



**MECHANISTICS OF SECRETOME PROTEINS FROM *Paenibacillus polymyxa*
Kp10 AND *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 AGAINST METHICILLIN-RESISTANT
Staphylococcus aureus AND VANCOMYCIN-RESISTANT *Enterococcus***

By

NURUL HANA BINTI ZAINAL BAHARIN

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia in
Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

January 2023

IPPH 2023 2

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes by the copyright holder. Commercial use of the material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfillment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

MECHANISTICS OF SECRETOME PROTEINS FROM *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Kp10 AND *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 AGAINST METHICILLIN-RESISTANT *Staphylococcus aureus* AND VANCOMYCIN-RESISTANT *Enterococcus*

By

NURUL HANA BINTI ZAINAL BAHARIN

January 2023

Chairman : Mohd Nasir bin Mohd Desa, PhD
Faculty : Halal Products Research Institute

Resistance in pathogenic bacteria has emerged as a major global public health concern. Antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections are a major cause of patient mortality and morbidity, and rising antibiotic resistance is seriously compromising the vast medical advances made possible by antibiotics over the last decade. Hence, alternative approaches in controlling the bacterial infections are urgently needed. *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Kp10 (Kp10) and *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 (Gh1) both are bacterial isolates that were believed to exhibit antimicrobial activity. Therefore, the effectiveness of secretome protein extracts isolated from Kp10 and Gh1 as the therapeutic agent in the treatment against antibiotic-resistant bacterial strains, namely, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) and methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) were investigated. The main objective of this study is to determine the inhibition mechanisms of secretome protein extracted from Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA and VRE bacteria. Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC), Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC) and time-to-kill assays were used to determine the sensitivity and viability of MRSA and VRE bacterial cells following treatment with the secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1. Next, to determine the morphological changes of MRSA and VRE after treated with Kp10 and Gh1, the microscopic analysis using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM) were observed. Then, to elucidate the antimicrobial mechanism of secretome protein of Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA and VRE, 2D gel and sonication proteomic analysis based on time dependent manner by using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS) were run by comparing upregulated and downregulated proteins. Subsequently, the proton motive force study included the efflux of ATP; the pH gradient and the membrane potential study in treated MRSA and VRE were conducted. The differential proteins expression in MRSA and VRE treated with secretome proteins Kp10 and Gh1 in time dependent manner were analyzed. Protein extracts were obtained from treated MRSA and VRE cells by sonication and the protein profiling were identified by using liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS). The safety of both secretome proteins in human cell also were

evaluated by the characterization of serum stability towards secretome proteins and their potential toxicity towards Medical Research Council cell strain 5 cell (MRC5), a kind of human lung cells. MRSA and VRE bacteria that were found to be sensitive to secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 were treated with the respective secretome protein extract and were found to display several distinguished and apparent signs of morphological and internal composition changes, based on the microscopic analysis. Several proteins that were found to be important in cell wall functions and cell division, cell wall biosynthesis/protein synthesis and the stress response were identified to be down-regulated or up-regulated in both treated cells without changing the membrane potential gradient. Next, the cytotoxicity test suggested that there were no cytotoxic effects have been observed on both secretome proteins when treated on MRC5 cells. Hence, there is no IC50 was determined. Finally, the preliminary test on the effect of secretome proteins in human serum was done using the agar well diffusion method. From this study, there are no significant changes in the inhibition zone of secretome proteins in serum when treated on the bacterial strain, thus giving the initial impression that peptide is safe to use in the human body. In conclusion, secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 were demonstrated to reduce the growth number of VRE and MRSA by damaging the cell membrane, suggesting that both secretome proteins may serve as a potential therapeutic agent for antibiotic-resistant pathogen.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**MEKANISTIK PROTEIN REMBESAN DARI *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Kp10
DAN *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 TERHADAP *Staphylococcus aureus* TAHAN
METHICILLIN DAN *Enterococcus* TAHAN VANCOMYCIN**

Oleh

NURUL HANA BINTI ZAINAL BAHARIN

Januari 2023

Pengerusi : Mohd Nasir bin Mohd Desa, PhD
Fakulti : Institut Penyelidikan Produk Halal

Kemunculan rintangan dalam bakteria patogen telah menjadi ancaman awam yang ketara terhadap kesihatan global. Jangkitan bakteria tahan antibiotik telah menyebabkan kematian dan morbiditi terhadap pesakit yang besar, dan peningkatan rintangan antibiotik secara serius mengancam kemajuan antibiotik dalam perubatan sepanjang dekad yang lalu. Oleh itu, pendekatan alternatif untuk mengawal jangkitan bakteria amat diperlukan. *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Kp10 (Kp10) dan *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 (Gh1) kedua-duanya adalah pengasingan bakteria yang dipercayai mempamerkan aktiviti antimikrob. Oleh itu, keberkesanan ekstrak protein rembesan yang diasingkan dari Kp10 dan Gh1 sebagai agen terapeutik dalam rawatan terhadap jangkitan strain bakteria tahan antibiotik, iaitu, *Enterococcus* tahan vancomycin (VRE) dan *Staphylococcus aureus* tahan methicillin (MRSA) telah dikaji. Objektif utama kajian ini adalah untuk menentukan mekanisme perencatan protein rembesan yang diekstrak dari Kp10 dan Gh1 terhadap bakteria MRSA dan VRE. Kepekatan Minimal Inhibitory (MIC), Kepekatan Bakteria Minimum (MBC) dan ujian masa untuk membunuh bacteria digunakan untuk menentukan sensitiviti dan daya maju sel bakteria MRSA dan VRE berikutan rawatan dengan protein rembesan dari Kp10 dan Gh1. Kemudian, untuk menentukan perubahan morfologi MRSA dan VRE selepas dirawat dengan Kp10 dan Gh1, analisis mikroskopik menggunakan mikroskopi elektron pengimbasan (SEM) dan mikroskopi elektron penghantaran (TEM) telah diperhatikan. Seterusnya, ntuk menjelaskan mekanisme antimikrob protein rembesan Kp10 dan Gh1 terhadap MRSA dan VRE, analisis proteomik 2D gel dan protein sonikasi yang bergantung pada masa rawatan yang berbeza telah dijalankan dengan menggunakan spektrometri jisim kromatografi cecair (LCMS) dengan membandingkan protein yang dikawal selia dan kajian daya motif proton termasuk efflux ATP; kecerunan pH dan kajian potensi membran dalam MRSA dan VRE yang dirawat juga turut dijalankan dijalankan. Keselamatan kedua-dua protein rembesan dalam sel manusia juga dinilai oleh pencirian kestabilan serum terhadap protein rembesan dan potensi ketoksikan mereka terhadap sel Majlis Penyelidikan Perubatan strain 5 sel (MRC5), sejenis sel paru-paru manusia. Bacteria MRSA dan VRE yang

didapati sensitif terhadap Kp10 dan Gh1 dirawat dengan ekstrak protein rembesan masing-masing dan didapati menunjukkan beberapa tanda-tanda perubahan morfologi dan komposisi dalaman yang jelas berdasarkan analisis mikroskopik. Beberapa rembesan protein yang didapati penting dalam fungsi dinding sel dan pembahagian sel, biosintesis dinding sel / sintesis protein dan tindak balas tekanan telah dikenal pasti untuk semakin meningkat atau semakin menurun dalam kedua-dua sel yang dirawat tanpa mengubah kecerunan potensi membran. Seterusnya, ujian sitotoksik menunjukkan bahawa tiada kesan sitotoksik terhadap kedua-dua rembesan protein telah diperhatikan apabila dirawat pada sel MRC5 sehingga kepekatan yang dikenal pasti. Oleh itu, tiada IC50 ditentukan. Akhirnya, ujian awal mengenai kesan rembesan protein dalam serum manusia yang dilakukan secara ringkas menggunakan kaedah penyebaran agar telah menunjukkan bahawa tiada perubahan ketara dalam zon perencatan rembesan protein apabila dibandingkan dengan rembesan protein dalam serum setelah dirawat pada strain bakteria, dengan itu memberikan kesan awal bahawa rembesan protein dari kedua-dua sumber adalah selamat untuk digunakan dalam tubuh manusia. Dari kajian ini, dapat disimpulkan bahawa protein rembesan yang berasal dari protein rembesan Kp10 dan Gh1 mempunyai aktiviti antibakteria dan terbukti dapat mengurangkan jumlah pertumbuhan VRE dan MRSA dengan merosakkan membran sel, menunjukkan bahawa kedua-dua protein rembesan tersebut sebagai agen terapeutik yang berpotensi untuk patogen tahan antibiotik.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

In the name of Allah, the Most Gracious, the Most Merciful.

All gratifications are referred to Allah

All praise be to Allah, the Almighty for His consent for giving me the courage and strength in completing my PhD study and research.

First and foremost, I would like to convey my deepest gratitude to my supervisor, Assoc Prof. Dr. Mohd Nasir B. Mohd Desa, who greatly enriched my knowledge with his guidance and assistance. Devoid of his constant support, the PhD research would have not been accomplished.

I would like to thank the rest of my supervisors and committee of the project, Prof Dr. Shuhaimi B. Mustafa, Dr. Amalia Hashim and Dr. Nur Fadhilah Khairil Mokhtar, for the guidance, encouragement, insightful comments and ideas for making this thesis more meaningful. A sincere gratitude and appreciation also go to Halal Product Research Institute University Putra Malaysia and Faculty of Medicine and Health Science University Putra Malaysia, the places that have granted me the opportunity and amenities to collect the essential practical skills and the keen in fulfilling the research. Special notes of thank goes to all laboratory staffs of Halal Product Research Institute UPM and Department of Biomedical Science Faculty of Medicine and Health Science for their constructive assistance while grappling the handiness laboratory tasks.

Last but not least, a heartiest thank goes to my late father, Haji Zainal Baharin Bin Alias, my mother, Hajah. Noriah Binti Jahari and my siblings and friends for their tireless love, support, and motivation throughout my study. Thank you and may peace and blessing be upon those who read.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mohd Nasir bin Mohd Desa, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Shuhaimi bin Mustafa, PhD

Professor
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Amalia binti Mohd Hashim, PhD

Senior Lecturer
Faculty of Biotechnology and Biomolecular Science
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 13 April 2023

Declaration by the Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any other institution;
- intellectual-property from the thesis and copyright of thesis are fully-owned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from the supervisor and the office of Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and Innovation) before the thesis is published (in the form of written, printed or in electronic form) including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld as according to the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software.

