



**EFFECTS OF POSITIVE EDUCATION APPROACH ON ACADEMIC
BOREDOM, INTRINSIC MOTIVATION, POSITIVE EMOTIONS AND
THOUGHT-ACTION REPERTOIRE AMONG PRIVATE COLLEGE
STUDENTS IN SHAANXI PROVINCE, CHINA**

By

ZHENG JIE

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

September 2022

FPP 2022 68

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs, and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

EFFECTS OF POSITIVE EDUCATION APPROACH ON ACADEMIC BOREDOM, INTRINSIC MOTIVATION, POSITIVE EMOTIONS AND THOUGHT-ACTION REPERTOIRE AMONG PRIVATE COLLEGE STUDENTS IN SHAANXI PROVINCE, CHINA

By

ZHENG JIE

September 2022

Chairman : Professor Samsilah binti Roslan, PhD
Faculty : Educational Studies

Academic boredom can be best described as a negative and deactivating academic-related activity emotion, which is frequently reported by Chinese college students. High levels of academic boredom are associated with multiple learning factors such as intrinsic motivation. To date, however, scarce intervention studies have addressed this issue, especially among Chinese college students. More importantly, most of them adopted the deficit-based approaches which make students with high levels of academic boredom feel being labelled as problematic, and others who may not have high levels of academic boredom feel temporarily neglected, imposing an adverse impact on their mental health. Additionally, those intervention studies only aimed to reduce academic boredom, while few studies examined the effects of interventions on both reducing academic boredom and increasing positive constructs like intrinsic motivation and positive emotions. Given the limitations, further studies on academic boredom are more imperative. The purpose of this study was to investigate the effects of the positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model on learning-related boredom (LRB), class-related boredom (CRB), intrinsic motivation (IM), positive emotions (PE), and thought-action repertoire (TAR) among Chinese private college students as compared to the traditional education approach (TEA). This study is an experimental study using a quasi-experimental non-equivalent pre-test post-test control group design due to its naturally existing groups (intact classes). The participants obtained through cluster random sampling and fishbowl technique were four intact classes students, totaling of 173 undergraduate freshmen (139 females and 34 males) ranging in age from 17 to 23 ($M=19.18$, $SD=.1$) years from China. After that, random assignment was used to assign two intact classes as the control group and two intact classes as the experimental group. The experimental group received 13 sessions of positive education interventions based on China's "6+2" positive education model using the activity teaching pattern, which is defined as the PEA, while the control group received 13 sessions of college student mental health education course according to

official documents using the teacher-centred lecturing style, which is defined as the TEA. All participants were asked to complete the assessments two times: pre-test (one week before the intervention) and post-test (one week after the intervention). The results showed that: PEA was effective in reducing LRB and CRB, and increasing IM. The mean score in LRB for post-test ($M=22.00$, $SD=7.59$) was significantly lower than that for pre-test ($M=31.12$, $SD=9.08$) ($p < .01$). The same results were found for CRB, where the mean score in CRB for post-test ($M=21.14$, $SD=7.24$) was significantly lower than that for pre-test ($M=29.98$, $SD=9.20$) ($p < .01$). In addition, the mean score in IM for post-test ($M=62.52$, $SD=12.07$) was significantly higher than that for pre-test ($M=53.58$, $SD=13.80$) ($p < .01$). The profile plot indicated that there were increases in the mean score for PE from pre-test ($M=26.57$, $SD=5.68$) to post-test ($M=28.43$, $SD=6.29$) and TAR from pre-test ($M=8.13$, $SD=5.20$) to post-test ($M=9.27$, $SD=5.70$) that were not statistically significant; the TEA was not effective in reducing students' LRB, CRB and increasing IM, PE, and TAR ($p < .01$). There were no statistically significant differences in the mean scores of LRB, CRB, IM, PE, and TAR from pre-test to post-test ($p < .01$); the PEA was much better than the TEA in reducing students' academic boredom (LRB, CRB) and improving their wellbeing (PE, TAR) as well as academic success (IM) ($p < .01$). An examination of the mean scores indicated a significantly lower level of LRB in the experimental group ($M=22.00$, $SD=7.59$) than in the control group ($M=31.11$, $SD=8.28$) ($p < .01$); a significantly lower level of CRB in the experimental group ($M=21.14$, $SD=7.24$) than in the control group ($M=31.17$, $SD=9.40$) ($p < .01$); a significantly higher level of IM in the experimental group ($M=62.52$, $SD=12.07$) than in the control group ($M=54.30$, $SD=14.35$) ($p < .01$); a significantly higher level of PE in the experimental group ($M=28.43$, $SD=6.29$) than in the control group ($M=25.51$, $SD=5.41$) ($p < .01$); a significantly higher level of TAR in the experimental group ($M=9.27$, $SD=5.70$) than in the control group ($M=5.48$, $SD=4.01$) ($p < .01$). Thus, the PEA can both reduce academic boredom and increase intrinsic motivation in China. Positive emotions and thought-action repertoire could also be improved but the significance level did not occur. This study provided empirical evidence that PEA is one of the effective interventions in addressing both academic boredom and motivational issues in education that are increasing due to various development happening in the students' surroundings.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN PENDEKATAN PENDIDIKAN POSITIF TERHADAP KEBOSANAN
AKADEMIK, MOTIVASI INTRINSIK, EMOSI POSITIF DAN REPERTOIR
TINDAKAN PEMIKIRAN DALAM KALANGAN PELAJAR-PELAJAR
KOLEJ SWASTA DI WILAYAH SHAANXI, CHINA**

Oleh

ZHENG JIE

September 2022

Pengerusi : Profesor Samsilah binti Roslan, PhD
Fakulti : Pengajian Pendidikan

Kebosanan akademik boleh digambarkan sebagai emosi aktiviti akademik yang negatif dan menyahaktifkan, yang sering dilaporkan oleh pelajar-pelajar kolej di China. Tahap kebosanan akademik yang tinggi dikaitkan dengan pelbagai faktor pembelajaran seperti motivasi intrinsik. Walaubagaimanapun sehingga kini, kajian intervensi untuk menangani isu ini adalah terhad, terutamanya dalam kalangan pelajar-pelajar kolej di China. Lebih penting lagi, kebanyakan mereka menggunakan pendekatan berasaskan defisit yang menjadikan pelajar yang mempunyai tahap kebosanan akademik yang tinggi dilabelkan sebagai bermasalah, dan mereka yang mungkin tidak mempunyai tahap kebosanan akademik yang tinggi rasa diabaikan buat sementara waktu, sekaligus menyebabkan kesan buruk terhadap kesihatan mental mereka. Di samping itu, kajian-kajian intervensi tersebut hanya bertujuan untuk mengurangkan kebosanan akademik, manakala beberapa kajian mengkaji kesan intervensi ke atas kedua-duanya iaitu mengurangkan kebosanan akademik dan meningkatkan konstruk positif seperti motivasi intrinsik dan emosi positif. Memandangkan limitasi tersebut, kajian lanjut mengenai kebosanan akademik amat penting. Kajian ini bertujuan untuk mengkaji kesan pendekatan pendidikan positif, positive education approach (PEA) yang berdasarkan model pendidikan positif "6+2" China mengenai kebosanan berkaitan pembelajaran, learning-related boredom (LRB), kebosanan berkaitan kelas, class-related boredom (CRB), motivasi intrinsik, intrinsic motivation (IM), emosi positif, positive emotions (PE), dan himpunan pemikiran-tindakan, thought-action repertoire (TAR) dalam kalangan pelajar-pelajar kolej swasta di China berbanding Pendekatan Pendidikan Tradisional, Traditional Education Approach (TEA). Kajian ini adalah kajian eksperimen berbentuk kuasi-ekperimen menggunakan kumpulan kawalan pasca ujian pra-ujian yang tidak setara kerana kumpulannya yang sedia ada secara semula jadi (intact classes). Peserta telah dipilih melalui persampelan rawak kluster dan fish bowl technique untuk empat kumpulan, seramai 173 mahasiswa sarjana muda (139 perempuan dan 34 lelaki) berumur antara 17 hingga 23 tahun ($M=19.18$, $SD=.1$) dari China. Selepas

itu, pemulihan secara rawak digunakan untuk memilih dua kumpulan sebagai kumpulan kawalan dan dua kumpulan sebagai kumpulan eksperimen. Kumpulan eksperimen itu menerima 13 sesi intervensi pendidikan positif berdasarkan model pendidikan positif "6+2" China menggunakan corak pengajaran aktiviti, yang ditakrifkan sebagai PEA manakala kumpulan kawalan menerima 13 sesi kursus pendidikan kesihatan mental pelajar kolej mengikut dokumen rasmi menggunakan gaya pengajaran berpusatkan guru, yang ditakrifkan sebagai TEA. Semua peserta diminta untuk melengkapkan penilaian dua kali: pra-ujian (satu minggu sebelum intervensi) dan pasca ujian (satu minggu selepas intervensi). Dapatan menunjukkan bahawa: PEA berkesan dalam mengurangkan LRB, CRB, dan meningkatkan IM. Skor min dalam LRB untuk pasca ujian ($M = 22.00$, $SD = 7.59$) jauh lebih rendah dari pra-ujian ($M = 31.12$, $SD = 9.08$) ($p < .01$). Keputusan yang sama didapati untuk CRB, di mana skor min dalam CRB untuk pasca ujian ($M = 21.14$, $SD = 7.24$) jauh lebih rendah dari pra-ujian ($M = 29.98$, $SD = 9.20$) ($p < .01$). Di samping itu, skor min dalam IM untuk pasca ujian ($M = 62.52$, $SD = 12.07$) jauh lebih tinggi dari pra-ujian ($M = 53.58$, $SD = 13.80$) ($p < .01$). Plot profil menunjukkan bahawa terdapat peningkatan dalam skor min untuk PE daripada pra-ujian ($M=26.57$, $SD=5.68$) kepada pasca ujian ($M=28.43$, $SD=6.29$) dan TAR daripada pra-ujian ($M=8.13$, $SD=5.20$) kepada pasca ujian ($M=9.27$, $SD=5.70$) yang tidak signifikan secara statistik; TEH tidak berkesan dalam mengurangkan LRB, CRB pelajar dan meningkatkan IM, PE, dan TAR ($p < .01$). Tiada perbezaan yang signifikan secara statistik dalam skor min LRB, CRB, IM, PE, dan TAR daripada pra-ujian hingga pasca ujian ($p < .01$).); PEA adalah jauh lebih baik daripada TEH dalam mengurangkan kebosanan akademik pelajar (LRB, CRB) dan meningkatkan kesejahteraan mereka (PE, TAR) serta kejayaan akademik (IM) ($p < .01$). Pemeriksaan skor min menunjukkan tahap LRB yang jauh lebih rendah dalam kumpulan eksperimen ($M = 22.00$, $SD = 7.59$) berbanding kumpulan kawalan ($M = 31.11$, $SD = 8.28$) ($p < .01$).; tahap CRB yang jauh lebih rendah dalam kumpulan eksperimen ($M = 21.14$, $SD = 7.24$) berbanding kumpulan kawalan ($M = 31.17$, $SD = 9.40$) ($p < .01$).; tahap IM yang jauh lebih tinggi dalam kumpulan eksperimen ($M=62.52$, $SD=12.07$) berbanding kumpulan kawalan ($M=54.30$, $SD=14.35$) ($p < .01$).; tahap PE yang jauh lebih tinggi dalam kumpulan eksperimen ($M=28.43$, $SD=6.29$) berbanding dalam kumpulan kawalan ($M=25.51$, $SD=5.41$) ($p < .01$).; tahap TAR yang jauh lebih tinggi dalam kumpulan eksperimen ($M=9.27$, $SD=5.70$) berbanding dalam kumpulan kawalan ($M=5.48$, $SD=4.01$) ($p < .01$). Oleh itu, PEA boleh mengurangkan kebosanan akademik dan meningkatkan motivasi intrinsik di China. Emosi positif dan himpunan tindakan pemikiran (thought-action repertoire) juga boleh diperbaiki tetapi belum mencapai tahap signifikan. Kajian ini memberikan bukti empirikal bahawa PEA adalah salah satu intervensi yang berkesan dalam menangani masalah kebosanan akademik dan motivasi dalam pendidikan yang semakin meningkat akibat pelbagai perkembangan yang berlaku di persekitaran pelajar.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First, I would like to thank my respectful main supervisor Professor Dr. Samsilah Roslan for her constant encouragement and support. Without her persistent help, I would not have been able to complete this thesis. She is very knowledgeable and humble and I have learnt a lot of professional knowledge and life wisdom from her. Words can hardly express my gratitude to her.

I also want to thank my co-supervisors Dr. Mas Nida Md Khambari and Dr. Mohd. Mokhtar Muhamad, for their active constructive feedback and invaluable advice throughout the entire research process.

I would also like to express my gratitude to the ex-leaders and ex-colleagues from Xi'an International University for their support during my tough Ph.D. journey. Especially, I would like to thank Professor Liang Chongke who spent a lot of time assisting me in my experimental study and sharing with me a lot of invaluable teaching experiences in the psychology subject.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest appreciation to my dear husband Li Huanping, for his unconditional love that makes me feel unique in this world. I would also like to express my appreciation to my parents and other family members who taught me good character strengths and virtues in my younger years, which have been beneficial to my whole life. I want to use this quote to finish this part, "people will forget what you said, people will forget what you did, but people will never forget how you made them feel".

