

SUSTAINABILITY RISK MANAGEMENT, ITS DETERMINANTS AND OUTCOME IN PALM OIL MILLS IN MALAYSIA

By

SHAZRUL EKHMAR ABDUL RAZAK

Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia, in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

June 2022

SPE 2022 47

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirement for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

SUSTAINABILITY RISK MANAGEMENT, ITS DETERMINANTS AND OUTCOME IN PALM OIL MILLS IN MALAYSIA

By

SHAZRUL EKHMAR ABDUL RAZAK

June 2022

Chairperson : Mazlina Mustapha, PhD School : Business and Economics

Palm oil industry in Malaysia is under greater attention due to the claim of sustainability issues arising from palm oil productions. Sustainability issues, such as gas emissions, solid waste, labour issues, etc., indirectly expose Malaysian palm oil to sustainability risks, such as boycott, reputational, and regulation risks. There is now heighten pressure on the industry to adopt a more sustainable stance towards reducing sustainability issues. Prior studies claimed that sustainability risk management is regarded as an important tool to address sustainability risks arising from sustainability issues. Therefore, this study examines the management of sustainability risks by implementing SRM. This study also investigates the influence of internal and external determinants on SRM implementation and its impact on sustainability performance. In total, 407 questionnaires were distributed between July and December 2020, with a response rate of 28.9%. Data was analysed using Failure Mode Effect Analysis and Structural Equation Modelling. Findings indicate that sustainability risk is identified as having a major impact on the palm oil mill operations, occurs infrequently, and is moderately easy to detect and recognise. Risk control and risk avoidance are the most risk response strategies employed to address the sustainability risk. Overall, palm oil mills have placed an adequate system to monitor the emergence of sustainability risks. The findings unveil that sustainability strategy, business size, top management support, regulatory pressure, and competitive pressure have positive and significant relationship on the SRM implementation. In return, the implementation of SRM has a positive and significant impact on sustainability performance. The findings indicate that the relationship between sustainability strategy, business size, top management support, regulatory pressure and competitive pressure and sustainability performance is indirectly through SRM implementation. The findings contribute to current knowledge and provide useful insight to policymakers on SRM implementation, its determinants, and sustainability performance.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah.

PENGURUSAN RISIKO KEMAMPANAN, PENENTU DAN HASILNYA DI KILANG MINYAK SAWIT DI MALAYSIA

Oleh

SHAZRUL EKHMAR ABDUL RAZAK

Jun 2022

Pengerusi Sekolah : Mazlina Mustapha, PhD : Perniagaan dan Ekonomi

Industri minyak sawit di Malaysia mendapat liputan meluas berikutan isu kemampanan yang timbul daripada pengeluaran minyak sawit. Isu kemampanan, seperti pelepasan gas, sisa pepejal, isu buruh, dan lain-lain, secara tidak langsung mendedahkan industry minyak sawit Malaysia kepada risiko kemampanan, seperti risiko boikot, risiko reputasi, dan risiko peraturan. Disebabkan itu, terdapat tekanan yang tinggi ke atas industry minyak sawit untuk mengurangkan isu-isu kemampanan. Kajian terdahulu mendakwa bahawa pengurusan risiko kemampanan (SRM) dianggap sebagai alat penting untuk menangani risiko kemampanan yang timbul daripada isu kemampanan. Oleh itu, kajian ini bertujuan mengkaji pengurusan risiko kemampanan dengan melaksanakan SRM. Selain itu, kajian ini juga bertujuan mengkaji pengaruh penentu dalaman dan luaran terhadap pelaksanaan SRM dan kesan pelaksanaanya terhadap prestasi kemampanan. Secara keseluruhan, 407 soal selidik telah diedarkan antara Julai dan Disember 2020 dengan kadar respons sebanyak 28.9%. Data dianalisis menggunakan Analisis Kesan Mod Kegagalan dan Model Persamaan Berstruktur. Dapatan kajian menunjukkan bahawa risiko kemampanan dikenal pasti sebagai memberi kesan besar kepada operasi kilang kelapa sawit, jarang berlaku, dan agak mudah dikesan dan dikenali. Kawalan risiko dan penghindaran risiko adalah strategi tindak balas risiko yang paling banyak digunakan untuk menangani risiko kemampanan. Secara keseluruhan, kilang minyak sawit telah melaksanakan sistem yang sesuai untuk memantau kemunculan risiko kemampanan. Dapatan kajian juga menunjukkan strategi kemampanan, saiz perniagaan, sokongan pengurusan atasan, tekanan pengawalseliaan, dan tekanan daya saing mempunyai hubungan positif dan signifikan terhadap pelaksanaan SRM. Pelaksanaan SRM pula mempunyai kesan positif dan signifikan terhadap prestasi kemampanan. Strategi kemampanan, saiz perniagaan, sokongan pengurusan tertinggi, tekanan kawal selia dan tekanan daya saing menjadi pengantara antara pelaksanaan SRM dan prestasi kemampanan. Penemuan ini menyumbang kepada pengetahuan semasa dan memberikan pandangan yang berguna kepada pembuat dasar mengenai pelaksanaan SRM, penentunya, dan prestasi kemampanan.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