Signature: _____

Date: _____

Name and Matric No.: Nurul Hana Binti Zainal Baharin

Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision;
- supervision responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) are adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of Chairman
of Supervisory
Committee: Associate Professor Dr. Mohd Nasir bin Mohd Desa

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Professor Dr. Suhami bin Mustafa

Signature: _____
Name of Member
of Supervisory
Committee: Dr. Amalia binti Hashim

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	v
APPROVAL	vi
DECLARATION	viii
LIST OF TABLES	xvii
LIST OF FIGURES	xiii
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xiv
CHAPTER	
1 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	3
1.3 Objectives	3
1.3.1 General Objective	3
1.3.2 Specific Objectives	4
2 LITERATURE REVIEW	5
2.1 History of Antibiotics	5
2.2 The Dilemma and Impact of Antibiotic Resistance	6
2.3 Mechanism of Antibiotic and Resistance	8
2.4 Factors Influencing Bacterial Resistance and The Current Strategies to Combat Resistance	9
2.5 Common Antibiotic Resistance Pathogens	10
2.5.1 Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>	10
2.5.2 Vancomycin-resistant <i>Enterococcus</i>	11
2.6 Secretome Proteins as The Alternative for Antibiotics	12
2.6.1 What is Secretome Proteins?	12
2.6.2 Secretome Proteins Releasing Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs) with The Ability to Kill Bacteria	12
2.7 Antimicrobial Peptides (AMPs)	12
2.7.1 The Archival and Diversity of AMPs	12
2.8 The Structure of AMPs	16
2.9 The Function of AMPs	19
2.10 The Advantages and Disadvantages of AMPs	21
2.10.1 Advantages of AMPs	21
2.10.2 Disadvantages of AMPs	22
2.11 Bacterial Isolates with The Ability to Exhibit Antimicrobial Activity	23
2.11.1 <i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> Kp10 (Kp10)	23
2.11.2 <i>Lactococcus lactis</i> Gh1 (Gh1)	23

3	METHODOLOGY	25
3.1	Bacterial Culture, Growth, and Storage Condition	25
3.2	Preparation of Secretome Proteins from Cell-Free Culture Supernatant of Kp10 and Gh1	25
3.3	Determination of Minimal Inhibitory Concentration (MIC) and Minimum Bactericidal Concentration (MBC)	25
3.4	Time-to-Kill Assays	26
3.5	Microscopic Analysis of MRSA and VRE Treated with Secretome Protein of Kp10 and Gh1	26
	3.5.1 Preparation of MRSA and VRE Treated with Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	26
	3.5.2 Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM)	27
	3.5.3 Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM)	27
3.6	Proton Motive Studies	27
	3.6.1 Membrane Potential Assays	27
	3.6.2 Monitoring Intracellular pH with BCECF	28
	3.6.3 Measurement of Adenosine Triphosphate (ATP) Efflux	28
3.7	Protein Identification from Two-Dimensional (2-DE) Electrophoresis and Gel Image Analyses by Using Liquid Chromatograph/Mass Spectrometer (LCMS)	29
	3.7.1 Preparation of Treated MRSA and VRE by Secretome Proteins	29
	3.7.2 Extraction of Treated MRSA and VRE	29
	3.7.3 2-DE Electrophoresis and Gel Image Analyses	30
	3.7.4 Spot Picking and In-Gel Digestion	30
	3.7.5 Protein Identification Using LCMS	31
	3.7.6 Bioinformatic Analysis	31
3.8	Comparative Proteomic Analysis of Differential Proteins in Response to Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 in MRSA and VRE in Time-Dependent Manner	32
	3.8.1 Preparation of Treated MRSA and VRE Based on Time-Dependent Manner	32
	3.8.2 Protein Isolation	32
	3.8.3 Protein Digestion	32
	3.8.4 Protein Identification using LCMS	33
	3.8.5 Bioinformatic Analysis	33
3.9	Safety Evaluation of Secretome Proteins from Kp10 and Gh1 as The Potential Treatment of Antibiotic Resistance	33
	3.9.1 Agar Well Diffusion Assay for Antibacterial Activity and Serum Stability Test	33
	3.9.2 Cytotoxicity Assays	33
3.10	Statistical Analysis	34
4	RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	35
4.1	The Sensitivity and Viability of MRSA and VRE Bacterial Cells Following Treatment with The Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	35
	4.1.1 In Vitro Antimicrobial Activity Assay for The Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 Against MRSA and VRE	35
	4.1.2 Time-to-Kill Assay	37
	4.1.3 Discussion	39

4.2	The Morphological Changes and Proton Motive Study of MRSA and VRE After Treatment with Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 Based on Microscopic Analysis.	40
4.2.1	Microscopic Studies	40
4.2.2	Proton Motive Studies	46
4.2.3	Discussion	51
4.3	Protein Identification from 2-DE Electrophoresis and Gel Image Analyses by Using LCMS	53
4.3.1	Discussion	56
4.4	Differential Proteins Expression in Response to Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 in MRSA and VRE in Time-Dependent Manner	57
4.4.1	Venn Diagrams show the Number of Unique and Shared Proteins in Treated MRSA and VRE Strains in Time-Dependent Manner	57
4.4.2	Heat Map Analysis of Proteins Expression that were Significantly Up and Down-Regulated in Treated MRSA and VRE	60
4.4.3	Gene Ontology (GO) Terms in MRSA and VRE Treated by Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	63
4.4.4	Discussion	73
4.5	The Safety of Secretome Proteins Derived from Kp10 and Gh1 Based on Serum Stability and Potential Toxicity in MRC5 Cells	74
4.5.1	Serum Stability Test of Secretome Proteins from Kp10 and Gh1 Against Antibiotic Resistance Pathogen	74
4.5.2	Cytotoxicity Study of Secretome Protein from Kp10 and Gh1 on Human Cell, MRC5	78
4.5.3	Discussion	79
5	CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE RECOMMENDATION	80
	REFERENCES	83
	APPENDICES	100
	BIODATA OF STUDENT	132
	LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	133

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
2.1	Developing of Antibiotic Resistance	6
4.1	The diameter of inhibition zone after MRSA treated by secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	36
4.2	The diameter of inhibition zone after VRE treated by secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	36
4.3	The MIC values of the two secretome protein samples against clinical isolates of MRSA and VRE	37
4.4	The MBC values of the two secretome protein samples against clinical isolates of MRSA and VRE	37
4.5	Spots with p value from 2D PAGE of MRSA and VRE treated with secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 chosen for protein identification using LCMS	54
4.6	GO terms of all of the expressed protein in treated MRSA	64
4.7	GO Terms of All of the Expressed Protein in Treated VRE	70
4.8	Serum stability test of secretome proteins from Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA	76
4.9	Serum stability test of secretome proteins from Kp10 and Gh1 against VRE	77

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Numbers of diversity of AMPs from the data repository of antimicrobial peptides (DRAMP)	13
2.2	Structure of AMPs. The PBD IDs for these structures are: (a) 2K6O for LL-37 (b) 6MY3 for Gromesin (c) 1FD4 for Human β -defensin 2 (d) 1G89 for Indolicin and (e) 5T56 for Microcin J25	17
2.3	Bacterial resistance mechanisms to antibiotics and the mechanisms of AMPs in bacteria	20
4.1	Agar well diffusion assay of secretome proteins extract of (a) Gh1 and (b) Kp10 against MRSA as indicator strain	35
4.2	Agar well diffusion assay of secretome proteins extract of (a) Gh1 and (b) Kp10 against VRE as indicator strain	36
4.3	Percentage of viability measured by time-killer test comparing the three different groups of cell conditions which included the untreated MRSA and the presence of secretome proteins of Gh1 and Kp10 in MRSA	38
4.4	Percentage of viability measured by time-killer test comparing the three different groups of cell conditions which included the untreated VRE and the presence of secretome proteins of Gh1 and Kp10 in VRE	39
4.5	SEM images of (a) untreated MRSA and MRSA treated with secretome proteins of (b) Kp10 and (c) Gh1	42
4.6	TEM images of (a) Untreated MRSA; (b) MRSA treated with secretome proteins of Kp10. (c) MRSA treated with secretome protein of Gh1	43
4.7	SEM images of (a) untreated VRE and VRE treated with secretome proteins of (b) Kp10 and (c) Gh1	44
4.8	(a) TEM image of untreated VRE. (b) VRE treated with secretome protein of Kp10. (c) VRE treated with secretome protein of Gh1	45
4.9	The graph shows the membrane potential analysis comparing four different groups of cell conditions which included the untreated MRSA, MRSA treated with Kp10, MRSA treated with Gh1 and MRSA treated with vancomycin	46

4.10	The graph shows the membrane potential analysis comparing four different groups of cell conditions which included the untreated VRE, VRE treated with Kp10, VRE treated with Gh1 and VRE treated with vancomycin	47
4.11	The line graph shows the effect of secretome protein on the pH gradient of MRSA	48
4.12	The line graph shows the effect of secretome protein on the pH gradient of VRE	49
4.13	The bar graph shows multiple comparison of the efflux of ATP in treated MRSA	50
4.14	The bar graph shows multiple comparison of the efflux of ATP in treated VRE	51
4.15	Reference image from 2D PAGE of (a) protein expression profile of MRSA treated with Kp10 and Gh1 and (b) protein expression profile of VRE treated with secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1	53
4.16	Venn diagrams show; (a) The number of unique and shared proteins in untreated MRSA (MK0) and MRSA treated with Kp10 in 6 hr (MK6), 12 hr (MK12) and 18 hr (MK18). (b) The number of unique and shared proteins in untreated MRSA (ML0) and MRSA treated with Gh1 in 6 hr (ML6), 12 hr (ML12) and 18 hr (ML18)	58
4.17	Venn diagrams show; (a) The number of unique and shared proteins in untreated VRE (VK0) and MRSA treated with Kp10 in 6 hr (VK6), 12 hr (VK12) and 18 hr (VK18). (b) The number of unique and shared proteins in untreated VRE (VL0) and VRE treated with Gh1 in 6 hr (VL6), 12 hr (VL12) and 18 hr (VL18)	59
4.18	The heat map analysis of proteins expression that were significantly up- and down-regulated in MRSA treated with secretome protein of Kp10	61
4.19	The heat map analysis of proteins expression that were significantly up- and down-regulated in MRSA treated with secretome protein of Gh1	61
4.20	The heat map analysis of proteins expression that were significantly up- and down-regulated in VRE treated with secretome protein of Kp10	62
4.21	The heat map analysis of proteins expression that were significantly up- and down-regulated in VRE treated with secretome protein of Gh1	62