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Samsilah binti Roslan, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Mas Nida Md Khambari, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Mohd. Mokhtar bin Muhamad, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Educational Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 12 January 2023

Declaration by graduate student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No: Zheng Jie

Declaration by Members of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Samsilah binti Roslan

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Mas Nida Md Khambari

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Mohd. Mokhtar bin Muhamad

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xvi
LIST OF APPENDICES	xviii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xix
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Overview	1
1.2 Background	1
1.2.1 Academic Boredom	1
1.2.2 Intrinsic Motivation	2
1.2.3 Positive Emotions and Thought-Action Repertoire	3
1.2.4 Positive Education Approach (PEA)	3
1.3 Problem Statement	4
1.4 Objectives	6
1.5 Hypothesis	7
1.6 Significance of the study	8
1.7 Scope and Limitation of the study	9
1.8 Operational Definitions	9
1.8.1 Academic boredom	9
1.8.2 Intrinsic Motivation	10
1.8.3 Thought-Action Repertoire	10
1.8.4 Positive Emotions	10
1.8.5 Positive Education Approach	11
1.8.6 Traditional Education Approach	11
1.9 Summary	12
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	13
2.1 Introduction	13
2.2 Theoretical Basis	13
2.2.1 Control-Value Theory (CVT) of Academic Emotions	13
2.2.2 Broaden-and-Build Theory (BBT) of Positive Emotions	15
2.2.3 Basic Psychological Needs Theory (BPNT) of Intrinsic Motivation	16
2.3 Positive Education Approach (PEA)	17
2.3.1 Concept of Positive Psychology	18

2.3.2	Positive Psychology Interventions	18
2.3.3	Positive Education	19
2.4	Theoretical framework of this study	26
2.5	Related Literature Reviewed	28
2.5.1	Academic Boredom	28
2.5.2	Intrinsic Motivation for Learning	34
2.5.3	Positive Emotions and Thought-Action Repertoire	36
2.6	Summary of related literature review	38
2.7	Conceptual framework of this study	38
2.8	Summary	39
3	METHODOLOGY	40
3.1	Introduction	40
3.2	Research Design	40
3.3	Control of threats to internal validity	41
3.3.1	History	41
3.3.2	Selection bias	41
3.3.3	Testing	42
3.3.4	Maturation	42
3.3.5	Mortality or Attrition	42
3.3.6	Instrumentation	42
3.3.7	Contamination	42
3.4	Population	43
3.5	Sample Sizes and Sampling Procedure	44
3.6	Location of the study	45
3.7	Description of Instruments	46
3.7.1	Chinese Version of Learning-Related Boredom Scale (CLRBS) and Class-Related Boredom Scale (CCRBS)	46
3.7.2	Chinese Version of Intrinsic Motivation Scale (CIMS)	47
3.7.3	Chinese Version of Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (CPANAS)	48
3.7.4	Chinese Version of the Modified Open-Ended Twenty Statements Test (CMOETST)	48
3.8	Validity of the Research's Instruments	49
3.9	Reliability of the Research's Instruments	49
3.9.1	Reliability of the Chinese Version of Learning- Related Boredom Scale (CLRBS)	49
3.9.2	Reliability of the Chinese Version of Class- Related Boredom Scale (CCRBS)	50
3.9.3	Reliability of the Chinese Version of Intrinsic Motivation Scale (CIMS)	50
3.9.4	Reliability of the Chinese Version of Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (CPANAS)	51
3.10	Experimental procedure	51
3.11	Positive Education Intervention Programme	52
3.11.1	The overall framework of the PEA	52
3.11.2	The implementation procedures of the PEA	65

3.11.3	The class flow of the PEA	65
3.11.4	The 13-session specific teaching plans of the PEA	65
3.11.5	Intervention Provider	65
3.12	Control group (TEA)	66
3.12.1	The overall framework of control group (TEA)	66
3.12.2	The implementation plan of control group (TEA)	67
3.12.3	The class flow of control group (TEA)	67
3.12.4	The 13-session specific teaching plans for control group (TEA)	67
3.13	Procedure for data collection	67
3.14	Date analysis	68
3.15	Checking the Assumptions for MANOVA	70
3.15.1	Normality Assumption	70
3.15.2	Linearity of Variance	73
3.15.3	Multicollinearity and Singularity	74
3.16	Pre-tests	75
3.17	Flow chart of the study	78
3.18	Pilot studies	79
3.19	Summary	80
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS	81
4.1	Introduction	81
4.2	Findings	81
4.2.1	Descriptive Analysis	81
4.2.2	The intervention effect of the PEA	82
4.2.3	The effect of TEA	88
4.2.4	The comparisons between the PEA and the TEA	93
4.3	Discussion	100
4.3.1	The intervention effect of the PEA	101
4.3.2	The effect of the TEA	103
4.3.3	The comparison between the PEA and the TEA	104
4.4	Summary	105
5	SUMMARY, CONCLUSION, IMPLICATION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	107
5.1	Introduction	107
5.2	Summary	107
5.3	Theoretical and Practical Implications of the study	111
5.3.1	Theoretical Implications	111
5.3.2	Practical Implications	112
5.4	Limitations of the Study	113
5.5	Recommendations of the study	114
5.5.1	Recommendations for Chinese Higher Education Institutions	114
5.5.2	Recommendations for Future Research	115
5.5.3	Conclusion	115

REFERENCES	116
APPENDICES	138
BIODATA OF STUDENT	324
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	325



LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
3.1	Non-equivalent Control Group Pre-test and Post-test Design	41
3.2	Summary of the Internal Consistency of the CLRBS	50
3.3	Summary of the Internal Consistency of the CCRBS	50
3.4	Summary of the Internal Consistency of the CIMS	51
3.5	Summary of the Internal Consistency of the PA and NA	51
3.6	Summary of the main experimental procedures	55
3.7	Summary of the collected data analysis	69
3.8	Skewness and Kurtosis Values for Dependent Measures	70
3.9	Correlations among LRB, CRB, PE, IM, and TAR	75
3.10	Pre-test Means and Standard Deviations for LRB, CRB, IM, PE, TAR	76
3.11	The results of Between-Subjects effects	76
3.12	The interaction effect between covariate (pre-test TAR) and groups (control and experimental)	78
4.1	Frequency and Percentages of Participants' Sex	81
4.2	Frequency and Percentages of Participants' Age	82
4.3	Frequency and Percentages of Participants' academic background	82
4.4	The multivariate tests on five dependent variables (LRB, CRB, IM, PE, TAR)	83
4.5	The follow-up univariate ANOVA on five dependent variables	84
4.6	Descriptive statistics for five dependent variables for PEA group	84
4.7	The multivariate tests on five dependent variables in TEA group	89
4.8	The follow-up univariate ANOVA on five dependent variables in TEA group	89
4.9	Descriptive statistics for five dependent variables in TEA group	90

4.10	Multivariate tests for four dependent variables (CRB, LRB, IM, PE)	94
4.11	The results of Between-Groups effects(CRB, LRB, IM, PE)	94
4.12	Descriptive statistics for four dependent variables (LRB,CRB,IM,PE)	95
4.13	The results of tests of between-groups effects for TAR, using Pre-test TAR as a covariate	95
4.14	The descriptive statistics of One-way ANCOVA for TAR	96
4.15	Box's Test of Equality of Covariance Matrices	96
4.16	Levene's Test of Equality of Error Variances	96



LIST OF FIGURES

Figure	Page	
2.1	China's "6+2" positive education model	22
2.2	The theoretical framework of this study	28
2.3	The conceptual framework of this study	39
3.1	The sampling procedure	45
3.2	Positive education programme	53
3.3	Four stages for each session	53
3.4	Normal Q-Q Plot of learning-related boredom (LRB) in Post-test for two groups	71
3.5	Normal Q-Q Plot of class-related boredom (CLB) in Post-test for two groups	71
3.6	Normal Q-Q Plot of intrinsic motivation (IM) in Post-test for two groups	72
3.7	Normal Q-Q Plot of positive emotions (PE) in Post-test for two groups	72
3.8	Normal Q-Q Plot of thought-action repertoires (TAR) in Post-test for two groups	72
3.9	Mahalanobis distance to check multivariate normality for five DVs	73
3.10	Graphical details for all five dependent variables	74
3.11	Linear relationships between dependent variable (post-test scores TAR) and covariate (pre-test scores TAR) for the two groups	77
3.12	Flow chart of the study	79
4.1	Profile plot for learning-related boredom (LRB) in PEA group	85
4.2	Profile plot for class-related boredom (CRB) in PEA group	86
4.3	Profile plot for intrinsic motivation (IM) in PEA group	86
4.4	Profile plot for positive emotions (PE) in PEA group	87

4.5	Profile plot for thought-action repertoires (TAR) in PEA group	87
4.6	Profile plot for learning-related boredom (LRB) in TEA group	90
4.7	Profile plot for class-related boredom (LRB) in TEA group	91
4.8	Profile plot for intrinsic motivation (IM) in TEA group	91
4.9	Profile plot for positive emotions (PE) in TEA group	92
4.10	Profile plot for thought-action repertoire (TAR) in TEA group	92
4.11	Profile plot for learning-related boredom (LRB) between groups	97
4.12	Profile plot for class-related boredom (CRB) between groups	98
4.13	Profile plot for intrinsic motivation (IM) between groups	98
4.14	Profile plot for positive emotions (PE) between groups	99
4.15	Profile plot for thought-action repertoire (TAR) between groups	100

LIST OF APPENDICES

Appendix		Page
A	Chinese Version of Learning-Related Boredom Scale	138
B	The expert panel of assisting in checking validity of original and translated instruments	145
C	Ethical approval letter	160
D	Approval letter to use the 21 YouTubes in Positive Education Intervention	164
E	The expert panel of assisting in checking validity of the positive education intervention	182
F	The class flow of the PEA	197
G	The 13-session specific intervention plans for experimental group	206
H	The curriculum design framework of TEA	251
I	The 13-session specific plans for control group	262
J	Preliminary tests to check for assumptions for MANOVA	322

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CRB	Class-Related Boredom
IM	Intrinsic Motivation
LRB	Learning-Related Boredom
PE	Positive Emotion
PEA	Positive Education Approach
S.D	Standard Deviation
TAR	Thought-Action Repertoire
TEA	Traditional Education Approach

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Overview

To begin with, this chapter introduces the context of learning in China and then moves on to explain students' learning problems that have arisen within that context, which include academic boredom, intrinsic motivation, and mental wellbeing (positive emotions and thought-action repertoire). In addition, the researcher briefly introduces the positive education approach. After that, the researcher elaborates the problem statement, research objective, hypotheses, significance of the study, limitations of the study, and operational definition.

1.2 Background

Learning is essential to the development of individuals and societies. In recent years, with the rapid development of China's economy, China's higher education has also entered a stage of rapid development. The Chinese Government has been investing money and good facilities in higher education in recent years. According to the Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China (2017), in 2016, the total number of students in various types of higher education in China reached 36.99 million, and the gross enrolment rate for higher education reached 42.7%. However, due to rapid development, a series of problems related to learning have arisen. Apparently, the issue of academic boredom is getting more serious and deserves to be further studied and explored especially in the context of education in China (Liu, 2022; Shi, 2017; Wang, 2016; Zhao, 2013).

1.2.1 Academic Boredom

Academic boredom refers to a negative and deactivating academic-related activity emotion (Pekrun et al., 2010; Sharp et al., 2018). Previous studies note that academic boredom is commonly reported by college students in learning settings across different countries (Mann & Robinson, 2009; Parker et al., 2021; Sharp et al., 2018; Tze et al., 2016). For example, recently one study found that Canadian college students reported a grade of 6.41 on a 10-point scale regarding how often they experienced boredom in university, and 73% of students reported experiencing boredom when attending the class (Parker et al., 2021); similar results were found for England college students (58%) (Mann & Robinson, 2009) and Chinese college students (50%) (Tze, 2011; Tze, 2013b; Tze et al., 2016).

As a pervasive negative emotion, academic boredom is closely related to students' learning factors and outcomes. In the past decades there has been ample empirical evidence regarding the negative effects of academic boredom on academic performance

(Camacho-Morles et al., 2021), intrinsic motivation (Parker et al., 2021), effort (Pekrun et al., 2010; Sharp et al., 2018), self-efficacy for self-regulated learning (Tze et al., 2013b), use of learning strategies (Artino, 2009; Pekrun et al., 2010), learning engagement (Tze et al., 2014). Recently, Tze et al. (2016) conducted a meta-analysis of 19052 students and affirmed that there was a negative correlation ($r=-.24$) between academic boredom and learning outcomes like intrinsic motivation. Additionally, their findings also demonstrated that boredom experienced during the class was most detrimental to student learning and academic outcomes. Similarly, Camacho-Morles et al. (2021) further corroborated the negative influence of academic boredom on student academic outcomes ($r=-.25$). Currently, the interventions to combat academic boredom, including systematic desensitisation therapy, attributional retraining (AR) therapy, mindfulness training, life analytic group counselling, Satir's model group counselling, emotional instruction method, and psychoeducational video approach, are the subject of few studies (Antonietta, 2005; Feng, 2017; Gao et al., 2018; Ijeoma & Oladipo, 2019; Parker et al., 2021; Perry et al., 2014; Trunnell et al., 1996). For example, systematic desensitisation therapy seems to teach students deep muscle relaxation strategies to get the feelings of relaxation to control over boredom (Ijeoma & Oladipo, 2019). Attribution retraining (AR) treatment aims to use cognitive skills like optimistic attributional styles to increase students' ability to take control of their own studies (Perry et al., 2014). In China, Gao et al. (2018) used Satir's model of group counselling to treat only those college students who had high levels of boredom.

