



CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPOSOME-ENCAPSULATED TYLOSIN, *IN VITRO* CYTOTOXICITY AND ITS ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AGAINST *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* ISOLATED FROM GOATS

By

MOHAMMAD EHSAN SADDIQI

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Master of Veterinary Science

July 2022

FPV 2022 21

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes by the copyright holder. Commercial use of the material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science

CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPOSOME-ENCAPSULATED TYLOSIN, *IN VITRO* CYTOTOXICITY AND ITS ANTIBACTERIAL ACTIVITY AGAINST *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* ISOLATED FROM GOATS

By

MOHAMMAD EHSAN SADDIQI

July 2022

Chairman : Associate Professor Arifah Abdul Kadir, PhD
Faculty : Veterinary Medicine

Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis is the causative agent of caseous lymphadenitis in goats and it is highly resistant to many conventional antibiotics. Delivery of antibiotics through liposomal encapsulation provides a promising strategy for the effective treatment of resistant bacterial pathogens. The objectives of the study are to characterize, evaluate cytotoxicity and efficacy of liposomal tylosin against *C. pseudotuberculosis* isolated from goats. Liposomes-encapsulated tylosin was produced by the conventional thin-film hydration method. The prepared liposomal dispersions particle size, polydispersity index (PDI), and zeta potential were measured by dynamic light scattering. Cytotoxicity effect of liposome-encapsulated tylosin and free tylosin was evaluated against the normal mouse fibroblast and normal human dermal fibroblast primary cells using MTT assay. The antibacterial activity of free and liposomal tylosin against *C. pseudotuberculosis* was performed using microbroth dilution and resazurin methods and the antibiofilm activity was evaluated using minimum biofilm inhibitory concentration and minimum biofilm eradication concentration (MBEC) by crystal violet and resazurin-based colourimetric methods. The mean diameter of 171.6 ± 3.22 nm was obtained for liposomal tylosin with 0.236 ± 0.002 and -4.75 ± 1.6 mV of PDI and zeta potential, respectively. The encapsulation efficiency of liposomal tylosin was $47.7 \pm 2.8\%$. The release behaviour of tylosin from the conventional liposomes is based on initial fast release followed by sustained and slow-released as compared to free tylosin. Cytotoxicity findings revealed higher cell viability (above 70%) for liposomal tylosin and below 70% for free tylosin at a high concentration of $1024 \mu\text{g/mL}$. The MIC of conventional, cationic, fusogenic and fuso_cationic tylosin was $32 \mu\text{g/mL}$ which is much higher than free tylosin with the MIC of $1 \mu\text{g/mL}$. In contrast, the MBEC was $1024 \mu\text{g/mL}$, $256 \mu\text{g/mL}$, $512 \mu\text{g/mL}$ and $1024 \mu\text{g/mL}$ for conventional, cationic, fusogenic, and fuso-cationic, respectively, whereas it was $2048 \mu\text{g/mL}$ for free tylosin. Cationic, fusogenic, and fuso-cationic liposomal tylosin had a mean diameter of

114.8 ± 0.115 nm, 114.4 ± 0.62 nm, and 117.7 ± 1.08 nm, respectively. The cationic liposomal tylosin revealed the average PDI and zeta potential of 0.135 ± 0.014 and 35.3 ± 1.9 mV, respectively, whereas, the mean size of 114.4 ± 0.62 nm, PDI of 0.16 ± 0.019 , and zeta potential of -28.6 ± -0.115 mV was recorded for the fusogenic formulation. The fuso-cationic liposomal tylosin showed an average of 117.7 ± 1.08 nm, 0.155 ± 0.01 , and 21.83 ± 0.21 mV for size, PDI, and surface charge, respectively. The encapsulation efficiency of cationic, fusogenic, and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylosin were $34.26 \pm 1.15\%$, $46.63 \pm 0.6\%$, and $41.36 \pm 1.2\%$, respectively. In conclusion, the findings indicate that the liposome as a nanoparticle improved the biocompatibility of tylosin and enhanced the antibacterial activity of tylosin, therefore, it can be considered as a potential strategy to revive the routine antibiotic efficacy against resistant bacteria.

Keywords: Caseous Lymphadenitis, Biofilm, Liposomes, Cytotoxicity, Tylosin

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia
sebagai memenuhi keperluan ijazah Master Sains Veterinar

**PENCIRIAN, SITOTOKSISITI *IN VITRO* DAN AKTIVITI ANTIBAKTERIA
TILOSIN BERKAPSULASI LIPOSOM TERHADAP *Corynebacterium
pseudotuberculosis* DIISOLASI DARIPADA KAMBING**

Oleh

MOHAMMAD EHSAN SADDIQI

Julai 2022

Pengerusi : Profesor Madya Arifah Abdul Kadir, PhD
Fakulti : Perubatan Veterinar

Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis adalah agen penyebab limfadenitis kaseous pada kambing dan ianya sangat tahan terhadap banyak antibiotik konvensional. Penghantaran antibiotik melalui enkapsulasi liposomal merupakan strategi yang menjanjikan rawatan berkesan terhadap patogen bakteria tahan antibiotik. Objektif kajian adalah untuk menciri dan menilai kesan sitotoksisiti, dan keberkesanan tilosin berkapsul liposom terhadap *C. pseudotuberculosis* yang diasingkan daripada kambing. Tilosin berkapsul liposom dihasilkan melalui kaedah penghidratan filem nipis konvensional dan saiz zarah penyebaran liposom, indeks polidispersiti (PDI), dan potensi zeta diukur dengan penyerakan cahaya dinamik. Kesan sitotoksisiti tilosin berkapsul liposom konvensional dan tilosin bebas dinilai terhadap fibroblas tikus normal dan sel primer fibroblas kulit manusia normal menggunakan ujian MTT. Keberkesanan antibakteria rumusan liposomal dan tilosin bebas terhadap *C. pseudotuberculosis* ditentukan menggunakan kaedah pencairan mikrobroth dan kaedah resazurin, manakala aktiviti antibiofilem dinilai menggunakan kepekatan perencutan biofilem minimum dan kepekatan pembasmian biofilem minimum (MBEC) dengan kaedah kolorimetrik berdasarkan ungu kristal dan resazurin. Keputusan menunjukkan diameter purata 171.6 ± 3.22 nm untuk tilosin berkapsul liposom dengan 0.236 ± 0.002 dan -4.75 ± 1.6 mV PDI dan potensi zeta, masing-masing. Kecekapan pengkapsulan tilosin berkapsul liposom ialah $47.7 \pm 2.8\%$. Ciri pembebasan tilosin daripada liposom konvensional adalah berdasarkan pembebasan cepat awal diikuti dengan pembebasan berterusan dan perlahan berbanding tilosin bebas. Penemuan ujian sitotoksisiti menunjukkan viabiliti sel yang jauh lebih tinggi (melebihi 70%) bagi tilosin berkapsul liposom dan di bawah 70% ($P < 0.05$) bagi formulasi bebas tilosin pada kepekatan tinggi 1024 $\mu\text{g/mL}$. Kepekatan perencutan minimum (MIC) bagi formulasi tilosin konvensional, kationik, fusogenik dan fuso-kationik ialah 32 $\mu\text{g/mL}$ yang jauh lebih tinggi daripada tilosin bebas dengan MIC 1 $\mu\text{g/mL}$.

Sebaliknya, keputusan MBEC menunjukkan 1024 µg/mL, 256 µg/mL, 512 µg/mL dan 1024 µg/mL bagi konvensional, kationik, fusogenik dan fuso-kationik, manakala 2048 µg/mL bagi tilosin bebas. Formulasi tilosin berkapsul liposom kationik, fusogenik dan fuso-kationik masing-masing mempunyai diameter purata 114.8 ± 0.115 nm, 114.4 ± 0.62 nm, dan 117.7 ± 1.08 nm. Kapsul liposom kationik mendedahkan purata PDI dan potensi zeta masing-masing 0.135 ± 0.014 dan 35.3 ± 1.9 mV, manakala saiz min 114.4 ± 0.62 nm, PDI 0.16 ± 0.019 , dan zeta potential -28.6 ± -0.115 mV telah direkodkan untuk formulasi fusogenik. Tilosin terkapsul liposom fuso-kationik menunjukkan purata 117.7 ± 1.08 nm, 0.155 ± 0.01 , dan 21.83 ± 0.21 mV untuk saiz, PDI dan cas permukaan, masing-masing. Kecekapan pengkapsulan tilosin berkapsul liposom kationik, fusogenik dan fuso-kationik masing-masing adalah $34.26 \pm 1.15\%$, $46.63 \pm 0.6\%$, dan $41.36 \pm 1.2\%$. Kesimpulannya, dapatan kajian menunjukkan liposom sebagai nanozarah mampu meningkatkan biokompatibiliti dan aktiviti antibakteria tilosin, justeru, boleh dianggap sebagai suatu strategi yang berpotensi untuk menghidupkan semula keberkesanan antibiotik rutin terhadap bakteria tahan antibiotik.

Kata-kata kunci: Limfadenitis Kaseous, Biofilem, Liposom, Sitotoksiti, Tilosin

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First and foremost, all praise and glory to Almighty Allah who grants me the health, power, courage, and patience to fulfill my research successfully. I would like to express my great gratitude to my supervisory committee chairman, Associate Professor Dr. Arifah Abdul Kadir for her patient guidance, support, and valuable constructive suggestions throughout my master research. I would also like to have my deepest appreciation to my supervisory committee members, Professor Dr. Md. Zuki Abu Bakar Zakaria and Professor Dr. Faez Jesse Firdaus Abdullah for their constant guidance, encouragement, and assistance through each stage of my research process.

I would like to offer my special thanks to the ministry of higher education, Afghanistan, and the higher education development program (HEDP) for their financial support during my master's period. My special sincere and appreciation goes to Mr. Johari Ripin, Laboratory of Veterinary Pharmacology and Toxicology, and Mr. MOHD. Jamil Samad, JPMV Equipment Laboratory, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, UPM for providing and technical assistance. I would extend my special thanks to the staff of the Parasitology, Biochemistry, and Histopathology Laboratory for all their cooperation. In addition, I have a special thanks to the staff of the Microscopy Unit, Institute of Bioscience, UPM, for their help during my laboratory studies. I would also have special thanks from constant and kind cooperation of Dr. Sherifat Banke Idris and Dr. Chemmalar Sangaran. I am particularly grateful for the data analyzing assistance given by my dear colleague Mr. Naweedullah Amin.