4.22	Cytotoxicity Test to Analyse the Effect of Secretome Proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 on MRC5 Cells After 72 h Exposure	78
5.1	Sketch Diagram Showing the Mechanisms of Actions of Secretome Protein Against Resistant Bacteria	81



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

$(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_4$	Ammonium sulfate
$\Delta\psi$	membrane potential
ΔpH	pH gradient
&	And
%	Percentage
\$	Dollar
°C	degree Celsius
2-DE	2 dimensional
ABC	ATP-binding cassette
ACN	Acetonitrile
AMPs	Antimicrobial peptides
ATCC	American type culture collection
ATP	Adenosine triphosphate
BCECF	20-70-bis(carboxyethyl)-56-carboxyfluorescein
BHI	Brain Heart Infusion
BLIS	Bacteriocin-like-inhibitory substance
Boc	tert-butyloxycarbonyl
BR	Binding region
BSA	Bovine serum albumin
CAMA	Cecropin A-Magainin 2
Cbz	Carbobenzoxy
CDC	Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
CFU	Colony forming unit
CHAPS	3-[(3-cholamidopropyl)dimethylammonio]-1-propanesulfonate
COG	Clusters of Orthologous

DiSC3(5)	3,3-Dipropylthiadicarbocyanine iodide
DMSO	Dimethyl sulfoxide
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
Dpi	Dots per inch
DRAMP	Data repository of antimicrobial peptides
DTT	Dithiothreitol
<i>E. faecalis</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecalis</i>
<i>E. faecium</i>	<i>Enterococcus faecium</i>
ELISA	enzyme-linked immunoassay
EPL	Expressed protein ligation
ER	Endoplasmic reticulum
et. al.,	and others
FA	Formic acid
FBA	Fructose-bisphosphate aldolase
Fn	Fibronectin
G	Gram
GarQ	Garvicin Q
Gh1	<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> Gh1
GRE	Gentamicin-resistance enterococcus
GTP	guanosine triphosphate
HEPES	(4-(2-hydroxyethyl)-1-piperazineethanesulfonic acid)
HGT	horizontal gene transfer
hr	hour
HT29	human colorectal adenocarcinoma cell line
IC50	concentration that reduces the effect by 50%
IPG	immobilized pH gradient
IU	International unit

K	Potassium
K ₃ Fe(CN) ₆	Potassium ferrocyanide
KCl	Potassium chloride
kDA	kilodalton
Kp10	<i>Paenibacillus polymyxa</i> Kp10
kV	kilovolt
L	Liter
LC-MS	Liquid chromatography–mass spectrometry
LL-37	37 amino acid cationic peptide
m/z	mass to charge ratio
MBC	Minimum Bactericidal Concentration
mg	milligram
mL	millilitre
MH	Muller Hilton
MIC	Minimum Inhibitory Concentration
min	Minutes
MK0	MRSA treated with Kp10 at 0 hr
MK12	MRSA treated with Kp10 at 12 hr
MK18	MRSA treated with Kp10 at 18 hr
MK6	MRSA treated with Kp10 at 6 hr
ML0	MRSA treated with Gh1 at 0 hr
ML12	MRSA treated with Gh1 at 12 hr
ML18	MRSA treated with Gh1 at 18 hr
ML6	MRSA treated with Gh1 at 6 hr
MR-CoNS	Methicillin-resistant coagulase-negative <i>staphylococci</i>
MRC5	Medical Research Council cell strain 5
mRNA	messenger RNA

MRS	De Man, Rogosa and Sharpe
MRSA	Methicillin-resistant <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
ms	Mass spectra
MSA	Mannitol Salt Agar
MTT	3-(4,5-dimethylthiazol-2-yl)-2,5-diphenyl-2H-tetrazolium bromide
Na ₂ S ₂ O ₃	sodium thiosulfate
NCL	native chemical ligation
NH ₄ HCO ₃	Ammonium bicarbonate
NRR	Non repeat region
OD	Optical density
PDB	Protein data bank
PDR	Pan-drug-resistant
pH	Potential of hydrogen
PsDef1	Pine defensin 1
R	Resistance
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
rRNA	Ribosomal RNA
S	Second
<i>S. aureus</i>	<i>Staphylococcus aureus</i>
SEM	Scanning electron microscopy
<i>sp.</i>	Species
SPSS	Solid phase peptide synthesis
TEM	Transmission electron microscopy
TFA	Trifluoroacetic acid
Tris-HCl	Tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane hydrochloride
tRNA	Transfer RNA

U87 MG	Uppsala 87 malignant glioma
UniProt	Universal protein
US	United states
USA	United States of America
V	Volt
VK0	VRE treated with KP10 at 0 hr
VK12	VRE treated with KP10 at 12 hr
VK18	VRE treated with KP10 at 18 hr
VK6	VRE treated with KP10 at 6 hr
VL0	VRE treated with Gh1 at 0 hr
VL12	VRE treated with Gh1 at 12 hr
VL18	VRE treated with Gh1 at 18 hr
VL6	VRE treated with Gh1 at 6 hr
VRE	Vancomycin resistant <i>enterococci</i>
<i>X.laevis</i>	<i>Xenopus laevis</i>
XDR	Extensively drug resistant

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

Antibiotic resistance in pathogenic bacteria has become a major global public health concern. Vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE) and Methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) are among the known prominent antibiotic-resistant bacteria. They have resulted in a significant number of patient deaths and financial burdens on health-care systems (Ventola, 2015; Dadgostar, 2019). Moreover, antibiotic resistance poses a significant risk to current medical advances, which is heading toward a post-antibiotic era in which common infections and minor injuries can once again lead to death. (Golkar, Bagasra & Pace, 2014; Ventola, 2015). The effectiveness of traditional antibiotics has deteriorated dramatically over time, and more effective therapeutic agents against infections caused by antibiotic-resistant bacteria are desperately needed (Golkar, Bagasra, & Pace, 2014; Sengupta, Chattopadhyay & Grossart, 2013; Wright, 2014). Various studies are currently looking for alternative substances that could potentially replace existing antibiotics.

Secretome protein has been shown to have antimicrobial activity due to the presence of antimicrobial elements that can inhibit bacterial growth and could potentially replace antibiotics (Damayanti, 2021). As the number of antibiotic-resistance cases rises, the use of secretome protein may be one of the options to tackle drug resistance. Secretome protein has been shown in some studies to release antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) such as cathelicidin, RNase3, human α -defensins, and calprotectin (Kasiri et al., 2016). For example, secretome protein that was found in adipose tissue was observed to suppress the growth of *Staphylococcus aureus* via the increased activity of cathelicidin (Yagi et al., 2020; Harman et al., 2017). Based on these intriguing findings, the understanding of antimicrobial properties found in secretome proteins should be expanded.

AMPs in secretome protein act as host defenses, where most of them have been isolated from eukaryotes, such as animals, plants, and fungi (Zainal Baharin et al., 2021; Kumar, Kizhakkedathu & Straus, 2018). Some bacteria are also found to produce secretome proteins that can inhibit food-borne pathogens and other pathogenic bacteria (Vieco-Saiz et al., 2019). For example, the secretome protein on bacteria found in skin wounds inhibited the growth of Gram-positive bacteria *Staphylococcus aureus* and Gram-negative *Escherichia coli* by producing secreted factors that can affect bacterial membranes (Harman et al., 2017). For that reason, more potential bacteria should be searched and explored.

In this study, *Paenibacillus polymyxa* Kp10 (Kp10) and *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1 (Gh1) were chosen because of their ability to kill some bacteria based on previous studies (Mokhtar et al., 2020; Suzuki, 2021). For example, Gh1 has been shown to have antimicrobial activity against pathogenic *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Listeria monocytogens*, *Salmonella* and *Bacillus cereus* (Jawan et al., 2020), and Kp10 has been shown to have antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli* (Mokhtar et al., 2020). Because of their distinct characteristics, a focus on their secretome extract with abilities as therapeutic agents against antibiotic-resistant pathogens should be further documented for inhibition mechanisms. This is a common drug discovery strategy that can provide insight into the mechanism of protein activity of the bacterial extract.

Although the mechanism of secretome protein derived from Kp10 and GH1 action in pathogen inhibition remains unknown, bacteriocins with a conserved amino acid sequence and low molecular weight are generally hypothesized to interact with bacterial membranes, particularly negatively charged bacterial cell membranes (Negash & Tsehail, 2020), causing cell membrane damage and thus impairing the transport of large molecules (e.g. proteins), resulting in cell death and a disrupted cell. Furthermore, these bacteriocins have the ability to cross bacterial membranes and act on intracellular targets (Lei et al., 2019).

Several studies have shown that different bacterial strains can inhibit the growth of pathogenic microorganisms and degrade mycotoxins. Furthermore, studies have been conducted to describe the probiotic properties and antimicrobial activity of bacterial strain's extracts isolated from various sources (Ndiaye et al., 2022; Vieco-Saiz et al., 2019; Azat et al. 2016). Although bacterial strains are commonly used as culture starters and source for bacteriocins as food preservatives, more research on their inhibitory potential against antibiotic-resistant pathogens is required. As a result, the use of various current technologies to identify and characterize new bacterial strains carrying high potential of antimicrobial secretome proteins are warranted to allow better elucidation of the mechanisms.

Therefore, the purpose of this study is to investigate the properties of bacterial isolates; Kp10 and Gh1, as well as their ability to inhibit antibiotic-resistant pathogens. The inhibition mechanisms of secretome protein extracted from Kp10 and Gh1 against VRE and MRSA bacteria were studied through the determination of the sensitivity and viability of the cells and the morphological changes by microscopic studies by using scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and transmission electron microscopy (TEM). The antimicrobial mechanism of secretome protein of Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA and VRE were analyzed by using 2-DE gel and protein sonication proteomic analysis based on time dependent manner with liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry (LCMS). The safety of secretome proteins derived from Kp10 and Gh1 in human cell were also evaluated by the characterization of serum stability towards both secretome proteins and their potential toxicity towards MRC5 cells, a type of human lung cells. The findings of this study may provide detailed biological observations on the potential of the

secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 to be used as the therapeutic agents and eventually to reduce reliance on antibiotics.