1.2.2 Intrinsic Motivation

As we all know, "education is the lighting of a fire". Studies have shown that college students' motivation is one of the important elements to improve their academic success and wellbeing (Hope et al., 2019). Intrinsic motivation belongs to an autonomous motivation style in which students are fully engaged in an activity for the sake of the activity itself rather than other tangible rewards (Ryan & Deci, 2000). There is a lot of solid empirical evidence showing that intrinsic motivation is positively related to students' wellbeing and academic performance (Chia et al., 2016). However, despite such empirical support for the importance of intrinsic motivation, empirical evidence suggests that college students' learning motivation tends to decrease after entering college in both western countries and China (Corpus et al., 2022; Pan & Gauvain, 2012; Trolan & Jach, 2020; Zhou et al., 2022). Previous studies suggested that when teachers used autonomy-supportive approaches like providing choices, acknowledging students' feelings, and giving positive feedback in their classroom teaching, students would show more positive educational outcomes including greater intrinsic motivation, higher wellbeing, and greater academic performance (Bao & Lam, 2008; Han & Huang, 2022; Patali et al., 2008). Students who experienced enjoyment and interest had improvements in intrinsic motivation (Løvoll, et al, 2017). Thus, if students lack intrinsic motivation to learn, teachers may try to reflect on their teaching approach and redesign their teaching content to create a learning environment that allows students to perceive more autonomy and positive emotions when learning (Zhou et al., 2022).

1.2.3 Positive Emotions and Thought-Action Repertoire

Emotions, especially positive emotions, play a very important role in student learning quality and mental health. Recently, Camacho-Morles et al. (2021) used a meta-analysis method to evaluate the relationship between positive emotions and student academic performance. Their findings corroborated that there existed a positive correlation between enjoyment of learning and academic performance ($r=.27$). Thus, the more positive emotions students experience, the greater performance they will obtain. However, research showed that over 30% of university students from 8 countries struggled with mental distress according to a World Health Organisation survey (Auerbach et al., 2018). Studies pointed out that positive emotions broadened the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires (Fredrickson & Branigan, 2005) while negative emotions induced narrowing of attention and cognitive-behavioural repertoires, thus resulting in poorer academic performance (Pekrun et al., 2014). An increasing amount of empirical evidence has indicated that fostering positive emotions can be an effective intervention to help students increase their mental wellbeing (Seligman et al., 2009; Tejada-Gallardo et al., 2020; Zhao et al., 2019). For example, Zhao et al., (2019) found that compared with using the teacher-centred lecturing style, cultivating positive emotions using positive activities and life skills could significantly prevent Chinese students from suffering depression.

1.2.4 Positive Education Approach (PEA)

Positive education is a new educational paradigm that aims to promote students' traditional skills for learning and their wellbeing through cultivating important components of wellbeing such as PERMA model using effective positive activities and practical exercises that directly contribute to student flourishing (Seligman et al., 2009; Seligman 2011). The whole student can be involved in and benefit from it, which helps avoid the stigma that is correlated with attending traditional mental health services like individual or group therapy (Cage et al., 2019). In addition, students tend to be more willing or motivated to participate, which in turn helps create an autonomy-supportive, mutually connected, and higher competence learning environment that is beneficial to both students' intrinsic motivation and their wellbeing. There is solid empirical evidence showing the effectiveness of PEA on students' academic performance and their wellbeing (Adler, 2016; Norrish et al., 2013; Seligman et al., 2009; Tejada-Gallardo et al., 2020; Waters, 2011).

Ren (2006) brought positive education to China in 2006. After ten years of exploration and practice, Tsinghua University summarised experiences of positive education practice in China and developed a unique positive education model for China: "6+2", which added the positive-self module and the physical and mental health regulation system as well as the character strength and virtue cultivation system to PERMA model (Zeng & Zhao., 2019). Different levels of Chinese educational institutions have used this 6+2 model of positive education in the past five years, involving over 17500 students and 900 teachers from several provinces in China (Seligman & Adler, 2019). The schools are located in Beijing, Tianjin, Yuncheng of Shanxi Province, and so on. Empirical evidence demonstrated that students' positive emotions, intrinsic motivation, and

academic performance had significantly improved after participating in the positive education programme (Zeng & Zhao, 2019; Zhao et al., 2019).

1.3 Problem Statement

In China, boredom is a pervasive negative emotion among higher institution students (Liu, 2022; Shi, 2017). According to research work, close to 50% of Chinese college students felt that class was boring (Tze, 2013; Tze et al., 2016). A recent study from China indicated that college students were more likely to experience boredom in class than in other learning situations (Liu, 2022). Moreover, academic boredom has detrimental effects on learning factors and academic performance of Chinese college students (Tze et al., 2016; Zhao, 2013; Zhou et al., 2016). For example, Zhao (2013) found a negative relationship between academic boredom and intrinsic motivation in both private and public Chinese college students, which could inevitably lead to poor academic performance. Recently, Lei and Cui (2016) conducted a meta-analysis to explore the relationship between academic emotions and learning outcomes among Chinese mainland students based on 35 studies involving 17548 participants. Their findings demonstrated that there was a significantly negative relationship between low-arousal negative emotions such as boredom and academic performance.

Although some academic boredom reduction interventions are available, there still exist some weaknesses. First, most existing interventions use deficit-oriented approaches like systematic desensitisation therapy or attributional retraining treatment, mainly focusing on identifying and correcting problematic thoughts that lead to the experience of boredom and maladaptive behaviours like skipping future lectures (Mann & Robinson, 2009). In other words, they mainly focus on fixing, healing, or remedying academic boredom. However, the pathological approach that these deficit-oriented interventions used can bring various detrimental consequences. First, the pathological approach may label participants as at-risk, especially when given to those who are assessed with higher levels of academic boredom using group counselling (Gao et al., 2018), thereby likely creating a stigma and causing downward spirals of mental wellbeing that lead to other serious learning and mental health problems requiring professional help and attention (Clement et al., 2015; O'Connell et al., 2009; Sharp et al., 2018; Stewart et al., 2019). Meanwhile, others who may not have higher levels of academic boredom at the time can be temporarily neglected and may become vulnerable when academic challenges strike them in the future (Sharp et al., 2018; Tze et al., 2016).

Second, existing boredom-reduction interventions are only dedicated to alleviating academic boredom by focusing on symptom control, which are only designed to fix boredom but seldom foster the positive constructs that facilitate individual thriving. However, the White Bear experiments conducted by Wegner et al., (1987) revealed that avoiding, suppressing, and controlling distress only led to symptom rebound, and giving a specific thought to use as a distractor could help them reduce unwanted negative thoughts. Additionally, preventing or mitigating negative affects does not necessarily guarantee that people will increase their positive emotions toward flourishing (Fredrickson, 2000).

In addition, despite various benefits of intrinsic motivation and mental wellbeing in learning quality that have been repeatedly documented (Corpus et al., 2022; Froiland & Worrell, 2016; Taylor et al., 2014), Chinese college students showed lower engagement in class, declined intrinsic motivation to learn and lower levels of psychological wellbeing (Liu, 2017; Sun, 2019). Thus, it might be beneficial for the researcher to understand students' academic success (intrinsic motivation) and their wellbeing (reduced academic boredom, improved positive emotions, and thought-action repertoires) from a holistic view.

The emergence of positive education in recent years has provided a different evidence-based approach. Positive education is to improve both optimal development and flourishing and academic performance by applying theories and interventions in positive psychology in schools or other educational institutions (Norrish, 2013; Seligman et al., 2009). Seligman (2011) proposed the first positive education model, known as PERMA, which represents five elements of students' wellbeing: positive emotions (P), engagement (E), positive relationships (R), meaning (M), and accomplishment (A). Seligman (2011) argued that cultivating each of these elements could help individual improve their wellbeing. The positive education approach (PEA) can reduce negative emotions because wellbeing is a protective factor that can lead to fewer negative emotions. According to the broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions (BBT) developed by Fredrickson (1998, 2001), positive emotions broaden students' thought and action repertoires, undo negative emotions, enhance intrinsic motivation, and construct personal resources which can assist students effectively deal with negative feelings and finally induce upward spirals of mental well-being that lead to fewer negative emotions and better performance. In addition, according to Ryan and Deci (2002), people are more intrinsically motivated and show higher levels of wellbeing when their three basic psychological needs for autonomy, competence, and relatedness are met in the learning environment. Many empirical studies from western countries have demonstrated that positive education as a new educational paradigm is very effective to reduce students' negative emotions, increase wellbeing as well as their traditional skills for learning (Adler, 2016; Norrish et al., 2013; Seligman et al., 2009; Tejada-Gallardo et al., 2020; Waters, 2011). After introducing PEA to China, Chinese researchers developed China's "6+2" positive education model based on PERMA model. There is solid evidence showing its effectiveness in improving both students' academic performance and wellbeing like reducing depression and increasing enjoyment (Zeng & Zhao, 2019).

However, in general, elementary and secondary level students are more involved than college students in number regarding the effectiveness of positive education approach based on China's "6+2" positive education model on wellbeing and academic performance. Moreover, nothing is known up to date about the effectiveness of positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model both on mitigating academic boredom and increasing intrinsic motivation as well as mental wellbeing (thought-action repertoire, positive emotions) among Chinese private college students (Zeng & Zhao, 2019). In one of those rare attempts, one study found that positive education could improve vocational technical college students' intrinsic motivation after giving one semester of positive education course based on China's "6+2" positive education model as compared to those in a control group (Zeng & Zhao, 2019). However, there are some differences between normal Chinese college students and

vocational technical college students in terms of their relatively poor quality of academic performance (Ding & Levin, 2007).

Given that academic boredom has negative impacts on Chinese college students' learning quality and the importance of intrinsic motivation and mental health in lifelong learning, coupled with the positive relationships between positive emotion and students' intrinsic motivation and academic performance (Pekrun et al., 2002; 2010), the researcher is greatly convinced that PEA based on China's "6+2" positive education model could be helpful in mitigating academic boredom, boosting intrinsic motivation, and enhancing the mental wellbeing of Chinese college students.

This current study theoretically contributes to the control-value theory of academic emotions (CVT) and broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions (BBT) as well as basic psychological needs theory (BPNT) by creatively integrating those three theories and then developing positive education interventions under this integrative theoretical framework. Testing the effectiveness of the positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model on academic boredom, intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought-action repertoire are the major contributions of this study to the CVT, BBT and BPNT. Further, a research gap exists and more effort should be made to develop and evaluate the usefulness of positive education interventions in reducing students' boredom, increasing intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought-action repertoire among Chinese college students.

1.4 Objectives

The overall objective is to determine the effectiveness of positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model on learning-related boredom (LRB), class-related boredom (CRB), intrinsic motivation (IM), positive emotions (PE), and thought-action repertoire (TAR) among Chinese private college students as compared to the traditional education approach (TEA).

Specific objectives:

1. To determine the effect of the PEA on LRB, CRB, IM, PE, and TAR among private college students in China;
2. To determine the effect of the TEA (the control group using conventional method) on LRB, CRB, IM, PE, and TAR among private college students in China;
3. To compare the effects of the PEA and the TEA on LRB, CRB, IM, PE, and TAR among private college students in China at post testing.

1.5 Hypothesis

The following six hypotheses were developed to achieve objective 1.

Hypotheses 1:

H1(a): For the PEA group, the LRB post-test scores of students would be significantly lower than their LRB pre-test scores.

H1(b): For the PEA group, the CRB post-test scores of students would be significantly lower than their CRB pre-test scores.

H1(c): For the PEA group, the IM post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their IM pre-test scores.

H1(d): For the PEA group, the PE post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their PE pre-test scores.

H1(e): For the PEA group, the TAR post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their TAR pre-test scores.

The following six hypotheses were developed to achieve objective 2

Hypotheses 2:

H2(a): For the TEA group, the LRB post-test scores of students would be significantly lower than their LRB pre-test scores.

H2(b): For the TEA group, the CRB post-test scores of students would be significantly lower than their CRB pre-test scores.

H2(c): For the TEA group, the IM post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their IM pre-test scores.

H2(d): For the TEA group, the PE post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their PE pre-test scores.

H2(e): For the TEA group, the TAR post-test scores of students would be significantly higher than their TAR pre-test scores.

The following six hypotheses were developed to achieve objective 3

Hypotheses 3:

H3(a): The LRB post-test scores of students in PEA group would be significantly lower than the LRB post-test scores of students in the TEA group

H3(b): The CRB post-test scores of students in PEA group would be significantly lower than the CRB post-test scores of students in the TEA group

H3(c): The IM post-test scores of students in PEA group would be significantly higher than the IM post-test scores of students in the TEA group

H3(d): The PE post-test scores of students in PEA group would be significantly higher than the PE post-test scores of students in the TEA group

H3(e): The TAR post-test scores of students in PEA group would be significantly higher than the TAR post-test scores of students in the TEA group

1.6 Significance of the study

This study adopts PEA, a strength-focused, evidence-based new educational approach, to mitigate academic boredom, improve intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought-action repertoire in comparison to the TEA. To the best of our knowledge, this study plays a pioneering role in mainland China.