My ultimate thanks are dedicated to my beloved parents for their endless support, love, and prayer. I would like to offer my profound and special appreciation to my wife (Basirah Ghafori) for her understanding and moral support throughout of study period that without her great understanding and encouragement it would be impossible for me to accomplish my master's degree.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Master of Veterinary Science. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Arifah Abdul Kadir, PhD
Associate Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

MD. Zuki Abu Bakar Zakaria, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Faez Firdaus Jesse Abdullah, PhD
Professor
Faculty of Veterinary Medicine
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD
Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 January 2023

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____

Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: _____
Assoc. Prof. Dr. Arifah Abdul Kadir

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: _____
Prof. Dr. Md. Zuki Abu Bakar @ Zakaria

Signature: _____

Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: _____
Prof. Dr. Faez Firdaus Jesse Abdullah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiv
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xvi
 CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Study background	1
1.2 Problem statement	4
1.3 Research justification	5
1.4 Research hypothesis	5
1.5 Research objectives	6
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Caseous lymphadenitis in goats	8
2.1.1 Clinical signs	9
2.1.2 Pathogenesis of caseous lymphadenitis	10
2.1.3 Diagnosis of caseous lymphadenitis	11
2.1.4 Prevention and control of caseous lymphadenitis	12
2.1.5 Treatment of caseous lymphadenitis	13
2.2 Tylosin	14
2.3 Mechanism of resistance to macrolides	15
2.4 Biofilm	15
2.5 Liposome	16
2.5.1 Composition of liposome	18
2.5.1.1 Phospholipids	18
2.5.1.2 Cholesterol	19
2.5.2 Classification of liposome	22
2.5.2.1 Classification of liposome based on preparation method	22
2.5.2.1.1 Thin-film method	23
2.5.2.1.2 Extrusion	23
2.6 Summary	25
3 PREPARATION AND CHARACTERIZATION OF LIPOSOME-ENCAPSULATED TYLOSIN	27
3.1 Introduction	27
3.2 Materials and methods	29
3.2.1 Materials	29
3.2.2 Preparation of liposome	29
3.2.3 Characterization of liposome	30

3.2.3.1	Determination of liposome size, zeta potential and polydispersity index	30
3.2.3.2	Morphology of liposome	31
3.2.3.3	Drug loading	31
3.2.3.4	Determination of encapsulation efficiency	32
3.2.3.5	In vitro release study of liposomal tylosin	34
3.3	Data analysis	36
3.4	Results	37
3.4.1	Diameter, zeta potential and polydispersity index of blank liposome and liposome-encapsulated tylosin	37
3.4.2	TEM analysis of blank liposome and liposome-encapsulated tylosin	39
3.4.3	Drug loading capacity and encapsulation efficiency	40
3.4.4	In vitro drug release profile	41
3.5	Discussion	43
3.6	Conclusion	49
4	<i>IN VITRO CYTOTOXICITY EVALUATION OF LIPOSOME-ENCAPSULATED TYLOSIN</i>	50
4.1	Introduction	50
4.2	Materials and methods	52
4.2.1	Materials	52
4.2.2	Cell culture	52
4.2.2.1	Culture of NIH3T3 and NHDF cells	53
4.2.2.2	Subculture of NIH3T3 and NHDF cells	54
4.2.2.3	Cell counting	54
4.2.3	Cell viability assay	56
4.2.3.1	Cell seeding conditions	56
4.2.3.2	MTT assay	57
4.2.4	Data analysis	58
4.3	Results	59
4.3.1	Cell counting of NIH3T3 cell line	59
4.3.2	Cell counting of NHDF primary cells	60
4.3.3	MTT assay	61
4.4	Discussion	66
4.5	Conclusion	68
5	<i>EFFICACY OF CATIONIC, FUSOGENIC, AND FUSO-CATIONIC LIPOSOME-ENCAPSULATED TYLOSIN AGAINST PLANKTONIC AND BIOFILM FORM OF CORYNEBACTERIUM PSEUDOTUBERCULOSIS</i>	69
5.1	Introduction	69
5.2	Materials and methods	73
5.2.1	Materials	73
5.2.2	Preparation of liposomal formulations	74
5.2.3	Characterization of liposomal formulations	75

5.2.4	<i>Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis</i> culturing	75
5.2.5	Determination of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> CFU	76
5.2.6	Determining minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC)	76
5.2.6.1	Microbroth dilution assay	77
5.2.6.2	Resazurin staining method	78
5.2.7	Evaluation of biofilm formation by <i>Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis</i>	78
5.2.7.1	Inoculum preparation	78
5.2.7.2	Quantification of <i>Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm	79
5.2.7.3	Determining MBEC of liposomal formulations	80
5.2.7.4	<i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm inhibition assessment	81
5.2.7.4.1	Crystal violet staining	82
5.2.7.4.2	Resazurin	83
5.2.7.4.3	Live/dead assessment of biofilm	84
5.2.8	Evaluation of liposomal interaction with bacterial membrane	84
5.2.9	Statistical analysis	86
5.3	Results	87
5.3.1	Characterization of liposomal formulations	87
5.3.2	Cultural and staining characteristics of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i>	91
5.3.3	MIC and MBEC values of liposomal formulations	91
5.3.4	MBEC of liposomal formulations	93
5.3.5	Live/dead assessment of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm	100
5.3.6	Liposomal formulations interaction with bacterial cell membrane	102
5.4	Discussion	103
5.5	Conclusion	110
		111
6	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
6.1	Summary	111
6.2	Conclusion	114
6.3	Recommendations	115
REFERENCES		116
BIODATA OF STUDENT		135

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	List of advantages and disadvantages of liposome as a drug carrier	17
2.2	List of phospholipids use in preparation of liposomes	19
3.1	Composition of liposomes	30
3.2	Physicochemical properties of liposome-encapsulated tylosin	37
3.3	Diameter of blank liposomes and liposome-encapsulated tylosin on high-resolution transmission electron microscope	39
3.4	Loading capacity (LC) and encapsulation efficiency (EE) of liposomal tylosin	40
5.1	Physicochemical properties of liposomal formulations	88
5.2	MIC and MBEC values of liposomal formulations against planktonic and biofilm of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i>	92

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
3.1	Tylosin standard curve at 260 nm	33
3.2	Shows the size distribution of empty and liposome-encapsulated tylosin measured by dynamic light scattered machine	38
3.3	High resolution transmission electron microscope micrograph of blank liposomes and liposome-encapsulated tylosin	39
3.4	Release profile of tylosin from liposome comparing to free tylosin (control) at pH 4	42
3.5	Release profile of tylosin from liposome comparing to free tylosin (control) at pH 6	42
3.6	Release profile of tylosin from liposome comparing to free tylosin (control) at pH 7.4	42
3.7	Release profile of tylosin from liposome comparing to free tylosin (control) at pH 8	42
4.1	Cell viability of NIH3T3 cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylosin and free tylosin as determined by the MTT assay after the 24-hour incubation period	61
4.2	Cell viability of NIH3T3 cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylosin, and free tylosin as determined by the MTT assay after 48-hour incubation period	62
4.3	Cell viability of NIH3T3 cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylosin, and free tylosin as determined by the MTT assay after 72-hour incubation period	63
4.4	Cell viability of NNHDF cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylosin, and free tylosin as determined by the MTT assay after the 24-hour incubation period	64
4.5	Cell viability of NNHDF cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylosin and free tylosin	65

	as determined by the MTT assay after 48-hour incubation period	
4.6	Cell viability of NNHDF cell line treated with blank liposome, liposome-encapsulated tylisin, and free tylisin determined by the MTT assay after 72-hour incubation period	65
5.1	Size distribution of different liposomal formulations based on dynamic light scattering data.	89
5.2	HRTEM images of fusogenic-cationic, fusogenic, and cationic liposome-encapsulated tylisin.	90
5.3	Culture specifications of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> .	91
5.4	Minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) values for different Liposomal formulations and free tylisin against <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> by resazurin-based microplate assay.	93
5.5	Inhibitory efficacy of free and cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylisin on <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm formation based on crystal violet staining method.	95
5.6	Inhibitory efficacy of free and cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylisin on <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm formation based on resazurin-based colourimetric technique.	96
5.7	Inhibitory percentage of free and cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylisin on <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm formation based on crystal violet staining method.	98
5.8	Inhibitory percentage of free and cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylisin on <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm formation based on resazurin-based colourimetric method.	99
5.9	Fluorescent images for live/dead of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> biofilm based on Acridine orange/ethidium bromide staining method.	101
5.10	High-resolution transmission electron microscopy micrographs of ultrathin section of <i>C. pseudotuberculosis</i> bacterial cells incubated with (A) cationic liposomes (B) fuso-cationic liposomes, and (C, D) fusogenic liposomes at 37°C for 1 hour.	102

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

μL	Microlitre
μg	Microgram
ABC	ATP-binding cassette proteins
AGID	Agar gel immunodiffusion
AHLs	Acyl-homoserine lactones
APIs	Autoinducing peptides
CFU	Colony forming unit
CHEMS	Cholesteryl hemisuccinate
CLA	Caseous lymphadenitis
CO_2	Carbon dioxide
CTAB	Trimethylhexadecylammonium bromide
DBBA	Dimethyldioctadecylammonium bromide
DC-chol	Dimethylaminoethane carbamoyl cholesterol
DLS	Dynamic light scattering
DMEM	Dulbecco's modified eagle's medium
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DMPC	Dimyristoyl phosphatidylcholine
DMPG	Dipalmitoyl phosphatidylglycerol
DOPC	Dioleoyl Phosphatidyl Choline
DOPE	1,2-dioleoylphosphatidylethanolamine
DOPG	Dioleoyl Phosphatidyl Glycerol
DOTAM	2,3-dioleoyl-proyl)-trimethylamine bromide
DOTAP	1,2-dioleoyl-3-trimethylammoniopropane
DOPS	1,2-dioleoyl- <i>sn</i> -glycero-3-phospho-L-serine
DPPA	Dipalmitoyl Phosphatidic Acid
DPPC	Dipalmitoylphosphatidylcholine
DPPG	Dipalmitoyl Phosphatidyl Glycerol
DPPS	Dipalmitoyl Phosphatidyl Serine

DSPC	Distearoylphosphatidylcholine
DSPE	Distearoyl phophoethanolamine
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
eDNA	Extracellular deoxyribonucleic acid
EDTA	Ethylenediamine tetra acetic acid
EE	Encapsulation efficiency
ELISA	Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay
EPC	Egg phosphatidylcholine
EPS	Extracellular polymeric substances
FBS	Foetal bovine serum
FDA	Food and drug administration
FITC	Fluorescein isothiocyanate
Fuso-cationic	Fusogenic-cationic
HRTEM	High-resolution electron microscopy
HPC	Hydrogenated phosphatidylcholine
HPLC	High performance liquid chromatography
LC	Loading capacity
LUVs	Large unilamellar vesicles
MBEC	Minimum biofilm eradication concentration
MHB	Mueller Hinton Broth
MIC	Minimum inhibitory concentration
mg	Milligram
mL	Milliliter
MLVs	Multilamellar vesicles
MMs	Mixed micelles
MTT bromide]	[3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyltetrazolium
MPHs	Macrolide phosphotransferases
nm	Nanometer
NIH3T3	Normal mouse embryonic fibroblast cell line

NHDF	Normal human dermal fibroblast primary cells
OD	Optical density
PBS	Phosphate buffered saline
PA	Phosphatidic acid
PC	Phosphatidyl Choline
PDI	Polydispersity index
PG	Phosphatidyl glycerol
PE	Phosphatidyl Ethanolamine
PI	Phosphatidyl Inositol
PS	Phosphatidylserine
PLD	Phospholipase D
pH	Power of hydrogen
QS	Quorum sensing
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SA	Stearylamine
SD	Standard deviation
SUVs	Small unilamellar vesicles
TRITC	Tetramethyl rhodamine iso-thiocyanate
TSB	Tryptone soya broth
UV-Vis	Ultraviolet visible

CHAPTER 1

GENERAL INTRODUCTION

1.1 Study background

Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis (*C. pseudotuberculosis*) is a gram positive, pleomorphic, non-spore forming, and facultative intracellular which is a family member of *Corynebacteriaceae* (Oreiby, 2015). This pathogen causes caseous lymphadenitis (CLA) also known as cheesy gland and Morel's disease which is a chronic and contagious bacterial disease of ruminants especially in goats (Abebe & Sisay Tessema, 2015; Oreiby, 2015). CLA is one of the economic significant diseases of small ruminants in Malaysia (Jesse *et al.*, 2017). The economic consequences of the disease include reduction of meat products from the condemnation of carcass and cut-out parts, reduction of wool and leather products, reproductive efficiency reduction, removal of infected animals, and affected animal's death due to internal involvement (Abebe & Sisay Tessema, 2015; Minozzi *et al.*, 2017). The disease in small ruminants is identified by abscess formation in the skin, internal and external lymph nodes, and internal organs (Corrêa *et al.*, 2018). In goats, the most commonly affected lymph nodes are superficial cervical lymph nodes (Al-Gaabary *et al.*, 2009). The CLA causative agent is spread almost all over the whole globe (Jeber *et al.*, 2016). The first case of caseous lymphadenitis in Malaysia was recorded in 1970 at the Veterinary Research Institute, Ipoh (Osman *et al.*, 2012).

