda, Neda, Hamed, and Habib who always had believe in my strengths even when I felt weak.

And Nafas and Artin who brought a wave of energy and freshness to the last four years.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

**Farzad Hejazi, PhD**

Associate Professor  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Chairman)

**Mohd Saleh bin Jaafar, PhD**

Professor Dato' Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

**Faizal bin Mustapha, PhD**

Professor, Ir.  
Faculty of Engineering  
Universiti Putra Malaysia  
(Member)

---

**ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD**

Professor and Dean  
School of Graduate Studies  
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 March 2023



## Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Chairman  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor  
Dr. Farzad Hejazi

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Professor Dato' Ir.  
Dr. Mohd Saleh Jaafar

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Member  
of Supervisory  
Committee: Associate Professor, Ir  
Dr. Faizal bin Mustapha

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

		Page
	<b>ABSTRACT</b>	i
	<b>ABSTRAK</b>	iii
	<b>ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS</b>	v
	<b>APPROVAL</b>	vi
	<b>DECLARATION</b>	viii
	<b>LIST OF TABLES</b>	xiii
	<b>LIST OF FIGURES</b>	xiv
	<b>LIST OF APPENDICES</b>	xxv
	<b>LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS</b>	xxvi
<b>CHAPTER</b>		
<b>1</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION</b>	<b>1</b>
	1.1 Introduction	1
	1.2 Statement of the problem	3
	1.3 Objectives of the study	4
	1.4 Hypothesis	4
	1.5 Scope and limitation of work	5
	1.6 Organization of Dissertation	6
<b>2</b>	<b>LITERATURE REVIEW</b>	<b>7</b>
	2.1 Introduction	7
	2.2 Stiffness-based restrainers	10
	2.3 Self-centering restrainers	14
	2.4 Passive energy dissipation restrainers	16
	2.4.1 Application Use	21
	2.4.1.1 Damped Outrigger	21
	2.4.1.2 Viscous Damping Devices for TMDs	23
	2.5 Structure Intelligent Control	23
	2.5.1 Active Intelligent Control	24
	2.5.2 Semi-Active Intelligent Control	25
	2.5.2.1 Magnetorheological (MR) Damper	25
	2.5.2.2 Electrorheological (ER) Damper	27
	2.5.2.3 Piezoelectricity Friction Dampers	28
	2.5.2.4 Semi-active Variable Stiffness Damper	29
	2.5.2.5 Semi-active Variable Damping Damper	31
	2.6 Summary	40

<b>3</b>	<b>METHODOLOGY</b>	<b>42</b>
3.1	Introduction	42
3.2	Overall Process of this Study	42
3.3	Development of Semi-Active control system	45
3.4	Proposed Design and Mechanism of the control system	45
3.5	Development of a programmable logic controller (Part B)	47
3.6	Application of Developed Control System in the LEBUHRAYA PULAU INDAH bridge	48
3.7	Design Procedure of the Hydraulic Circuit	51
3.8	Operational Bound of the bypass viscous damper	54
3.9	Development of the Analytical Model	57
3.10	Semi-Active Fuzzy Control Algorithm	63
3.11	Development of the Finite Volume Model	65
3.12	Farication of Prototypes	71
3.13	Test Setup and Procedure	81
	3.13.1 Experimental Tests through Manual Control System	83
	3.13.2 Experimental Tests through Real-time Control System	84
3.14	Development of Finite Element Model	86
	3.14.1 Viscous damper Maxwell model	88
	3.14.2 Dynamic Analysis Using Numerical Integration	89
	3.14.2.1 Newmark Family of Methods	90
	3.14.3 Highway bridge subjected to moving vehicle Loads	92
	3.14.3.1 Simply supported beam subjected to a moving constant force	93
	3.14.3.2 Harmonic Force	98
3.15	Summary	104
<b>4</b>	<b>RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS</b>	<b>105</b>
4.1	Introduction	105
4.2	Finite volume Study of the fluid inside the damper	105
4.3	Evaluation of Fuzzy Control Algorithm	112
4.4	Experimental Evaluation	116
	4.4.1 Manual Control System Experimental Test	117
	4.4.1.1 Incremental Cyclic Test Results	117
	4.4.1.2 Cyclic Load Frequency Test Results	121
	4.4.2 Semi-Active Control System Experimental Test	122
	4.4.2.1 Incremental Cyclic Test Results	122
	4.4.2.2 Cyclic Load Frequency Test Results	126
4.5	Numerical Analysis Results	129
	4.5.1 Validation of Finite Element Program Code	129

4.5.2	Vibration Control of a Bridge Equipped with Semi-Active Control System through Manual Control	133
4.5.3	Semi-active Control of a Bridge Equipped with the Control System	139
4.5.3.1	Minimum Traffic load/ Minimum Speed, Case no.1	141
4.5.3.2	Maximum Traffic load/ Maximum Speed, Case no.2	151
4.5.3.3	Minimum Traffic load/ Maximum Speed, Case no.3	161
4.5.3.4	Maximum Traffic load/ Minimum Speed, Case no.4	171
4.5.3.5	Summary	181
4.5.4	Bridge Subjected to Earthquake loads, Case no.5	185
<b>5</b>	<b>CONCLUSION AND RECOMMADATIONS</b>	<b>191</b>
5.1	General Conclusion	191
5.2	Specific Conclusion	193
5.3	Recommendation for Future Study	194
	<b>REFERENCES</b>	<b>195</b>
	<b>APPENDICES</b>	<b>207</b>
	<b>BIODATA OF STUDENT</b>	<b>226</b>
	<b>LIST OF PUBLICATIONS</b>	<b>227</b>

## LIST OF TABLES

<b>Table</b>		<b>Page</b>
1.1	Restrainer systems, methods and the research gap	2
2.1	A summary of the three related literature, methods and gapes	39
3.1	Geometrical parameters of semi-active bypass viscous damper	50
3.2	Mechanical properties of the fluid	50
3.3	Motorized valve specifications	54
3.4	Bypass viscous device working range	63
3.5	Fuzzy logic parameters	65
3.6	Excitation loads applied to experimental tests	83
3.7	Traffic loading on the bridge	100
3.8	Fuzzy control rules	104
4.1	Adjustable damping characteristics of the damper device	121
4.2	Cyclic Load Frequency Test Results	122
4.3	The effectiveness of the semi-active control system on the bridge structure dynamic response	139
4.4	Numerical results for span subjected to minimum load and minimum speed	151
4.5	Numerical results for span subjected to maximum load and maximum speed	161
4.6	Numerical results for span subjected to minimum load and maximum speed	171
4.7	Numerical results for span subjected to maximum load and minimum speed	181
4.8	The numerical results for span subjected to different load conditions	182

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	List of some of collapsed highway bridges in past earthquakes	7
2.2	The Miraflores Bridge collapse during 2010 Chilean earthquake	8
2.3	Tie-plate restrainers	11
2.4	Analytical models for interior and exterior shear keys	12
2.5	Cable restrainers in bridges	13
2.6	Force-displacement V hysteresis of self-centering device	15
2.7	X-shaped steel dampers	17
2.8	Viscoelastic dampers	18
2.9	Fluid viscous dampers in bridges	19
2.10	Damped outriggers FVDs	22
2.11	Direct Acting Damping FVDs	22
2.12	Classification of vibration control	23
2.13	Active control system	25
2.14	Schematic and configuration of an MR damper	27
2.15	Schematic and configuration of an ER damper	28
2.16	Schematic and configuration of a piezoelectric friction damper	29
2.17	Semi-active variable stiffness damper by using a bypass fluid damper	30
2.18	The Kajima Research Laboratory building equipped with a semi-active system	31
2.19	Semi-active fluid damper described by Karnopp et al (1974)	32
2.20	Schematic model of the controlled structure by semi-active variable damping damper	33
2.21	External view of Walnut Creek Bridge	34