From the theoretical perspective, on the one hand, based on the existing theories and evidence-based research works, the researcher integrates the control-value theory (CVT) and basic psychological needs theory (BPNT) into the broaden-and-build theory (BBT) and forms a reasonable theoretical foundation to intervene in academic boredom, intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought-action repertoire using PEA based on China's "6+2" positive education model among Chinese private college students. PEA based on China's "6+2" positive education model is used for the first time to intervene in combating academic boredom and improving intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought-action repertoire in mainland China. Thus, the empirical evidence derived from this study is expected to contribute to the body of knowledge in terms of student wellbeing and academic success, which is exactly in line with the goal of education in the 21st century: education should use a whole-student approach as it is oriented towards students' all-round development that leads to improve social, emotional, moral and intellectual abilities instead of simply imparting knowledge.

From the practical perspective, this study develops the intervention programme based on China's "6+2" positive education model, including 13 sessions of lesson plans in which each session has targeted positive activities and skills, afterschool assignments and teaching guidelines and procedures on how to apply it when implemented independently. Those positive interventions will elicit students' positive feelings and broaden their range of attention, thinking and action, thus helping them to promote intrinsic motivation for academic success. Meanwhile, students will have a feeling of autonomy, competence, and connectedness in which they are deeply engaged in academic tasks and show higher levels of wellbeing. Finally, this study will provide a reference and practical support for researchers, practitioners, and China's Ministry of Education to further prevent college students' academic boredom and promote intrinsic motivation and psychological wellbeing, as well as to encourage teachers to embed PEA into their teaching subjects in an attempt to increase positive emotions and create a harmonious classroom environment.

1.7 Scope and Limitation of the study

This study is conducted in a private college located in Shaanxi Province of China. And all participants were freshmen aged from 17 to 23 years, so the findings could not be generalised as a national conclusion directly.

This current study only involves two different educational intervention programmes: the positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model and traditional education approach (TEA) based on official documents using the traditional teacher-centred method. Moreover, because all participants are Chinese college students, only Chinese version is available for the assessment scales.

This study is focused on academic boredom, intrinsic motivation, positive emotions, and thought and action repertoire. Thus, the findings cannot be generalised to other academic emotions like anxiety, academic performance, and other wellbeing indicators like life satisfaction. Moreover, this study is limited to students enrolled in the Mental Health Education courses, so the findings cannot be generalised to other subjects like English or physical education.

This study is not a double-blind randomised controlled experimental study and the research uses a quasi-experimental study for 13 weeks. Thus it should be aware of some problems when the results are generalised. In this study, the researcher establishes a control group that is equivalent to the experiment group to control or balance several internal threats like maturation, history, and attrition.

1.8 Operational Definitions

1.8.1 Academic boredom

Academic boredom is conceptualised as a negative and deactivating academic-related activity emotion (Pekrun et al., 2010, p.532). According to the framework of academic emotions, there are two types of academic boredom, one associated with learning and the other related to classes (Pekrun, Goetz & Perry, 2005; Pekrun, Goetz, Tiz & Perry, 2002). Learning-related boredom means that students develop habitual boredom in the process of learning, whilst class-related boredom means that students develop state boredom when engaging in class activities (Pekrun, Goetz & Perry, 2005; Pekrun, Goetz, Tiz & Perry, 2002; Pekrun, Goetz, Deniels et al., 2010).

In this study, the operationally defined indicators of class-related boredom involve motivational components (motivation to get rid of the circumstance or make activity changes), physiological components (lessened arousal), cognitive components (changed time perception), and particular affective components (unpleasant, aversive emotions), and are measured by using *Chinese Version of Class-Related Boredom Scale (CCRBS)*.

In addition, the operationally defined indicators of learning-related boredom also involve the aforementioned four interrelated psychological components and are measured by using *Chinese Version of Learning-Related Boredom Scale (CLRBS)*.

1.8.2 Intrinsic Motivation

Intrinsic motivation (IM) can be described as individuals doing an activity because they feel satisfied from the act of doing the activity itself, and not because the activity has some operationally separable consequences (Ryan & Deci, 2000).

In this study, the operationally defined indicators of IM for doing a classroom activity or afterschool assignment for the sake of the activity itself, which involve IM to know (refers to satisfaction in learning something new), IM to accomplish (refers to satisfaction of academic achievement), and IM to experience (refers to satisfaction of the academic experience itself) and are measured by using *Chinese Version of Intrinsic Motivation Scale (CIMS)*.

1.8.3 Thought-Action Repertoire

Thought-action repertoire (TAR) can be described as a variety of conscious thoughts and action tendencies which an individual is able to call up at any given moment (Fredrickson, 1998; Hoepfner et al., 2019).

In this study, the thought-action repertoire (TAR) refers to the breadth of students' thought-action tendencies after taking different intervention approaches (PEA and TEA) by using a thought listing task: The *Chinese Version of Modified, Open-Ended Twenty Statements Test (CMOETST)*. Participants were told to use "I would like to ..." to write things that they would have wanted to do at this moment and the answers should be no less than twenty things.

1.8.4 Positive Emotions

Positive emotions refer to very short, multicomponent reactions to certain alteration in how the present situations are interpreted or appraised. Positive emotions can be experienced once prospects or good fortune is registered by this multisystem reaction (Fredrickson, 2001;2013).

In this study, the operational definition for positive emotions involves the degree of interest, excitement, strength, enthusiasm, pride, alertness, inspiration, determination, attention, and activeness experienced by a student, and are selected from *Chinese Version of Positive and Negative Affect Schedule (CPANAS)* to assess students' positive emotions.

1.8.5 Positive Education Approach

The positive education approach (PEA) can be best described as a new educational approach that applies positive psychology theories and interventions to promote both academic achievements and student wellbeing, mainly focusing on improving positive factors rather than reducing negative factors (Slemp et al., 2017; Norrish et al., 2013; Seligman et al., 2009; Green et al., 2011).

In this study, the operational definition of PEA refers to positive education intervention programmes which follow the Chinese model of “6+2” positive education and develop 13-session weekly (per session 90 min) course delivered in the activity teaching pattern to foster Chinese college students’ positive emotions. The positive education intervention programme includes one part of introduction (teaching meditation skills) and six modules: positive relationships (cultivating empathy and active constructive responding skills), positive emotions (heightening feelings of positivity and dealing with negative feelings), positive self (using strengths while increasing self-confidence), positive engagement (experiencing and creating a flow state), positive meaning (developing growth values and triggering internal drive to attain aims) and positive achievements (boosting willpower and growth mindsets). The researcher helps students increase positive emotions mostly using positive activities and practical skills.

1.8.6 Traditional Education Approach

The traditional education approach (TEA) is the opposite of the positive education approach. It usually aims to enhance wellbeing by reducing negative factors and teaching mental health literacy such as correcting negative thoughts, emotions, and behaviours, rather than directly developing positive competencies to increase wellbeing (Waters, 2011; Seligman et al., 2009).

In this study, the operational definition of TEA refers to the traditional education intervention programme (i.e. mental health education course) delivered using the teacher-centred approach to increase Chinese college students’ mental health. Basic Requirements for the Teaching of Mental Health Education Courses for Normal College Students, a document issued by the Ministry of Education of China, consists of three main contents: understanding the basic psychological knowledge, knowing and developing yourself, and fostering the competencies of self psychological adaptation. Specifically, it includes setting learning goals, planning college career, improving learning skills, mastering emotional management, maintaining interpersonal relationships, learning about sex and psychological health and romantic relationship, managing stress and learning coping strategies, finding the purpose of life and coping with psychological crisis. Teachers start their lesson with a specific case study and analysis. The course design is teacher-centred with no targeted classroom activities. The course focuses more on delivering theoretical knowledge and correcting negative aspects including thoughts and behaviours to increase student wellbeing.

1.9 Summary

As we all know, learning is very important for students' academic success and future career development. However, many Chinese college students reported being bored during class and while studying (Tze et al., 2013; Zhou et al., 2021; Zhou et al., 2016). According to Pekrun et al. (2011), academic boredom comprises of four interrelated components: cognitive assessments, affective experiences, motivational processes and physiological reactions, which can elicit a downward spiral effect of emotional wellbeing that in turn influences students' intrinsic motivation and their academic performance (Pekrun et al., 2011). Existing interventions mainly use deficit-oriented approach, focusing on identifying and correcting problematic thoughts that lead to experience of boredom (Antonietta, 2005; Feng, 2017; Gao et al., 2018; Ijeoma & Oladipo, 2019; Parker et al., 2021; Perry et al., 2014; Trunnell et al., 1996). Furthermore, there are few studies that have investigated the effects of psychological interventions not only on mitigating academic boredom but also improving positive constructs like intrinsic motivation and positive emotions. In addition to academic boredom, Chinese college students also reported declined intrinsic motivation for learning and lower levels of mental wellbeing (Liu, 2017; Sun, 2019).

Positive education promotes preventative and positive techniques that educate the whole student body on health information and skills to minimise negative emotions before they develop and to raise their wellbeing, in contrast to the normal post hoc and pathological conventional approaches to education. Empirical evidence has been accumulated regarding the effectiveness of the positive education approach (PEA) on students' wellbeing and academic performance in different countries (Adler, 2016; Norrish et al., 2013; Seligman et al., 2009; Tejada-Gallardo et al., 2020; Waters, 2011). However, up to date, no experimental study has been done to explore the experimental causality between PEA based on China's "6+2" positive education model and academic boredom, intrinsic motivation, positive emotion, and thought-action repertoire. This is the first study to examine the effects of PEA based on China's "6+2" positive education model on Chinese private college students' academic boredom, intrinsic motivation and wellbeing (positive emotions and thought-action repertoire) under the integrated theoretical framework involving CVT, BBT and BPNT.

This study aims to investigate the effectiveness of the positive education approach (PEA) based on China's "6+2" positive education model on learning-related boredom (LRB), class-related boredom (CRB), intrinsic motivation (IM), positive emotions (PE), and thought-action repertoire (TAR) among Chinese private college students. By using PEA the researcher expects to reduce students' academic boredom while at the same time improving their intrinsic motivation, positive emotions and thought-action repertoires.

REFERENCES

- Adler, A. (2016). *Teaching Well-Being increases Academic Performance: Evidence from Bhutan, Mexico, and Peru* (Doctoral thesis). Retrieved from University of Pennsylvania.
- Ainoutdinova, I.; Blagoveshchenskaya, A. Peculiarities of Teacher-Centered and Learner-Centered EFL Training Methods at Universities in Russia. In Proceedings of the ICERI2018 Proceedings 11th annual International Conference of Education, Research and Innovation, IATED, Seville, Spain, 12–14 November 2018; pp. 10315–10325.
- Anderson, J., Huppert, F., & Rose, G. (1993). Normality, deviance and minor psychiatric morbidity in the community: A population-based approach to General Health Questionnaire data in the Health and Lifestyle Survey. *Psychological Medicine*, 23(2), 475–485. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291700028567>
- Antonietta Corvinelli MA, & OTR. (2005). Alleviating Boredom in Adult Males Recovering from Substance Use Disorder. *Occupational Therapy in Mental Health*, 21:2, 1–11. https://doi.org/10.1300/J004v21n02_01
- Artino, A. R. (2009). Think, feel, act: Motivational and emotional influences on military students' online academic success. *Journal of Computing in Higher Education*, 21(2), 146–166. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-009-9020-9>
- Auerbach, R. P., Alonso, J., Axinn, W. G., Cuijpers, P., Ebert, D. D., Green, J. G., ... Bruffaerts, R. (2016). Mental disorders among college students in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Psychological Medicine*, 46(14), 2955–2970. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291716001665>
- Auerbach, Randy P., Mortier, P., Bruffaerts, R., Alonso, J., Benjet, C., Cuijpers, P., ... Kessler, R. C. (2018). WHO world mental health surveys international college student project: Prevalence and distribution of mental disorders. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 127(7), 623–638. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000362>
- Baik, C., Larcombe, W., & Brooker, A. (2019). How universities can enhance student mental wellbeing: the student perspective. *Higher Education Research and Development*, 38(4), 674–687. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07294360.2019.1576596>
- Bandura, A. (1989). Social cognitive theory. In R. Vasta (Ed.), *Annals of child development*. Vol.6. *Six theories of child development* (pp. 1-60). Greenwich, CT: JAI Press.
- Bao, X. H., & Lam, S. F. (2008). Who makes the choice? Rethinking the role of autonomy and relatedness in Chinese children's motivation. *Child development*, 79(2), 269–283. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2007.01125.x>
- Bastounis, A., Callaghan, P., Banerjee, A., & Michail, M. (2016). The effectiveness of the Penn Resiliency Programme (PRP) and its adapted versions in reducing depression and anxiety and improving explanatory style: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Adolescence*, 52, 37–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.adolescence.2016.07.004>