Poor response of *C. pseudotuberculosis* to antimicrobial agents makes the treatment of this infection highly challengeable (Santos *et al.*, 2021). There are some important factors involved in antibiotic resistance of *C. pseudotuberculosis* including 1) capsulated lesion by a thick layer (which have pus with nature of caseous within the thick capsule and 2) ability of the pathogen to survive inside the macrophage (intracellular location) (Ruiz *et al.*, 2020). The formation of biofilm is another significant parameter that limits the efficacy of antimicrobial agents in *C. pseudotuberculosis* (Santos *et al.*, 2021). Resistance to antimicrobial drugs will cause decreasing in treatment options, making the veterinarians apply more costly medicines (Scott & Menzies, 2011). Among several strategies for combating against the resistant pathogen, application of nanocarriers for delivering of antibiotic provide a promising approach to control and treat resistant bacteria (Zaidi *et al.*, 2017; Lee *et al.*, 2019). Nanoparticles provide a great opportunity to overcome bacterial resistance by delivery of drug in targeted site of action, increase therapeutic efficacy of conventional medicines, and reducing the adverse effects (Ruddaraju *et al.*, 2020).

There are several nanoparticles that apply as drug delivery systems (Zaidi *et al.*, 2017). Liposomes as one of the most popularly studied nanoparticles are

of particular significance because of their safety and specific drug targeting delivery (Zaidi *et al.*, 2017; Rukavina *et al.*, 2018). The incorporation of antibiotics into liposomes reveals increasing drug carrying inside bacterial cells and biofilms (Abed & Couvreur, 2014). In addition, modification of physicochemical properties of liposome including their size, coating and surface charge, and composition of bilayer and rigidity/elasticity of membrane enables researchers to tailor of liposome with desired pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics drug profile (Vanić *et al.*, 2019). Moreover, liposomes as a delivery system for antibiotics reduce the toxicity of the drugs, increase the activity of antibiotics against the intracellular and extracellular pathogen (Drulis-Kawa & Dorotkiewicz-Jach, 2010). Liposomes are able to interact with mammalian cells through several mechanisms such as absorption, endocytosis, fusion, and lipid transfer (Pagano & Weinstein, 1978). As a result, a high local concentration of antibiotics is achievable to the cell membrane or within the bacterial cells via encapsulation of antibiotics into the liposomes (Rukavina *et al.*, 2018).

Liposome as a nanocarrier has been proven that possess the ideal characteristics of a nanodevice for delivering antibiotics (Gonzalez Gomez & Hosseinidoust, 2020). Previous studies reported that many antibiotics showed high efficacy and less toxicity when encapsulated into liposome such as streptomycin (Gangadharam *et al.*, 1991), ampicillin (Schumacher & Margalit, 1997), gentamicin (Vitas *et al.*, 1997), amikacin (Xiong *et al.*, 1999), tetracycline (Sangare *et al.*, 1999), ciprofloxacin (Wong *et al.*, 2003), benzylpenicillin (H. J. Kim & Jones, 2004), levofloxacin (Zhang *et al.*, 2009), vancomycin (Sande *et al.*, 2012), colistin (Wallace *et al.*, 2012), clarithromycin (Alhajlan *et al.*, 2013), tobramycin (Messiaen *et al.*, 2013), doxycycline (Franklin *et al.*, 2015), gentamicin (Alhariri *et al.*, 2017), azithromycin (Vanić *et al.*, 2019). With respect to the unique features of liposomes as novel drug delivery in enhancing the efficacy and reducing the side effects of antibiotics, liposome was selected as the nanocarrier for tylosin against *C. pseudotuberculosis* in this research.

Tylosin is a broad-spectrum antibiotic (Poźniak *et al.*, 2020) and is commonly applied in veterinary medicine as a member of macrolides antibiotics, specifically against gram positive bacteria, mycoplasma, and anaerobic bacteria (Atef *et al.*, 2009). Tylosin is applicable in many animals spp. such as cattle, sheep, goats, swine, poultry, dogs, and cats (Poźniak *et al.*, 2020). Tylosin is a bacteriostatic antibiotic that prohibits bacterial growth through inhibition of protein synthesis via binding to the ribosomal 50s subunit of bacteria (Ji *et al.*, 2014; Poźniak *et al.*, 2020).

1.2 Problem statement

Caseous lymphadenitis is a chronic and infectious disease of goats caused by *C. pseudotuberculosis* (Corrêa *et al.*, 2018). The disease induces huge

financial losses in the goats industry (Ruiz et al., 2020; Santos et al., 2021). Since the CLA causative organism is resistant to many antibiotics, conventional antimicrobial treatment of the disease is a big challenge (de Pinho et al., 2021). Many factors contribute to antimicrobial resistance of *C. pseudotuberculosis* including lesions surrounded by a thick fibrous capsule filled with pus which hinders the penetration of antibiotics and intracellular location of *C. pseudotuberculosis* (Santos et al., 2021). Another important parameter causes *C. pseudotuberculosis* poor responsive to conventional antibiotic is the ability of biofilm formation (Santos et al., 2021). Therefore, it is necessary to look for new approaches to treat this infectious disease in goats (Stanisic et al., 2018). The application of nano-antibiotics has proved to be effective against resistant bacteria (Pelgrift & Friedman, 2013). Hence, incorporating antibiotics into nanoparticles will provide a promising tool not only for carrying them in the targeted site of action, but also will provide a controlled released profile (Abed & Couvreur, 2014).

1.3 Research justification

The goat rearing industry suffers severely from caseous lymphadenitis impact in terms of economic losses and financial implications annually which require more research to be conducted in this area (Ruiz et al., 2020). The development of new strategies and seeking new chemotherapeutics for the treatment of these diseases are highly significant. However, the development of new chemotherapeutics is a highly time-consuming and cost-effective procedure (Hochvaldová et al., 2022). The application of nanoparticles may give a new life for conventional antibiotics (Parisi et al., 2017; Hochvaldová et al., 2022) by improving their distribution/pharmacokinetics, efficacy, decrease toxicity, and therapeutic index against many resistant bacteria including *C. pseudotuberculosis* (Stanisic et al., 2018). Usage of the appropriate approach for drug carrying to circumvent the resistance mechanism of *C. pseudotuberculosis*, antibiotics could be the ideal way to treat and eliminate this pathogen.

1.4 Research hypothesis

Hypothesis 1

- Liposome-encapsulated tylosin will have the appropriate physicochemical properties for drug delivery and tylosin is able to release sustainably from it *in vitro*.

Hypothesis 2

- Liposome-encapsulated tylosin is not toxic to normal mouse fibroblast (NIH3T3) cell line and normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF) primary cells.

Hypothesis 3

- Conventional liposome-encapsulated tylosin will present better antibacterial and antbiofilm activity against planktonic and biofilm forms of *C. pseudotuberculosis* *in vitro* as compared to free tylosin.

Hypothesis 4

- Cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylosin will possess better antibacterial and antbiofilm activity against planktonic and biofilm forms of *C. pseudotuberculosis* *in vitro* than conventional liposome-encapsulated tylosin and free tylosin.

Hypothesis 5

- Cationic liposomal tylosin will indicate better antibacterial activity against planktonic and biofilm form of *C. pseudotuberculosis* as compared to conventional and fusogenic liposomal tylosin.

1.5 Research objectives

The main purpose of this research is to evaluate the *in vitro* effect of liposome-encapsulated tylosin against *C. pseudotuberculosis* isolated from goat's caseous lymphadenitis cases.

The research specific objectives are to:

1. characterize liposome-encapsulated tylosin and assess the *in vitro* release profile of tylosin.
2. evaluate the *in vitro* cytotoxicity of liposomes encapsulated tylosin in normal mouse fibroblast (NIH3T3) cell line and normal human dermal fibroblasts (NHDF) primary cells using MTT assay.
3. determine the *in vitro* antibacterial and antbiofilm effect of conventional liposome-encapsulated tylosin against planktonic and biofilm forms of *C. pseudotuberculosis*.
4. determine the *in vitro* antibacterial and antbiofilm effect of cationic, fusogenic and fuso-cationic liposome-encapsulated tylosin against planktonic and biofilm forms of *C. pseudotuberculosis*.
5. compare the antibacterial efficacy of conventional, cationic, fusogenic and fuso_cationic liposome-encapsulated tylosin.