All praises belong to Allah, Lord of the universe; He deserves all the thanks for making this everything possible. I am grateful to Allah for providing me with the power and inspiration that I was able to complete my post-graduate journey successfully.

I would like to express my deepest gratitude and special appreciation to my supervisory committee chaired by Associate Professor Dr. Mazlina Mustapha for leading me to such an inspiring and interesting area of research and for her kindness, patience, knowledge, willingness, and support during times of difficulty. I would also like to thank the committee members, Associate Professor Dr. Nor Aziah Abu Kasim and Dr. Sabarina Mohammed Shah for their guidance, encouragement, and sacrifice as well as for their academics and emotional support throughout my journey.

I would like to acknowledge and appreciate academics, non-academics staff at the School of Business and Economics, and my friends who have indirectly contributed to this work. The whole process of preparing this work will not be so smooth without their aid. Thank you very much.

Finally, special thanks to my family members for their endless love, persistent support, understanding, and encouragement throughout my journey. I wish to dedicate this work to my loving and supportive wife who kept me sane in the pursuit of my goal. I am indebted to what you have done for me.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Mazlina binti Mustapha, PhD

Associate Professor School of Business and Economics Universiti Putra Malaysia (Chairman)

Nor Aziah binti Abu Kasim, PhD

Associate Professor School of Business and Economics Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

Sabarina binti Mohammed Shah, PhD

Senior Lecturer School of Business and Economics Universiti Putra Malaysia (Member)

ZALILAH MOHD SHARIFF, PhD

Professor and Dean School of Graduate Studies Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date: 9 March 2023

Declaration by Graduate Student

I hereby confirm that:

- this thesis is my original work;
- quotations, illustrations and citations have been duly referenced;
- this thesis has not been submitted previously or concurrently for any other degree at any institutions;
- intellectual property from the thesis and the copyright of the thesis are fullyowned by Universiti Putra Malaysia, as stipulated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- written permission must be obtained from the supervisor and the office of the Deputy Vice-Chancellor (Research and innovation) before the thesis is published in any written, printed or electronic form (including books, journals, modules, proceedings, popular writings, seminar papers, manuscripts, posters, reports, lecture notes, learning modules or any other materials) as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012;
- there is no plagiarism or data falsification/fabrication in the thesis, and scholarly integrity is upheld in accordance with the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) and the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Research) Rules 2012. The thesis has undergone plagiarism detection software

Signature:	Date:
Name and Matric N	o : Shazrul Ekhmar Abdul Razak

Declaration by Members of the Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- the research and the writing of this thesis were done under our supervision;
- supervisory responsibilities as stated in the Universiti Putra Malaysia (Graduate Studies) Rules 2003 (Revision 2015-2016) are adhered to.

Signature: Name of	
Chairman of	
Supervisory Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Mazlina binti Mustapha
Signature:	
Name of Member of Supervisory	
Committee:	Associate Professor Dr. Nor Aziah binti Abu Kasim
Signature:	
Name of	
Member of	
Supervisory	
Committee:	Dr. Sabarina Mohammed Shah