- Baumeister, R. F. (1997). Identity, self-concept, and self-esteem: The self lost and found. In R. Hogan, J. A. Johnson, & S. R. Briggs (Eds.), *Handbook of personality psychology* (pp. 681–710). Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012134645-4/50027-5>
- Baumeister, R. F., Bratslavsky, E., Finkenauer, C., & Vohs, K. D. (2001). Bad Is Stronger Than Good. *Review of General Psychology*, 5(4), 323–370. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.5.4.323>
- Baumeister, R. F., & Leary, M. R. (1995). The Need to Belong: Desire for Interpersonal Attachments as a Fundamental Human Motivation. *Psychological Bulletin*, 117(3), 497–529. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.117.3.497>
- Beaton, D. E., Bombardier, C., Guillemin, F., & Ferraz, M. B. (2000). Guidelines for the process of cross-cultural adaptation of self-report measures. *Spine*, 25(24), 3186–3191. <https://doi.org/10.1097/00007632-200012150-00014>
- Benware, C. A., & Deci, E. L. (1984). Quality of Learning With an Active Versus Passive Motivational Set. *American Educational Research Journal*, 21(4), 755–765. <https://doi.org/10.3102/00028312021004755>
- Bieg, M., Goetz, T., & Hubbard, K. (2013). Can I master it and does it matter? An intraindividual analysis on control-value antecedents of trait and state academic emotions. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 28, 102–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2013.09.006>
- Bieleke, M., Gogol, K., Goetz, T., Daniels, L., & Pekrun, R. (2021). The AEQ-S: A short version of the Achievement Emotions Questionnaire. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 65(4), 101940. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2020.101940>
- Bolier, L., Haverman, M., Westerhof, G. J., Riper, H., Smit, F., & Bohlmeijer, E. (2013). Positive psychology interventions: A meta-analysis of randomized controlled studies. *BMC Public Health*, 13, 119. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2458-13-119>
- Brockner, J. (1979). The effects of self-esteem, success-failure, and self-consciousness on task performance. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 37(10), 1732–1741. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.37.10.1732>
- Bronk, K. C., Hill, P. L., Lapsley, D. K., Talib, T. L., & Finch, H. (2009). Purpose, hope, and life satisfaction in three age groups. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 4(6), 500–510. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760903271439>
- Brown, J. D., & Dutton, K. A. (1995). The Thrill of Victory, the Complexity of Defeat: Self-Esteem and People's Emotional Reactions to Success and Failure. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 68(4), 712–722. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.68.4.712>
- Brunwasser, S. M., Gillham, J. E., & Kim, E. S. (2009). A Meta-Analytic Review of the Penn Resiliency Program's Effect on Depressive Symptoms. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology*, 77(6), 1042–1054. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0017671>

- Cage, E., Stock, M., Sharpington, A., Pitman, E., & Batchelor, R. (2019). Barriers to accessing support for mental health issues at university. *Studies in Higher Education*, 45(8), 1637–1649. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2018.1544237>
- Camacho-Morles, J., Slemp, G.R., Pekrun, R. et al. Activity Achievement Emotions and Academic Performance: A Meta-analysis. *Educ Psychol Rev* 33, 1051–1095 (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-020-09585-3>
- Campbell, D. T., & Stanley, J. C. (1966). *Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for research*. Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Carr, A., Cullen, K., Keeney, C., Canning, C., Mooney, O., Chinsellaigh, E., & O’Dowd, A. (2020). Effectiveness of positive psychology interventions: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 16(6), 749–769. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2020.1818807>
- Chang, E. C., Chang, O. D., & Kamble, S. V. (2019). Examining the Relationship Between Positive Mood and Life Satisfaction in Easterners and Westerners: Is Feeling Good Associated with Building Agency, Broadening Pathways, or Both? *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 20(7), 2159–2172. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-018-0043-7>
- Cheon, S. H., Reeve, J., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2020). When teachers learn how to provide classroom structure in an autonomy-supportive way: Benefits to teachers and their students. *Teaching and Teacher Education*, 90, 103004. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tate.2019.103004>
- Chia, L. W., Keng, J. W. C., & Ryan, R. M. (2016). *Building Autonomous Learners*. Berlin: Springer.
- Clement, S., Schauman, O., Graham, T., Maggioni, F., Evans-Lacko, S., Bezborodovs, N., ... Thornicroft, G. (2015). What is the impact of mental health-related stigma on help-seeking? A systematic review of quantitative and qualitative studies. *Psychological Medicine*, 45(1), 11–27. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291714000129>
- Cochran, W. G. (1977). *Sampling techniques* (3rd ed.). New York: Wiley.
- Cohen, J. (1988). *Statistical power analysis for the behavioral sciences*. Second Edition. Hillsdale, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Cohen, L., Manion, L., & Morrison, K. (2018). *Research methods in education (8th edition)*. Routledge.
- Corpus JH, Robinson KA, & Liu Z (2022) Comparing College Students’ Motivation Trajectories Before and During COVID-19: A Self-Determination Theory Approach. *Front. Educ.* 7:848643. doi: 10.3389/educ.2022.848643 College
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1975). *Beyond boredom and anxiety*. San Francisco: Jossey-Bass Publishers.
- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (1990). *Flow: the psychology of optimal experience*. New York: Cambridge University Press.

- Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Flow. In A. E. Kazdin (Ed.), *Encyclopedia of Psychology* (Vol. 3, pp. 381-382). Washington, DC: Oxford University Press.
- Cui, G., Yao, M., & Zhang, X. (2017). The dampening effects of perceived teacher enthusiasm on class-related boredom: The mediating role of perceived autonomy support and task value. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*:400. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00400>
- Damon, W., Menon, J., & Bronk, K. C. (2003). The development of purpose during adolescence. *Applied Developmental Science, 7*(3), 119–128. https://doi.org/10.1207/S1532480XADS0703_2
- Daschmann, E. C., Goetz, T., & Stupnisky, R. H. (2011). Testing the predictors of boredom at school: Development and validation of the precursors to boredom scales. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 81*(3), 421–440. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709910X526038>
- Deci, E. L. (1971). Effects of externally mediated rewards on intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 18*(1), 105–115. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0030644>
- Deci, E. L. (1975). *Intrinsic motivation*. Plenum Press. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4613-4446-9>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (1985). *Intrinsic motivation and self-determination in human behavior*. New York, NY: Plenum.
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. *Psychological Inquiry, 11*(4), 227–268. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15327965PLI1104_01
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Self-determination theory: A macrotheory of human motivation, development, and health. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne, 49*(3), 182–185. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0012801>
- Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2008). Facilitating optimal motivation and psychological well-being across life's domains. *Canadian Psychology/Psychologie canadienne, 49*(1), 14–23. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0708-5591.49.1.14>
- Deci, E.L., & Vansteenkiste, M. (2004). Self-determination theory and basic need satisfaction: Understanding human development in positive psychology. *Ricerca di Psicologia, 1*(27), 23–40.
- Dewaele, J. M., & Alfawzan, M. (2018). Does the effect of enjoyment outweigh that of anxiety in foreign language performance? *Studies in Second Language Learning and Teaching, 8*(1), 21–45. <https://doi.org/10.14746/ssl1t.2018.8.1.2>
- Ding, A., & Levin, J. S. (2007). The interventionary state in China and programs and curricula at a Chinese vocational university. *Higher Education, 53*(5), 539–560. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-005-7836-4>

- Durksen, T. L., Chu, M.-W., Ahmad, Z. F., Radil, A. I., & Daniels, L. M. (2016). Motivation in a MOOC: A probabilistic analysis of online learners' basic psychological needs. *Social Psychology of Education: An International Journal*, 19(2), 241–260. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11218-015-9331-9>
- Dweck, C. S. (2016). *Mindset: the new psychology of success*. Random House.
- Estrada, C. A., Isen, A. M., & Young, M. J. (1994). Positive affect improves creative problem solving and influences reported source of practice satisfaction in physicians. *Motivation and Emotion*, 18(4), 285–299. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02856470>
- Estrada, C. A., Isen, A. M., & Young, M. J. (1997). Positive affect facilitates integration of information and decreases anchoring in reasoning among physicians. *Organizational Behavior and Human Decision Processes*, 72(1), 117–135. <https://doi.org/10.1006/obhd.1997.2734>
- Fan, F. M., & Li, Z. B. (1996). Improving and strengthening the mental health education of college students. *Educational Research*, (7), 21–32.
- Feldman, D. B., & Dreher, D. E. (2012). Can Hope be Changed in 90 Minutes? Testing the Efficacy of a Single-Session Goal-Pursuit Intervention for College Students. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 13(4), 745–759. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-011-9292-4>
- Feng, M. (2017). *A study on the relationship between boredom, self-identify and coping style as well as its intervention in high school students* (Master's thesis, Shanghai Normal University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Fredrickson B. L. (1998). What Good Are Positive Emotions?. *Review of general psychology*, 2(3), 300–319. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1089-2680.2.3.300>
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2000). Cultivating positive emotions to optimize health and well-being. *Prevention & Treatment*, 3(1). <https://doi.org/10.1037/1522-3736.3.1.31a>
- Fredrickson B. L. (2001). The role of positive emotions in positive psychology. The broaden-and-build theory of positive emotions. *The American psychologist*, 56(3), 218–226. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.56.3.218>
- Fredrickson, B. (2009). *Positivity*. Harmony.
- Fredrickson, B. L. (2013). Positive Emotions Broaden and Build. In P. Devine, & A. Plant (Eds.), *Advances in Experimental Social Psychology* (pp. 1-53). Burlington: Academic Press. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-407236-7.00001-2>
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Branigan, C. (2005). Positive emotions broaden the scope of attention and thought-action repertoires. *Cognition and Emotion*, 19(3), 313–332. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930441000238>
- Fredrickson, B. L., & Joiner, T. (2002). Positive emotions trigger upward spirals toward emotional well-being. *Psychological Science*, 13(2), 172–175. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.00431>

- Fredrickson, B. L., & Levenson, R. W. (1998). Positive emotions speed recovery from the cardiovascular sequelae of negative emotions. *Cognition and Emotion, 12*(2), 191–220. <https://doi.org/10.1080/026999398379718>
- Fredrickson, B. L., Mancuso, R. A., Branigan, C., & Tugade, M. M. (2000). The undoing effect of positive emotions. *Motivation and Emotion, 24*(4), 237–258. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1010796329158>
- Frenzel, A. C., Pekrun, R., & Goetz, T. (2007). Perceived learning environment and students' emotional experiences: A multilevel analysis of mathematics classrooms. *Learning and Instruction, 17*(5), 478–493. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2007.09.001>
- Froh, J. J., Kashdan, T. B., Yurkewicz, C., Fan, J., Allen, J., & Glowacki, J. (2010). The benefits of passion and absorption in activities: Engaged living in adolescents and its role in psychological well-being. *Journal of Positive Psychology, 5*(4), 311–332. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2010.498624>
- Froiland, J. M., & Worrell, F. C. (2016). Intrinsic motivation, learning goals, engagement, and achievement in a diverse high school. *Psychology in the Schools, 53*(3), 321–336. <https://doi.org/10.1002/pits.21901>
- Gable, S. L., Impett, E. A., Reis, H. T., & Asher, E. R. (2004). What do you do when things go right? The intrapersonal and interpersonal benefits of sharing positive events. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 87*(2), 228–245. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.87.2.228>
- Gable, S. L., Gonzaga, G. C., & Strachman, A. (2006). Will you be there for me when things go right? Supportive responses to positive event disclosures. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 91*(5), 904–917. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.91.5.904>
- Gable, S. L., & Reis, H. T. (2010). Good news! Capitalizing on positive events in an interpersonal context. In M. P. Zanna (Ed.), *Advances in experimental social psychology* (pp. 195–257). Academic Press. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601\(10\)42004-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0065-2601(10)42004-3)
- Gander, F., Proyer, R. T., & Ruch, W. (2016). Positive psychology interventions addressing pleasure, engagement, meaning, positive relationships, and accomplishment increase well-being and ameliorate depressive symptoms: A randomized, placebo-controlled online study. *Frontiers in Psychology, 7*(5), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00686>
- Gao, J., Li, X., Ma, L., Xin, T., Liang, M., & Zeng, C. (2018). The effects of the Satir's Model Group Psychology Counseling on Boredom Proneness of College Students. *China Journal of Health Psychology, 26*(2), 276–280.
- Gao, L., Xie, Y., Jia, C., & Wang, W. (2020). Prevalence of depression among Chinese university students: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Scientific Reports, 10*(1), 1–11. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-020-72998-1>
- George, D., & Mallery, P. (2020). *IBM SPSS Statistics 26 Step by Step: A Simple Guide and Reference (6th ed.)*. Routledge. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429056765>

- Ghensi, B. L., Skues, J. L., Sharp, J. L., & Wise, L. Z. (2020). Antecedents and effects of boredom among university students: an integrated conditional process model. *Higher Education, 81*(5), 1115-1132. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10734-020-00602-6>
- Gigantesco, A., Palumbo, G., Zadworna-Cieślak, M., Cascavilla, I., Del Re, D., Kossakowska, K., & WST European Group (2019). An international study of middle school students' preferences about digital interactive education activities for promoting psychological well-being and mental health. *Annali dell'Istituto superiore di sanita, 55*(2), 108–117. https://doi.org/10.4415/ANN_19_02_02
- Gist, M. E., & Mitchell, T. R. (1992). Self-efficacy: A theoretical analysis of its determinants and malleability. *The Academy of Management Review, 17*(2), 183–211. <https://doi.org/10.2307/258770>
- Gläser-Zikuda, M., Fuß, S., Laukenmann, M., Metz, K., & Randler, C. (2005). Promoting students' emotions and achievement - Instructional design and evaluation of the ECOLE-approach. *Learning and Instruction, 15*(5), 481–495. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.learninstruc.2005.07.013>
- Goetz, T., Cronjaeger, H., Frenzel, A. C., Lüdtke, O., & Hall, N. C. (2010). Academic self-concept and emotion relations: Domain specificity and age effects. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 35*(1), 44–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2009.10.001>
- Goetz, T., & Hall, N. C. (2014). Academic Boredom. In Alexander, Patricia A., Reinhard Pekrun., & Lisa Linnenbrink-Garcia (Eds.), *International Handbook of Emotions in Education* (pp. 311-330). Routledge Handbooks Online.
- Goetz, T., Lüdtke, O., Nett, U. E., Keller, M. M., & Lipnevich, A. A. (2013). Characteristics of teaching and students' emotions in the classroom: Investigating differences across domains. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 38*(4), 383–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2013.08.001>
- Goetz, T., Pekrun, R., Hall, N., & Haag, L. (2006). Academic emotions from a social-cognitive perspective: Antecedents and domain specificity of students' affect in the context of Latin instruction. *British Journal of Educational Psychology, 76*(2), 289–308. <https://doi.org/10.1348/000709905X42860>
- Gogol, K., Brunner, M., Goetz, T., Martin, R., Ugen, S., Keller, U., ... Preckel, F. (2014). "My Questionnaire is Too Long!" The assessments of motivational-affective constructs with three-item and single-item measures. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 39*(3), 188–205. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.04.002>
- Gosling, S. D., Rentfrow, P. J., & Swann, W. B. (2003). A very brief measure of the Big-Five personality domains. *Journal of Research in Personality, 37*(6), 504–528. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566\(03\)00046-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(03)00046-1)
- Green, S., Oades, L. & Robinson, P. (2011). Positive education: Creating flourishing students, staff and schools. *In Psych, 33*(2), 16–17.
- Han, C., & Huang, J. H. (2022). International Journal of Learning, *Teaching and Educational Research, 21*(7), 269-285. <https://doi.org/10.26803/ijlter.21.7.14>