2.22	The prototype of ASHD with 50 kN capacity	35
2.23	Steel Moment Resisting Frame	36
2.24	Semi-active bridge vibration control system and the hydraulic actuator Patten (1997)	37
2.25	Modified schematic of ODVS specimen	38
2.26	Control loop systems with acceleration feedback (left) and optimal controller (right)	39
3.1	Research methodology procedure	44
3.2	Semi-Active control system	46
3.3	The programmable logic controller (PLC)	47
3.4	Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT) sensor and panel meter	48
3.5	The semi-active vibration control system installed on the bridge	49
3.6	Semi-active control system, schematic diagram	51
3.7	First circuit designed to install on the bypass viscous damper	52
3.8	Developed hydraulic circuit using Solenoid Valve	52
3.9	Developed hydraulic circuit using a Motorized Valve	53
3.10	Motorized Valve	54
3.11	The simplified diagram of the double side shaft piston	55
3.12	Drawing of the hydraulic cylinder	56
3.13	Bypass fluid damper primary design procedure	57
3.14	The simplified diagram of the bypass viscous damper	58
3.15	Fluid pressure in the bypass pipes according to $\beta$	60
3.16	Numerically predicted damping coefficient of the bypass viscous damper device according to the valve position	61
3.17	Numerically predicted damping force of the ABFD device according to valve state	62
3.18	Force-displacement prediction for $\beta=75\%$	62

3.19	Force-displacement prediction for $\beta=50\%$	63
3.20	Open and close control loops	64
3.21	The applied mesh and boundary conditions in CFD model	66
3.22	Mesh independency study of finite element model	68
3.23	Simulating valve opening state at $\beta=50\%$	70
3.24	Fabrication of the hydraulic cylinder of the damper device	71
3.25	Sealing of the piston head	72
3.26	Fabrication of the Piston head	73
3.27	Fabrication of the Piston end connector	74
3.28	The piston head fabrication	75
3.29	Installation procedure of the piston inside the hydraulic cylinder	76
3.30	Fabrication of the bypass pipes	77
3.31	Installation of the motorized valves	78
3.32	Fabrication and setup of the PLC controller	78
3.33	Prototype of the semi-active control system	79
3.34	The experimental test set-up	79
3.35	Installation of the Pressure transmitter	80
3.36	Installed Linear Variable Differential Transformer (LVDT)	80
3.37	Valve Screen, the command from PLC panel (Greenlight), and valve feedback (Red light)	81
3.38	Experimental test support and restrainers	82
3.39	Schematic diagram of experimental test set-up	82
3.40	Parameters to calculate effective damping	84
3.41	Applied Load displacement During Semi-Active control test	85
3.42	Predicted Valve positions During Semi-Active control test	85
3.43	Viscous damper dissipated energy ellipse at resonance	87
3.44	Maxwell Model	89



3.45	Highway bridge subjected to moving vehicle Loads, Theoretical and numerical approach. (Benčat and Kohár, 2018)	93
3.46	Finite element model of 91/5 overcrossing in southern California	94
3.47	Transient force configuration applied to the bridge	95
3.48	Mesh independency study, Stress versus element number	96
3.49	Mesh independency study, Deflection versus element number	96
3.50	Mesh independency study, stress versus element size	97
3.51	Deformation result of 91/5 bridge, California subjected to Pacoima Dam Earthquake records (1971 San Fernando, California)	97
3.52	Drift time histories result for of the north column of 91/5 bridge, California subjected to Pacoima Dam Earthquake record (1971 San Fernando, California)	98
3.53	Lebuhraya Pulau Indah Bridge configuration	99
3.54	AASHTO Standard H Trucks	100
3.55	AASHTO Standard HS Trucks	100
3.56	Applied traffic loads on the bridge	101
3.57	Loading condition for minimum traffic load and minimum velocity	101
3.58	Loading condition for maximum traffic load and maximum velocity	102
3.59	Loading condition for minimum traffic load and maximum velocity	102
3.60	Loading condition for maximum traffic load and minimum velocity	103
4.1	Velocity, pressure and streamline contours in bypass damper	106
4.2	Velocity contour of oil while passing the valve in the bypass pipe	107
4.3	Flow pressure of oil while passing the valve in the bypass pipe	108

4.4	Velocity streamline contour in the bypass pipe	109
4.5	Velocity streamline contour in the bypass viscous damper when the valve is closing	110
4.6	Velocity and pressure variations in the damper device	111
4.7	Three-story concrete frame structure	112
4.8	MATLAB Simulink code for applying Fuzzy control algorithm to the one-dimensional frame structure	113
4.9	The displacement of the structure without Damper	114
4.10	The displacement of the structure with one Damper in the fully open position	115
4.11	The displacement of the structure with two dampers in the fully open position	116
4.12	Damper hysteresis loop at fully open valves ( $\beta=0$ )	117
4.13	Damper hysteresis loop when valves are 50% closed ( $\beta=50\%$ )	118
4.14	Damper hysteresis loop when valves are 75% closed ( $\beta=75\%$ )	118
4.15	Damper hysteresis loop when valves are 87.5% closed ( $\beta=87.5\%$ )	119
4.16	Damper hysteresis loop at fully closed valves ( $\beta=100\%$ )	119
4.17	Damper hysteresis loop for 50 and 70 mm at fully closed valves ( $\beta=100\%$ )	120
4.18	Damper stiffness variations according to the valve positions	120
4.19	Stiffness variations according to the different valve positions	121
4.20	Automatic control outcome for $\beta=70\%$	123
4.21	Hysteresis loop of real-time control excitation time-step =5 sec	124
4.22	LVDT data during the real-time control	124
4.23	Valve position data during the automatic control	125
4.24	Fluid pressure inside the device during automatic control	126

4.25	Force-displacement time history for excitation time-step =3 sec	127
4.26	Valve position feedback from the viscous damper, Time Steps=3 sec	127
4.27	Force-displacement curve of the damper device, Time Steps=1 sec	128
4.28	Valve position feedback from the damper, Time Steps= 1 sec	129
4.29	Pressure data validation, numerical and experimental data	130
4.30	Pressure data validation, analytical model, and test results	131
4.31	Damping coefficient, experimental and numerical data	132
4.32	Damping force, experimental and numerical data	132
4.33	Bridge total deformation, 2 and 4 sets of dampers, $\beta=50\%$	134
4.34	Midspan displacement of the bridge equipped to two sets of dampers in comparison with Bare structure	135
4.35	Piers force reaction reduction after installing 2 dampers	135
4.36	Abutment force reaction reduction after installing 2 dampers	136
4.37	Normalized midspan displacement before and after using dampers	137
4.38	Normalized pier force reaction before and after using dampers	138
4.39	Bare structure maximum displacement in comparison with equipped bridges, $\beta=50\%$	138
4.40	Schematic view of the semi-active control system installed on the Lebuhraya Pulau Indah bridge	140
4.41	Damper installation on the bridge span length of 28200 mm	140
4.42	Traffic load pattern for minimum traffic and minimum vehicle speed	141
4.43	Midspan nodal displacement in the x-direction with and without damper devices, Case no.1	142
4.44	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with and without dampers, Case no.1	142