TABLE OF CONTENTS

			Page
APPROV DECLAR LIST OF LIST OF	A <i>K</i> WLED /AL RATIO TABL FIGUI	ES	i ii iii iv vi xii xiv xv
СНАРТЕ	R		
1	1.1 1.2 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6 1.7 1.8 1.9	Introduction Background of the Study Problem Statement Research Objectives Research Questions Motivation of the study Significance of the Study Scope of the Study Definition of Key Terms Organisation of the Thesis Summary	1 1 3 5 6 8 12 12 14 16
2	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5	Introduction Sustainability Risk 2.2.1 Sustainability Risk in Research Perspective 2.2.2 Research Gap in Sustainability Risk Studies Management Control Systems (MCS) Risk Management Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) 2.5.1 Enterprise Risk Management in Research Perspective 2.5.2 The Drawbacks of ERM	17 17 17 20 26 26 30 31 33
	2.6	2.5.2 The Drawbacks of ERM 2.5.3 Research Gap ERM studies Sustainability Risk Management (SRM) 2.6.1 Sustainability Risk Management Framework 2.6.2 SRM in Research Perspective 2.6.3 Research Gap in SRM studies Theories Related to Sustainability Risk Management (SRM) 2.7.1 Contingency Theory 2.7.2 Institutional Theory 2.7.3 Stakeholder Theory 2.7.4 Relevant Theories for SRM	37 39 40 44 47 50 50 53 55 58

	2.8	Determinants of SRM Implementation 2.8.1 Sustainability Strategy 2.8.2 Business Size 2.8.3 Top Management Support 2.8.4 Perceived Environmental Uncertainty 2.8.5 Regulatory Pressure 2.8.6 Competitor Pressure 2.8.7 Normative Pressure 2.8.8 Research Gap for Determinants of SRM Implementation	60 61 64 66 68 70 72 74
	2.9 2.10	Sustainability performance 2.9.1 Research Gap for Sustainability Performance Summary	79 81 82
3	RESEA DEVEL 3.1 3.2 3.3	LOPMENT Introduction	83 83 83 92 92 93 94 95 96 97 99
	3.5	objectives Summary	109 112
4	RESE 4.1 4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.6	Introduction Development of Palm Oil in Malaysia Palm Oil Mills in Malaysia 4.3.1 CPO Production in Malaysia 4.3.2 Code of Good Milling Practice for Palm Oil Mills Performance of Palm oil mills Palm Oil at crossroad: Sustainability Issues in Palm Oil Mills Summary	113 113 113 114 115 116 117 120 125

5	MET	HODOLOGY	126
	5.1	Introduction	126
	5.2	Research Philosophy	126
	5.2 5.3	Research design	128
	5.4	Survey Method	129
	5.5	Data Sources	129
	5.6	Sampling Design	130
		5.6.1 Samples and Population	130
		5.6.2 Unit of Analysis	131
		5.6.3 Sample Size	131
	5.7	Data Collection Procedures	132
	5.8	Questionnaire Development	133
	0.0	5.8.1 Questionnaire structure	134
		5.8.2 Measurement of Variables	135
	5.9	Questionnaire Testing	139
	0.0	5.9.1 Pre-test	139
		5.9.2 Pilot Test	140
	5 10	Approval of Questionnaire	143
		Data analysis	143
	0	5.11.1 Preliminary Test using SPSS	143
		5.11.2 Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA)	144
		5.11.3 Structural Equation Modelling (SEM)	145
		5.11.4 Summary of Data Analysis and its Related	
		Research Hypotheses and Objectives	145
	5.12		147
		,	
6	DAT	A ANALYSIS AND FINDINGS	148
6	6.1		148
6			
6	6.1 6.2 6.3	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation	148 148 149
6	6.1 6.2	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning	148 148 149 149
6	6.1 6.2 6.3	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation	148 148 149 149 149
6	6.1 6.2 6.3	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning	148 148 149 149
6	6.1 6.2 6.3	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value	148 149 149 149 150 152
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers	148 149 149 149 150
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias	148 149 149 149 150 152
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis	148 149 149 149 150 152 153
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis	148 149 149 149 150 152 153
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 153
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 153 154 156
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 157
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 157 158 158
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality 6.7.4 Multicollinearity	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 157 158 158 159
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality 6.7.4 Multicollinearity Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA)	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 158 158 159 160
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality 6.7.4 Multicollinearity Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) 6.8.1 Risk Identification	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 158 158 159 160 160
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality 6.7.4 Multicollinearity Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) 6.8.1 Risk Identification 6.8.2 Risks Assessment and Analysis	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 157 158 158 159 160 160
6	6.1 6.2 6.3 6.4 6.5 6.6	Introduction Data Collection and Response Rate Data Preparation Data Screening and Cleaning 6.4.1 Detection and Management of Missing Value 6.4.2 Detection and Management of Outliers Non-Response Bias Descriptive Analysis 6.6.1 Demographic Analysis 6.6.2 Respondent's Profile 6.6.3 Mill's Profile 6.6.4 Descriptive Statistics of Variables Assumption of Multivariate Analysis 6.7.1 Linear Relationship 6.7.2 Homoscedasticity 6.7.3 Normality 6.7.4 Multicollinearity Failure Mode Effect Analysis (FMEA) 6.8.1 Risk Identification 6.8.2 Risks Assessment and Analysis 6.8.3 Risks Response	148 149 149 149 150 152 153 153 154 156 157 157 158 158 159 160 160 162 165