- Harris, M. B. (2000). Correlates and characteristics of boredom proneness and boredom. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 30*(3), 576–598.
- Harter, S. (1978). Effectance motivation reconsidered: Toward a developmental model. *Human Development, 21*(1), 34–64. <https://doi.org/10.1159/000271574>
- Hassed, C. (2005). The ESSENCE of health care. *Australian Family Physician, 34*(11), 957–960.
- Hendriks, T., Schotanus-Dijkstra, M., Hassankhan, A., de Jong, J., & Bohlmeijer, E. (2020). The Efficacy of Multi-component Positive Psychology Interventions: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis of Randomized Controlled Trials. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 21*(1), 357–390. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-019-00082-1>
- Hoepfner, S. S., Carlon, H. A., Lambert, A. F., & Hoepfner, B. B. (2019). Is the thought-action repertoire a viable intervention target in substance use populations? *General Hospital Psychiatry, 61*, 130–135. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.genhosppsych.2019.06.006>
- Hope, N.H., Holding, A.C., Verner-Filion, J. et al.(2019). The path from intrinsic aspirations to subjective well-being is mediated by changes in basic psychological need satisfaction and autonomous motivation: A large prospective test. *Motiv Emot* 43, 232–241. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-018-9733-z>
- Huang, L., Yang, Y., & Ji, Z. (2003). Applicability of the Positive and Negative Affect Scale in Chinese. *Chinese Mental Health Journal, 17*(1), 54–56.
- Hunter, J. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2003). The Positive Psychology of Interested Adolescents. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 32*(1), 27–35. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1021028306392>
- Huppert, F. A. (2009). A New Approach to Reducing Disorder and Improving Well-Being. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 4*(1), 108–111. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2009.01100.x>
- Huppert, F. A. (2009b). Psychological Well-being: Evidence Regarding its Causes and Consequences. *Applied Psychology: Health and Well-Being, 1*(2), 137–164. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1758-0854.2009.01008.x>
- Hurley, D. B., & Kwon, P. (2013). Savoring Helps Most When You Have Little: Interaction Between Savoring the Moment and Uplifts on Positive Affect and Satisfaction with Life. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 14*(4), 1261–1271. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-012-9377-8>
- Ibrahim, A. K., Kelly, S. J., Adams, C. E., & Glazebrook, C. (2013). A systematic review of studies of depression prevalence in university students. *Journal of Psychiatric Research, 47*(3), 391–400. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.11.015>
- Ijeoma, O. B., & Oladipo, A. I. (2019). Efficacy of Systematic Desensitization Therapy on Academic Boredom among Adolescents in Edo State Secondary Schools. *Journal of Educational and Social Research, 9*(2), 83–89. <https://doi.org/10.2478/jesr-2019-0016>

- Isen, A. M., Daubman, K. A., & Nowicki, G. P. (1987). Positive affect facilitates creative problem solving. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, *52*(6), 1122–1131. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.52.6.1122>
- Isen, A. M., Rosenzweig, A. S., & Young, M. J. (1991). The influence of positive affect on clinical problem solving. *Medical Decision Making*, *11*(3), 221–227. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0272989X9101100313>
- Judge, T. A., Erez, A., & Bono, J. E. (1998). The power of being positive: The relation between positive self-concept and job performance. *Human Performance*, *11*(2), 167–187. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327043hup1102&3_4
- Judge, T. A., Locke, E. A., Durham, C. C., & Kluger, A. N. (1998). Dispositional effects on job and life satisfaction: The role of core evaluations. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, *83*(1), 17–34. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.83.1.17>
- Kashdan, T. B., Rose, P., & Fincham, F. D. (2004). Curiosity and exploration: Facilitating positive subjective experiences and personal growth opportunities. *Journal of Personality Assessment*, *82*(3), 291–305. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15327752jpa8203_05
- Kern, M. L., Waters, L. E., Adler, A., & White, M. A. (2015). A multidimensional approach to measuring well-being in students: Application of the PERMA framework. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *10*(3), 262–271. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.936962>
- Keyes, C. L. M., & Annas, J. (2009). Feeling good and functioning well: Distinctive concepts in ancient philosophy and contemporary science. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *4*(3), 197–201. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760902844228>
- Kubzansky, L. D., Boehm, J. K., Allen, A. R., Vie, L. L., Ho, T. E., Trudel-Fitzgerald, C., Koga, H. K., Scheier, L. M., & Seligman, M. (2020). Optimism and risk of incident hypertension: a target for primordial prevention. *Epidemiology and psychiatric sciences*, *29*, e157,1-9. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S2045796020000621>
- Kudva, K. G., El Hayek, S., Gupta, A. K., Kurokawa, S., Bangshan, L., Armas-Villavicencio, M., Oishi, K., Mishra, S., Tiensuntisook, S., & Sartorius, N. (2020). Stigma in mental illness: Perspective from eight Asian nations. *Asia-Pacific psychiatry : official journal of the Pacific Rim College of Psychiatrists*, *12*(2), e12380. <https://doi.org/10.1111/appy.12380>
- Kukita, A., Nakamura, J., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2020). How experiencing autonomy contributes to a good life. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, *17*(1), 1–12. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2020.1818816>
- Leech, N. L., Barrett, K. C., Morgan, G. A. (2005). *SPSS for Intermediate Statistics: Use and Interpretation*. Mahwah: Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
- Lei, H., & Cui, Y. (2016). Effects of academic emotions on achievement among mainland Chinese students: A meta-analysis. *Social Behavior and Personality: An International Journal*, *44*(9), 1541–1554. <https://doi.org/10.2224/sbp.2016.44.9.1541>

- Li, C. (2021). A Control–Value Theory Approach to Boredom in English Classes Among University Students in China. *Modern Language Journal*, 105(1), 317–334. <https://doi.org/10.1111/modl.12693>
- Lichtenfeld, S., Pekrun, R., Stupnisky, R. H., Reiss, K., & Murayama, K. (2012). Measuring students' emotions in the early years: The Achievement Emotions Questionnaire-Elementary School (AEQ-ES). *Learning and Individual Differences*, 22(2), 190–201. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2011.04.009>
- Linley, P. A., Nielsen, K. M., Gillett, R., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2010). Using signature strengths in pursuit of goals: Effects on goal progress, need satisfaction, and well-being, and implications for coaching psychologists. *International Coaching Psychology Review*, 5(1), 6–15.
- Liu, Y. (2022). *Research on the Influencing Factor and Coping Strategy of College Students' Academic Boredom* (Doctoral dissertation, Harbin Normal University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Liu, X., Xu D. Y., & Peng, C. (2017). A Study on private Vocational College Students' learning Motivation -- A case study of Guangzhou Vocational College of Urban Construction. *Yangtze River Series*, 8, 271-272.
- Locke, E. A. (1987). Social Foundations of Thought and Action: A Social-Cognitive View. *Academy of Management Review*, 12(1), 169–171. <https://doi.org/10.5465/amr.1987.4306538>
- Lodico, M. C., Spaulding, D. T., & Voegtler, K. H. (2006). *Methods in educational research: from theory to practice*. Jossey-Bass.
- Lohr, Sharon. L. (2022). *Sampling: Design and analysis* (3rd ed.). CRC Press.
- Løvoll, H. S., Røysamb, E., & Vittersø, J. (2017). Experiences matter: Positive emotions facilitate intrinsic motivation. *Cogent Psychology*, 4(1), 1-15. <https://doi.org/10.1080/23311908.2017.1340083>
- Lyubomirsky, S., King, L., & Diener, E. (2005). The benefits of frequent positive affect: Does happiness lead to success? *Psychological Bulletin*, 131(6), 803–855. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.131.6.803>
- Ma, H., Lin, L., & Su, S. (2010). A Educational Experimentation Study: the Effects of Different Teaching Methods on College Students' Academic Emotion. *Psychological Development and Education*, 58(4), 384–389. <https://doi.org/10.16187/j.cnki.issn1001-4918.2010.04.018>
- Mann, S., & Robinson, A. (2009). Boredom in the lecture theatre: An investigation into the contributors, moderators and outcomes of boredom amongst university students. *British Educational Research Journal*, 35(2), 243–258. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01411920802042911>
- Marques, S. C., Lopez, S. J., & Pais-Ribeiro, J. L. (2011). “Building Hope for the Future”: A Program to Foster Strengths in Middle-School Students. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 12(1), 139–152. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-009-9180-3>

- Martela, F., & Steger, M. F. (2016). The three meanings of meaning in life: Distinguishing coherence, purpose, and significance. *Journal of Positive Psychology, 11*(5), 531–545. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2015.1137623>
- Martz, M. E., Schulenberg, J. E., Patrick, M. E., & Kloska, D. D. (2018). “I Am So Bored!”: Prevalence Rates and Sociodemographic and Contextual Correlates of High Boredom Among American Adolescents. *Youth and Society, 50*(5), 688–710. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0044118X15626624>
- Meece, J. L., Anderman, E. M., & Anderman, L. H. (2006). Classroom goal structure, student motivation, and academic achievement. *Annual Review of Psychology, 57*, 487–503. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.56.091103.070258>
- Ministry of Education of the People's Republic of China, (2017, July 10). *2016 National Education Development Statistical Bulletin*. http://www.moe.gov.cn/jyb_sjzl/sjzl_fztjgb/201707/t20170710_309042.html
- Miyamoto, Y., & Ryff, C. D. (2011). Cultural differences in the dialectical and non-dialectical emotional styles and their implications for health. *Cognition and Emotion, 25*(1), 22–39. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699931003612114>
- Miyamoto, Y., Uchida, Y., & Ellsworth, P. C. (2010). Culture and Mixed Emotions: Co-Occurrence of Positive and Negative Emotions in Japan and the United States. *Emotion, 10*(3), 404–415. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0018430>
- Moore, S., Armstrong, C., & Pearson, J. (2008). Lecture absenteeism among students in higher education: A valuable route to understanding student motivation. *Journal of Higher Education Policy and Management, 30*(1), 15–24. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13600800701457848>
- Morgan, G.A., Barrett, K.C., Leech, N.L., & Gloeckner, G.W. (2020). *IBM SPSS for Introductory Statistics: Use and Interpretation* (6th ed.). New York: Taylor & Francis. <https://doi.org/10.4324/9780429287657>
- Muro, A., Soler, J., Cebolla, À., & Cladellas, R. (2018). A positive psychological intervention for failing students: Does it improve academic achievement and motivation? A pilot study. *Learning and Motivation, 63*, 126–132. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lmot.2018.04.002>
- Mustafina, R. F., Ilina, M. S., & Shcherbakova, I. A. (2020). Emotions and their effect on learning. *Utopia y Praxis Latinoamericana, 25*(7), 318–324. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.4009736>
- Myers, D. G. (2000). The funds, friends, and faith of happy people. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 56–67. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0003-066x.55.1.56>
- Nett, U. E., Goetz, T., & Daniels, L. M. (2010). What to do when feeling bored?. Students' strategies for coping with boredom. *Learning and Individual Differences, 20*(6), 626–638. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2010.09.004>
- Nidich, S., Mjasiri, S., Nidich, R., Rainforth, M., Grant, J., Valosek, L., Chang, W., & Zigler, R. L. (2011). Academic achievement and transcendental meditation: a study with at-risk urban middle school students. *Education, 131*(3), 556–564.