1.2 Problem Statement

The emergence of antibiotic-resistant pathogens worldwide is partly associated with the use of some available antibiotics that impose some identical mechanisms of inhibition. This issue has been identified as a major public health threat affecting human health globally. Multidrug-resistant pathogens have emerged not only in hospital settings but are now frequently identified in community settings, implying that an antibiotic-resistant bacteria reservoir exists outside of the hospital.

VRE and MRSA are two of the most common pathogens, causing a significant number of deaths and a financial burden on healthcare systems (Ventola, 2015; Dadgostar, 2019). Controlling bacterial infections is an ongoing process, and as antibiotic resistance spreads, an alternative antimicrobial agent is required to address the issues associated with MRSA and VRE infections.

Kp10 and Gh1 are well-known probiotic bacterial strains with antimicrobial activity, which could be used to develop antitherapeutic candidates. As a result, the secretome proteins Kp10 and Gh1 containing AMPs with a novel inhibition mechanism are being studied. Understanding the inhibition mechanism of resistance is critical for developing strategies to suppress resistance emergence and spread, as well as novel therapeutic approaches against multidrug-resistant organisms.

1.3 Objectives

1.3.1 General Objective

The general objective of this study is to determine the inhibition mechanisms of secretome protein extracted from Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA and VRE bacteria.

1.3.2 Specific Objectives

- a. To determine the sensitivity and viability of MRSA and VRE bacterial cells following treatment with the secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1.
- b. To determine the morphological changes of MRSA and VRE after treatment with Kp10 and Gh1 based on microscopic analysis.
- c. To elucidate the antimicrobial mechanism of secretome protein of Kp10 and Gh1 against MRSA and VRE based on 2D gel from 2D-electrophoresis and LC MS-gel image analyses.
- d. To compare differential proteins expression in response to secretome proteins of Kp10 and Gh1 in MRSA and VRE in time-dependent manner.
- e. To evaluate the safety of secretome proteins derived from Kp10 and Gh1 based on serum stability and potential toxicity in MRC5 cells.

REFERENCES

- Al-Obaidi, J.R., Saidi, N.B., Usuldin, S.R.A., Rahmad, N., Zean, N.G.B., & Idris, A.S. (2016). Differential proteomic study of oil palm leaves in response to in vitro inoculation with pathogenic and non-pathogenic *Ganoderma* Spp. *J Plant Path*, 98(2), 235–44.
- Alberts, B., Johnson, A., & Lewis, J. (2002). *Molecular Biology of the Cell*. 4th edition. New York: Garland Science; 2002. *The Endo. Reticulum*, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK26841/>
- Allard, S., Enurah, A., Strain, E., Millner, P., Rideout S.L., Brown, E.W., & Zheng, J. (2014). In situ evaluation of *Paenibacillus alvei* in reducing carriage of *Salmonella enterica* serovar newport on whole tomato plants. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol*, 80, 3842–3849.
- Amso, Z., & Hayouka, Z. (2019). Antimicrobial random peptide cocktails: a new approach to fight pathogenic bacteria. *Chem Comm*, 55, 2007–2014.
- Anderson, G.W., & McGregor, A.C. (1957). t-Butyloxycarbonylamino acids and their use in peptide synthesis. *J of Am Chem Soc*, 79, 6180–6183.
- Annunziato, G., & Costantino, G. (2020). Antimicrobial peptides (AMPs): a or a patent review. *Expert Opin Ther Pat*, 30, 931–947.
- Aoki, W., & Ueda, M. (2013). Characterization of antimicrobial peptides toward the development of novel antibiotics. *Pharma*, 6, 1055–1081.
- Arjyal, C., Kc, J., & Neupane, S. (2020). Prevalence of methicillin-resistant staphylococcus aureus in shrines. *Inter J Microbiol*, doi: 10.1155/2020
- Arthur, M., & Courvalin, P. (1993). Genetics and mechanisms of glycopeptide resistance in enterococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemothor*, 37, 1563–1571.
- Azmi, F., Skwarczynski, M., & Toth I. (2016). Towards the development of synthetic antibiotics: designs inspired by natural antimicrobial peptides. *Curr Med Chem*, 23, 4610–4624.
- Bæk, K.T., Gründling, A., Mogensen, R.G., Thøgersen, L., Petersen, A., Paulander, W., & Frees, D. (2014). β -Lactam resistance in methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* USA300 is increased by inactivation of the ClpXP protease. *Antimicrob Agents Chemothor*, 58(8), 4593-603.
- Bahar, A.A., & Ren, D. (2013). Antimicrobial peptides. *Pharma*, 6, 1543–1575.
- Balasubramanian, D., Harper, L., Shopsin, B., & Torres, V.J. (2017). *Staphylococcus aureus* pathogenesis in diverse host environments. *Pathog*, 75(1).

- Balkwill, D. L., & Stevens, S.E. (1980). Effects of Penicillin G on Mesosome-like structures in *Agmenellum Quadruplicatum*. *Antimicrob Agents Chemo*, 17(3), 506–9.
- Balls, A.K. (1942). A crystalline sulphur-protein from wheat. *J Wash Acad Sci*, 32,132–137.
- Bartlett, J.G., Gilbert, D.N., & Spellberg, B. (2013). Seven ways to preserve the miracle of antibiotics. *Clin Infect Dis*, 56,1445–1450.
- Bastos, P., Trindade, F., da Costa, J., Ferreira, R., & Vitorino, R. (2018). Human antimicrobial peptides in bodily fluids: current knowledge and therapeutic perspectives in the postantibiotic era. *Med Res Rev*, 38,101–146.
- Bauer, J.W., Baechler, E C., Petri, M., Batliwalla, F. M., Crawford, D., & Ortmann, W. A. (2006). *PLoS Med*, 3, e491.
- Bechinger, B., & Lohner, K. (2006). Detergent-like actions of linear amphipathic cationic antimicrobial peptides. *Biochim Biophys Acta – Biomembr*, 1758,1529–1539.
- Brehmer, D., Gassler, C., Rist, W., Mayer, M.P., & Bukau, B. (2004). Influence of GrpE on DnaK-Substrate Interactions. *J Bio Chem*, 279(27), 27957–64.
- Brogden, K.A., Ackermann, M., & Huttner, K.M. (1997). Small, anionic, and charge-neutralizing propeptide fragments of zymogens are antimicrobial. *Antimicrob Agents Chem*, 41,1615–1617.
- Brown, K. J., Seol, H., Pillai, D.K., Sankoorikal, B J., Formolo, A Mac, J. (2013). The human secretome atlas initiative: implications in health and disease conditions. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1834 2454–2461.
- Bugg, T.D., Wright, G.D., Dutka-Malen, S. Arthur, M., Courvalin, P., & Walsh, C.T. (1991b). Molecular basis for vancomycin resistance in *Enterococcus faecium* BM4147: biosynthesis of a depsipeptide peptidoglycan precursor by vancomycin resistance proteins VanH and VanA. *Biochem*, 30, 10408-10415.
- Burnett, B.J., Altman, R.B., Ferrao, R., Alejo, J.L., Kaur, N., Kanji, J., & Blanchard, S.C. (2013). Elongationfactor Ts directly facilitates the formation and disassembly of the Escherichia coli elongation factor Tu·GTP·aminoacyl-tRNA ternary complex. *J Biol Chem*, 288(19), 13917-28.
- Büttner, H., Perbandt, M., Kohler, T., Kikhney, A., Wolters, M., Christner, M., Heise, M., Wilde, J., Weißelberg, S., Both, A., Betzel, C., Hammerschmidt, S., Svergun, D., Aepfelbacher, M., & Rohde, H. (2020). A Giant Extracellular Matrix Binding Protein of *Staphylococcus epidermidis* Binds Surface-Immobilized Fibronectin via a Novel Mechanism. *mBio*, 11(5), e01612-20.

- Cândido, E.S., Cardoso, M.H., Chan, L.Y., Torres, M.D.T., Oshiro, K.G.N., Porto, W.F., Ribeiro, S.M., Haney, E.F., Hancock, R.E.W., Lu, T.K., Fuente-Nunez, C., Craik, D.J., & Franco, O.L. (2019). Short cationic peptide derived from archaea with dual antibacterial properties and anti-infective potential. *ACS Infect Dis*, 5, 1081–1086.
- Cardoso, M.H., De Almeida, K.C., Candido, E.S., Murad, A.M., Dias, S.C., & Franco, O.L. (2017). Comparative nanoUPLC-MSE analysis between magainin I-susceptible and -resistant *Escherichia coli* strains. *Sci Rep*, 7, 4197.
- Cardoso, M.H., Meneguetti, B.T., Costa, B.O., Buccini, D.F., Oshiro, K.G.N., Preza, S.L.E., Carvalho, C.M.E., Migliolo, L., & Franco, O.L. (2019). Non-lytic antibacterial peptides that translocate through bacterial membranes to act on intracellular targets. *Int J Mol Sci*, 20(19), 4877.
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2013) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Antibiotic resistance, food, and food animals. 2013. [28 January 2015].
- Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (2019) Centers for Disease Control and Prevention Antibiotic Resistance Threats in the United States, www.cdc.gov/DrugResistance/Biggest-Threats.html
- Cetinkaya Y, Falk P, & Mayhall CG. (2000). Vancomycin-resistant enterococci. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 13, 686–707.
- Chambers, H. F., & Deleo, F. R. (2009). Waves of resistance: Staphylococcus aureus in the antibiotic era. *Nat rev Microb*, 7(9), 629–641.
- Champney, W. S. (2006). The other target for ribosomal antibiotics: inhibition of bacterial ribosomal subunit formation. *Infect Dis Drug Targets*, 6377-390.
- Cheng, R.P., Gellman, S.H., & De Grado, W.F. β -Peptides: from structure to function. *Chem Rev*, 101, 3219–3232.
- Cheng, A.G., Missiakas, D., & Schneewind, O. (2014). The giant protein Ehb is a determinant of Staphylococcus aureus cell size and complement resistance. *J Bacteriol*, 196(5), 971-81.
- Chongsiriwatana, N.P., Patch, J.A., Czyzewski, A.M., Dohm, M.T., Ivankin, A., Gidalevits, D., Zuckermann, R.N., & Barron, A.E. (2008). Peptoids that mimic the structure, function, and mechanism of helical antimicrobial peptides. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U.S.A*, 105, 2794–2799.
- Chua, C.E., Lim, Y.S., Lee, M.G., & Tang, B.L. (2012). Non-classical membrane trafficking processes galore. *J Cell Physiol*, 227(12), 3722-30.