4.45	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with semi-active bypass viscous damper in different valve positions, Case no.1	143
4.46	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with damper devices in different valve positions, Case no.1	144
4.47	Pier force reaction with damper devices in different valve positions, Case no.1	144
4.48	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=50\%$ , Case no.1	145
4.49	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=75\%$ , Case no.1	145
4.50	Damper stiffness variations during real-time control, Case no.1	146
4.51	Damping coefficient variations during real-time control, Case no.1	146
4.52	Midspan displacement in x-direction during real-time control, Case no.1	147
4.53	Midspan displacement in the y-direction during real-time control, Case no.1	147
4.54	Force-displacement during real-time control, Case no.1	148
4.55	Pier reaction force during real-time control, Case no.1	148
4.56	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, midspan displacement in the x-direction, Case no.1	149
4.57	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.1	149
4.58	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75$ , mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.1	150
4.59	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75$ , mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.1	150
4.60	Traffic load pattern for maximum traffic and maximum vehicle speed	151
4.61	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with and without dampers, Case no.2	152
4.62	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with and without dampers, Case no.2	152

4.63	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with damper device in different valve positions, Case no.2	153
4.64	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with dampers in different valve positions, Case no.2	153
4.65	Pier force reaction with dampers in different valve positions, Case no.2	154
4.66	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=50\%$ , Case no.2	154
4.67	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=75\%$ , Case no.2	155
4.68	Damper stiffness variations during semi-active control, Case no.2	155
4.69	Damper damping coefficient variations during real-time control, Case no.2	156
4.70	Midspan displacement in x-direction during semi-active control, Case no.2	156
4.71	Midspan displacement in y-direction during semi-active control, Case no.2	157
4.72	Force-displacement during semi-active control, Case no.2	157
4.73	Pier reaction force during semi-active control, Case no.2	158
4.74	Semi-active control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, midspan displacement in the x-direction, Case no.2	158
4.75	Semi-active control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.2	159
4.76	Semi-active control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75$ , mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.2	159
4.77	Semi-active control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75$ , mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.2	160
4.78	Pier reaction force during semi-active control, Case no.2	160
4.79	Traffic load pattern for minimum traffic and minimum vehicle speed, Case no.3	161
4.80	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with and without dampers, Case no.3	162

4.81	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with and without dampers, Case no.3	162
4.82	The effect of different valve positions on the bridge response, Case no.3	163
4.83	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with damper devices in different valve positions, Case no.3	163
4.84	Pier force reaction with damper devices in different valve positions, Case no.3	164
4.85	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=50\%$ , Case no.3	164
4.86	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=75\%$ , Case no.3	165
4.87	Damper stiffness variations during real-time control, Case no.3	165
4.88	Damping coefficient variations during real-time control, Case no.3	166
4.89	Midspan displacement in x-direction during real-time control, Case no.3	166
4.90	Midspan displacement in y-direction during semi-active control, Case no.3	167
4.91	Pier reaction force during real-time control, Case no.3	167
4.92	Force-displacement during real-time control, Case no.3	168
4.93	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.3	168
4.94	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.3	169
4.95	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75\%$ , mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.3	169
4.96	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75\%$ , mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.3	170
4.97	Pier reaction force during real-time control, Case no.3	170
4.98	Traffic load pattern for minimum traffic and minimum vehicle speed, Case no.4	171

4.99	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with and without dampers, Case no.4	172
4.100	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with and without dampers, Case no.4	172
4.101	Midspan displacement in the x-direction with dampers in different valve positions, Case no.4	173
4.102	Midspan displacement in the y-direction with dampers in different valve positions, Case no.4	173
4.103	Pier force reaction with dampers in different valve positions, Case no.4	174
4.104	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=50\%$ , Case no.4	174
4.105	Damper hysteresis loop for $\beta=75\%$ , Case no.4	175
4.106	Damper stiffness variations during real-time control, Case no.4	176
4.107	Damping coefficient variations during real-time control, Case no.4	176
4.108	Midspan displacement in x-direction during real-time control, Case no.4	177
4.109	Midspan displacement in y-direction during real-time control, Case no.4	177
4.110	Pier reaction force during real-time control, Case no.4	178
4.111	Force-displacement during real-time control, Case no.4	178
4.112	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.4	179
4.113	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with uncontrolled structure, mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.4	179
4.114	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75\%$ , mid-span displacement in the x-direction, Case no.4	180
4.115	Real-time control response of the bridge in comparison with $\beta=75$ , mid-span displacement in the y-direction, Case no.4	180
4.116	Pier reaction force during semi-active control, Case no.4	181
4.117	Reduction Factor in different traffic load scenarios	184

4.118	El Centro Earthquake acceleration in the x-direction, Case no.5	186
4.119	El Centro Earthquake acceleration in the y-direction, Case no.5	186
4.120	El Centro Earthquake acceleration in the z-direction, Case no.5	187
4.121	Bridge mid-span displacement response in the x-direction, Case no.5	187
4.122	Bridge mid-span displacement response in the y-direction, Case no.5	188
4.123	Bridge mid-span displacement response in the z-direction, Case no.5	188
4.124	Bridge mid-span displacement response with dampers in manual control, x-direction, Case no.5	189
4.125	Bridge mid-span displacement response with damper devices in manual control, y-direction, Case no.5	189
4.126	Bridge mid-span displacement response with dampers in manual control, z-direction, Case no.5	190



## LIST OF APPENDICES

<b>Appendix</b>		<b>Page</b>
A 1	Introduction	207
A 2	Minimum load/ minimum speed	207
A 3	Maximum traffic load/ maximum speed	213
A 4	Minimum Traffic Loads/ Maximum Speed	216
A 5	Maximum traffic load/ minimum speed	221
A 6	Summary	225

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$A_{cl}$	Cylinder Cross Section Area
$A_{pp}$	Cross-Section Area Of The Pipe
$A_{shaft}$	Occupied Cross Section Area By Shaft
$C$	Damping Coefficient
$D_{pp}$	Pipe Internal Diameter
$f$	Friction Factor
$F_D$	Control Force
$F_{Damping}$	Damping Force
$g$	Gravitational Acceleration
$h_L$	Total Flow Head Loss
$h_{major}$	Major Head Loss
$h_{minor}$	Minor Head Loss
$K$	Stiffness
$K_1$	Loss Coefficient
$K_L$	Head Loss Coefficient
$L_{pp}$	Pipe Length
$M$	Mass Flow
$n$	Current Time Level
$n+1$	Next Time Level
$p$	Pressure
$P_{ED}$	Maximum Internal Pressure
$P_{RD}$	Maximum Allowable Pressure
$S_\phi$	Source Term Of $\Phi$

$t$	Time
$v$	Fluid Velocity
$v_{pp}$	Flow Velocity Inside The Pipe
$x$	Displacement
$u$	Mesh Velocity
$\beta$	Closing Position Of The Valves In Percentage
$\rho$	Fluid Density
$\Gamma$	Diffusion Coefficient



© COPYRIGHT UPM

# CHAPTER 1

## INTRODUCTION

### 1.1 Introduction

Most of the time, highway over crossings, bridges, and building damage are the result of underestimating excessive seismic displacements and the large dynamic forces applied to the structure during their life spans. On the other hand, conclusive evidence shows highway bridges are routinely subjected to larger than anticipated vehicle loads that may shorten the useful service life of these vital structures. Given the abundance of bridge failures, many research programs were conducted to improve the dynamic behavior of structures. With the help of strong-motion records, enhancements have been achieved in the design, analysis, and also retrofit programs of bridge structures.

Although provisions for bridge failure have been defined in the design procedure (Xiang et al., 2019) but still the development of more reliable techniques is required. The increasing need for safer bridges has led to the implementation of various control techniques including passive, active, and semi-active methods to mitigate structural sway in bridges and buildings. Since passive systems have fixed performance and the outcome resultant force is not adjusted according to the required response of the structure, active and semi-active control systems as a practical possibility for vibration control mostly have been considered.