		6.9.2	Structural Model	183
	6.10	6.9.3 Summa	Results of Hypotheses Testing ary	183 187
7			OF FINDINGS	188
	7.1 7.2	Introdu		188 188
	7.3		ary of Findings sion of the Findings	190
		7.3.1	Objective 1: To Investigate the Manageme Sustainability Risk by Implementing SR	ent of RM in
		7.3.2	Palm Oil Mills	190
		1.3.2	Objective 2: To Investigate the Relatio Between Internal Determinants and	the
			Implementation of SRM in Palm Oil Mills	201
		7.3.3	Objective 3: To Investigate the Relatio Between External Determinants and	the
		7.3.4	Implementation of SRM in Palm Oil Mills	205
		7.3.4	Objective 4: To Investigate the Impact of Implementation on Sustainability Perform	
			of Palm Oil Mills	209
		7.3.5	Objective 5: To Investigate the Mediating I	Effect
			of SRM Implementation on the Relatio	
			Between Internal and External Determinent Systematics and Syst	nants 210
	7.4	Summa	and Sustainability Performance	210
	7.7	Odmine	Al y	210
8	CON	CLUSIO	N, CONTRIBUTIONS, LIMITATIONS	AND
			DATION	216
	-	Conclu		216
	8.2	Contrib	utions Theoretical Contribution	218 218
		8.2.2		210
	8.3	Limitati		224
	8.4		mendations	224
REFERE	NCES			226
APPEND	DICES			257
BIODAT				300
LIST OF	PUBL	ICATIO.	NS	301

LIST OF TABLES

Table		Page
1.1	Summary of research problems, research gaps, research objectives and research significance	10
2.1	Summary of sustainability risks	22
2.2	Past studies on ERM	38
2.3	Past studies on SRM	49
3.1	Research problem, research objective and research hypotheses	110
4.1	Distribution of oil palm planted area by ownership in Malaysia	114
4.2	Summary of production of palm oil in Malaysia for the last 5 years	116
4.3	Extracted Code of Good Milling Practice	116
4.4	Palm oil industry performance	118
4.5	Performance of Agriculture sector and its indicators	119
4.6	Potential sustainability issues from palm oil production	124
5.1	Summary of Research Variables, Number of Items Adapted, Sources and Location in Questionnaire	136
5.2	Demographic profile of the respondents and mills	141
5.3	Alpha coefficient of Reliability on variables	142
5.4	Summary of statistical analysis and its related research hypotheses and objectives	146
6.1	Summary of sample frame and response rate	148
6.2	Profile of Respondents	153
6.3	Profile of Palm Oil Mills	155
6.4	Descriptive statistics of variables	157
6.5	The results for skewness and kurtosis	159

6.6	The results of correlation, tolerance and VIF	160
6.7	Results of risk identification	161
6.8	The assessment and analysis of sustainability issues	164
6.9	Treatment of Sustainability Issues	166
6.10	Risk response practices employed by palm oil mills	168
6.11	Results of Risk Monitoring	169
6.12	Final results of reflective measurement model of LOCs of loadings, reliability and average variance extracted	174
6.13	Discriminant validity using Forner-Lacker Criterion	177
6.14	Discriminant validity using cross loadings	178
6.15	Discriminant validity using HTMT	180
6.16	Validity of HOCs	182
6.17	Discriminant validity using Fornell and Lacker Criterion	182
6.18	Discriminant validity using HTMT Criterion	183
6.19	Lateral collinearity assessment	183
6.20	Results of direct hypotheses testing	184
6.21	Results of mediation effect	185
6.22	Determination of Coefficient (R2) and Predictive Relevance (Q2)	186
6.23	Effect size (f^2)	187
7.1	Summary of findings with respective research objective	189