- Chung, P.Y., Chung, L.Y., & Navaratnam, P. (2013). Transcriptional profiles of the response of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* to pentacyclic triterpenoids. *PLoS One*, 8:e56687.
- Cooper, G.M. (2000). *The Cell: A Molecular Approach*. 2nd edition. Sunderland (MA): Sinauer Associates, Transport of Small Molecules, <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK9847/>
- Cosgrove, S.E., & Yehuda, C. (2003). The impact of antimicrobial resistance on health and economic outcomes. *Clin Infect Dis*, 36, 1433–1437.
- Costa, F., Teixeira, C., Gomes, P., & Martins, M.C.L. (2019). Clinical application of AMPs, antimicrobial peptides. *Advances in Exper Med Bio*, 1117, 281–298.
- Couvigny, B., Lapaque, N., Rigottier-Gois, L., Guillot, A., Chat, S., Meylheuc, T., Kulakauskas, S., Rohde, M., Mistou, M.Y., Renault, P., Doré, J., Briandet, R., Serror, P., & Guédon, E. (2017). Three glycosylated serine-rich repeat proteins play a pivotal role in adhesion and colonization of the pioneer commensal bacterium, *Streptococcus salivarius*. *Environ Microbiol*, 19(9), 3579-3594.
- de Carvalho, K.G., Bambirra, F.H., Kruger MF, Barbosa, M.S., Oliveira, J.S., Santos, A.M., Nicoli, J.R., Bemquerer, M.P., de Miranda, A., Salvucci, E.J., Sesma, F.J. & Franco, B.D. (2010). Antimicrobial compounds produced by *Lactobacillus sakei* subsp. *sakei* 2a, a bacteriocinogenic strain isolated from a Brazilian meat product. *J Ind Microbiol Biotechnol*. 37(4):381-90.
- Da Cunha, N.B., Cobacho, N.B., Viana, J.F.C., Lima, L.A., Sampaio, K.B.O., Dohms, S.S.M., Ferreira, A.C.R., De la Fuente-Núñez, C., Costa, F.F., Franco, O.L., & Dian, S.C. (2017). The next generation of antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) as molecular therapeutic tools for the treatment of diseases with social and economic impacts. *Drug Disc Today*, 22, 234–248.
- da Silva, A.J., & Teschke, O. (2003). Effects of the antimicrobial peptide PGLa on live *Escherichia coli*. *Biochim Biophys Acta*, 1643(1-3), 95-103.
- Dadgostar, P. (2019). Antimicrobial resistance: implications and costs. *Infect Drug Resist*, 3903-3910.
- Dai, H., Rayaprolu, S., Gong, Y., Huang, R., Prakasha, O., & Jiang, H. (2008). Solution structure, antibacterial activity, and expression profile of *Manduca sexta* moricin. *J Pep. Sci*, 14, 855–863.
- Dai, X., Zhu, M., Warren, M., Balakrishnan, R., Patsalo, V., Okano, H., Williamson, J.R., Fredrick, K., Wang, Y.P., & Hwa, T. (2016). Reduction of translating ribosomes enables *Escherichia coli* to maintain elongation rates during slow growth. *Nat Microbiol*, 12, 2, 16231.

- Damayanti, R.H., Rusdiana, T., & Wathoni, N. (2021). Mesenchymal stem cell secretome for dermatology application: a review. *Clin, Cosmet Investig Dermatol*, (14), 1401–1412.
- Davidson, A.L., & Chen, J. (2004). ATP-binding cassette transporters in bacteria. *Annu Rev Biochem*, 73, 241–68.
- Dawson, P.E., Muir, T.W., Clark-Lewis, I., Kent, S.B. (1994). Synthesis of proteins by native chemical ligation. *Sci*, 4, 266(5186), 776–779.
- Dehsorkhi, A., Castelletto, V., & Hamley, I.W. (2014). Self-assembling amphiphilic peptides. *J Pep Sci*, 20, 453–467.
- Deng, Y., Lu, Z., Lu, F., Zhang, C., Wang, Y., Zhao, H., & Bie, X. (2011). Identification of LI-F type antibiotics and di-n-butyl phthalate produced by *Paenibacillus polymyxa*. *J. Microbiol. Meth*, 85, 175–182.
- Deslouches, B., Ronald, C.M., Ken, L.U., & Yuanpu, P.D. (2020). Engineered cationic antimicrobial peptides (ECAPs) to combat multidrug-resistant bacteria. *Pharma*, 12(6), 501.
- Diamond, G., Zasloff, M., Eck, H., Brasseur, M., Maloy, W.L., & Bevens, C.L. (1991). Tracheal antimicrobial peptide, a cysteine-rich peptide from mammalian tracheal mucosa: peptide isolation and cloning of a cDNA. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA*, 88, 3952–3956.
- Diamond, G., Beckloff, N., Weinberg, A., & Kisich, K.O. (2009). The roles of antimicrobial peptides in innate host defense. *Curr Pharma Des*, 15, 2377–2392.
- Dincer, S., Uslu, F.M., & Delik, A (2020). Antibiotic resistance in biofilm. *IntechOpen*, doi: 10.5772/intechopen.92388.
- Dubos, R.J. (1939). Studies on a bactericidal agent extracted from a soil *Bacillus*: I. Preparation of the agent. Its activity in vitro. *J Exper Med*. 70, 1–10.
- Dubos, R.J., & Hotchkiss, R.D. (1941). The production of bactericidal substances by aerobic sporulating bacilli. *J Exper Med*, 73, 629–640.
- Ebenhan, T., Gheysens, O., Kruger, H.G., Zeevaart, J.R., & Sathekge, M.M. (2014). Antimicrobial peptides: their role as infection-selective tracers for molecular Imaging. *BioMed Res Inter*, 867381.
- Elmore, S. (2007). Apoptosis: a review of programmed cell death. *Toxicol Pathol*, 35(4), 495–516.
- Elshikh, M., Ahmed, S., Funston, S., Dunlop, P., McGaw, M., Marchant, R., & Banat, I. M. (2016). Resazurin-based 96-well plate microdilution method for the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration of biosurfactants. *Biotech letters*, 38(6), 1015–1019.

- Engemann, J.J., Carmeli, Y., Cosgrove, S.E., Fowler, V.G., Bronstein, M.Z., Trivette, S.L., Briggs, J.P., Sexton, D.J., & Kaye, K.S. (2003). Adverse clinical and economic outcomes attributable to Methicillin resistance among patients with *Staphylococcus aureus* surgical site infection. *Clinic. Infect. Dis.* 36, 592–598.
- Epand, R.M., & Vogel, H.J. (1991). Diversity of antimicrobial peptides and their mechanisms of action. *Biochi Biophys– Biomembr*, 1462, 11–28.
- Evers, S., & Courvalin, P. (1996). Regulation of VanB type vancomycin resistance gene expression by the VanS_B-VanR_B two-component regulatory system in *Enterococcus faecalis* V583. *J Bacteriol*, 178:1302–1309.
- Fines, M., Perichon, B., Reynolds, P., Sahm, D.F., & Courvalin, P. (1999). VanE, a new type of acquired glycopeptide resistance in *Enterococcus faecalis* BM4405. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 43:2161–2164.
- Fisol, A.F.B.C., Saidi, N.B., Al-Obaidi, J.R., Lamasudin, D.U., Atan, S., Razali, N., Sajari, R., Rahmad, N., Hussin, S.N.I.S, & Mr, N.H. (2022). Differential analysis of mycelial proteins and metabolites from *Rigidoporus Microporus* during in vitro interaction with *Hevea Brasiliensis*. *Microb Ecol*, 83(2), 1-17
- Fjell, C.D., Jenssen, H., Cheung, W.A., Hancock, R.E., & Cherkasov, A. (2011). Optimization of antibacterial peptides by genetic algorithms and cheminformatics. *Chem Bio Drug Des*, 77, 48–56.
- Fjell, C., Hiss, J., & Hancock, R. (2012). Designing antimicrobial peptides: form follows function. *Nat Rev Drug Dis*, 11:37–51.
- Foster, T. J., Geoghegan, J. A., Ganesh, V. K., & Höök, M. (2014). Adhesion, invasion and evasion: the many functions of the surface proteins of *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Nat. rev. Microb.* 12(1), 49–62.
- Fredrick, K., Wang, Y.P., & Hwa, T. (2016). Reduction of translating ribosomes enables *Escherichia.coli* to maintain elongation rates during slow growth. *Nat Microbiol*, 12(2), 16231.
- Friedman, N.D., Temkin, E., & Carmeli, Y. (2016). The negative impact of antibiotic resistance. *Clinic Microb Infect.* 22, 416–422.
- Galperin, M. Y., Makarova, K. S., Wolf, Y. I., & Koonin, E. V. (2015). Expanded microbial genome coverage and improved protein family annotation in the COG database. *Nuc acids res.* 43(Database issue), D261–D269.
- Gause, G.F., & Brazhnikova, M.G. (1994). Gramicidin S and its use in the treatment of infected wounds. *Nat.* 154, 703.