Active control of the bridge structure by using a control surface system with winglets was presented by Phan (2020) which helps a long suspension bridge reach a stable state of flutter and buffeting.

Semi-active control systems are a class of active control systems that use the motion of the structure to develop the control forces and the required external energy is smaller amounts in comparison with the active control method. Battery power can be sufficient to make a semi-active system operative for real-time control.

Recently, the automotive semi-active suspension (SAS) system with the nonlinear hydraulic adjustable damper has been used in automobiles (Ma et al., 2019). The concept of the application of a semi-active control to bridges was described by Peng et al. (2022). They discussed the possibility of outfitting bridges with semi-active dampers to provide vibration control.

**Table 1.1 : Restrainer systems, methods and the research gap**

<b>Restrainer System</b>	<b>Methods</b>	<b>Research Gap</b>
Stiffness-Based Restrainer	Tie-plate Steel Restrainer, Concrete Shear Keys, High Resistance Steel Cables, FRP Cables	Residual Displacement, Additional Stiffness, No Energy Dissipation.
Self-Centring Restrainer	SMA devices, Pre-load Spring Damper, pre-tensioned tendons and energy dissipators, steel dual-core prestressed tensioning members, viscous damper with imposed preloads.	Costly, Temperature Dependency, Frequent Maintenance, Complex design and Manufacturing, Low Energy Dissipation.
Passive Vibration Energy Dissipation Restrainers	Metallic Dampers (Straight and tapered Steel Rods, X-shape, Triangular, U and E Steel Plates, Steel Pipes, Tuned Liquid Dampers, Viscoelastic Dampers, Fluid Viscous Damper, Viscous Wall Dampers,	limited Energy Dissipation Capacity, Not adjustable with Changing of Dynamic Forces, Not Accurate Vibration Control.
Active Vibration Energy Dissipation System	Quadratic Regulator System, Closed Hydraulic System	Large External Power Source, Actuator's Failure, Additional Energy
Semi-active Control Systems	MR, ER, Piezoelectricity friction Dampers	Very Expensive Technology, Sensitive to Temperature Variations

According to the interaction between vehicle, loads, and the structure, the control methods can be categorized into three main categories, stiffness-based restrainers, energy dissipation restrainers, and self-centering restrainers.

These restrainer systems with particular methods and the research gaps that may limit a wider implementation of these restrainers in bridge structures have been listed in Table 1-1. In between, passive fluid viscous dampers as an energy dissipation restrainer method, when properly specified and designed, have served as a structure's primary defense to prevent catastrophic damage and costly repairs.

The successfulness of the control system quite depends on the primary design of the factors and parameters of the whole system, not only the device itself but also the configuration of the hydraulic circuit, control algorithm, and also time delay considerations.

However, seismic activities are highly doubtful concerning scale and nature, the semi-active fluid viscous damper is capable of developing a wide range of damping levels between design upper and lower bound. So that the decrease in

the structural reaction will be promising and obtained through transferring some of the structural shaking energy to supplementary dampers added to the main building.

## 1.2 Statement of the problem

Nowadays, the fluid viscous dampers are the most conventional energy dissipation system which is implemented in the bridges to prevent any excessive movements on the structure due to traffic loads. However, the vibration on the bridge structure depends on the traffic load which is variable and continually changes, therefore, it is required to adopt the function of the damper device according to the applied excitation to effectively protect the bridges against frequent and severer vibrations. Hence, to adjust the function of viscous dampers according to the required response of the structure, two different systems have been developed recently, "variable damping" and "variable stiffness" semi-active viscous damper devices. For the first category, the device is designed so that the damping characteristics are adjustable during the operation, whereas in the second group, only the stiffness of the device changes. However, these developed semi-active systems, are not able to meet all the precise control requirements for the research gaps listed as follow:

- Semi-Active Variable Stiffness System: this system has been examined with On-off Operation within the configuration of the lock mechanism of one bracing system. The developed system applied a small change in Stiffness and provided a limited control band. (Kobori, 1999)
- Semi-Active Variable Damping System: this device has been developed by using a bypass hydraulic system with the on-off operation, and LRQ and COC control algorithm, the performance is stable for a specific range of velocity amplitude of the excitation. Time delay, costly hydraulic circuit, and maximum 4 valve positions limited the device function. (Oliveira and Morais, 2012).

Hence, this study proposes a new semi-active variable stiffness and damping control system to control the vibration behavior of the bridge structure while subjected to changing traffic loads.

This control system consists of a fluid damper, a programmable logic controller (PLC), pressure transmitters, and displacement sensors. The proposed Semi-Active control system consists of a hydraulic cylinder with a pair of external bypass pipes with motorized electric flow control valves which are installed in the middle of pipes to control the flow rate of the fluid from one chamber of the hydraulic cylinder to another. A programmable logic controller (PLC) is implemented to manage the operation of both motorized valves according to the movement of the bridge which is measured through displacement sensors.

Therefore, the pressure inside the hydraulic cylinder is controllable and the function of the device is adaptive so that the integrated control system can perform a real-time control during its operation.

This damper device is mainly originated from a passive fluid viscous damper and dissipates energy on the principle of head loss phenomena caused by fluid resistance while flowing through different sections. The damper adjusts the proper damping and stiffness, simultaneously, within a range, without shifting the ratio of the natural frequency of the structure. The device is low-cost and low-maintenance and is able to absorb a relatively high level of energy throughout the excitation event. This semi-active control damper can operate and provide real-time control during different conditions.

### **1.3 Objectives of the study**

The proposed vibration control system will be used to mitigate the vibration energy caused by vehicular traffic, wind, earthquake, and other dynamic excitations. The emphasis of the work is proposing a new technique for the reduction of the vibration, through the development of a synchronized hardware and software system that can be added to the design of a new structure or retrofitted to existing ones to extend their service life. Thus, specific objectives that contribute to this aim include:

- 1) To develop a new semi-active variable stiffness and damping control system consisting of a fluid Damper, a programmable logic controller (PLC), pressure transmitters, and displacement sensors to control bridge structures subjected to traffic loads.
- 2) To develop a finite volume model to measure the fluid characteristics, pressure, and velocity, inside the damper device and simulate the resultant force as an output performance and optimize the design of the device components.
- 3) To validate the numerical results, through manufacturing the lab-size prototype and conducting the experimental tests using the dynamic actuator.
- 4) To minimize the displacement response of the deck of the bridge structure due to traffic loads by developing a control algorithm.

### **1.4 Hypothesis**

Implementing the semi-active vibration dissipation system able to dissipate bridge vibration effectively to protect the bridge structure since it is adopting its own performance according to the applied vibrations through the smart controller.

## 1.5 Scope and limitation of work

To ensure that the above objectives are achieved, the present study is organized as follow:

- 1) To develop the finite element model of the bridge-damper, the Newton Raphson method, along with implicit numerical integration methods were utilized to provide a solution of the nonlinear system. The analysis has been done using the commercial software ANSYS 2019 R1. To define the dynamic and structural parameters of the damper the Maxwell model has been used through implementing spring elements by assuming that the properties can be represented by a spring and a dashpot connected in series.
- 2) To analyze the bridge, the traffic load conditions have been considered according to The American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials standards (AASHTO,1996).
- 3) The fabrication process of the proposed devices is established based on the availability of materials, simplicity of manufacturing, and university test equipment capacity.
- 4) The geometry, boundary condition, and material specifications of the device have been defined according to the design of the prototype for testing by using a hydraulic jack.
- 5) According to the limitation of the test equipment, the maximum excitation force has been considered to be equal to 300 kN. A horizontal electro-hydraulic actuator was used to implement a saw-tooth displacement pattern. To evaluate the damper device accurately, three or more cycles of loading were applied at a predefined condition (JSSI Manual, 2003).
- 6) For analytical prediction and experimental testing, the temperature is set to 30 degrees Celsius, which is the same as the ambient temperature. However, to maintain the desired temperature during the experimental test, sufficient rest time has been considered in between each cycle test to avoid any temperature rise.
- 7) The prototype for the control system has been fabricated according to the ISO9002 industry-standard procedures to offer quality assurance.