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Sustainability Risk Management Framework	44
2.2	A general management control system contingency framework	51
3.1	Preliminary Research Framework	84
3.2	Sustainability risk management framework	85
3.3	Contingent variables and SRM implementation	87
3.4	Institutional pressure and SRM implementation	88
3.5	SRM implementation and sustainability performance	88
3.6	The mediating effect of SRM implementation	89
3.7	Research Framework depicting Theories	90
3.8	Sustainability risk management framework for Malaysian palm oil mills	91
4.1	Flow of palm oil production	122
6.1	Pareto Diagram for RPN of sustainability issues	165

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

AVE Average Variance Extracted

CR Composite Reliability

BSC Balanced Scorecard

CFA Confirmatory Factor Analysis

CHRA Chemical Health Risk Assessment

COD Chemical Oxygen Demand

COSO Committee of Sponsoring Organisations of the Treadway

Commission

CPO Crude Palm Oil

CPKO Crude Palm Kernel Oil

DOSH Department of Occupational Safety and Health

EES Economic, Environmental, and Social

EFB Empty Fruit Bunch

EIA Environmental Impact Assessment

EMA Environmental Management Accounting

ERM Enterprise Risk Management

EU European Union

FELCRA Federal Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority

FELDA Federal Land Development Authority

FMEA Failure Mode Effect Analysis

FFB Fresh Fruit Bunches

GDP Gross Domestic Product

GHG Greenhouse Gas

GMP Good Mill Processing

HIRARC Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment and Risk Control

ISCC International Sustainability and Carbon Certification

JKEUPM Ethics Committee for Research of Universiti Putra Malaysia

KETENGAH Lembaga Kemajuan Terengganu Tengah

MAS Management Accounting Systems

MCAR Missing Completely at Random

MCCG Malaysian Code on Corporate Governance

MCO Movement Control Order

MCS Management Control System

MNAR Missing Not at Random

MPOB Malaysian Palm Oil Board

MPOC Malaysian Palm Oil Council

MSPO Malaysian Sustainability Palm Oil

NDPE No Deforestation, No Peat, No Exploitation

NGOs Non-Profit Organisations

OER Oil Extraction Rate

PEU Perceived Environmental Uncertainty

PMS Performance Management System

POMA Palm Oil Mills Association

POME Palm Oil Mill Effluent

PPE Personal Protective Equipment

PORAM Palm Oil Refinery Association of Malaysia

RPN Risk Priority Number

RSPO Roundtable Sustainability Palm Oil

SALCRA Sarawak Land Consolidation and Rehabilitation Authority

SCCS Supply Chain Certification Standard

SEM Structural Equation Modelling

SRM Sustainability Risk Management

SIA Social Impact Assessment

SSRM Sustainable Supply Chain Risk Management

UiTM Universiti Teknologi Mara Malaysia

UPM Universiti Putra Malaysia

US United State

VIF Variance Inflation Factor

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Introduction

This chapter consists of ten sections. Section 1.1 presents the background of the study. Section 1.2 discusses the problem statement. Section 1.3 and Section 1.4 outline the research objectives and research questions respectively. Section 1.5 explains the motivation of the study. Section 1.6 provides the significance of the study. Section 1.7 briefly describes the scope of the study. Section 1.8 outlines the definition of key terms. It is followed by the organization of the study in Section 1.9. Finally, this chapter ends with the chapter summary in Section 1.10.

1.2 Background of the Study

The management of sustainability risks has become one of the principal topics among academics and practitioners (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015; Anderson & Anderson, 2009; Schulte & Knuts, 2022; Wijethilake & Lama, 2018; Wong, 2014). The attention to sustainability risks has been growing due to the increasing sustainability issues because of company's activities (Wijethilake & Lama, 2018). Although sustainability issues emerge from natural phenomenon, any solutions for sustainability issues must involve companies (Sakhel, 2017). In addition, continuing uncertainty for the world economy, advancement in information technology and business trends such as stricter sustainability legislation, changing customer demands for sustainable product, and increasing sustainable awareness (Giannakis & Papadopoulos, 2016; Zimmer et al., 2017) have become difficult or even impossible to forecast, contributing critically to the rising of sustainability risks (Rostamzadeh et al., 2018). Companies that contribute to sustainability issues or fail to adapt to the inevitable demand for sustainability will significantly expose their long-term sustainability performance and survival at risk (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016b; Wijethilake & Lama, 2018; Wong, 2014).