- Ge, Y., MacDonald, D.L., Holdray, K.J., Thornsberry, C., Wexler, H., & Zasloff, M. (1999). In vitro antibacterial properties of pexiganan, an analog of magainin. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 43, 782–788.
- Golkar, Z., Bagasra, O., & Pace, D.G. (2014). Bacteriophage therapy: a potential solution for the antibiotic resistance crisis. *J Infect Dev Ctries*, 13, 8(2), 129-36.
- Gottler, L.M., & Ramamoorthy, A. (2009). Structure, membrane orientation, mechanism, and function of pexiganan—a highly potent antimicrobial peptide designed from magainin. *Biochim Et Biophys Acta – Biomem*, 1788, 1680–1686.
- Grapsas, I., Cho, Y.J., & Mobashery, S. (1994). N-(tert-butoxycarbonyloxy)-5 norbornene-endo-2, 3-dicarboximide, a reagent for the regioselective introduction of the tert-butoxycarbonyl (boc) protective group at unhindered amines: application to amino glycoside chemistry. *The J Org.Chem*, 59, 1918–1922.
- Greco, I., Molchanova, N., Holmedal, E., Jenssen, H., Hummel, B. D., Watts, J. L., Håkansson, J., Hansen, P. R., & Svenson, J. (2020). Correlation between hemolytic activity, cytotoxicity and systemic in vivo toxicity of synthetic antimicrobial peptides. *Sci rep*, 10(1), 13206.
- Groves, M.L. (1960). The isolation of a red protein from milk. *J American Chem Soc*, 82, 3345–3350.
- Guihard, G., Bénédicti, H., Besnard, M., & Letellier, L. (1993). Phosphate efflux through the channels formed by colicins and phage T5 in *Escherichia coli* cells is responsible for the fall in cytoplasmic ATP. *J Biol Chem*, 268(24), 17775-80.
- Harman, R. M., Yang, S., He, M. K., & Van de Walle, G. R. (2017). Antimicrobial peptides secreted by equine mesenchymal stromal cells inhibit the growth of bacteria commonly found in skin wounds. *Stem cell res ther*, 8(1), 157.
- Harvey, K. L., Jarocki, V. M., Charles, I. G., & Djordjevic, S. P. (2019). The Diverse Functional Roles of Elongation Factor Tu (EF-Tu) in Microbial Pathogenesis. *Front microb*, 10, 2351.
- Hathout, Y. (2007). Approaches to the study of the cell secretome. *Exp rev proteom*, 4(2), 239–248.
- Heng, N.C.K., & Tagg, J.R. (2006). What's in a name? Class distinction for bacteriocins. *Nat Rev Microb*, 4, 160.
- Herathge, N.D.S., George, J.T., & Rowley, D.A. (2011). Differential antimicrobial activities of Human Beta-Defensins against Methicillin Resistant (MRSA) and Methicillin sensitive (MSSA) *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Sci Tech Against Microb Path*, pp 9-12.

- Hirsch, J.G. (1956). Phagocytin: a bactericidal substance from polymorphonuclear leucocytes. *J Exper Med*, 103:589–611.
- Hodges, R.S., Jiang, Z., Whitehurst, J., & Mant, C.T. (2011). Development of antimicrobial peptides as therapeutic agents. *Pharmaceutical Sciences Encyclopedia: Drug Dis, Dev, Manufact*. doi: 10.1002/9780470571224.
- Hollmann, A., Martinez, M., Maturana, P., Semorile, L.C., & Maaffia, P.C. (2018). Antimicrobial peptides: interaction with model and biological membranes and synergism with chemical antibiotics. *Front Chem*, 6, 204.
- Hultmark, D., Steiner, H., Rasmuson, T., & Boman, H.G. (1980). Insect immunity, Purification and properties of three inducible bactericidal proteins from hemolymph of immunized pupae of *Hyalophora Cecropia*. *Euro J Biochem/FEBS*, 16, 7–16.
- Hunter, H., Demcoe, A., Jenssen, H., Gutteberg, T., & Vogel, H. (2005). Human lactoferricin is partially folded in aqueous solution and is better stabilized in a membrane mimetic solvent. *Antimicrob Agents Chemo*, 49, 3387–3395.
- Ivanchenko, M.V., Indzhukulian, A.A., & Corey, D.P. (2021). Electron Microscopy Techniques for Investigating Structure and Composition of Hair-Cell Stereociliary Bundles. *Front Cell Dev Biol*, 9:744248
- Jäkel, C.E., Meschenmoser, K., Kim, Y., Weiher, H., Schmidt-Wolf, I.G.H. (2012). Efficacy of a proapoptotic peptide towards cancer cells. *In Vivo*, 26, 419–426.
- Jawan, R., Abbasiliasi, S., Tan, J. S., Kapri, M. R., Mustafa, S., Halim, M., & Ariff, A. B. (2021). Evaluation of the Estimation Capability of Response Surface Methodology and Artificial Neural Network for the Optimization of Bacteriocin-Like Inhibitory Substances Production by *Lactococcus lactis* Gh1. *Microorg*, 9(3), 579.
- Jenssen, H., & Aspmo, S. I. (2008). Serum stability of peptides. *Method Mol Bio (Clifton, N.J.)*, 494, 177–186.
- Jenssen, H., Hamili, P., & Hancock, R.E. (2006). Peptide antimicrobial agents. *Clin Microb Rev*, 19, 491–511.
- Jiang, Y., Chen, Y., Song, Z., Tan, Z., & Cheng, J. (2021). Recent advances in design of antimicrobial peptides and polypeptides toward clinical translation. *Adv Drug Del Revi*, 170, 261–280.
- Jindal, H.M., Le, C.F., Yusof, M.Y.M., Velayuthan, R.D., Lee, V.S., Zain, S.M., Isa, D.M., & Sekaran, S.D. (2015). Antimicrobial activity of novel synthetic peptides derived from indolicidin and ranalexin against *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. *PLOS ONE*, 10, e0128532.

- Kang, J. S., & Lee, M. H. (2009). Overview of therapeutic drug monitoring. *The Korean J Inter Med*, 24(1), 1–10.
- Kasiri, M.M., Beer, L., Nemec, L., Gruber, F., Pietkiewicz, S., Haider, T., Simader, E.M., Traxler, D., Schweiger, T., Janik, S., Taghavi, S., Gabriel, C., Mildner, M., & Ankersmit, H.J (2016). Dying blood mononuclear cell secretome exerts antimicrobial activity. *Eur J Clin Invest*, 46 (10), 853-63.
- Kemp, D.S., & Kerkman, D.J. (1981). Models that demonstrate peptide-bond formation by prior thiol capture 2, Capture by organomercury derivatives. *Tetrahedron Letters*, 22:185–186.
- King, D.S., Fields, C.G., & Fields, G.B. (2009). A cleavage method which minimizes side reactions following fmoc solid phase peptide synthesis. *Inter J Pep Pro Res*, 6(3), 255–266.
- Kishi, R.N.I., Stach-Machado, D., De Lacorte Singulani, J., Dos Santos, C.T., Fusco- Almeida, A.M., Cilli, E.M., Freitas-Astua, J., Picchi, S.C., & Machado, M.A. (2018). Evaluation of cytotoxicity features of antimicrobial peptides with potential to control bacterial diseases of citrus. *PLOS ONE*, 13, e0203451.
- Kiss, G., & Michl, H. (1962). On the venomous skin secretion of the orange speckled frog *bombina variegata*. *Toxicon*, 1, 33–39.
- Kliger, Y. (2010). Computational approaches to therapeutic peptide discovery. *Pep Sci*, 94, 701–710.
- Koehbach, J., & Craik, D.J. (2019). The vast structural diversity of antimicrobial peptides. *Trends Pharmaco Sci*, 40, 517–528.
- Kovaleva, V., Bukhteeva, I., Kit, O.Y., & Nesmelova, I.V. (2020). Plant defensins from a structural perspective. *Inter J Mol Sci*, 21, 5307.
- Krucinska, J., Falcone, E., Erlandsen, H., Hazeen, A., Lombardo, M. N., Estrada, A., Robinson, V. L., Anderson, A. C., & Wright, D. L. (2019). Structural and Functional Studies of Bacterial Enolase, a Potential Target against Gram-Negative Pathogens. *Biochem*, 58(9), 1188–1197.
- Kumar, P., Kizhakkedathu, J.N., & Straus, S.K. (2018). Antimicrobial peptides: diversity, mechanism of action and strategies to improve the activity and biocompatibility in vivo. *Biomol*, 19, 8(1), 4.
- Lal S., & Tabacchioni, S. (2009). Ecology and biotechnological potential of *Paenibacillus polymyxa*: A mini review. *Indian J. Microbiol*, 49:2–10.
- Laws, M., Shaaban, A., & Rahman, K.M. (2019). Antibiotic resistance breakers : current approaches and future directions. *FEMS Microb Rev*, 43, 490–516.

- Le, C.F., Fang, C.M., & Sekaran, S.D. (2017). Intracellular targeting mechanisms by antimicrobial peptides. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 61, e02340–16.
- Leclercq R, Courvalin P. Resistance to glycopeptides in enterococci. *Clin Infect Dis*, 1997;24:545–556.
- Legrand, D., Ellass, E., Carpentier, M., & Mazurier, J. (2005). Lactoferrin: a modulator of immune and inflammatory responses. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 62, 2549–2559.
- Lei, J., Sun, L., Huang, S., Zhu, C., Li, P., He, J., Mackey, V., Coy, D.H., & He, Q. (2019). The antimicrobial peptides and their potential clinical applications. *American J Trans Res*, 11, 3919–3931.
- Lemaire, M., & Wésolowski-Louvel, M. (2004). Enolase and glycolytic flux play a role in the regulation of the glucose permease gene RAG1 o *Kluyveromyces lactis*. *Gene*, 168(2), 723-31.
- Lemaitre, B., Nicolas, E., Michaut, L., Reichhart, J.M., & Hoffmann, J.A. (1996). The dorsoventral regulatory gene cassette *spätzle/toll/cactus* controls the potent antifungal response in drosophila adult. *Cell*, 86, 973–983.
- Liberek, K., Marszalek, J., Ang, D, Georgopoulos, C., & Zylicz, M. (1991). *Escherichia coli* DnaJ and GrpE heat shock proteins jointly stimulate ATPase activity of DnaK. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*. 88:2874 2878.
- Li, H., Chen, Q., Zhao, J., & Urmila, K. (2015). Enhancing the antimicrobial activity of natural extraction using the synthetic ultrasmall metal nanoparticles. *Sci Rep*, 5, 11033.
- Li, J., Koh, J.J., Liu, S., Lakshminarayanan, R., Verma, C.S., & Beuerman, R.W.(2017). Membrane active antimicrobial peptides: translating mechanistic insights to design. *Front Neurosci*, 14, 11, 73.
- Li, J., Xie, S., Ahmed, S., Wang, F., Gu, Y., Zhang, C., Chai, X., Wu, Y., Cai, J., & Cheng, G. (2017). Antimicrobial Activity and Resistance: Influencing Factors. *Front pharma*, 8, 364.
- Li, W., Zhou, J., & Xu, Y. (2015). Study of the *in vitro* cytotoxicity testing of medical devices. *Biomed reports*, 3(5), 617–620.
- Lichtenthaler, F.W. (2002). Emil fischer, his personality, his achievements, and his scientific progeny. *Europ J Org Chemis*, 24, 4095–4122.
- Lin, T.Y., & Weibel, D.B. (2016). Organization and function of anionic phospholipids in bacteria. *App Microb Biotech*, 100, 4255–4267.
- Liu, C., Bayer, A., Cosgrove, S.E., Daum, R.S., Fridkin, S.K., Gorwitz, R.J., Kaplan, S.L., Karchmer, A.W., Levine, D.P., Murray, B.E.J, Rybak,