REFERENCES

- Abebe, D., & Sisay Tessema, T. (2015). Determination of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* prevalence and antimicrobial susceptibility pattern of isolates from lymph nodes of sheep and goats at an organic export abattoir, Modjo, Ethiopia. *Letters in Applied Microbiology*, 61(5), 469–476. <https://doi.org/10.1111/lam.12482>
- Abed, N., & Couvreur, P. (2014). Nanocarriers for antibiotics: A promising solution to treat intracellular bacterial infections. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 43(6), 485–496. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2014.02.009>
- Aftabuzzaman, Md.; Cho, Y. (2021). Recent perspectives on caseous lymphadenitis caused by *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in goats-A review. *Korean Journal of Veterinary Service*, 44(2), 61–71. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.7853/kjvs.2021.44.2.61>
- Ahmed, K. S., Hussein, S. A., Ali, A. H., Korma, S. A., Lipeng, Q., & Jinghua, C. (2019). Liposome: composition, characterisation, preparation, and recent innovation in clinical applications. *Journal of Drug Targeting*, 27(7), 742–761. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1061186X.2018.1527337>
- Akbarzadeh, A., Rezaei-sadabady, R., Davaran, S., Joo, S. W., & Zarghami, N. (2013). Liposome: classification , prepNew aspects of liposomeserataion , and applications. *Nanoscale Research Letters*, 8(102), 1–9.
- Al-Gaabary, M. H., Osman, S. A., & Oreiby, A. F. (2009). Caseous lymphadenitis in sheep and goats: Clinical, epidemiological and preventive studies. *Small Ruminant Research*, 87(1–3), 116–121. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2009.10.008>
- Alavi, M., Karimi, N., & Safaei, M. (2017). Application of Various Types of Liposomes in Drug Delivery Systems. *Advanced Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 7(1), 3–9. <https://doi.org/10.15171/apb.2017.002>
- Alhajlan, M., Alhariri, M., & Omri, A. (2013). Efficacy and Safety of Liposomal Clarithromycin and Its Effect on *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* Virulence Factors. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*, 57(6), 2694–2704. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00235-13>
- Alhariri, M., Majrashi, M. A., Bahkali, A. H., Almajed, F. S., Azghani, A. O., Khiyami, M., Alyamani, E. J., Aljohani, S. M., & Halwani, M. A. (2017). Efficacy of neutral and negatively charged liposome-loaded gentamicin on planktonic bacteria and biofilm communities. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, Volume 12(18), 6949–6961. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S141709>
- Arciola, C. R., Campoccia, D., Baldassarri, L., Donati, M. E., Pirini, V., Gamberini, S., & Montanaro, L. (2006). Detection of biofilm formation in *Staphylococcus epidermidis* from implant infections. Comparison of a

- PCR-method that recognizes the presence of *cica* genes with two classic phenotypic methods. *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 76A(2), 425–430. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jbm.a.30552>
- Arsic, B., Barber, J., Čikoš, A., Mladenovic, M., Stankovic, N., & Novak, P. (2018). 16-Membered Macrolide Antibiotics: a Review. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 51(3), 283–298. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.05.020>
- Aslantürk, Ö. S. (2018). In Vitro Cytotoxicity and Cell Viability Assays: Principles, Advantages, and Disadvantages. In *Genotoxicity - A Predictable Risk to Our Actual World* (pp. 1–18). InTech. <https://doi.org/10.5772/intechopen.71923>
- Atef, M., Ramadan, A., Darwish, A., & Fahim, A. (2009). Effect of Albendazole Administration on Pharmacokinetic Aspects of Tylosin in Lactating Goats. *Drug Metabolism Letters*, 3(3), 137–143. <https://doi.org/10.2174/187231209789352076>
- Avci, T., & Elmas, M. (2014). Milk and Blood Pharmacokinetics of Tylosin and Tilmicosin following Parenteral Administrations to Cows. *The Scientific World Journal*, 2014(1m), 1–6. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/869096>
- Barral, T. D., Mariutti, R. B., Arni, R. K., Santos, A. J., Loureiro, D., Sokolonski, A. R., Azevedo, V., Borsuk, S., Meyer, R., & Portela, R. D. (2019). A panel of recombinant proteins for the serodiagnosis of caseous lymphadenitis in goats and sheep. *Microbial Biotechnology*, 12(6), 1313–1323. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1751-7915.13454>
- Bastos, B. L., Portela, R. W. D., Dorella, F. A., Ribeiro, D., Seyffert, N., Castero, T. L. de P., Miyoshi, A., Oliveira, S. C., Meyer, R., & Azevedo, V. (2012). *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*: Immunological Responses in Animal Models and Zoonotic Potential. *Journal of Clinical & Cellular Immunology*, 1(4), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.4172/2155-9899.S4-005>
- Berbel Manaia, E., Paiva Abuçafy, M., Chiari-Andréo, B. G., Lallo Silva, B., Oshiro-Júnior, J. A., & Chiavacci, L. (2017). Physicochemical characterization of drug nanocarriers. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, Volume 12, 4991–5011. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S133832>
- Bhardwaj, U., & Burgess, D. J. (2010). Physicochemical properties of extruded and non-extruded liposomes containing the hydrophobic drug dexamethasone. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 388(1–2), 181–189. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2010.01.003>
- Bogachev, M. I., Volkov, V. Y., Markelov, O. A., Trizna, E. Y., Baydamshina, D. R., Melnikov, V., Murtazina, R. R., Zelenikhin, P. V., Sharafutdinov, I. S., & Kayumov, A. R. (2018). Fast and simple tool for the quantification of biofilm-embedded cells sub-populations from fluorescent microscopic images. *PLOS ONE*, 13(5), e0193267. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193267>

- Brandl, M. (2001). Liposomes as drug carriers: a technological approach. In *Biotechnology Annual Review* (Vol. 7, pp. 59–85). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-2656\(01\)07033-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1387-2656(01)07033-8)
- Briuglia, M. L., Rotella, C., McFarlane, A., & Lamprou, D. A. (2015). Influence of cholesterol on liposome stability and on in vitro drug release. *Drug Delivery and Translational Research*, 5(3), 231–242. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-015-0220-8>
- Byvaltsev, V. A., Bardonova, L. A., Onaka, N. R., Polkin, R. A., Ochkal, S. V., Shepelev, V. V., Aliyev, M. A., & Potapov, A. A. (2019). Acridine Orange: A Review of Novel Applications for Surgical Cancer Imaging and Therapy. *Frontiers in Oncology*, 9(SEP), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fonc.2019.00925>
- Caldeira de Araújo Lopes, S., Vinícius Melo Novais, M., Salviano Teixeira, C., Honorato-Sampaio, K., Tadeu Pereira, M., Ferreira, L. A. M., Braga, F. C., & Cristina Oliveira, M. (2013). Preparation, Physicochemical Characterization, and Cell Viability Evaluation of Long-Circulating and pH-Sensitive Liposomes Containing Ursolic Acid. *BioMed Research International*, 2013, 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/467147>
- Caputo, F., Arnould, A., Bacia, M., Ling, W. L., Rustique, E., Texier, I., Mello, A. P., & Couffin, A.-C. (2019). Measuring Particle Size Distribution by Asymmetric Flow Field Flow Fractionation: A Powerful Method for the Preclinical Characterization of Lipid-Based Nanoparticles. *Molecular Pharmaceutics*, 16(2), 756–767. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.molpharmaceut.8b01033>
- Carne, H. R. (1940). The toxin of *Corynebacterium ovis*. *The Journal of Pathology and Bacteriology*, 51(2), 199–212. <https://doi.org/10.1002/path.1700510204>
- Christensen, G. D., Simpson, W. A., Younger, J. J., Baddour, L. M., Barrett, F. F., Melton, D. M., & Beachey, E. H. (1985). Adherence of coagulase-negative staphylococci to plastic tissue culture plates: a quantitative model for the adherence of staphylococci to medical devices. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 22(6), 996–1006. <https://doi.org/10.1128/jcm.22.6.996-1006.1985>
- Coderch, L., Fonollosa, J., De Pera, M., Estelrich, J., De La Maza, A., & Parra, J. . (2000). Influence of cholesterol on liposome fluidity by EPR. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 68(1), 85–95. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659\(00\)00240-6](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(00)00240-6)
- Colom-Cadena, A., Velarde, R., Salinas, J., Borge, C., García-Bocanegra, I., Serrano, E., Gassó, D., Bach, E., Casas-Díaz, E., López-Olvera, J. R., Lavín, S., León-Vizcaíno, L., & Mentaberre, G. (2014). Management of a caseous lymphadenitis outbreak in a new Iberian ibex (*Capra pyrenaica*) stock reservoir. *Acta Veterinaria Scandinavica*, 56(1), 83. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13028-014-0083-x>
- Corrêa, J. I., Stocker, A., Trindade, S. C., Vale, V., Brito, T., Bastos, B.,

- Raynal, J. T., de Miranda, P. M., de Alcantara, A. C., Freire, S. M., Costa, L. M., & Meyer, R. (2018). In vivo and in vitro expression of five genes involved in *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* virulence. *AMB Express*, 8(1), 89. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13568-018-0598-z>
- D'Souza, S. (2014). A Review of In Vitro Drug Release Test Methods for Nano-Sized Dosage Forms. *Advances in Pharmaceutics*, 2014, 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2014/304757>
- Danaei, M., Dehghankhold, M., Ataei, S., Hasanzadeh Davarani, F., Javanmard, R., Dokhani, A., Khorasani, S., & Mozafari, M. R. (2018). Impact of particle size and polydispersity index on the clinical applications of lipidic nanocarrier systems. *Pharmaceutics*, 10(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics10020057>
- Daraee, H., Etemadi, A., Kouhi, M., Alimirzalu, S., & Akbarzadeh, A. (2016). Application of liposomes in medicine and drug delivery. *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 44(1), 381–391. <https://doi.org/10.3109/21691401.2014.953633>
- De Matteis, V., & Rinaldi, R. (2018). Toxicity Assessment in the Nanoparticle Era. In *Advances in Experimental Medicine and Biology* (Vol. 1048, Issue Iso 2008, pp. 1–19). https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-72041-8_1
- de Pinho, R. B., de Oliveira Silva, M. T., Bezerra, F. S. B., & Borsuk, S. (2021). Vaccines for caseous lymphadenitis: up-to-date and forward-looking strategies. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 105(6), 2287–2296. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-021-11191-4>
- de Sá Guimarães, A., do Carmo, F. B., Pauletti, R. B., Seyffert, N., Ribeiro, D., Lage, A. P., Heinemann, M. B., Miyoshi, A., Azevedo, V., & Guimarães Gouveia, A. M. (2011). Caseous lymphadenitis: Epidemiology, diagnosis, and control. *IIOAB Journal*, 2(2), 33–43.
- Dias-Souza, M. V., Soares, D. L., & dos Santos, V. L. (2017). Comparative study of free and liposome-entrapped chloramphenicol against biofilms of potentially pathogenic bacteria isolated from cooling towers. *Saudi Pharmaceutical Journal*, 25(7), 999–1004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsp.2017.03.003>
- Dong, D., Thomas, N., Thierry, B., Vreugde, S., Prestidge, C. A., & Wormald, P. J. (2015). Distribution and Inhibition of liposomes on *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilm. *PLoS ONE*, 10(6), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131806>
- Dos Santos Ramos, M. A., Da Silva, P., Spósito, L., De Toledo, L., Bonifácio, B., Rodero, C. F., Dos Santos, K., Chorilli, M., & Bauab, T. M. (2018). Nanotechnology-based drug delivery systems for control of microbial biofilms: a review. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 13, 1179–1213. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S146195>
- Drulis-Kawa, Z., & Dorotkiewicz-Jach, A. (2010). Liposomes as delivery systems for antibiotics. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 387(1–