## 1.6 Organization of Dissertation

A brief narrative of the remaining chapters is presented as follow:

Chapter 2 provides a background review and current status on the development of different bridge restrainer methods including passive, semi-active, and active control systems. Different materials and configurations for innovative semi-active fluid dampers are presented to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the existing knowledge in this field.

Chapter 3 presents the research methodology of the current study, including the initial design of the device, hydraulic circuit, and control algorithm. Then the whole procedure of the parametric study, finite element simulations, and numerical analysis was discussed. Moreover, the process of fabrication of prototypes and experimental test setups is also introduced.

Chapter 4 extensively reports the initial assessment results and the numerical and experimental evaluation of the Viscous Bypass Damper under dynamic loading.

Chapter 5 summarizes the present study and provides its general and specific conclusions. The scope of future works and recommendations are also discussed.

## REFERENCES

- Aguirre, J. J., Almazán, J. L., and Paul, C. J. (2012). "Optimal control of linear and nonlinear asymmetric structures by means of passive energy dampers." *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics* 42(3), 377–395.
- Akcelyan, S., Lignos, D. G., and Hikino, T., (2018). "Adaptive numerical method algorithms for nonlinear viscous and bilinear oil damper models subjected to dynamic loading." *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* Volume 113, October 2018, Pages 488-502.
- Almazan, J. L., and De la Liera, J. C. (2009). "Torsional balance as new design criterion for asymmetric structures with energy dissipation devices, *Earthquake Engng Struct. Dyn.* 38:1421–1440.
- Andrawes, B., DesRoches, R. (2007). "Comparison between shape memory alloy seismic restrainers and other bridge retrofit devices." *J Bridge Eng.*12(6):700–9.
- ASCE/SEI 41-17, "Seismic evaluation and retrofit of existing concrete buildings." American Society of Civil Engineers, 2017, doi:10.1061/9780784414859.
- Aschheim, M. (2000). "Yield point spectra for seismic design and rehabilitation." *Earthquake Spectra* 16(2):317–35.
- Bai, B., and Jing Guo, J., (2020). "Finite Element Damping Simulation of Viscous Damper for Simply Supported Beam Vibration Mitigation." *IOP Conf. Series: Earth and Environmental Science* 525 012162, doi:10.1088/1755-1315/525/1/012162.
- Bencat, J. and Kohár, R. (2018). "Bridges Subjected to Dynamic Loading." *Bridge Engineering*, Chapter 7, Intechopen, <http://dx.doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.73193>.
- Bi, K., Hao, H. (2015). "Modelling of shear keys in bridge structures under seismic loads." *Soil Dyn Earthquake Eng.*74:56–68.
- Billah, A.H.M.M., Alam, M.S. (2016). "Performance-based seismic design of shape memory alloy–reinforced concrete bridge piers. I: development of performance-based damage states." *J Struct Eng.*142(12):04016140.
- Billah, A.H.M.M. and Alam, S. M. (2016). "Performance-based seismic design of shape memory alloy–reinforced concrete bridge piers. II: Methodology and design example." *J Struct Eng.*142(12):04016141.

- Bozorgzadeh, A., Megally, S., Restrepo, J. (2003). "Seismic response of sacrificial exterior shear keys in bridge abutments: Recommended design and construction details." Rep. to Caltrans, Contract (59A0337).
- Brigadnov, I.A., Dorfmann, A., (2005). "Mathematical modeling of magnetorheological fluids." *Continuum Mech Thermodyn*;17(1):29-42.
- Buckle, I. G. (1994). "The Northridge, California earthquake of January 11 1994: performance of highway bridges." Tech. Rep. NCEER-94-0068, National Center for Earthquake Engineering Research.
- Cavanaugh, R. D. (1961). "Air Suspension and Motorized-Controlled Isolation Systems." Harris, C. M., and Crede, C. E., eds., *Shock and Vibration Handbook Vol. 2*, Chap. 33, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1961.
- Chen, G., Bothe, E., Ger, J. (2001). "Experimental characterization of metallic dampers for seismic retrofit of highway bridges." *Transport Res Record: J Transport Res Board*;1770:124–31.
- Christopoulos, C. and Filiatrault, A. (2006). "Principles of Passive Supplemental Damping and Seismic Isolation." IUSS Press, Pavia, Italy.
- Constantinou, M. C. and Symans, M. D. (1993) "Seismic response of structures with supplemental damping. " *The Structural Design of Tall Buildings 2*, 77–92.
- Constantinou, M. C., Soong, T. T., and Dargush, G. F. (1998). "Passive Energy Dissipation Systems for Structural Design and Retrofit, MCEER Monograph no. 1." Multidisciplinary Center for Earthquake Engineering Research, State University of New York at Buffalo.
- Crede, C. E. and Cavanaugh, R. D. (1958). "Feasibility Study of an Active Vibration Isolator for a Helicopter Rotor. " WADC 58-183.
- De Vicente, J., Klingenberg, D.J., Hidalgo-Alvarez, R. (2011). "Magnetorheological fluids: a review." *Soft Matter* ;7(8):3701-10.
- DesRoches, R., Fenves, G.L. (2001). "Simplified restrainer design procedure for multiple-frame bridges." *Earthquake Spectra*. 17(4):551–67.
- DesRoches, R., Pfeifer, T., Leon, R.T., et al. (2003). "Full-scale tests of seismic cable restrainer retrofits for simply supported bridges." *J Bridge Eng*. 8(4):191–8.
- Dine, R. E. (1948). "The Design and Development of a Machine to Determine the Factors that Govern the Design of a Suitable Dashpot," Master's thesis of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Ohio State University.

- Diotallevi, P.P., Landi, L. and Lucchi, S. (2014). "A Direct Method for The Design of Viscous Dampers to Be Inserted in Existing Buildings." Tenth U.S. National Conference on Earthquake Engineering Frontiers of Earthquake Engineering, July 21-25, Anchorage, Alaska.
- Diudea, M., Hodor, V., Bălan, R., Bara, M. (2013). "Cfd Prediction of The Flow Inside the Damper by The Use Of Les Model." Bulletin of the Transilvania University of Braşov, Series I: Engineering Sciences. Vol. 6 (55) No. 2.
- Elnashai, A.S., Gencturk, B., Kwon, O.S., et al. (2011). "The Maule (Chile) earthquake of February 27, 2010: Consequence assessment and case studies." Full Report - Updated 01-18-2011, <http://hdl.handle.net/2142/18212>.
- European Standard: Basis of structural design, Eurocode 3: Design of steel structures, 1993.
- Oliveira F. and Morais, P. (2012). "Semi-active control of a fluid viscous damper for vibration mitigation." 15th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Lisbon, Portugal 24-28 September 2012.
- Fisco, N. R. and Adeli, H. (2011) "Smart structures Part II - Hybrid control systems and control strategies." *Scientia Iranica Transactions A: Civil Engineering.* 18(3) 285-295.
- Fisco, N. R. and Adeli, H. (2011) "Smart structures: Part I - Active and semi-active control, *Scientia Iranica Transactions A: Civil Engineering.*" 18(3) 275-284.
- Gluck, N., Reinhorn, A. M., Gluck, J., and Levy, R. (1996). "Design of supplemental dampers for control for structures." *Journal of Structural Engineering* 122(12), 1394–1399.
- Gong, S., Zhou, Y. (2017). "Experimental study and numerical simulation on a new type of viscoelastic damper with strong nonlinear characteristics." *Structural Control Health Monitor* ;24(4): e1897.
- Guan, Z., Li, J., Xu, Y. (2009). "Performance test of energy dissipation bearing and its application in seismic control of a long-span bridge." *J Bridge Eng.*15(6):622–30.
- Gurpinar, M. (1950). "An Investigation of the Damping Characteristics of Fluid Dashpots for the Development of Design Criteria." Master's thesis of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Ohio State University.
- Gurubasavaraju, T. M., Kumar, H., and Mahalingam, A., (2018). "An approach for characterizing twin-tube shear-mode magnetorheological damper through coupled FE and CFD analysis." *Journal of the Brazilian Society of Mechanical Sciences and Engineering* 40:139.