Because of these concerns, sustainability risk management (SRM) has become an important MCS in addressing the multifaceted sustainability risk arising from sustainability issues (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015). SRM's focus is not only on addressing economic risk but also includes environmental and social risks, covering the three dimensions of sustainability. In fact, the concept of sustainability in SRM is broaden from merely highlighting the environmental risk to include the issues of social responsibility and other important risks such as national growth, socio-economic condition, stakeholder activism, and reputational risk. The main objective of SRM is at addressing, managing, and minimising the adverse impact of sustainability risks on organisation's survival

and sustainability in the market (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015). Hence, implementing the SRM enables organisations to address sustainability risk as well as provide opportunities that can increase organisational value (Bui & de Villiers, 2017). As such, SRM has gained considerable attention due to its capability in managing sustainability risk at the same time enhancing organisation's sustainability performance.

The alarming sustainability issues, such as environmental impact of BP Deepwater horizon oil spill in Mexico and the social issues of poor working condition in Apple manufacturing as well as Rana Plaza have also further intensified the interest in the implementation of SRM (Soin & Collier, 2013; Giannakis & Papadopoulus, 2016). These business crises do not only threaten their sustainability but also leave long-term social and environmental effects on where the companies operate. Most importantly, the crises have accentuated the weakness and poor risk management as a control system in ensuring business survival (Bromiley, McShane, Nair, & Rustambekov, 2015). This also provides indicator that companies' existing risk management may place greater attention in managing economic risks. Within the face-changing business environment, organisations are more vulnerable as they face a variety of risks that are not confined with economics risks, exposing their survival to a higher level of risk (Abdul Aziz, Abdul Manab, & Othman, 2015; Giannakis & Papadopoulos, 2016; Rasid et al., 2014). Thus, the SRM is needed to cope with changing business environment (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015; Wong, 2014).

SRM is not a new framework. It provides value-added improvement to the current risk management framework. Risk management framework comprises risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response and risk monitoring. This framework is regarded as an important management control system for every organisation due to the capacity it has in controlling organisational behaviour and operational activities to ensure the safety, soundness, and survival of the organisations (Bhimani, 2009; Rasid et al., 2014). Risk management framework is not conceptually wrong when an organisation has poor risk management, but it is due to the failure in implementing the framework properly (Gendron et al., 2016). The components in risk management framework should be holistically implemented to manage the risk appetite defined by the organisation (Mishra et al., 2019). In this regard, SRM broadens the risk appetite of risk management framework by managing broad scope of sustainability risk including economic risks (quantifiable risks) and also environmental and social risks (non-quantifiable risks) (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015). By incorporating sustainability risk into risk management framework, organisations can holistically identify sustainability risks, assess and analyse their impact, employ suitable response strategy and conduct monitoring mechanism to ensure company's viability and survival (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016b; Giannakis & Papadopoulos, 2016).

This study intends to implement the SRM in Malaysian context particularly in palm oil mills. For the past few years, palm oil mills have been in the limelight due to the adverse impacts of sustainability risk bring upon their performance as

a result of sustainability issues arising from palm oil production. There are now heightened demands from importing countries to address the sustainability issues in palm oil production. Deriving from risk management framework, thus, this study intends to provide useful insights on the management of sustainability risks and their associated issues by implementing SRM that includes risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response and risk monitoring. Since efforts in implementing SRM may involve major changes in organisational practices, it is imperative to investigate the influence of internal and external environment in which the palm oil mills operate as determinants to implement the SRM. Besides, this study also seeks to examine the importance of implementing SRM on mills' sustainability performance. Overall, this study is anchored by risk management framework, contingency theory and institutional theory.