- 2), 187–198. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2009.11.033>
- Drulis-Kawa, Z., Dorotkiewicz-Jach, A., Gubernator, J., Gula, G., Bocer, T., & Doroszkiewicz, W. (2009). The interaction between *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* cells and cationic PC:Chol:DOTAP liposomal vesicles versus outer-membrane structure and envelope properties of bacterial cell. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 367(1–2), 211–219. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2008.09.043>
- Elsana, H., Olusanya, T. O. B., Carr-wilkinson, J., Darby, S., Faheem, A., & Elkordy, A. A. (2019). Evaluation of novel cationic gene based liposomes with cyclodextrin prepared by thin film hydration and microfluidic systems. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-51065-4>
- Erkekoglu, P., & Kocer-Gumusel, B. (2018). Toxicity assessment of nanopharmaceuticals. In *Inorganic Frameworks as Smart Nanomedicines* (pp. 565–603). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-813661-4.00013-4>
- Fernandes, L. F., Bruch, G. E., Massensini, A. R., & Frézard, F. (2018). Recent Advances in the Therapeutic and Diagnostic Use of Liposomes and Carbon Nanomaterials in Ischemic Stroke. *Frontiers in Neuroscience*, 12(JUL), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2018.00453>
- Firdaus Jesse Abdullah, Faez, Yusuf Abba, S.R. Nurul, Lawan Adamu, Asinamai Athliamai Bitrus, Eric Lim Teik Chung, Mohammed Azmi Abubakar Sadiq, Idris Umar Hambali, Wahid Haron, M. A. M. L. (2017). Clinical case of caseous lymphadenitis in a goat: case management. *Malaysian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 8(1), 31–35.
- Foerster, S., Desilvestro, V., Hathaway, L. J., Althaus, C. L., & Unemo, M. (2017). A new rapid resazurin-based microdilution assay for antimicrobial susceptibility testing of *Neisseria gonorrhoeae*. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 72(7), 1961–1968. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkx113>
- Fontaine, M. C., & Baird, G. J. (2008). Caseous lymphadenitis. *Small Ruminant Research*, 76(1–2), 42–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2007.12.025>
- Forier, K., Messiaen, A.-S., Raemdonck, K., Nelis, H., De Smedt, S., Demeester, J., Coenye, T., & Braeckmans, K. (2014). Probing the size limit for nanomedicine penetration into *Burkholderia multivorans* and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 195, 21–28. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jconrel.2014.07.061>
- Franklin, R. K., Marcus, S. A., Talaat, A. M., KuKanich, B. K., Sullivan, R., Krugner-Higby, L. A., & Heath, T. D. (2015). Erratum: A novel loading method for doxycycline liposomes for intracellular drug delivery: Characterization of in vitro and in vivo release kinetics and efficacy in a J774A.1 cell line model of mycobacterium smegmatis Infection (Drug Metabolism and Dispos. *Drug Metabolism and Disposition*, 43(11),

1805. <https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.115.063602err>
- Frisken, B. J., Asman, C., & Patty, P. J. (2000). Studies of Vesicle Extrusion. *Langmuir*, 16(3), 928–933. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la9905113>
- Galvão, C. E., Fragoso, S. P., De Oliveira, C. E., Forner, O., Pereira, R. R. B., Soares, C. O., & Rosinha, G. M. S. (2017). Identification of new *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* antigens by immunoscreening of gene expression library. *BMC Microbiology*, 17(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12866-017-1110-7>
- Gangadharam, P. R. J., Ashtekar, D. A., Ghori, N., Goldstein, J. A., Debs, R. J., & Düzunges, N. (1991). Chemotherapeutic potential of free and liposome encapsulated streptomycin against experimental mycobacterium avium complex infections in beige mice. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 28(3), 425–435. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/28.3.425>
- Gaynor, M., & Mankin, A. (2003). Macrolide Antibiotics: Binding Site, Mechanism of Action, Resistance. *Current Topics in Medicinal Chemistry*, 3(9), 949–960. <https://doi.org/10.2174/1568026033452159>
- Gebhardt, H., Meniche, X., Tropis, M., Krämer, R., Daffé, M., & Morbach, S. (2007). The key role of the mycolic acid content in the functionality of the cell wall permeability barrier in *Corynebacterineae*. *Microbiology*, 153(5), 1424–1434. <https://doi.org/10.1099/mic.0.2006/003541-0>
- Giuseppina, B., & Agnese, M. (2015). Liposomes as nanomedical devices. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 10(2), 975–999. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S68861>
- Goel, S., & Mishra, P. (2018). Thymoquinone inhibits biofilm formation and has selective antibacterial activity due to ROS generation. *Applied Microbiology and Biotechnology*, 102(4), 1955–1967. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00253-018-8736-8>
- Golkar, T., Zieliński, M., & Berghuis, A. M. (2018). Look and Outlook on Enzyme-Mediated Macrolide Resistance. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 9(AUG), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2018.01942>
- Gonzalez Gomez, A., & Hosseiniidoust, Z. (2020). Liposomes for Antibiotic Encapsulation and Delivery. *ACS Infectious Diseases*, 6(5), 896–908. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acsinfecdis.9b00357>
- Grande, R., Puca, V., & Muraro, R. (2020). Antibiotic resistance and bacterial biofilm. *Expert Opinion on Therapeutic Patents*, 30(12), 897–900. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13543776.2020.1830060>
- Gray, V., Cady, S., Curran, D., Demuth, J., Eradiri, O., Hussain, M., Krämer, J., Shabushnig, J., Stippler, E., & Hunt, D. G. (2018). In vitro release test methods for drug formulations for parenteral applications. *Dissolution Technologies*, 25(4), 8–13. <https://doi.org/10.14227/DT250418P8>

- Gubernator, J. (2011). Active methods of drug loading into liposomes: Recent strategies for stable drug entrapment and increased in vivo activity. *Expert Opinion on Drug Delivery*, 8(5), 565–580. <https://doi.org/10.1517/17425247.2011.566552>
- Gubernator, J., Drulis-Kawa, Z., Dorotkiewicz-Jach, A., Doroszkiewicz, W., & Kozubek, A. (2007). In vitro Antimicrobial Activity of Liposomes Containing Ciprofloxacin, Meropenem and Gentamicin Against Gram-Negative Clinical Bacterial Strains. *Letters in Drug Design & Discovery*, 4(4), 297–304. <https://doi.org/10.2174/157018007784620040>
- Guo, P., Huang, J., Zhao, Y., Martin, C. R., Zare, R. N., & Moses, M. A. (2018). Nanomaterial Preparation by Extrusion through Nanoporous Membranes. *Small*, 14(18), 1703493. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smll.201703493>
- Hallaj-Nezhadi, S., & Hassan, M. (2015a). Nanoliposome-based antibacterial drug delivery. *Drug Delivery*, 22(5), 581–589. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10717544.2013.863409>
- Hallaj-Nezhadi, S., & Hassan, M. (2015b). Nanoliposome-based antibacterial drug delivery. *Drug Delivery*, 22(5), 581–589. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10717544.2013.863409>
- Hallan, S. S., Marchetti, P., Bortolotti, D., Sguizzato, M., Esposito, E., Mariani, P., Trapella, C., Rizzo, R., & Cortesi, R. (2020). Design of Nanosystems for the Delivery of Quorum Sensing Inhibitors: A Preliminary Study. *Molecules*, 25(23), 5655. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235655>
- Hanks, C. T., Anderson, M., & Craig, R. G. (1981). Cytotoxic effects of dental cements on two cell culture systems. *Journal of Oral Pathology and Medicine*, 10(2), 101–112. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1600-0714.1981.tb01255.x>
- Harrison, J. J., Ceri, H., Yerly, J., Stremick, C. A., Hu, Y., Martinuzzi, R., & Turner, R. J. (2006). The use of microscopy and three-dimensional visualization to evaluate the structure of microbial biofilms cultivated in the calgary biofilm device. *Biological Procedures Online*, 8(1), 194–215. <https://doi.org/10.1251/bpo127>
- Has, C., & Sunthar, P. (2019). A comprehensive review on recent preparation techniques of liposomes. *Journal of Liposome Research*, 0(0), 1–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/08982104.2019.1668010>
- Hochvaldová, L., Panáček, D., Válková, L., Prucek, R., Kohlová, V., Večeřová, R., Kolář, M., Kvítěk, L., & Panáček, A. (2022). Restoration of antibacterial activity of inactive antibiotics via combined treatment with a cyanographene/Ag nanohybrid. *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), 5222. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-09294-7>
- Hope, M., Nayar, R., Mayer, L., & Cullis, P. (1992). Reduction of liposomes size and preparation of unilamellar vesicles by extrusion techniques in liposome technology. *Liposome Technology*, 1, 1. https://www.liposomes.ca/publications/167_Hope_et_al_1993.pdf

- Hosny, K. M. (2010). Ciprofloxacin as Ocular Liposomal Hydrogel. *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 11(1), 241–246. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-009-9373-4>
- Hua, S. (2014). Comparison of in vitro dialysis release methods of loperamide-encapsulated liposomal gel for topical drug delivery. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 9(1), 735. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S55805>
- Hunter, D. G., & Frisken, B. J. (1998). Effect of Extrusion Pressure and Lipid Properties on the Size and Polydispersity of Lipid Vesicles. *Biophysical Journal*, 74(6), 2996–3002. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495\(98\)78006-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0006-3495(98)78006-3)
- Ibaraki, H., Kanazawa, T., Chien, W.-Y., Nakaminami, H., Aoki, M., Ozawa, K., Kaneko, H., Takashima, Y., Noguchi, N., & Seta, Y. (2020). The effects of surface properties of liposomes on their activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* PAO-1 biofilm. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*, 57(February), 101754. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jddst.2020.101754>
- Islam, M. S., Rahman, M. M., Bhuiyan, M. M. U., Shamsuddin, M., & Islam, M. T. (2016). EFFICACY OF OXYTETRACYCLINE, AMOXICILLIN, SULFAMETHOXAZOLE AND TRIMETHOPRIM, AND TYLOSIN FOR THE TREATMENT OF BACTERIAL DISEASES IN CATTLE AND GOATS. *Bangladesh Journal of Veterinary Medicine*, 14(1), 47–51. <https://doi.org/10.3329/bjvm.v14i1.28822>
- Jank, L., Martins, M. T., Arsand, J. B., Campos Motta, T. M., Hoff, R. B., Barreto, F., & Pizzolato, T. M. (2015). High-throughput method for macrolides and lincosamides antibiotics residues analysis in milk and muscle using a simple liquid-liquid extraction technique and liquid chromatography-electrospray-tandem mass spectrometry analysis (LC-MS/MS). *Talanta*, 144, 686–695. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.talanta.2015.06.078>
- Jardeleza, C., Rao, S., Thierry, B., Gajjar, P., Vreugde, S., Prestidge, C. A., & Wormald, P.-J. (2014). Liposome-Encapsulated ISMN: A Novel Nitric Oxide-Based Therapeutic Agent against *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilms. *PLoS ONE*, 9(3), e92117. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0092117>
- Jeber, Z. K. H., MohdJin, Z., Jesse, F. F., Saharee, A. A., Sabri, J., Yusoff, R., & Wahid, H. (2016). Influence of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* infection on level of acute phase proteins in goats. *BMC Veterinary Research*, 12(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12917-016-0675-y>
- Jesorka, A., & Orwar, O. (2008). Liposomes: Technologies and Analytical Applications. *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry*, 1(1), 801–832. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.anchem.1.031207.112747>
- Ji, L.-W., Dong, L.-L., Ji, H., Feng, X.-W., Li, D., Ding, R.-L., & Jiang, S.-X.