- Hagood, N.W., Chung, W.H., Von Flotow, A. (1990). "Modelling of piezoelectric actuator dynamics for active structural control." *J Intell Mater Syst and Struct*;1(3):327-54.
- Hahn, G. D. and Sathivageeswaran, K. R. (1992). "Effect of added-damper distribution on the seismic response of buildings." *Computers and Structures* 43(5), 941–950.
- Han, Q., Zhou, Y., Ou, Y., et al. (2017). "Seismic behavior of reinforced concrete sacrificial exterior shear keys of highway bridges." *Eng. Struct.* 39:59–70.
- Housner, G.W., Bergman, L.A., Caughey, T.K., et al. (1997). "Structural control: past, present, and future." *J Eng. Mech*123(9):897-971.
- Hsu, Y.T. and Fu, C.C. (2004). "Seismic effect on highway bridges in Chi Chi earthquake." *J Perform Constr Facil*,18(1):47–53.  
<https://doi.org/10.2320/matertrans.45.2542>.
- Hwang, J.S. and Tseng, Y.S. (2005). "Design formulations for supplemental viscous dampers to highway bridges." *Earthquake Eng. Struct Dyn.* 34(13):1627–42.
- Infanti, S., Papanikolas, P., Benzoni, G., et al. (2004). "Rion-Antirion Bridge: design and full-scale testing of the seismic protection devices." *Proceedings of the 13th world conference on earthquake engineering*.
- Ip, C. U. (1956). "A Study of The Characteristics of Dashpots: Some Design Criteria for Hydraulic Shock Absorbers." *Master's thesis of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Ohio State University*.
- Jennings, P.C. (1971). "Engineering features of the San Fernando earthquake of February 9, 1971." Rep. No. EERL-76/18, 1971, Earthquake Engineering Research Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California.
- Johnson, R., Saiidi, M., Maragakis, E. (2005). "Fiber reinforced plastics for seismic bridge restrainers." CCEER 05-2 Reno: Dept. of Civil Engineering, Univ. of Nevada.
- Jugulkar, L. M., Singh, S., Sawant, S. M. (2016). "Fluid flow modeling and experimental investigation on automobile damper." *Construction and Building Materials* 121 760–772.
- Karnopp, D. C., and Trikha, A. K. (1969). "Comparative Study of Optimization Techniques for Shock and Vibration Isolation," *Journal of Engineering for Industry, Trans. ASME, Series B, Vol. 91, No. 4, Nov. pp. 1128-1132*.

- Karnopp, D.C., Crosby M.J., Harwood R.A. (1974). "Vibration Control Using Semi-Active Force Generators." *ASME J. of Eng. for Industry*, 2, 619–626.
- Kastor, R. L. (1950). "An Investigation of Some Criteria for the Design of Mechanical Vibrating System Fluid Dashpots," Master's thesis of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Ohio State University.
- Kesy, Z., Medrek, G., Osowski, K., Olszak, A., Migus, M., Musiałek, I., Musiałek, K., Kesy, A. (2022). "Characteristics of Electrorheological Fluids." Editor(s): Abdul-Ghani Olabi, *Encyclopedia of Smart Materials*, Elsevier, Pages 114-139, ISBN 9780128157336, <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-815732-9.00012-7>.
- Khedmatgozar Dolati, S. S., Mehrabi, A., and Khedmatgozar Dolati, S. S. (2021). "Application of Viscous Damper and Laminated Rubber Bearing Pads for Bridges in Seismic Regions." *Metals*, 11(11), 1666.
- Kim, J.M., Feng, M.Q., Shinozuka, M. (2000). "Energy dissipating restrainers for highway bridges. *Soil Dyn Earthquake Eng.* 19(1):65–9.
- Kori, J. G. and Jangid, R. S. (2008). "Semi-active control of seismically isolated bridges." *Int. J. Struct. Stab. Dyn.*, 08(4) 547-568.
- Kurino, H., Kobori T. (1999). "Semi-Active Structural Response Control by Optimizing the Force-Deformation Loop of Variable Damper." *Proc. of the 2nd World Conf. on Structural Control*. Kyoto, Japan, Vol. 1, 407–416.
- Landi, L., Diotallevi, P.P., and Castellari, G. (2013). "On the Design of Viscous Dampers for the Rehabilitation of Plan-Asymmetric Buildings." *Journal of Earthquake Engineering*, 17:1141–1161.
- Leatherwood, J. D., and Dixon, G. V. (1968). "Active Vibration Isolation for Flexible Payloads." *IES Proceedings*, Apr. pp. 407-413.
- Li, H., Yuan, X.S., Wu, B. (2002). "Experimental study on structures with semi-active fluid dampers." *J Vib Eng.* 25(1):24-9 (in Chinese).
- Li, J., Xiang, N., Tang, H., et al. (2016). "Shake-table tests and numerical simulation of an innovative isolation system for highway bridges." *Soil Dyn. Earthquake Eng.* 86:55–70.
- Li, S., Hedayati Dezfuli, F., Wang, J.Q., et al. (2018). "Displacement-Based Seismic Design of Steel, FRP, and SMA Cable Restrainers for Isolated Simply Supported Bridges." *Bridge Eng.* 23(6):04018032.
- Lopez-Garcia, D. (2001). "A simple method for the design of optimal damper configurations in MDOF structures." *Earthquake Spectra* 17(3), 387–398.