- Niemiec, C. P., & Ryan, R. M. (2009). Autonomy, competence, and relatedness in the classroom: Applying self-determination theory to educational practice. *Theory and Research in Education*, 7(2), 133–144. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1477878509104318>
- Nisbett, R. E., Peng, K., Choi, I., & Norenzayan, A. (2001). Culture and systems of thought: holistic versus analytic cognition. *Psychological review*, 108(2), 291–310. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295x.108.2.291>
- Norrish, M., Williams, O'Connor, M. & Robinson (2013). An applied framework for Positive Education. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 3(2), pp. 147-161. doi:10.5502/ijw.v3i2.2
- Noteborn, G., Bohle Carbonell, K., Dailey-Hebert, A., & Gijsselaers, W. (2012). The role of emotions and task significance in Virtual Education. *Internet and Higher Education*, 15(3), 176–183. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2012.03.002>
- O'Connell, M. E., Boat, T., & Warner, K. E. (Eds.). (2009). *Preventing mental, emotional, and behavioral disorders among young people: Progress and possibilities*. The National Academies Press.
- Pallant, J. (2016). *SPSS survival manual: A step by step guide to data analysis using IBM SPSS* (6th ed.). Open University Press.
- Pan, Y., & Gauvain, M. (2012). The continuity of college students' autonomous learning motivation and its predictors: A three-year longitudinal study. *Learning and Individual Differences*, 22(1), 92–99. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2011.11.010>
- Park, N., Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). Strengths of character and well-being. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 23(5), 603–619. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.5.603.50748>
- Parker, P. C., Perry, R. P., Hamm, J. M., Chipperfield, J. G., Pekrun, R., Dryden, R. P., Daniels, L.M., & Tze, V. M. C. (2021). A motivation perspective on achievement appraisals, emotions, and performance in an online learning environment. *International Journal of Educational Research*, 108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2021.101772>
- Parker, P. C., Tze, V. M. C., Daniels, L. M., & Sukovieff, A. (2021). Boredom intervention training phase I: Increasing boredom knowledge through a psychoeducational video. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(21). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph182111712>
- Parks, A. C., & Biswas-Diener, R. (2013). Positive intervention: Past, present, future. In T. B. Kashdan & J. V. Ciarrochi (Eds.), *Mindfulness, acceptance, and positive psychology: The seven foundations of well-being* (pp. 140-165). Oakland, CA: New Harbinger Publications.
- Patall, E. A., Cooper, H., & Robinson, J. C. (2008). The effects of choice on intrinsic motivation and related outcomes: a meta-analysis of research findings. *Psychological bulletin*, 134(2), 270–300. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.2.270>

- Peixoto, F., Mata, L., Monteiro, V., Sanches, C., & Pekrun, R. (2015). The Achievement Emotions Questionnaire: Validation for Pre-Adolescent Students. *European Journal of Developmental Psychology, 12*(4), 472–481. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17405629.2015.1040757>
- Pekrun, R. (2006). The control-value theory of achievement emotions: Assumptions, corollaries, and implications for educational research and practice. *Educational Psychology Review, 18*(4), 315–341. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-006-9029-9>
- Pekrun, R., Hall, N. C., Goetz, T., & Perry, R. P. (2014). Boredom and academic achievement: Testing a model of reciprocal causation. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 106*(3), 696–710. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0036006>
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Frenzel, A. C., Barchfeld, P., & Perry, R. P. (2011). Measuring emotions in students' learning and performance: The Achievement Emotions Questionnaire (AEQ). *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 36*(1), 36–48. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2010.10.002>
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Daniels, L. M., Stupnisky, R. H., & Perry, R. P. (2010). Boredom in achievement settings: Exploring control–value antecedents and performance outcomes of a neglected emotion. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 102*(3), 531–549. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019243>
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., Titz, W., & Perry, R. P. (2002). Academic emotions in students' self-regulated learning and achievement: A program of qualitative and quantitative research. *Educational Psychologist, 37*(2), 91–105. https://doi.org/10.1207/S15326985EP3702_4
- Pekrun, R., Goetz, T., & Perry, R. P. (2005). *Achievement emotions questionnaire (AEQ): User's manual*. Munich: Department of Psychology, University of Munich.
- Pekrun, R., & Perry, R.P.(2014). Control-value theory of achievement emotions. In R. Pekurn & L. Linnenbrink-Garcia (Eds.), *International handbook of emotions in education* (pp. 120-141). Routledge/Taylor & Francis Group.
- Pekrun, R., & Stephens, E. J. (2010). Achievement Emotions: A Control-Value Approach. *Social and Personality Psychology Compass, 4*(4), 238–255. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1751-9004.2010.00259.x>
- Perry, R.P., Chipperfield, J.G., Hladkyj, S., Pekrun, R., & Hamm, J. M. (2014). Attribution-based treatment interventions in some achievement settings. *Advances in Motivation and Achievement, 18*, 1–35. <https://doi.org/10.1108/S0749-74232014000018000>
- Perry, R. P., Hladkyj, S., Pekrun, R. H., & Pelletier, S. T. (2001). Academic control and action control in the achievement of college students: A longitudinal field study. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 93*(4), 776–789. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.93.4.776>
- Peterson, C., Park, N., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2005). Orientations to happiness and life satisfaction: The full life versus the empty life. *Journal of Happiness Studies, 6* (1), 25–41. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-004-1278-z>

- Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2003). Character strengths before and after September 11. *Psychological Science, 14*(4), 381–384. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9280.24482>
- Peterson, C., & Seligman, M. E. P. (2004). *Character strengths and virtues: A handbook and classification*. American Psychological Association; Oxford University Press.
- Pour, P. A., Hussain, M. S., AlZoubi, O., D’Mello, S., & Calvo, R. A. (2010). The impact of system feedback on learners’ affective and physiological states. *Conference Paper*. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-642-13388-6_31
- Putwain, D. W., Pekrun, R., Nicholson, L. J., Symes, W., Becker, S., & Marsh, H. W. (2018). Control-Value Appraisals, Enjoyment, and Boredom in Mathematics: A Longitudinal Latent Interaction Analysis. *American Educational Research Journal, 55*(6), 1339–1368. <https://doi.org/10.3102/0002831218786689>
- Quinlan, D. M., Swain, N., Cameron, C., & Vella-brodrick, D. A. (2015). How ‘other people matter’ in a classroom-based strengths intervention: Exploring interpersonal strategies and classroom outcomes. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 10*(1), 77–89. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2014.920407>
- Reeve, J., Nix, G., & Hamm, D. (2003). Testing models of the experience of self-determination in intrinsic motivation and the conundrum of choice. *Journal of Educational Psychology, 95*(2), 375–392. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-0663.95.2.375>
- Reeve, J., Ryan, R., Deci, E. L., & Jang, H. (2008). Understanding and promoting autonomous self-regulation: A self-determination theory perspective. In D. H. Schunk & B. J. Zimmerman (Eds.), *Motivation and self-regulated learning: Theory, research, and applications* (pp. 223–244). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates Publishers.
- Ren, J. (2006). A Probe into the Western Positive Education Thoughts. *Studies in Foreign Education, 33*(191), 1–5.
- Ren, J., Huang, Z., Luo, J., Wei, G., Ying, X., Ding, Z., Wu, Y., & Luo, F. (2011). Meditation promotes insightful problem-solving by keeping people in a mindful and alert conscious state. *Science China Life Sciences, 54*(10), 961–965. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11427-011-4233-3>
- Russell, J. A. (1980). A circumplex model of affect. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 39*(6), 1161–1178.
- Russell, J. A. (2003). Core affect and the psychological construction of Emotion. *Psychological Review, 110*(1), 145–172. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-295X.110.1.145>
- Ruthig, J. C., Perry, R. P., Hall, N. C., & Hladkyj, S. (2004). Optimism and attributional retraining: Longitudinal effects on academic achievement, test anxiety, and voluntary course withdrawal in college students. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology, 34*(4), 709–730. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1559-1816.2004.tb02566.x>

- Ryan, R. M. & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://psycnet.apa.org/doi/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Intrinsic and extrinsic motivations: Classic definitions and new directions. *Contemporary Educational Psychology*, 25(1), 54–67. <https://doi.org/10.1006/ceps.1999.1020>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2002). Overview of self-determination theory: An organismic-dialectical perspective. In E. L. Deci & R. M. Ryan (Eds.), *Handbook of self-determination research* (pp. 3–33). University of Rochester Press.
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2006). Self-regulation and the problem of human autonomy: Does psychology need choice, self-determination, and will? *Journal of Personality*, 74(6), 1557–1586. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-6494.2006.00420.x>
- Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2017). *Self-Determination Theory: Basic Psychological Needs in Motivation, Development, and Wellness*. New York, NY: Guilford Publications.
- Ryan, R. M., & Grolnick, W. S. (1986). Origins and pawns in the classroom: Self-report and projective assessments of individual differences in children's perceptions. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 50(3), 550–558. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.50.3.550>
- Ryan, R. M., Patrick, H., Deci, E. L., & Williams, G. C. (2008). Facilitating health behaviour change and its maintenance: Interventions based on Self-Determination Theory. *European Psychologist*, 10(1), 2–5.
- Ryff, C. D., & Keyes, C. L. M. (1995). The Structure of Psychological Well-Being Revisited. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 69(4), 719–727. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.69.4.719>
- Sahin, H. (2020). *Educational sciences: Theory, current researches and new trends*. IV PE Cetinje, Montenegro.
- Salzer, M. S. (2012). A comparative study of campus experiences of college students with mental illnesses versus a general college sample. *Journal of American College Health*, 60(1), 1–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/07448481.2011.552537>
- Scherer, K. R. (2009). The dynamic architecture of emotion: Evidence for the component process model. *Cognition & Emotion*, 23(7), 1307–1351. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02699930902928969>
- Schunk, D. H. (2012). *Learning Theories, an Educational Perspective (6th ed.)*. Boston, MA: Pearson Education Inc.
- Seldon, A., & Martin, A. (2017). The Positive and Mindful University. *Higher Education Policy Institute*, 1–70. Retrieved from www.hepi.ac.uk
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2011). *Flourish: a visionary new understanding of happiness and well-being*. Free Press.

- Seligman, M. E. P. (2018). PERMA and the building blocks of well-being. *The Journal of Positive Psychology, 13*(4), 333–335. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2018.1437466>
- Seligman, M. E. P. (2018). Positive psychology: A personal history. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology, 15*(1), 1–23. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-clinpsy-050718-095653>
- Seligman, M.E. P. (2018). *The Hope Circuit: A Psychologist's Journey from Helplessness to Optimism*. North Sydney, NSW: Penguin Random House Australia
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Adler, A. (2018). Positive Education. In J. F. Helliwell, R. Layard, & J. Sachs (Eds.), *Global Happiness Policy Report: 2018*. (pp. 52-73). Global Happiness Council.
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Adler, A. (2019). Positive Education. In J. F. Helliwell, R. Layard, & J. Sachs (Eds.), *Global Happiness and Wellbeing Policy Report: 2019*. (p p. 52-71). Global Council for Wellbeing and Happiness.
- Seligman, M. E. P., & Csikszentmihalyi, M. (2000). Positive psychology: An introduction. *American Psychologist, 55*(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.5>
- Seligman, M. E. P., Ernst, R. M., Gillham, J., Reivich, K., & Linkins, M. (2009). Positive education: Positive psychology and classroom interventions. *Oxf.Rev. Educ.* 35, 293–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03054980902934563>
- Seligman, M. E. P., Steen, T. A., Park, N., & Peterson, C. (2005). Positive Psychology Progress: Empirical Validation of Interventions. *American Psychologist, 60*(5), 410–421. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.60.5.410>
- Shankland, R., & Rosset, E. (2017). Review of Brief School-Based Positive Psychological Interventions: a Taster for Teachers and Educators. *Educational Psychology Review, 29*(2), 363–392. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-016-9357-3>
- Sharp, J. G., Hemmings, B., Kay, R., Murphy, B., & Elliott, S. (2017). Academic boredom among students in higher education: A mixed-methods exploration of characteristics, contributors and consequences. *Journal of Further and Higher Education, 41*(5), 657–677. <https://doi.org/10.1080/0309877X.2016.1159292>
- Sharp, J. G., Sharp, J. C., & Young, E. (2018). Academic boredom, engagement and the achievement of undergraduate students at university: a review and synthesis of relevant literature. *Research Papers in Education, 35*(2), 144–184. <https://doi.org/10.1080/02671522.2018.1536891>
- Shaughnessy, J.J., Zechmeister, E.B., & Zechmeister, J.S.(9th ed.) (2012). *Research methods in psychology*. New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Sheldon, K. M., Abad, N., Ferguson, Y., Gunz, A., Houser-Marko, L., Nichols, C. P., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2010). Persistent pursuit of need-satisfying goals leads to increased happiness: A 6-month experimental longitudinal study. *Motivation and Emotion, 34*(1), 39–48. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-009-9153-1>

- Sheldon, K. M., & King, L. (2001). Why positive psychology is necessary. *American Psychologist*, 56(3), 216–217. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.56.3.216>
- Shi Jiahe. (2017). *The effects of college students' social support, self-efficacy and procrastination on state of boredom* (Master's thesis, Zhejiang University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Sin, N. L., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2009). Enhancing well-being and alleviating depressive symptoms with positive psychology interventions: a practice-friendly meta-analysis. *Journal of clinical psychology*, 65(5), 467–487. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jc1p.20593>
- Sims, T., Tsai, J. L., Jiang, D., Wang, Y., Fung, H. H., & Zhang, X. (2015). Wanting to maximize the positive and minimize the negative: Implications for mixed affective experience in American and Chinese contexts. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 109(2), 292–315. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0039276>
- Slavin, S. J., Schindler, D. L., & Chibnall, J. T. (2014). Medical student mental health 3.0: Improving student wellness through curricular changes. *Academic Medicine*, 89(4), 573–577. <https://doi.org/10.1097/ACM.000000000000166>
- Slemp, G. R., Chin, T.-C., Kern, M. L., Siokou, C., Loton, D., Oades, L. G., Vella-Brodrick, D., & Waters, L. (2017). Positive education in Australia: Practice, measurement, and future directions. In E. Frydenberg, A. J. Martin, & R. J. Collie (Eds.), *Social and emotional learning in Australia and the Asia-Pacific: Perspectives, programs and approaches* (pp. 101–122). Springer Science + Business Media. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-10-3394-0_6
- Stewart, D., Sun, J., Patterson, C., Lemerle, K., & Hardie, M. (2004). Promoting and Building Resilience in Primary School Communities: Evidence from a Comprehensive 'Health Promoting School' Approach. *International Journal of Mental Health Promotion*, 6(3), 26–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14623730.2004.9721936>
- Stewart, G., Kamata, A., Miles, R., Grandoit, E., Mandelbaum, F., Quinn, C., & Rabin, L. (2019). Predicting mental health help seeking orientations among diverse Undergraduates: An ordinal logistic regression analysis. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 257, 271–280. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2019.07.058>
- Suldo, S., Thalji, A., & Ferron, J. (2011). Longitudinal academic outcomes predicted by early adolescents' subjective well-being, psychopathology, and mental health status yielded from a dual factor model. *Journal of Positive Psychology*, 6(1), 17–30. <https://doi.org/10.1080/17439760.2010.536774>
- Sun, H. G. (2019). *An empirical Study of Post-90 College Students' Learning Motivation* (Doctoral dissertation, East China Normal University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Tabachnick, B. G. & Fidell, L. S. (2013). *Using multivariate statistics* (6th edn). Boston: Pearson Education