- (2014). Comparative pharmacokinetics and bioavailability of tylosin tartrate and tylosin phosphate after a single oral and i.v. administration in chickens. *Journal of Veterinary Pharmacology and Therapeutics*, 37(3), 312–315. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jvp.12092>
- Kaddah, S., Khreich, N., Kaddah, F., Charcosset, C., & Greige-gerges, H. (2018). Cholesterol modulates the liposome membrane fluidity and permeability for a hydrophilic molecule. *Food and Chemical Toxicology*. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fct.2018.01.017>
- Kaialy, W., & Al Shafiee, M. (2016). Recent advances in the engineering of nanosized active pharmaceutical ingredients: Promises and challenges. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 228, 71–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2015.11.010>
- Kanásová, M., & Nesmérák, K. (2017). Systematic review of liposomes' characterization methods. *Monatshefte Fur Chemie*, 148(9), 1581–1593. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00706-017-1994-9>
- Kapoor, B., Gupta, R., Gulati, M., Singh, S. K., Khursheed, R., & Gupta, M. (2019). The Why, Where, Who, How, and What of the vesicular delivery systems. *Advances in Colloid and Interface Science*, 271, 101985. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cis.2019.07.006>
- Kim, A., Ng, W. B., Bernt, W., & Cho, N.-J. (2019). Validation of Size Estimation of Nanoparticle Tracking Analysis on Polydisperse Macromolecule Assembly. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 2639. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-019-38915-x>
- Kim, H. J., & Jones, M. N. (2004). The delivery of benzyl penicillin to *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms by use of liposomes. *Journal of Liposome Research*, 14(3–4), 123–139. <https://doi.org/10.1081/LPR-200029887>
- Kim, H. J., Michael Gias, E. L., & Jones, M. N. (1999). The adsorption of cationic liposomes to *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms. *Colloids and Surfaces A: Physicochemical and Engineering Aspects*, 149(1–3), 561–570. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757\(98\)00765-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0927-7757(98)00765-1)
- Kumar, V., Sharma, N., & Maitra, S. S. (2017). In vitro and in vivo toxicity assessment of nanoparticles. *International Nano Letters*, 7(4), 243–256. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s40089-017-0221-3>
- Laouini, A., Jaafar-Maalej, C., Limayem-Blouza, I., Sfar, S., Charcosset, C., & Fessi, H. (2012). Preparation, Characterization and Applications of Liposomes: State of the Art. *Journal of Colloid Science and Biotechnology*, 1(2), 147–168. <https://doi.org/10.1166/jcsb.2012.1020>
- Lapinski, M. M., Castro-Forero, A., Greiner, A. J., Ofoli, R. Y., & Blanchard, G. J. (2007). Comparison of liposomes formed by sonication and extrusion: Rotational and translational diffusion of an embedded chromophore. *Langmuir*, 23(23), 11677–11683. <https://doi.org/10.1021/la7020963>

- Latif, N. A. A., Abdullah, F. F. J., Othman, A. M., Rina, A., Chung, E. L. T., Zamri-Saad, M., Saharee, A. A., Haron, A. W., & Lila, M. A. M. (2015). Isolation and detection of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* in the reproductive organs and associated lymph nodes of non-pregnant does experimentally inoculated through intradermal route in chronic form. *Veterinary World*, 8(7), 924–927. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2015.924-927>
- Li, M., Du, C., Guo, N., Teng, Y., Meng, X., Sun, H., Li, S., Yu, P., & Galons, H. (2019). Composition design and medical application of liposomes. *European Journal of Medicinal Chemistry*, 164, 640–653. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejmech.2019.01.007>
- Li, T., & Takeoka, S. (2018). Smart Liposomes for Drug Delivery. In *Smart Nanoparticles for Biomedicine* (pp. 31–47). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-814156-4.00003-3>
- Li, W., Wei, W., Wu, X., Zhao, Y., & Dai, H. (2020). The antibacterial and antibiofilm activities of mesoporous hollow Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles in an alternating magnetic field. *Biomaterials Science*, 8(16), 4492–4507. <https://doi.org/10.1039/D0BM00673D>
- Lichtenberg, D., & Barenholz, Y. (2006). Liposomes: Preparation, Characterization, and Preservation. In *Methods of Biochemical Analysis* (Vol. 33, pp. 337–462). <https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470110546.ch7>
- Liu, J., Wang, Z., Li, F., Gao, J., Wang, L., & Huang, G. (2015). Liposomes for systematic delivery of vancomycin hydrochloride to decrease nephrotoxicity: Characterization and evaluation. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 10(3), 212–222. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.japs.2014.12.004>
- Liu, W., Wei, F., Ye, A., Tian, M., & Han, J. (2017). Kinetic stability and membrane structure of liposomes during in vitro infant intestinal digestion : Effect of cholesterol and lactoferrin. *Food Chemistry*, 230, 6–13. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2017.03.021>
- Lohsen, S., & Stephens, D. S. (2019). Current Macrolide Antibiotics and Their Mechanisms of Action. In *Antibiotic Drug Resistance* (pp. 97–117). Wiley. <https://doi.org/10.1002/9781119282549.ch5>
- Love, S. A., Maurer-Jones, M. A., Thompson, J. W., Lin, Y. S., & Haynes, C. L. (2012). Assessing nanoparticle toxicity. *Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry*, 5(March), 181–205. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-anchem-062011-143134>
- Lu, Y., Jiang, M., Wang, C., Wang, Y., & Yang, W. (2014). Impact of molecular size on two antibiotics adsorption by porous resins. *Journal of the Taiwan Institute of Chemical Engineers*, 45(3), 955–961. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jtice.2013.09.009>
- Magarkar, A., Dhawan, V., Kallinteri, P., Viitala, T., Elmowafy, M., Bunker, A., & Ro, T. (2014). Cholesterol level affects surface charge of lipid membranes in saline solution. *Scientific Reports*, 1–5.

<https://doi.org/10.1038/srep05005>

- Messiaen, A.-S., Forier, K., Nelis, H., Braeckmans, K., & Coenye, T. (2013). Transport of Nanoparticles and Tobramycin-loaded Liposomes in *Burkholderia cepacia* Complex Biofilms. *PLoS ONE*, 8(11), e79220. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0079220>
- Minozzi, G., Mattiello, S., Grosso, L., Crepaldi, P., Chessa, S., & Pagnacco, G. (2016). First insights in the genetics of caseous lymphadenitis in goats. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 16(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2016.1250610>
- Minozzi, G., Mattiello, S., Grosso, L., Crepaldi, P., Chessa, S., & Pagnacco, G. (2017). First insights in the genetics of caseous lymphadenitis in goats. *Italian Journal of Animal Science*, 16(1), 31–38. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1828051X.2016.1250610>
- Missaoui, W. N., Arnold, R. D., & Cummings, B. S. (2018). Toxicological status of nanoparticles: What we know and what we don't know. *Chemico-Biological Interactions*, 295(July), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cbi.2018.07.015>
- Miyazaki, M., Yuba, E., Hayashi, H., Harada, A., & Kono, K. (2018). Hyaluronic Acid-Based pH-Sensitive Polymer-Modified Liposomes for Cell-Specific Intracellular Drug Delivery Systems. *Bioconjugate Chemistry*, 29(1), 44–55. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.bioconjchem.7b00551>
- Mogharabi, M., Abdollahi, M., & Faramarzi, M. A. (2014). Toxicity of nanomaterials; An undermined issue. *DARU, Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 22(1), 1–4. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40199-014-0059-4>
- Mohammed, A. R., Weston, N., Coombes, A. G. A., Fitzgerald, M., & Perrie, Y. (2004). Liposome formulation of poorly water soluble drugs : optimisation of drug loading and ESEM analysis of stability. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 285, 23–34. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2004.07.010>
- Monteiro, L. O. F., Malachias, Â., Pound-Lana, G., Magalhães-Paniago, R., Mosqueira, V. C. F., Oliveira, M. C., De Barros, A. L. B., & Leite, E. A. (2018). Paclitaxel-Loaded pH-Sensitive Liposome: New Insights on Structural and Physicochemical Characterization. *Langmuir*, 34(20), 5728–5737. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.8b00411>
- Moyá, M. L., López-López, M., Lebrón, J. A., Ostos, F. J., Pérez, D., Camacho, V., Beck, I., Merino-Bohórquez, V., Camean, M., Madinabeitia, N., & López-Cornejo, P. (2019). Preparation and characterization of new liposomes. Bactericidal activity of cefepime encapsulated into cationic liposomes. *Pharmaceutics*, 11(2), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics11020069>
- Mugabe, C., Azghani, A. O., & Omri, A. (2005). Liposome-mediated gentamicin delivery: Development and activity against resistant strains of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* isolated from cystic fibrosis patients.

Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy, 55(2), 269–271.
<https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkh518>

Mulla, S., Kumar, A., & Rajdev, S. (2016). Comparison of MIC with MBEC Assay for in Vitro Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing in Biofilm Forming Clinical Bacterial Isolates. *Advances in Microbiology*, 06(02), 73–78.
<https://doi.org/10.4236/aim.2016.62007>

Najahi-Missaoui, W., Arnold, R. D., & Cummings, B. S. (2021). Safe nanoparticles: Are we there yet? *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(1), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22010385>

Nekkanti, V., Rueda, J., Wang, Z., & Betageri, G. V. (2016). Design, Characterization, and In Vivo Pharmacokinetics of Tacrolimus Proliposomes. *AAPS PharmSciTech*, 17(5), 1019–1029.
<https://doi.org/10.1208/s12249-015-0428-4>

Nicolosi, D., Cupri, S., Genovese, C., Tempera, G., Mattina, R., & Pignatello, R. (2015). Nanotechnology approaches for antibacterial drug delivery: Preparation and microbiological evaluation of fusogenic liposomes carrying fusidic acid. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 45(6), 622–626. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2015.01.016>

Nicolosi, D., Scalia, M., Nicolosi, V. M., & Pignatello, R. (2010). Encapsulation in fusogenic liposomes broadens the spectrum of action of vancomycin against Gram-negative bacteria. *International Journal of Antimicrobial Agents*, 35(6), 553–558.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2010.01.015>

Odhah, M. N., Abdullah Jesse, F. F., Teik Chung, E. L., Mahmood, Z., Haron, A. W., Mohd Lila, M. A., & Zamri-Saad, M. (2019). Clinico-pathological responses and PCR detection of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* and its immunogenic mycolic acid extract in the vital organs of goats. *Microbial Pathogenesis*, 135(July), 103628.
<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micpath.2019.103628>

Olson, F., Hunt, C. A., Szoka, F. C., Vail, W. J., & Papahadjopoulos, D. (1979). Preparation of liposomes of defined size distribution by extrusion through polycarbonate membranes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 557(1), 9–23.
[https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736\(79\)90085-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0005-2736(79)90085-3)

Olson, M. E., Ceri, H., Morck, D. W., Buret, A. G., & Read, R. R. (2002). Biofilm bacteria: formation and comparative susceptibility to antibiotics. *The Canadian Journal of Veterinary Research*, 66(2), 86–92.
<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11989739>

Olusanya, T., Haj Ahmad, R., Ibegbu, D., Smith, J., & Elkordy, A. (2018). Liposomal Drug Delivery Systems and Anticancer Drugs. *Molecules*, 23(4), 907. <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules23040907>

Ong, S., Chitneni, M., Lee, K., Ming, L., & Yuen, K. (2016). Evaluation of Extrusion Technique for Nanosizing Liposomes. *Pharmaceutics*, 8(4), 36. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics8040036>