- Losanno, D., Londono, J. M., Zinno, S., Serino, G. (2018). "Effective damping and frequencies of viscous damper braced structures considering the supports flexibility" *Computers & Structures*, Volume 207, Pages 121-131.
- Makris, N. and Zhang, J. (2002). "Structural Characterization and Seismic Response Analysis of a Highway Overcrossing Equipped with Elastomeric Bearings and Fluid Dampers: A case Study." Pacific Earthquake Engineering Research Center, College of Engineering University of California, Berkeley, November 2002, PEER Report 2002/17.
- Maleki, S., Bagheri, S. (2010). "Pipe damper, Part II: Application to bridges." *J Constr Steel Res.* 66(8–9):1096–106.
- Marti, K. (2012). "Optimal control of dynamical systems and structures under stochastic uncertainty: Stochastic optimal feedback control." *Adv. Eng. Software*, 46 43-62.
- Megally, S.H., Silva, P.F., Seible, F. (2002). "Seismic response of external sacrificial shear keys." Report No SSRP-2001/23 San Diego: Department of Structural Engineering, University of California; p. 198.
- Michaels, J. E. (1949). "An Investigation of Some of the Criteria of Design for Dashpots Used in Damping of Mechanical Vibrating Systems," Master's thesis of the Department of Mechanical Engineering, The Ohio State University.
- Miyamoto, K., Taylor, D., and Duflo, F. (2002). "Seismic rehabilitation of a reinforced concrete hotel using fluid viscous dampers." *Proc. of the 12th European Conference on Earthquake Engineering*, London, UK.
- Moehle, J. P. (1994). "Northridge earthquake of January 17, 1994: Reconnaissance report." Volume 1: Highway bridges and traffic management. *Earthquake Spectra*, 11Suppl; p. 287–372.
- Mohebbi, M. and Dadkhah, H. D. (2017). "Performance of semi-active base isolation systems under external explosion." *Int. J. Struct. Stab. Dyn.*, 17(10), doi: 10.1142/S0219455417501127.
- Moses, F., Schilling, C. G., and Raju, K. S. (1987). "Fatigue Evaluation Procedures for Steel Bridges", National Cooperative Highway Research Program, Washington, D.C., November 1987.
- Muhr, A. H. (2006). "Fracture of Rubber-Steel Laminated Bearings. " In *Elastomers and Components* (pp. 209-226). Woodhead Publishing.
- Munson, B. R., and Young, D. F. (2009). "Theodore H. Okiishi, Wade W. Huebsch, *Fundamentals of Fluid Mechanics*." John Wiley & Sons, Inc., Sixth Edition.

- Murota, N. and Mori, T. (2020). "An Experimental Study on Scale Effect in Dynamic Shear Properties of High-Damping Rubber Bearings Front." *Built Environ.* 6:37. doi: 10.3389/fbuil.2020.00037.
- Murphy, T.P., Collins, K.R. (2004). "Retrofitting suspension bridges using distributed dampers." *J Struct Eng.* 130(10):1466–74.
- Newmark, N. M. (1959). "A Method of Computation for Structural Dynamics." *ASCE Journal of the Engineering Mechanics Division.* Vol. 85 No. EM3.
- Niu, J., Ding, Y., Shi, Y., Li, Z. (2019). "Oil damper with variable stiffness for the seismic mitigation of cable-stayed bridge in transverse direction." *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering* 125 105719.
- Niwa, N., Kobori, T., Takahashi, M., Kurata, N. and Mizuno, T., Midorikawa, H. (2000) "Dynamic loading test and simulation analysis of full-scale semi-active hydraulic damper for structural control, *Earthquake Engng Struct. Dyn.* 29: 789-812.
- Obata, M., Goto, Y., Matsuura, S., et al. (1996). "Ultimate behavior of tie plates at high-speed tension." *J Struct Eng.* 122(4):416–22.
- Obata, M., Suzuki, S., Goto, Y., et al. (1991). "Ultimate behaviors of tie plates used as bridge restrainer." *J Struct Eng.* 117(10):2884–99.
- Patten, W. N., Kuo, C. C., He Q. et al. (1994). "Suppression of Vehicle-Induced Bridge Vibration via Hydraulic Semiactive Vibration Dampers." *Proc. of the 1st World Conf. on Structural Control.* Pasadena, CA, FA1–30–38.
- Patten, W. N., Sack, R. L., and He, Q. (1996). "Controlled Semiactive Hydraulic Vibration Absorber for Bridges." *J. Struct. Eng.* 122:187-192.
- Patten, W.N. (1997). "New life for the Walnut Creek Bridge via semi-active vibration control." *Newsl Int Assoc Struct Control* ;2(1):4-5.
- Peng, S., Zhang, L., Liu, Y., Li, S. (2022). "Parameter's optimization and performance evaluation of multiple tuned mass dampers to mitigate the vortex-induced vibration of a long-span bridge." *Structures*, ISSN 2352-0124, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.istruc.2022.01.012>.
- Peterson, J. B. (1943) "Damping Characteristics of Dashpots," N.A.C.A. Technical Note no. 830.
- Phan, D. (2020). "Aeroelastic control of bridge using active control surfaces: Analytical and experiment study." *Structures*, Volume 27, October 2020, Pages 2309-2318.



- Priestley, M. J. N., Seible, F., Uang, C.M. (1991). "The Northridge earthquake of January 17, 1994: Damage analysis of selected freeway bridges." San Diego: Dept. of Applied Mechanics & Engineering Sciences, University of California.
- Rabinow, J. (1948). "The magnetic fluid clutches." *Trans Am Inst Electr Eng.* 2(67):1308-15.
- Rai, N. K., Reddy, G. R., Ramanujam, S., Venkatraj, V. and Agrawal, P. (2009). "Seismic response control systems for structures." *Defence Science Journal*, 59(3) (2009) 239-251.
- Rosenfeld, N., Wereley, N.M., Radakrishnan, R., et al. (2002). "Behavior of magnetorheological fluids utilizing nano-powder iron." *Int J Mod Phys*;16(17n18):2392-8.
- Sack, R. L., Pattens, W. N. (1994). "Semi-active Hydraulic Structural Control." *Proc. of the Internat. Workshop on Structural Control*, 417–431, USC Publication Number CE9311.
- Saiidi, M., Randall, M., Maragakis, E., et al. (2001). "Seismic restrainer design methods for simply supported bridges." *J Bridge Eng.* 6(5):307–15.
- Saiidi, M.S., Johnson, R., Maragakis, E.M. (2006). "Development, shake table testing, and design of FRP seismic restrainers." *J Bridge Eng.* 11(4):499–506.
- Schanack, F., Valdebenito, G., Alvial, J. (2012). "Seismic damage to bridges during the 27 February 2010 magnitude 8.8 Chile earthquake." *Earthquake Spectra*;28(1):301–15.
- Selna, L.G., Malvar, L.J., Zelinski, R.J. (1989). "Bridge retrofit testing: Hinge cable restrainers." *J Struct Eng.* 115(4):920–34.
- Shen, X., Wang, X., Ye, Q., et al. (2017). "Seismic performance of Transverse Steel Damper seismic system for long span bridges." *Eng. Struct.* 141:14–28.
- Shih, M. H., Sung, W. P., Go, C. G. (2004). "Development Of Accumulated Semi-Active Hydraulic Dampers." 13th World Conference on Earthquake Engineering, Vancouver, B.C., Canada, August 1-6, Paper No. 1963.
- Shrestha, B., Hao, H., Bi, K. (2017). "Devices for protecting bridge superstructure from pounding and unseating damages: an overview." *Struct Infrastruct Eng.* 13(3):313–30.
- Silvestri, S., Gasparini, G., and Trombetti, T. (2010). "A five-step procedure for the dimensioning of viscous dampers to be inserted in building structures." *Journal of Earthquake Engineering* 14, 417–447.