- M., Talan, D.A., & Chambers, H.F. (2011). Infectious Diseases Society of America. Clinical practice guidelines by the infectious diseases society of america for the treatment of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* infections in adults and children. *Clin Infect Dis*, 52:e18–55.
- Liu, Z., Wei, H., Li Y., & Li S. (2014). Optimization of the spray drying of a *Paenibacillus polymyxa*-based biopesticide on pilot plant and production scales. *Biocontrol Sci. Technol*, 24:37–41.
- Llor, C., Bjerrum, L.(2014). Antimicrobial resistance: risk associated with antibiotic overuse and initiatives to reduce the problem. *Ther Adv Drug Saf*. 5(6):229-41.
- Lv, G.Y., Guo, X.G., Xie, L.P., Xie, C.G., Zhang, X.H., Yang, Y., Xiao, L., Tang, Y.Y., Pan, X.L., Guo, A.G., & Xu, H. (2017). Molecular characterization, gene evolution, and expression analysis of the Fructose-1, 6-bisphosphate Aldolase (FBA) gene family in wheat (*Triticumaestivum* L.). *Front Plant Sci*, 8:1030.
- Malmir, S., Bahreinian, M., Yeganeh, S., Mirnejad, R., Moosazadeh M., Mehrdad, Saberi, F. (2018). Molecular Mechanisms of Resistance to Conventional Antibiotics in Bacteria. *Inter J Med Rev*. 5. 118-129.
- Macraea, M.B., Shanon, K.P., Rayner, D.M., Kaiser, A.M., Hoffman, P.N., & French, G.L. (2001). A simultaneous outbreak on a neonatal unit of two strains of multiply antibiotic resistant *Klebsiella pneumoniae* controllable only by ward closure. *J Hos Infec*, 49, 183–192.
- Maher, S., & McClean, S. (2006). Investigation of the cytotoxicity of eukaryotic and prokaryotic antimicrobial peptides in intestinal epithelial cells in vitro. *Biochem Pharma*, 71, 1289–1298.
- Mahlpuu, M., Håkansson, J., Ringstad, L., & Björn, C. (2016). Antimicrobial peptides : an emerging category of therapeutic agents. *Front Cell Infec Microb*, 6:194.
- Malanovic, N., & Lohner, K. (2016). Antimicrobial peptides targeting Gram Positive bacteria. *Pharma*, 9, 59.
- Marx, C., Gardner, S., Harman, R.M., Van de Walle, G.R. (2020). The mesenchymal stromal cell secretome impairs methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* biofilms via cysteine protease activity in the equine model. *Stem Cells Transl Med*, 9(7), 746-757.
- Mattick, A.T.R., & Hirsch, A.(1947). Further observations on an inhibitory substance (nisin) from lactic streptococci. *Lancet*, 250, 5–8.
- Mayer, M.P., & Bukau, B. (2005). Hsp70 chaperones: cellular functions and molecular mechanism. *Cell Mol Life Sci*, 62(6), 670-84.

- Merrifield, R.B.(1963). Solid phase peptide synthesis I: synthesis of a tetrapeptide. *J American Chem Soc*, 85, 2149–2154.
- Mirski, T., Niemcewicz, M., Bartoszcze, M., Gryko, R., & Michalski, A. (2018). Utilisation of peptides against microbial infections –a review. *Annals Agri Envi Med*, 25, 205–210.
- Millette, M., Smoragiewicz, W., & Lacroix, M. (2004). Antimicrobial potential of immobilized *Lactococcus lactis* subsp. *lactis* ATCC 11454 against selected bacteria. *J Food Protect*, 67(6), 1184–1189.
- Miethke, M., Pieroni, M., Weber, T. et al. (2021). Towards the sustainable discovery and development of new antibiotics. *Nat Rev Chem* 5, 726–749 (2021).
- Morciano, G., Sarti, A., Marchi, S. et al (2017). Use of luciferase probes to measure ATP in living cells and animals. *Nat Protoc* 12, 1542–1562.
- Moellering, R.C., Jr. (1992). Emergence of enterococcus as a significant pathogen. *Clin Infect Dis*, 14, 1173–1178.
- Mokhtar, N.F.K., Hashim, A.M., Hanish, I., Zulkarnain, A., Raja Nhari, R.M.H., Abdul Sani, A.A., Abbasiliasi, S., Ariff, A., Mustafa, S., Rahim, R.A. (2020). The discovery of new antilisterial proteins from *paenibacillus polymyxa* kp10 via genome mining and mass spectrometry. *Front Microbiol*, 11: 1–11.
- Moravej, H., Moravej, Z., Yazdanparast, M., Heiat, M., Mirhosseini, A., Moghaddam, M.M., & Mirnejad, R. Antimicrobial peptides: features, action, and their resistance mechanisms in bacteria. *Microbial Drug Resist*, 24:747–767.
- Morehead, M.S., & Scarbrough, C. (2018). Emergence of global antibioticresistance. *Primary Care: Clinic Office Pract*, 45, 467–484.
- Nakatsuji, T., & Gallo, R.L.(2012). Antimicrobial peptides: old molecules with new ideas. *J Invest Derma*, 132:887–895.
- Natalia, G.B., Brendan, W.W., & Sam, J.W. (2017). The importance of glycosylation of antimicrobial peptides: natural and synthetics approaches. *Drug Dis Today*, 22:919–926.
- Negash, A. W., & Tsehai, B. A. (2020). Current Applications of Bacteriocin. *Inter J Microb*, 4374891.
- Nikaido, H. (2007). Multidrug resistance in bacteria. *Annu Rev Biochem*. 78:119-46.
- Nosova, T., Jousimies-Somer, H., Kaihovaara, P., Jokelainen, K., Heine, R., & Salaspuro, M. (1997). Characteristics of alcohol dehydrogenases of certain

aerobic bacteria representing human colonic flora. *Alcohol Clin Exp Res*, 21(3), 489-94.

- Ohtani, S., Okada, T., Yoshizumi, H., & Kagamiyama, H. (1977). Complete primary structures of two subunits of puorothionin a, a lethal protein for brewer's yeast from wheat flour. *J. Biochem*, 82, 753-767.
- Ong, Z.Y., Cheng, J., Huang, Y., Xu, K., Ji, Z., Fan, W., & Yang, Y.Y. (2014). Effect of stereochemistry, chain length and sequence pattern on antimicrobial properties of short synthetic β -Sheet forming peptide amphiphiles. *Biomaterials*, 35, 1315-1325.
- Ong, Z.Y., Gao, J.S., & Yang, Y.Y. (2013). Short synthetic β -sheet forming peptide amphiphiles as broad spectrum antimicrobials with antibiofilm and endotoxin neutralizing capabilities. *Adv Funct Materials*, 23, 3682-3692.
- Pasupuleti, M., Schmidtchen, A., Malmsten, M. (2011). Antimicrobial peptides: key components of the innate immune system. *Crit Rev Biotech*, 32, 143171.
- Patch, J.A., & Barron, A.E. (2003). Helical peptoid mimics of magainin-2 amide. *J American Chem Soc*, 125, 12092-12093.
- Patrulea, V., Borchard, G., & Jordan, O. (2020). An update on antimicrobial peptides (AMPs) and their delivery strategies for wound infections. *Pharma* 12(9), 840.
- Pedersen, S.L., Tofteng, A.P., Malik, L., & Jensen, K.J. (2012). Microwave heating in solid-phase peptide synthesis. *Chem Soc Rev*, 41, 1826-1844.
- Peterson, E., & Kaur, P. (2018). Antibiotic resistance mechanisms in bacteria: relationships between resistance determinants of antibiotic producers, environmental bacteria, and clinical pathogens. *Front Microbiol*, 9:2928.
- Porto, W.F., Silva, O.N., & Franco, O.L. (2012). Prediction and rational design of antimicrobial peptides. In: Faraggi E, editor. *Protein struct. InTech; London*, pp. 377-396.
- Price-Whelan, A., Poon, C.K., Benson, M.A., Eidem, T.T., Roux, C.M., Boyd, J.M., Dunman, P.M., Torres, V.J., & Krulwich, T.A. (2013). Transcriptional profiling of *Staphylococcus aureus* during growth in 2 M NaCl leads to clarification of physiological roles for Kdp and Ktr K⁺ uptake systems. *mBio*, 20, 4(4), e00407-13.
- Ramchuran, E. J., Somboro, A. M., Abdel Monaim, S., Amoako, D. G., Parboosing, R., Kumalo, H. M., Agrawal, N., Albericio, F., Torre, B. G., & Bester, L. A. (2018). *In Vitro* Antibacterial Activity of Teixobactin Derivatives on Clinically Relevant Bacterial Isolates. *Front Microbiol*, 9, 1535.