- Oreiby, A. F. (2015). Diagnosis of caseous lymphadenitis in sheep and goat. *Small Ruminant Research*, 123(1), 160–166. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.smallrumres.2014.11.013>
- Osman, Abdinasir Yusuf, F. F. J. B. A., & Saharee., A. A. B. (2012). Sero-prevalence of caseous lymphadenitis evaluated by agar gel precipitation test among small ruminant flocks in east coast economic regions in peninsular Malaysia. *Journal of Animal and Veterinary Advances*, 11(19), 3474–3480. <https://doi.org/10.3923/javaa.2012.3474.3480>
- Osman, A. Y., Nordin, M. L., Kadir, A. A., & Saharee, A. A. (2018). The Epidemiology and Pathophysiology of Caseous Lymphadenitis: A Review. In *Journal of Veterinary Medicine and Research* (Vol. 5, Issue 3).
- Ott, L. (2018). Adhesion properties of toxigenic corynebacteria. *AIMS Microbiology*, 4(1), 85–103. <https://doi.org/10.3934/microbiol.2018.1.85>
- Paesen, J., Cypers, W., Pauwels, K., Roets, E., & Hoogmartens, J. (1995). Study of the stability of tylosin A in aqueous solutions. *Journal of Pharmaceutical and Biomedical Analysis*, 13(9), 1153–1159. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0731-7085\(95\)01522-M](https://doi.org/10.1016/0731-7085(95)01522-M)
- Pagano, R. E., & Weinstein, J. N. (1978). Interactions of Liposomes with Mammalian Cells. *Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering*, 7(1), 435–468. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.07.060178.002251>
- Paliwal, S. R., Paliwal, R., & Vyas, S. P. (2015). A review of mechanistic insight and application of pH-sensitive liposomes in drug delivery. *Drug Delivery*, 22(3), 231–242. <https://doi.org/10.3109/10717544.2014.882469>
- Panahi, Y., Farshbaf, M., Mohammadhosseini, M., Mirahadi, M., Khalilov, R., Saghi, S., & Akbarzadeh, A. (2017). Recent advances on liposomal nanoparticles: synthesis, characterization and biomedical applications. *Artificial Cells, Nanomedicine, and Biotechnology*, 45(4), 788–799. <https://doi.org/10.1080/21691401.2017.1282496>
- Panwar, P., Pandey, B., Lakhera, P., & Singh, K. (2010). Preparation, characterization, and in vitro release study of albendazole-encapsulated nanosize liposomes. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 5, 101–108. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S8030>
- Parisi, O. I., Scrivano, L., Sinicropi, M. S., & Puoci, F. (2017). Polymeric nanoparticle constructs as devices for antibacterial therapy. *Current Opinion in Pharmacology*, 36, 72–77. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.coph.2017.08.004>
- Pelgrift, R. Y., & Friedman, A. J. (2013). Nanotechnology as a therapeutic tool to combat microbial resistance. *Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews*, 65(13–14), 1803–1815. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2013.07.011>
- Peretz Damari, S., Shamrakov, D., Varenik, M., Koren, E., Nativ-Roth, E.,

- Barenholz, Y., & Regev, O. (2018). Practical aspects in size and morphology characterization of drug-loaded nano-liposomes. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 547(1–2), 648–655. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.06.037>
- Petros, R. A., & Desimone, J. M. (2010). Strategies in the design of nanoparticles for therapeutic applications. *Nature Reviews Drug Discovery*, 9(8), 615–627. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nrd2591>
- Pircalabioru, G. G., & Chifiriuc, M. C. (2020). Nanoparticulate drug-delivery systems for fighting microbial biofilms: From bench to bedside. *Future Microbiology*, 15(8), 679–698. <https://doi.org/10.2217/fmb-2019-0251>
- Pletzer, D., Coleman, S. R., & Hancock, R. E. W. (2016). Anti-biofilm peptides as a new weapon in antimicrobial warfare. *Current Opinion in Microbiology*, 33, 35–40. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mib.2016.05.016>
- Posimo, J. M., Unnithan, A. S., Gleixner, A. M., Choi, H. J., Jiang, Y., Pulugulla, S. H., & Leak, R. K. (2014). Viability assays for cells in culture. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 2(83), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.3791/50645>
- Poźniak, B., Tikhomirov, M., Motykiewicz-Pers, K., Bobrek, K., & Świtała, M. (2020). Allometric analysis of tylosin tartrate pharmacokinetics in growing male turkeys. *Journal of Veterinary Science*, 21(3), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.4142/jvs.2020.21.e35>
- Präßt, K., Engelhardt, H., Ringgeler, S., & Hübner, H. (2017). Basic colourimetric proliferation assays: MTT, WST, and resazurin. *Methods in Molecular Biology*, 1601, 1–17. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-6960-9_1
- Qi, X.-R., Zhao, & Zhuang. (2011). Comparative study of the in vitro and in vivo characteristics of cationic and neutral liposomes. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 6, 3087. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S25399>
- Qin, H., Cao, H., Zhao, Y., Zhu, C., Cheng, T., Wang, Q., Peng, X., Cheng, M., Wang, J., Jin, G., Jiang, Y., Zhang, X., Liu, X., & Chu, P. K. (2014). In vitro and in vivo anti-biofilm effects of silver nanoparticles immobilized on titanium. *Biomaterials*, 35(33), 9114–9125. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.07.040>
- Qiu, L., Lai, W., Stumpo, D., & Blackshear, P. (2016). Mouse Embryonic Fibroblast Cell Culture and Stimulation. *BIO-PROTOCOL*, 6(13), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.21769/BioProtoc.1859>
- R A Demel and B De Kruyff. (1976). The Function of Sterols in Membrane. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta*, 457, 109–132.
- Raval, N., Maheshwari, R., Kalyane, D., Youngren-Ortiz, S. R., Chougule, M. B., & Tekade, R. K. (2019). Importance of Physicochemical Characterization of Nanoparticles in Pharmaceutical Product Development. In *Basic Fundamentals of Drug Delivery* (pp. 369–400). Elsevier. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817909-3.00010-8>

- Rezaei, N., Mehrnejad, F., Vaezi, Z., Sedghi, M., Asghari, S. M., & Naderi-Manesh, H. (2020). Encapsulation of an endostatin peptide in liposomes: Stability, release, and cytotoxicity study. *Colloids and Surfaces B: Biointerfaces*, 185(October 2019), 110552. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.colsurfb.2019.110552>
- Robson, A. L., Dastoor, P. C., Flynn, J., Palmer, W., Martin, A., Smith, D. W., Woldu, A., & Hua, S. (2018). Advantages and limitations of current imaging techniques for characterizing liposome morphology. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 9(FEB), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2018.00080>
- Rodríguez Domínguez, M. C., Montes de Oca Jiménez, R., & Varela Guerrero, J. A. (2022). Linfadenitis caseosa: factores de virulencia, patogénesis y vacunas. Revisión. *Revista Mexicana de Ciencias Pecuarias*, 12(4), 1221–1249. <https://doi.org/10.22319/rmcv.v12i4.5699>
- Ruddaraju, L. K., Pammi, S. V. N., Guntuku, G. sankar, Padavala, V. S., & Kolapalli, V. R. M. (2020). A review on anti-bacterials to combat resistance: From ancient era of plants and metals to present and future perspectives of green nano technological combinations. *Asian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 15(1), 42–59. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ajps.2019.03.002>
- Ruiz, H., Ferrer, L. M., Ramos, J. J., Baselga, C., Alzuguren, O., Tejedor, M. T., de Miguel, R., & Lacasta, D. (2020). The Relevance of Caseous Lymphadenitis as a Cause of Culling in Adult Sheep. *Animals*, 10(11), 1962. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ani10111962>
- Rukavina, Z., Šegvić Klarić, M., Filipović-Grčić, J., Lovrić, J., & Vanić, Ž. (2018). Azithromycin-loaded liposomes for enhanced topical treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) infections. *International Journal of Pharmaceutics*, 553(1–2), 109–119. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2018.10.024>
- Rukavina, Z., & Vanić, Ž. (2016). Current Trends in Development of Liposomes for Targeting Bacterial Biofilms. *Pharmaceutics*, 8(18), 2–26. <https://doi.org/10.3390/pharmaceutics8020018>
- Sá, M. da C. A., Veschi, J. L. A., Santos, G. B., Amanso, E. S., Oliveira, S. A. S., Mota, R. A., Veneroni-Gouveia, G., & Costa, M. M. (2013). Activity of disinfectants and biofilm production of *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis*. *Pesquisa Veterinária Brasileira*, 33(11), 1319–1324. <https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-736X2013001100006>
- Sande, L., Sanchez, M., Montes, J., Wolf, A. J., Morgan, M. A., Omri, A., & Liu, G. Y. (2012). Liposomal encapsulation of vancomycin improves killing of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* in a murine infection model. *Journal of Antimicrobial Chemotherapy*, 67(9), 2191–2194. <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks212>
- SANGARE, L., MORISSET, R., & RAVAOARINORO, M. (1999). In-vitro anti-

- chlamydial activities of free and liposomal tetracycline and doxycycline. *Journal of Medical Microbiology*, 48(7), 689–693. <https://doi.org/10.1099/00222615-48-7-689>
- Santos, L. M., Rodrigues, D. M., Kalil, M. A., Azevedo, V., Meyer, R., Umszagez, M. A., Machado, B. A., Seyffert, N., & Portela, R. W. (2021). Activity of Ethanolic and Supercritical Propolis Extracts in *Corynebacterium pseudotuberculosis* and Its Associated Biofilm. *Frontiers in Veterinary Science*, 8(September), 1–16. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fvets.2021.700030>
- Schumacher, I., & Margalit, R. (1997). Liposome-encapsulated ampicillin: Physicochemical and antibacterial properties. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 86(5), 635–641. <https://doi.org/10.1021/js9503690>
- Scott, L. C., & Menzies, P. I. (2011). Antimicrobial Resistance and Small Ruminant Veterinary Practice. *Veterinary Clinics of North America - Food Animal Practice*, 27(1), 23–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvfa.2010.10.015>
- Scriboni, A. B., Couto, V. M., Ribeiro, L. N. de M., Freires, I. A., Groppo, F. C., de Paula, E., Franz-Montan, M., & Cogo-Müller, K. (2019). Fusogenic Liposomes Increase the Antimicrobial Activity of Vancomycin Against *Staphylococcus aureus* Biofilm. *Frontiers in Pharmacology*, 10(November), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fphar.2019.01401>
- Senturk, S., & Temizel, M. (2006). Clinical efficacy of rifamycin SV combined with oxytetracycline in the treatment of caseous lymphadenitis in sheep. *Veterinary Record*, 159(7), 216–217. <https://doi.org/10.1136/vr.159.7.216>
- Serri, A., Mahboubi, A., Zarghi, A., & Moghimi, H. R. (2018). Investigating the antimicrobial efficacy of liposomal vancomycin in gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria- a preliminary mechanistic study. *Iranian Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 14(3), 13–24. <https://doi.org/10.22034/IJPS.2018.35924>
- Sharifi, S., Behzadi, S., Laurent, S., Forrest, M. L., Stroeve, P., & Mahmoudi, M. (2012). Toxicity of nanomaterials. *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41(6), 2323–2343. <https://doi.org/10.1039/c1cs15188f>
- Sharma, D., Misba, L., & Khan, A. U. (2019). Antibiotics versus biofilm: An emerging battleground in microbial communities. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 8(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0533-3>
- Shashi, K., Satinder, K., & Bharat, P. (2012). a Complete Review on: Liposomes. *International Research Journal of Pharmacy*, 3(7), 10–16.
- Shen, J., & Burgess, D. J. (2013). In vitro dissolution testing strategies for nanoparticulate drug delivery systems: recent developments and challenges. *Drug Delivery and Translational Research*, 3(5), 409–415. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13346-013-0129-z>