- Soong, T. T. and Spencer, B. F. (2002). "Supplemental energy dissipation: state-of-the-art and state-of-the practice." *Eng. Struct.*, 24 243-259.
- Soong, T. T. and Dargush, G. F. (1997). "Passive Energy Dissipation Systems in Structural Engineering." John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, UK.
- Soong, T. T. and Spencer, B. F. (2002). "Supplementary Energy Dissipation: State-of-the-Art and State-of-the-Practice." *Engng. Struct.*, 24, 243–259.
- Spencer, B. F., and Nagarajaiah, S. (2003). "State of the art structural control." *J. Struct. Eng.*, ASCE, 129(7) 845-856.
- Spencer, B. F. and Michael, K. S. (1997). "Controlling Buildings: A New Frontier in Feedback." *IEEE Control Syst. Magaz. on Emerging Technol.*, 17, 6, 19–35.
- Spuler, T., Moor, G., O'suillebhain, C. (2010). "Bridge components to satisfy the demanding requirements of modern bridges." IABSE Venice.
- Stanway, R. (2004). "Smart fluids: current and future developments." *Mater Sci Technol*;20(8):931-9.
- Symans, M. D., Charney, F. A., Whittaker, A. S., Constantinou, M. C., Kircher, C. A., Johnson M. W. and McNamara, R. J. (2008). "Energy dissipation systems for seismic applications: current practice and recent developments." *J. Struct. Eng.*, ASCE, 134(1) 3-21.
- Symans, M. D., Constantinou M. C. (1995). "Development and Experimental Study of Semiactive Fluid Damping Devices for Seismic Protection of Structures." Techn. Report NCEER-95-011, National Centre for Earthquake Engng. Res., Buffalo, New York.
- Symans, M.D., Constantinou, M.C. (1997). "Seismic testing of a building structure with a seismic-active fluid damper control system." *Earthquake Eng. Struct Dyn.* 26(7):759-77.
- Tamai, H., Lu, C., Yuki, Y. (2020). "New Design Concept for Bridge Restrainers with Rubber Cushion Considering Dynamic Action: A Preliminary Study." *Appl. Sci.* 2020, 10, 6847. <https://doi.org/10.3390/app10196847>.
- Tan, P., Agrawal, A.K., Pan, Y. (2005). "Near-field effects on seismically excited highway bridge equipped with nonlinear viscous dampers." *Bridge Struct* 1(3):307–18.
- Tanaka, T., Chung, S. W., Chaing, L. F., Makii, K., Kushibe, A., Kohzu, M., Higashi, K. (2009). "Post-characteristics of Formed Zn-22 mass%Al Alloy to Seismic Damper for General Residence" *Materials Transactions: Vol. 50 No. 8 pp.2127b-2127b*,

Taylor devices, <https://www.taylordevices.com/resources>.

Vlassis, A.G., Maragakis, E., Saiidi, M. (2004). "Experimental evaluation of longitudinal seismic performance of bridge restrainers at in-span hinges." *J Test Eval.* 32(2):96–105.

Waghmare, M. V., Madhekar, S. N., Matsagar, V. A. (2018). "Semi-active fluid viscous dampers for seismic mitigation of RC elevated liquid storage tanks." *International Journal of Structural Stability and Dynamics*, 19 September 2018, DOI:10.1142/S0219455419500202.

Wang, S.J., Chiu, I.C., Yu, C.H., et al. (2018). "Experimental and analytical study on design performance of full-scale viscoelastic dampers." *Earthquake Eng. Vibrat.* 17(4):693–706.

Wang, L., Nagarajaiah, S., Shi, W., Zhou, Y. (2021). "Semi-active control of walking-induced vibrations in bridges using adaptive tuned mass damper considering human-structure-interaction." *Engineering Structures*, Volume 244, 2021, 112743, ISSN 0141-0296, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.engstruct.2021.112743>.

Wilson, E. L. (1962). "Dynamic Response by Step-By-Step Matrix Analysis." *Proceedings, Symposium on The Use of Computers in Civil Engineering.* Laboratorio Nacional de Engenharia Civil. Lisbon, Portugal. October 1-5.

Winslow, W.M. (1947). "Method and means for translating electrical impulses into mechanical force." U.S. Patent 2,417,850. 1947-3-25.

Won, J.H., Mha, H.S., Cho, K.I., et al. (2008). "Effects of the restrainer upon bridge motions under seismic excitations." *Eng Struct.* 30(12):3532–44.

Xiang, N., Alam, M.S., Li, J. (2018) "Shake table studies of a highway bridge model by allowing the sliding of laminated-rubber bearings with and without restraining devices." *Eng. Struct.* 171:583–601.

Xiang, N., Goto, Y., Obata, M., Alam, M. S. (2019). "Passive seismic unseating prevention strategies implemented in highway bridges: A state-of-the-art review." *Engineering Structures* 194 77–93.

Xiang, N., Li, J. (2016). "Seismic performance of highway bridges with different transverse unseating-prevention devices." *J Bridge Eng.* 21(9):04016045.

Xiang, N., Li, J. (2018). "Effect of exterior concrete shear keys on the seismic performance of laminated rubber bearing-supported highway bridges in China." *Soil Dynamics and Earthquake Engineering.* 112:185–97.

Xie, Y., Zhang, J. (2016). "Evaluating the effectiveness and optimal design of isolation bearings and fluid dampers for a highway bridge using a

- fragility function method and genetic optimization. " Geotech Struct Eng. Congress 1317–30.
- Xie, Y., Zhang, J. (2018) "Design and optimization of seismic isolation and damping devices for highway bridges based on probabilistic repair cost ratio." J Struct Eng.144(8):04018125.
- Xu, Y., Tong, C., Li, J. (2018). "Simplified calculation method for supplemental viscous dampers of cable-stayed bridges under near-fault ground motions. " J Earthquake Eng.1–17.
- Xu, Y., Wang, R., Li, J. (2016). "Experimental verification of a cable-stayed bridge model using passive energy dissipation devices. " J Bridge Eng. 21(12):04016092.
- Xu, Z.D., Guo, Y.Q., Zhu, J. T., and Xu, F. H. (2017). "Intelligent Vibration Control in Civil Engineering Structures." Elsevier Inc., United Kingdom, ISBN: 978-0-12-405874-3.
- Xu, Z.D., Xiang, J., Wang, X.D. (2008). "The smart piezoelectric friction dampers" CN ZL 200810024640.0. 2008.
- Yamamoto, M., Minewaki, S., Nakahara, M., et al. (2016). "Concept and performance testing of a high-capacity oil damper comprising multiple damper units." Earthq Eng. Struct Dyn. 45:1919–33.
- Yang, J.N., Akbarpour, A., Ghaemmaghami, P. (1987). "New optimal control algorithms for structural control." J Eng Mech;113(9):1369-86.
- Yang, J.N., Li, Z., Liu, S.C. (1992). "Stable controllers for instantaneous optimal control. J Eng. Mech;118 (8):1612-30.
- Yang, J.N., Wu, J.C., Agrawal, A.K., et al. (1997). "Sliding mode control with compensator for wind and seismic response control." Earthquake Eng. Struct Dyn; 26(11):1137-56.
- Yang, J.N., Wu, J.C., Reinhorn, A.M., et al. (1996). "Experimental verifications of HN and sliding mode control for seismically excited buildings." J Struct Eng. 122(1):69-75.
- Yang, R.L., Zhou, X.Y., Yan, W.M., et al. (2007). "Performance evaluation of semiactive structural control using variable dampers." J Vib Shock; 26(3):37-41 (in Chinese).
- Yi, J., Li, J., Guan, Z. (2018). "Shake table studies on viscous dampers in seismic control of a single-tower cable-stayed bridge model under near-field ground motions. " J Earthquake Tsunami;1850011.

Zhang, J. and Makris, N. (2001). "Seismic response analysis of highway overcrossings including soil-structure interaction." Report No. PEER-01/02, University of California, Berkeley, CA.

Zhang, J. and Makris, N. (2002a). "Kinematic response functions and dynamic stiffnesses of bridge embankments." *Earthquake Engineering and Structural Dynamics*, 31:1933-66.

Zhou, L., Wang, X., Ye, A. (2019). "Shake table test on transverse steel damper seismic system for long span cable-stayed bridges. " *Eng. Struct.*179:106–19.