- Taylor, G., Jungert, T., Mageau, G., Schattke, K., Dedic, H., Rosenfield, S., & Koestner, R. (2014). A self-determination theory approach to predicting school achievement over time: The unique role of intrinsic motivation. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 39*(4), 342-358. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.08.002>
- Tejada-Gallardo, C., Blasco-Belled, A., Torrelles-Nadal, C., & Alsinet, C. (2020). Effects of School-based Multicomponent Positive Psychology Interventions on Well-being and Distress in Adolescents: A Systematic Review and Meta-analysis. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence, 49*(10), 1943–1960. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-020-01289-9>
- Trolian, T. L., & Jach, E. A. (2020). Engagement in College and University Applied Learning Experiences and Students' Academic Motivation. *Journal of Experiential Education, 43*(3), 317–335. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1053825920925100>
- Trunnell, E. P., White, F., Cederquist, J., & Braza, J. (1996). Optimizing an Outdoor Experience for Experiential Learning by Decreasing Boredom through Mindfulness Training. *Journal of Experiential Education, 19*(1), 43–49. <https://doi.org/10.1177/105382599601900109>
- Tugade, M. M., & Fredrickson, B. L. (2004). Resilient Individuals Use Positive Emotions to Bounce Back From Negative Emotional Experiences. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology, 86*(2), 320–333. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.86.2.320>
- Tyng, C. M., Amin, H. U., Saad, M. N. M., & Malik, A. S. (2017). The influences of emotion on learning and memory. *Frontiers in Psychology, 8*, 1454. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01454>
- Tze, V. M. C. (2011). *Investigating academic boredom in Canadian and Chinese students* (Master's thesis). Retrieved from <https://era.library.ualberta.ca/public/view/item/uuid:09ca4fc1-8229-4a6a-acdf-f4bf74ae7d44>. Accessed 10 June 2012.
- Tze, V. M. C., Daniels, L. M., Klassen, R. M., & Li, J. C. H. (2013a). Canadian and Chinese university students' approaches to coping with academic boredom. *Learning and Individual Differences, 23*(1), 32–43. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lindif.2012.10.015>
- Tze, V. M. C., Daniels, L. M., & Klassen, R. M. (2016). Evaluating the relationship between boredom and academic outcomes: A meta-analysis. *Educational Psychology Review, 28*(1), 119–144. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-015-9301-y>
- Tze, V. M. C., Klassen, R. M., & Daniels, L. M. (2014). Patterns of boredom and its relationship with perceived autonomy support and engagement. *Contemporary Educational Psychology, 39*(3), 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cedpsych.2014.05.001>
- Tze, V. M. C., Klassen, R. M., Daniels, L. M., Li, J. C. -H., & Zhang, X. (2013b). A Cross-Cultural Validation of the Learning-Related Boredom Scale (LRBS) With Canadian and Chinese College Students. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 31*(1), 29–40. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282912443670>

- Tze, V. M. C., & Li, J. C. -H. (2021). Should science be a subject that we should keep our mind cool? A systemic evaluation using TIMSS 2015 data. *Motivation and Emotion*, 45(3), 377–396. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-021-09880-8>
- Tze, V. M. C., Li, J. C. -H., & Parker, P. C. (2021). A mediation analysis of emotions based on the control-value theory. *Current Psychology*, <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12144-021-01840-2>
- Uchino, B. N., Cacioppo, J. T., & Kiecolt-Glaser, J. K. (1996). The relationship between social support and physiological processes: A review with emphasis on underlying mechanisms and implications for health. *Psychological Bulletin*, 119(3), 488–531. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.119.3.488>
- Valas, H., & Sjøvik, N. (1993). Variables Affecting Students' Intrinsic Motivation for School Mathematics: two empirical studies based on Deci and Ryan's theory on motivation. *Learning and Instruction*, 3 (4), 281-298. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752\(93\)90020-Z](https://doi.org/10.1016/0959-4752(93)90020-Z)
- Vallerand, R. J., Blais, M. R., Brière, N. M., & Pelletier, L. G. (1989). Construction et validation de l'échelle de motivation en éducation (EME). *Canadian Journal of Behavioural Science / Revue Canadienne Des Sciences Du Comportement*, 21 (3), 323–349. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0079855>
- Vallerand, R. J., Blanchard, C., Mageau, G. A., Koestner, R., Ratelle, C., Leonard, M., Gagne, M., & Marsolais, J. (2003). Les passions de l'ame: on obsessive and harmonious passion. *Journal of personality and social psychology*, 85(4), 756–767. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0022-3514.85.4.756>
- Vallerand, R. J., Fortier, M. S., & Guay, F. (1997). Self-determination and persistence in a real-life setting: Toward a motivational model of high school dropout. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 72(5), 1161–1176. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-3514.72.5.1161>
- Vallerand, R. J., Pelletier, L. G., Blais, M. R., Brière, N. M., Senecal, C., & Vallières, E. F. (1992). The Academic Motivation Scale: A measure of intrinsic, extrinsic, and amotivation in education. *Educational and Psychological Measurement*, 52(4), 1003–1017. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0013164492052004025>
- Vallerand, R. J., & Reid, G. (1984). On the Causal Effects of Perceived Competence on Intrinsic Motivation: A Test of Cognitive Evaluation Theory. *Journal of Sport Psychology*, 6(1), 94–102. <https://doi.org/10.1123/jsp.6.1.94>
- Vodanovich, S. J. (2003). Psychometric measures of boredom: A review of the literature. *Journal of Psychology: Interdisciplinary and Applied*, 137(6), 569–595. <https://doi.org/10.1080/00223980309600636>
- Vogel-Walcutt, J. J., Fiorella, L., Carper, T., & Schatz, S. (2012). The Definition, Assessment, and Mitigation of State Boredom Within Educational Settings: A Comprehensive Review. *Educational Psychology Review*, 24(1), 89–111. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-011-9182-7>

- Wang, J., Liu, R-D, Ding, Y., Xu, L., Liu, Y., & Zhen, R. (2017). Teacher's autonomy support and engagement in math: Multiple mediating roles of self-efficacy, intrinsic value, and boredom. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01006>
- Wang, Q., & Du, T. (2020). Implementation of the college student mental health education course (CSMHEC) in undergraduate medical curriculum: effects and insights. *BMC Medical Education*, 20(505), 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02438-1>
- Wang, Y. J. (2016). *An study of the relationship of academic boredom and self-determined motivation* (Master's thesis, Nanjing Normal University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Waterman, A. S., Schwartz, S. J., & Conti, R. (2008). The implications of two conceptions of happiness (hedonic enjoyment and eudaimonia) for the understanding of intrinsic motivation. *Journal of Happiness Studies*, 9(1), 41–79. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10902-006-9020-7>
- Waters, L. (2011). A review of school-based positive psychology interventions. *Australian Educational and Developmental Psychologist*, 28(2), 75–90. <https://doi.org/10.1375/aedp.28.2.75>
- Watson, D., Clark, L. A., & Tellegen, A. (1988). Development and validation of brief measures of positive and negative affect: The PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 54(6), 1063–1070. <https://doi.org/10.1051/epjconf/201714006017>
- Wegner, D. M., Schneider, D. J., Carter, S. R., & White, T. L. (1987). Paradoxical effects of thought suppression. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 53(1), 5–13
- Wentzel, K. R., & Caldwell, K. (1997). Friendships, peer acceptance, and group membership: Relations to academic achievement in middle school. *Child Development*, 68(6), 1198–1209
- Wentzel, K. R., & Wigfield. (Eds.).(2009). *Handbook of motivation at school*. Routledge.
- White, C. A., Uttl, B., & Holder, M. D. (2019). Meta-analyses of positive psychology interventions: The effects are much smaller than previously reported. *PLoS One*, 14(5), 1–48. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0216588>
- White, M. A., & Kern, M. L. (2018). Positive education: Learning and teaching for well-being and academic mastery. *International Journal of Wellbeing*, 8(1), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5502/ijw.v8i1.588>
- White, R. W. (1959). Motivation reconsidered: The concept of competence. *Psychological Review*, 66(5), 297–333. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0040934>
- Whittington, J. E., & Huppert, F. A. (1996). Changes in the prevalence of psychiatric disorder in a community are related to changes in the mean level of psychiatric symptoms. *Psychological Medicine*, 26(6), 1253–1260. <https://doi.org/10.1017/s0033291700035972>

- Wood, A. M., Linley, P. A., Maltby, J., Kashdan, T. B., & Hurling, R. (2011). Using personal and psychological strengths leads to increases in well-being over time: A longitudinal study and the development of the strengths use questionnaire. *Personality and Individual Differences, 50*(1), 15–19. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.paid.2010.08.004>
- Yang, W., Lin, L., Zhu, W., & Liang, S. (2015). An introduction to mental health services at universities in China. *Mental Health and Prevention, 3*(1), 11–16. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mhp.2015.04.001>
- Yeager, D. S., Dahl, R. E., & Dweck, C. S. (2018). Why Interventions to Influence Adolescent Behavior Often Fail but Could Succeed. *Perspectives on Psychological Science, 13*(1), 101–122. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1745691617722620>
- Young, T., Macinnes, S., Jarden, A., & Colla, R. (2020). The impact of a wellbeing program imbedded in university classes: the importance of valuing happiness, base line wellbeing and practice frequency. *Studies in Higher Education, 1*–20. <https://doi.org/10.1080/03075079.2020.1793932>
- Zhao, S., & Cai, T. (2012). The Effects of Different Teaching Methods on College Students' Academic Emotion. *China Journal of Health Psychology, 20*(12), 1900–1902.
- Zhao, S. Y. (2013). *The Research of Academic Emotions based on Control-Value Theory for College Students* (Doctoral dissertation, The Second Xiangya Hospital of Cennral South University). China National Knowledge Infrastructure.
- Zhao, Y., Yu, F., Wu, Y., Zeng, G., & Peng, K. (2019). Positive Education Interventions Prevent Depression in Chinese Adolescents. *Frontiers in psychology, 10*, 1344. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2019.01344>
- Zhang, B., Li, Y. M., Li, J., Li, Y., & Zhang, H. (2016). The Revision and validation of the academic motivation scale in China. *Journal of Psychoeducational Assessment, 34*(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0734282915575909>
- Zhang, J., Yang, Y., & Wang, H. (2009). Measuring subjective well-being: A comparison of China and the USA. *Asian Journal of Social Psychology, 12*(3), 221–225. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-839X.2009.01287.x>
- Zhang, X. Q., Zhang, B. S. & Wang, M. D.(2020) Application of a classroom-based positive psychology education course for Chinese medical students to increase their psychological well-being: a pilot study. *BMC Med Educ 20*:323. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12909-020-02232-z>
- Zhang, Y., & Carciofo, R. (2021). Assessing the wellbeing of Chinese university students: validation of a Chinese version of the college student subjective wellbeing questionnaire. *BMC Psychology, 9*(1), 1–10. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40359-021-00569-8>
- Zeng, G., Hou, H., & Peng, K. (2016). Effect of growth mindset on school engagement and psychological well-being of Chinese primary and middle school students: The mediating role of resilience. *Frontiers in Psychology, 7*, 1873. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2016.01873>

- Zeng, G., & Zhao, Y., (2019). *The Science of Well-being: The Application of Positive Psychology in Education*. Bei Jing, Posts & Telecom Press.
- Zhou, H., Liu, M., Zeng, J., & Zhu, J. (2016). Selection of nursing teaching strategies in mainland China: A questionnaire survey. *Nurse education today*, 39, 147–151. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nedt.2015.12.022>
- Zhou, L., Gao, Y., Hu, J., Tu, X., & Zhang, X. (2022). Effects of perceived teacher support on motivation and engagement amongst Chinese college students: Need satisfaction as the mediator. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 13, 949495 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2022.949495>
- Zhou, M., & Kam, C. C. S. (2017). Trait procrastination, self-efficacy and achievement goals: the mediation role of boredom coping strategies. *Educational Psychology*, 37(7), 854–872. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01443410.2017.1293801>
- Zuckerman, M., Porac, J., Lathin, D., & Deci, E. L. (1978). On the importance of self-determination for Intrinsically-Motivated Behavior. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 4(3), 443–446. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014616727800400317>