- Skogman, M. E., Vuorela, P. M., & Fallarero, A. (2016). A Platform of Anti-biofilm Assays Suited to the Exploration of Natural Compound Libraries. *Journal of Visualized Experiments*, 2016(118), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3791/54829>
- Solomon, D., Gupta, N., Mulla, N. S., Shukla, S., Guerrero, Y. A., & Gupta, V. (2017). Review Article Role of In Vitro Release Methods in Liposomal Formulation Development: Challenges and Regulatory Perspective. *The AAPS Journal*, 19(6), 1669–1681. <https://doi.org/10.1208/s12248-017-0142-0>
- Stanisic, D., Fregonesi, N. L., Barros, C. H. N., Pontes, J. G. M., Fulaz, S., Menezes, U. J., Nicoleti, J. L., Castro, T. L. P., Seyffert, N., Azevedo, V., Durán, N., Portela, R. W., & Tasic, L. (2018). NMR insights on nano silver post-surgical treatment of superficial caseous lymphadenitis in small ruminants. *RSC Advances*, 8(71), 40778–40786. <https://doi.org/10.1039/C8RA08218A>
- Stockert, J. C., Horobin, R. W., Colombo, L. L., & Blázquez-Castro, A. (2018). Tetrazolium salts and formazan products in Cell Biology: Viability assessment, fluorescence imaging, and labeling perspectives. *Acta Histochemica*, 120(3), 159–167. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.acthis.2018.02.005>
- Stone, V., Johnston, H., & Schins, R. P. F. (2009). Development of in vitro systems for nanotoxicology: Methodological considerations in vitro methods for nanotoxicology Vicki Stone et al. *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 39(7), 613–626. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10408440903120975>
- Storm, G., Bloois, L. Van, Steerenberg, P. A., Van Etten, E., de Groot, G., & Crommelin, D. J. A. (1989). LIPOSOME ENCAPSULATION THERAPEUTIC ASPECTS OF DOXORUBICIN: PHARMACEUTICAL AND. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 9, 215–229.
- Šturm, L., & Poklar Ulrich, N. (2021). Basic Methods for Preparation of Liposomes and Studying Their Interactions with Different Compounds, with the Emphasis on Polyphenols. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(12), 6547. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms22126547>
- Su, W., Kumar, V., Ding, Y., Ero, R., Serra, A., Lee, B. S. T., Wong, A. S. W., Shi, J., Sze, S. K., Yang, L., & Gao, Y.-G. (2018). Ribosome protection by antibiotic resistance ATP-binding cassette protein. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 115(20), 5157–5162. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1803313115>
- Sudimack, J. J., Guo, W., Tjarks, W., & Lee, R. J. (2002). A novel pH-sensitive liposome formulation containing oleyl alcohol. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Biomembranes*, 1564(1), 31–37. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736\(02\)00399-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-2736(02)00399-1)
- Syame, S. M., Abuelnaga, A. S. M., Ibrahim, E. S., & Hakim, A. S. (2018). Evaluation of specific and non-specific immune response of four

- vaccines for caseous lymphadenitis in sheep challenged. *Veterinary World*, 11(9), 1272–1276. <https://doi.org/10.14202/vetworld.2018.1272-1276>
- Szoka, F., & Papahadjopoulos, D. (1980). Comparative Properties and Methods of Preparation of Lipid Vesicles (Liposomes). *Annual Review of Biophysics and Bioengineering*, 9(1), 467–508. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.bb.09.060180.002343>
- Szymańska-Czerwińska, M., Bednarek, D., Zdzisińska, B., & Kandefer-Szerszeń, M. (2009). Effect of tylosin and prebiotics on the level of cytokines and lymphocyte immunophenotyping parameters in calves. *Central-European Journal of Immunology*, 34(1), 1–6.
- Thonemann, B., Schmalz, G., Hiller, K.-A., & Schweikl, H. (2002). Responses of L929 mouse fibroblasts, primary and immortalized bovine dental papilla-derived cell lines to dental resin components. *Dental Materials*, 18(4), 318–323. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641\(01\)00056-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0109-5641(01)00056-2)
- Tsai, M.-J., Huang, Y.-B., Fang, J.-W., Fu, Y.-S., & Wu, P.-C. (2015). Preparation and Characterization of Naringenin-Loaded Elastic Liposomes for Topical Application. *PLOS ONE*, 10(7), e0131026. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0131026>
- Tseng, B. S., Zhang, W., Harrison, J. J., Quach, T. P., Song, J. L., Penterman, J., Singh, P. K., Chopp, D. L., Packman, A. I., & Parsek, M. R. (2013). The extracellular matrix protects *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms by limiting the penetration of tobramycin. *Environmental Microbiology*, 15(10), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1462-2920.12155>
- Tyrrell, D. A., Heath, T. D., Colley, C. M., & Ryman, B. E. (1976). New aspects of liposomes. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA) - Reviews on Biomembranes*, 457(3–4), 259–302. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157\(76\)90002-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/0304-4157(76)90002-2)
- Umer, M., Abba, Y., Firdaus Jesse, F. A., Monther Mohammed Saleh, W., Haron, A. W., Saharee, A. A., Ariff, A. Bin, Bailee, F. H. A., Hambali, I. U., & Sharif, A. (2017). Caseous lymphadenitis in small ruminants: An overview on reproductive implications. *International Journal of Veterinary Sciences and Animal Husbandry*, 2(2 (2)), 23–31. www.veterinarypaper.com
- Vanić, Ž., Rukavina, Z., Manner, S., Fallarero, A., Uzelac, L., Kralj, M., Klarić, D. A., Bogdanov, A., Raffai, T., Virok, D. P., Filipović-Grčić, J., & Škalko-Basnet, N. (2019). Azithromycin-liposomes as a novel approach for localized therapy of cervicovaginal bacterial infections. *International Journal of Nanomedicine*, 14, 5957–5976. <https://doi.org/10.2147/IJN.S211691>
- Vidal, M., & Hoekstra, D. (1995). In Vitro Fusion of Reticulocyte Endocytic Vesicles with Liposomes. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 270(30), 17823–17829. <https://doi.org/10.1074/jbc.270.30.17823>
- Vitas, A. I., Díaz, R., & Gamazo, C. (1997). Protective Effect of Liposomal

- Gentamicin against Systemic Acute Murine Brucellosis. *Chemotherapy*, 4(3), 204–210. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000239563>
- Wagner, A., & Vorauer-Uhl, K. (2011). Liposome technology for industrial purposes. *Journal of Drug Delivery*, 2011, 591325. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/591325>
- Wallace, S. J., Li, J., Nation, R. L., & Boyd, B. J. (2012). Drug release from nanomedicines: selection of appropriate encapsulation and release methodology. *Drug Delivery and Translational Research*, 2(4), 284–292. <https://doi.org/10.1006/taap.1999.8632>
- Wallace, S. J., Li, J., Nation, R. L., Prankerd, R. J., & Boyd, B. J. (2012). Interaction of colistin and colistin methanesulfonate with liposomes: Colloidal aspects and implications for formulation. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Sciences*, 101(9), 3347–3359. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jps.23203>
- Wang, D. Y., van der Mei, H. C., Ren, Y., Busscher, H. J., & Shi, L. (2020). Lipid-Based Antimicrobial Delivery-Systems for the Treatment of Bacterial Infections. *Frontiers in Chemistry*, 7(January), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fchem.2019.00872>
- Wang, M., Xie, T., Chang, Z., Wang, L., Xie, X., Kou, Y., Xu, H., & Gao, X. (2015). A new type of liquid silymarin proliposome containing bile salts: Its preparation and improved hepatoprotective effects. *PLoS ONE*, 10(12), 1–15. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0143625>
- Washburn, K. E., Bissett, W. T., Fajt, V. R., Libal, M. C., Fosgate, G. T., Miga, J. A., & Rockey, K. M. (2009). Comparison of three treatment regimens for sheep and goats with caseous lymphadenitis. *Journal of the American Veterinary Medical Association*, 234(9), 1162–1166. <https://doi.org/10.2460/javma.234.9.1162>
- Waterhouse, D. N., Madden, T. D., Cullis, P. R., Bally, M. B., Mayer, L. D., & Webb, M. S. (2005). Preparation, characterization, and biological analysis of liposomal formulations of vincristine. *Methods in Enzymology*, 391(SPEC. ISS.), 40–57. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879\(05\)91002-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0076-6879(05)91002-1)
- Windsor, P. A. (2011). Control of Caseous Lymphadenitis. *Veterinary Clinics of North America: Food Animal Practice*, 27(1), 193–202. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cvfa.2010.10.019>
- Wong, J. P., Yang, H., Blasetti, K. L., Schnell, G., Conley, J., & Schofield, L. N. (2003). Liposome delivery of ciprofloxacin against intracellular *Francisella tularensis* infection. *Journal of Controlled Release*, 92(3), 265–273. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659\(03\)00358-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-3659(03)00358-4)
- Xiong, Y.-Q., Kupferwasser, L. I., Zack, P. M., & Bayer, A. S. (1999). Comparative Efficacies of Liposomal Amikacin (MiKasome) plus Oxacillin versus Conventional Amikacin plus Oxacillin in Experimental Endocarditis Induced by *Staphylococcus aureus*: Microbiological and Echocardiographic Analyses. *Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy*,

43(7), 1737–1742. <https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.43.7.1737>

- Yitagesu, E., Alemnew, E., Olani, A., Asfaw, T., & Demis, C. (2020). Survival Analysis of Clinical Cases of Caseous Lymphadenitis of Goats in North Shoa, Ethiopia. *Veterinary Medicine International*, 2020, 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2020/8822997>
- Zaidi, S., Misba, L., & Khan, A. U. (2017). Nano-therapeutics: A revolution in infection control in post antibiotic era. *Nanomedicine: Nanotechnology, Biology, and Medicine*, 13(7), 2281–2301. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nano.2017.06.015>
- Zhang, X., Sun, P., Bi, R., Wang, J., Zhang, N., & Huang, G. (2009). Targeted delivery of levofloxacin-liposomes for the treatment of pulmonary inflammation. *Journal of Drug Targeting*, 17(5), 399–407. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10611860902795407>
- Zhao, F., Liu, J., Shi, W., Huang, F., Liu, L., Zhao, S., & Zhang, J. (2019). Antimicrobial susceptibility and genotyping of *Mycoplasma pneumoniae* isolates in Beijing, China, from 2014 to 2016. *Antimicrobial Resistance and Infection Control*, 8(1), 1–8. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13756-019-0469-7>
- Zhuo, S., Zhang, F., Yu, J., Zhang, X., Yang, G., & Liu, X. (2020). pH-sensitive biomaterials for drug delivery. In *Molecules* (Vol. 25, Issue 23, pp. 1–20). <https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules25235649>