- Rammelkamp, C.H., Weinstein, L. (1942). Toxic effects of tyrothricin, gramicidin and tyrocidine. *J. Infect*, 71, 166–173.
- Ramos-Martín, F., & D'Amelio, N. (2021). Molecular basis of the anticancer and antibacterial properties of cecropin_{xj} peptide: an in silico study. *Inter J Mol Sci*, 22(2), 691.
- Read, A.F., & Woods, R.J. (2014). Antibiotic resistance management. *Evo, Med Pub Health*, (1), 147.
- Rosengren, K.J., Clark, R.J., Daly, N.L., Göransson, U., Jones, A., Craik, D.J.(2003). Microcin J25 has a threaded sidechain-to-backbone ring structure and not a head-to-tail cyclized backbone. *J American Chem Soc*, 125, 12464– 12474.
- Revelas, A. (2012). Healthcare - associated infections: A public health problem. *Niger Med J*. 53(2):59-64.
- Reygaert, W.C. (2018). An overview of the antimicrobial resistance mechanisms of bacteria. *AIMS Microbiol*. 4(3):482-501.
- Sader, H.S., Fedler, K.A., Rennie, R.P., Stevens, S., & Jones, R.N. (2004). Omiganan pentahydrochloride (MBI 226), a topical 12-amino-acid cationic peptide: spectrum of antimicrobial activity and measurements of bactericidal activity. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother*, 48, 3112–3118.
- Spratt, B.G.(1994). Resistance to antibiotics mediated by target alterations. *Sci*. 264(5157):388-93.
- Saibil, H. (2013). Chaperone machines for protein folding, unfolding and disaggregation. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol*, 14(10), 630-42.
- Sanchez, C.J., Shivshankar, P., Stol, K., Trakhtenbroit, S., Sullam, P.M., Sauer, K., Hermans, P.W., & Orihuela, C.J.(2010). The pneumococcal serine-rich repeat protein is an intra-species bacterial adhesin that promotes bacterial aggregation in vivo and in biofilms. *PLoS Pathog*, 6:e1001044
- Schaaïj-Visser, T. B., de Wit, M., Lam, S. W., & Jiménez, C. R. (2013). The cancer secretome, current status and opportunities in the lung, breast and colorectal cancer context. *Biochim biophys acta*, 1834(11), 2242–2258.
- Schleifer, K. H., & Kilpper-Balz, R. (1984). Transfer of *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Streptococcus faecium* to the genus *Enterococcus* nom. rev. as *Enterococcus faecalis* comb. nov. and *Enterococcus faecium* comb. nov. *Inter J System Evo Microb*, 34(1):31–34.
- Schnölzer, M., Alewood, P., Jones, A., Alewood, D., & Kent, S.B.H. (2009). *In situ* neutralization in boc-chemistry solid phase peptide synthesis. *Inter J Pep Prot Res*, 40, 180–193.

- Selsted, M.E., Tang, Y.Q., Morris, W.L., McGuire, P.A., Novotny, M.J., Smith, W., Henschen, A.H., & Cullor, J.S. (1993). Purification, primary structures and antibacterial activities of beta-defensins, a new family of antimicrobial peptides from bovine neutrophils. *J Bio Chem*, 268, 6641–6648.
- Selsted, M.E., Szklarek, D., & Lehrer, R.I. (1984). Purification and antibacterial activity of antimicrobial peptides of rabbit granulocytes. *Infect Immunity*, 45, 150–154.
- Sengupta, S., Chattopadhyay, M.K., & Grossart, H.P. (2013). The multifaceted roles of antibiotics and antibiotic resistance in nature. *Front Microbiol*, 12; 4:47.
- Skinner, D., & Keefer, C.s. (1941). Significance Of Bacteremia Caused By Staphylococcus Aureus: A Study Of One Hundred And Twenty-Two Cases And A Review Of The Literature Concerned With Experimental Infection In Animals. *Arch Intern Med (Chic)*, 68(5):851–875.
- Soundharrajan, I., Yoon, Y.H., Muthusamy, K., Jung, J.S., Lee, H.J., Han, O.K., & Choi, K.C. (2021). Isolation of lactococcus lactis from whole crop rice and determining its probiotic and antimicrobial properties towards gastrointestinal associated bacteria. *Microorg*, 9(12), 2513.
- Spellberg, B., & Gilbert, D.N.(2014). The future of antibiotics and resistance: a tribute to a career of leadership by John Bartlett. *Clin Infect Dis*, 59, 71–75.
- Stapleton, P. D., & Taylor, P. W. (2002). Methicillin resistance in Staphylococcus aureus: mechanisms and modulation. *Sci progress*, 85(Pt 1), 57–72.
- Stones, D. H., & Krachler, A. M. (2016). Against the tide: the role of bacterial adhesion in host colonization. *Biochem Soc trans*, 44(6), 1571–1580.
- Subczynski, W.K., Pasenkiewicz-Gierula, M., Widomska, J., Mainali, L., Raguz, M. (2018). High cholesterol/low cholesterol: effects in biological membranes review. *Cell Biochem Biophy*, 75, 369–385.
- Suzuki A., & Suzuki M. (2021). Antimicrobial activity of Lactococcus lactis subsp. lactis isolated from a stranded cuvier's beaked whale (ziphius cavirostris) against gram-positive and negative bacteria. *Microorg*, 9(2):243.
- Timmusk, S., van West, P., Gow, N. A., & Huffstutler, R. P. (2009). Paenibacillus polymyxa antagonizes oomycete plant pathogens Phytophthora palmivora and Pythium aphanidermatum. *J App Microbiol*, 106(5), 1473–1481.
- Tran, D., Tran, P.A., Tang, Y.Q., Yuan, J., Cole, T., & Selsted, M.E. (2002). Homodimeric θ -defensins from *Rhesus macaque* Leukocytes, Isolation, synthesis, antimicrobial activities, and bacterial binding properties of the cyclic peptides. *J Bio Chem*, 277, 3079–3084.

- Trotti, A., Garden, A., Wardey, P., Symonds, P., Langer, C., Redman, R., Pajak, T.F., Fleming, T.R., Henke, M., Bourhis, J., Rosenthal, D.I., Junor, E., Cmelak, A., Sheehan, F., Pulliam, J., Devitt-Risse, P., Fuchs, H., Chambers, M., O'Sullivan, & Ang, K.K. (2004). A multinational, randomized phase iii trial of iseganan hcl oral solution for reducing the severity of oral mucositis in patients receiving radiotherapy for head-and-neck malignancy. *Inter J Radiation Onco Biol Physics*, 58, 674–681.
- Tymoszewska, A., Diep, D.B., Wirtek, P., & Aleksandrak-Piekarczyk, T. (2017). The non lantibiotic bacteriocin garvicin q targets man-pts in a broad spectrum of sensitive bacterial genera. *Sci Rep*, 7, 8359.
- Uchil, R.R., Kohli, G.S., Katekhaye, V.M., Swami, O.C.(2014). Strategies to combat antimicrobial resistance. *J Clin Diagn Res*. 8(7):ME01-4.
- Van Epps, H.L. & René, D. (2006). Unearthing antibiotics. *J ExperMed*, 203, 259.
- Ventola, C.L. (2015). The antibiotic resistance crisis part 1: causes and threats. *P T*, 40(4), 277–83.
- Vieco-Saiz, N., Belguesmia, Y., Raspoet, R., Auclair, E., Gancel, F., Kempf, I., & Drider, D. (2019). Benefits and inputs from lactic acid bacteria and their bacteriocins as alternatives to antibiotic growth promoters during food-animal production. *Front Microbiol*, 11; 10:57.
- Wang, D., Zhang, W., Wang, T., Li, N., Mu, H., Zhang, J., & Duan, J. (2015). Unveiling the mode of action of two antibacterial tanshinone derivatives. *Inter J Mol Sci*, 16(8):17668–81.
- Wimley, W.C.(2019). Application of synthetic molecular evolution to the discovery of antimicrobial peptides. *Adv Exper Med Biol*, 1117:241–255.
- Woodford, N., Johnson, A. P., & Morrison, D. (1995). Current perspectives on glycopeptide resistance. *Clin Microbiol Rev*, 8, 585–615
- Woodruff, H.B. (2014). Selman A. Waksman, Winner of the 1952 Nobel Prize for *Physio or Med*, 80(1):2–8.
- Wright, G.D. (2014). Something old, something new: revisiting natural products in antibiotic drug discovery. *Canadian J of Microb.*, 60(3), 147-154
- Xiao, J., & Goley, E. D. (2016). Redefining the roles of the FtsZ-ring in bacterial cytokinesis. *Curr Op Microbiol*, 34, 90–96.
- Yagi, H., Chen, A.F., Hirsch, D., Rothenberg, T. J., Alexander, P.G., Tuan, R.S. (2020). Antimicrobial activity of mesenchymal stem cells against *Staphylococcus aureus*. *Stem Cell Res Ther*, 11(1), 1–12.
- Yoon, B. K., Jackman, J. A., Valle-González, E. R., & Cho, N. J. (2018). Antibacterial Free Fatty Acids and Monoglycerides: Biological Activities,

Experimental Testing, and Therapeutic Application. *Inter J Mol Sci*, 19(4), 1114.

- Zasloff, M.(1987). Magainins, a class of antimicrobial peptides from *Xenopus* skin: isolation, characterization of two active forms, and partial cDNA sequence of a precursor. *Proceed Nat Aca Sci USA*, 84:5449–5453.
- Zhang H., Wu P., Chen F., Hao Y., Lao Y., Ren L., et al. (2014). SILAC-based quantitative proteomic analysis of secretome between activated and reverted hepatic stellate cells. *Proteom*, 14 1977–1986.
- Zhang F., Ni Y., Yuan Y., Yin W., Gao Y. (2018). Early urinary candidate biomarker discovery in a rat thioacetamide-induced liver fibrosis model. *Sci. China Life Sci.* 61 1369–1381.
- Zharkova, M.S., Orlov, D.S., Golubeva, O.Y., Chakchir, O.B., Eliseev, I.E., Grinchuk, T.M., & Shamova, OV. (2019). Application of antimicrobial peptides of the innate immune system in combination with conventional antibiotics—a novel way to combat antibiotic resistance. *Front Cellular Infect Microbiol*, 9, 128.
- Ziveri, J., Tros, F., Guerrero, I.C., Chhuon, C., Audry, M., Dupuis, M., Barel, M., Korniotis, S., Fillatreau, Gales, L., Cahoreau, E., Charbit, A. (2017). The metabolic enzyme fructose-1,6-bisphosphate aldolase acts as a transcriptional regulator in pathogenic *Francisella*. *NatCommun*, 8 (1), 853.
- Özsoy, S., & Arzu, İ. (2017) Detection of Vancomycin-Resistant Enterococci (VRE) in Stool Specimens Submitted for Clostridium Difficile Toxin Testing. *Brazilian. J Microbiol* 48(3), 489–92.