1.3 Problem Statement

Palm oil industry in Malaysia particularly palm oil mills has been criticised due to the sustainability issues arising from the production of palm oil that severely impacts environmental and social sustainability (Abdullah et al., 2017; Lim, Biswas, & Samyudia, 2015). Sustainability issues such as gas emissions, solid waste, improper treatment of POME and labour issues have exposed palm oil mills to the sustainability risks in the form of boycott, reputation and regulation risks. Wong (2014) asserts that companies which fail to address or ignore the sustainability issues may create significant sustainability risks to the company survival. The sustainability issues have caused a significant decline in total export revenue of palm oil mills for two consecutive years from RM51.85 billion in 2017 to RM42.75 billion and RM42.44 billion in 2018 and 2019, respectively, as a result of the anti-palm oil campaign and protectionist trade regulations by the EU and the US (Naidu & Moorthy, 2021). In 2017, EU passed two resolutions to ban the use of palm oil in its biofuel programmes and to introduce new sustainability regulation to be complied by exporting countries to enter EU market. The US has blocked the imports of Malaysian palm oil and palm oil products from entering the country. This has indirectly threatened the livelihood of 650,000 small growers who are depending on the palm oil's export revenue (Saideed, 2017). Above all, the action of the EU and the US to boycott and to impose stricter regulation on Malaysian palm oil may tarnish Malaysian reputation as it may cause a snowball effect to other countries to follow (MPOC, 2018). Thus, there is a need to manage sustainability risks by addressing the sustainability issues in mills' operation in order to maintain the sustainability of palm oil industry.

In order to adopt a more sustainable stance, Minister of Plantation Industries and Commodities of Malaysia in Palm Oil Conference 2018 keynote speech proclaimed that for Malaysian palm oil to overcome the sustainability issues and to remain globally competitive, the palm oil mills need to look into implementing the necessary risk management framework. In addition, the government through MPOB has introduced sustainability practices to be complied by palm oil mills which also outlining the risk management for palm oil mills in controlling their

operation in producing palm oil (Choong & Mckay, 2014; Lim et al., 2015; Nambiappan et al., 2018). Despite this effort, it is reported that palm oil mills in Malaysia have weak performance in complying with the industrial sustainability practices (Jamaludin et al., 2018). In fact, it is also found that the effort to comply with the sustainability practices outlined by the mills themselves is practically low (Abdullah et al., 2017). Poor compliance with sustainability practices indirectly shows that palm oil mills have poor risk management which contributes to the sustainability issues.

Gendron et al. (2016) state that poor risk management is not associated with its framework but due to failure in defining reasonable risk appetite and improper implementation. This is evident when a survey by the World Business Council on Sustainable Development (WBCSD, 2017) found that 70% of practitioners believed that their risk management did not adequately address sustainability risks. Malaysia is no exception. In palm oil mills, relying only on environmental and social assessment impact in managing sustainability issues may contribute to the poor implementation of risk management. SRM has become an important tool in addressing the sustainability risk arising from sustainability issues (Abdul Aziz et al., 2015). SRM can assist companies to define and include broad spectrum of sustainability risks into company's attention and manages their associated issues using the risk management framework holistically. Many organisations already have risk management to deal successfully with traditional financial risks. The emergence of sustainability risks, however, provides greatest challenge for the company to manage them (Wong, 2014). Thus, understanding and identifying the nature of sustainability risks and their associated issues as well as the way to assess, respond and monitor them holistically by implementing SRM are vital for the managers of palm oil mills in addressing the sustainability issues.

In total, 89% of practitioners indicate that sustainability risks can have an adverse impact on their performance (WBCSC, 2017). This shows how important it is in managing sustainability risks. However, a survey found that environmental sensitive companies in Malaysia including palm oil mills are still in the infancy stage of improving their risk management towards managing sustainability risks and are not ready for SRM implementation (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016c). No specific guideline is one of the reasons why SRM is not widely implemented globally (Schulte & Knuts, 2022) let alone in Malaysia. Hence, companies' proactive initiative in managing sustainability risks, originated from their internal and external environment, may determine the implementation of SRM (Wijethilake & Lama, 2018). The exposure to sustainability risks differs across companies although they are from similar industry (Rostamzadeh et al., 2018), Thus, different companies may have different determinants to guide them in implementing the SRM. In this regard, the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills may be influenced by and dependent on internal and external determinants in which they operate. However, empirical evidences on the influence of company's internal and external determinants remain silent and unclear (Subramaniam et al., 2015). Thus, there is a need to study the influence of internal and external determinants to effectively implement the SRM.

Despite the evidence of negative impact sustainability risks can bring to companies and the benefits of implementing SRM, Malaysia companies are not ready to implement it. Lack of information on the positive influence of SRM on sustainability performance is found as a reason to affect the readiness of implementing SRM (Schulte & Knuts, 2022; Wong, 2014). Previous literature in risk management tends to focus on financial performance (see Gordon et al., 2009; Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011; Paape & Speklé, 2012). Palm oil mills are demanded to improve their sustainability performance in the area of social, environment, and economic. By implementing the SRM, palm oil mills can control their behaviour and activities in addressing sustainability issues which in turn, improves sustainability performance. Thus, the extent to which the SRM implementation positively influences sustainability performance in Malaysian palm oil mills is worthwhile to be investigated.

Gordon et al. (2009) argued that the impact of risk management on performance is dependent upon the appropriate match between risk management and several organisation-specific determinants. This is in line with the mediation form of fit under the contingency theory which posits that performance is not the result of only implementing MCS but also depends upon the match between the MCS and organisation's specific determinants (Mikes & Kaplan, 2015). It means that the appropriate fit between organisation-specific determinants and risk management implementation will improve performance. Literature has documented the relation between risk management-performance and specific determinants with main focus are given on risk management and firm financial performance in financial institutions (Beasley et al., 2005; Gordon et al., 2009; Soltanizadeh et al., 2016). Given that SRM research is still emerging in Malaysia as well as globally (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016a), sufficient supportive evidence is needed to study the determinants-SRM-performance mediation form of fit to provide useful insight on the key specific determinants that can assist palm oil mills to effectively implement SRM which in turn positively improves their sustainability performance.

1.4 Research Objectives

The general objective of this study is to provide empirical evidence of the management of sustainability risks in palm oil mills by implementing SRM. Moreover, this study also aims to investigate the extent of internal and external determinants that influence the implementation of SRM and the impact of SRM implementation on sustainability performance.

Based on the general research objective, this study is aimed at achieving the following specific objectives:

1. To investigate the management of sustainability risk by implementing SRM in palm oil mills.

- 2. To investigate the relationship between internal determinants (namely sustainability strategy, business size, top management support) and the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills.
- 3. To investigate the relationship between external determinants (namely environment uncertainty, regulatory pressure, competitive pressure, normative pressure) and the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills.
- 4. To investigate the impact of SRM implementation on sustainability performance of palm oil mills.
- 5. To investigate the mediating effect of SRM implementation on the relationship between internal and external determinants and sustainability performance.

1.5 Research Questions

Based on the research objectives, this study attempts to answer the following research questions:

- 1. How can SRM implementation assist palm oil mills in managing sustainability risks?
- 2. What are the relationships between internal determinants (namely business size, sustainability strategy, top management support) and the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills?
- 3. What are the relationships between external determinants (namely environment uncertainty, regulatory pressure, normative pressure, competitive pressure) and the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills?
- 4. What is the relationship between the implementation of SRM and sustainability performance of palm oil mills?
- 5. Does the implementation of SRM mediate the relationship between internal and external determinants and sustainability performance?

1.6 Motivation of the study

The alarming sustainability issues as a consequence of companies' activities as well as demands for sustainability practices from various stakeholders have exposed companies in numerous industries to the emergence of sustainability risks that comprise economic, environmental and social risks. Sustainability risks often put company's sustainability performance and survival at risk. This has encouraged this study to explore an appropriate MCS to control companies' activities and to provide sound information for the managers to anticipate and

meet the sustainability demands from various stakeholders as well as maintaining the company's sustainability performance. Literature (e.g., Bhimani, 2009; Rasid et al., 2014; Themsen & Skærbæk, 2018) claims that risk management that consists of risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response, and risk monitoring, as an appropriate MCS that has the ability to control companies' activities. Review of literature found that studies in risk management widely focus on the level of implementation, the determinants of risk management and the impact of risk management on financial performance. review of literature also found that there was lack of research studying the management of sustainability risk. However, several literatures claim that the risk management currently employed by companies is inadequate to manage sustainability risks (e.g., Bromiley et al., 2015a; Gendron et al., 2016; Soin & Collier, 2013) due to the main focus on managing financial risks (Wong, 2014). This is evident in the recent risk events which have accentuated the poor of and casted doubt on the existing risk management in companies to ensure the company's safety and survival. This motivates this study to explore the new emerging topic of sustainability risk management. In order to achieve business sustainability, it is important to highlight that managing financial risks is not adequate to gauge business performance. Sustainability risk management is perceived as vital approach for companies to sustain themselves over long-term period due to its capacity to manage broad spectrum of sustainability risks. Although there is a growing interest in sustainability risk management research among scholars and practitioners, previous studies only focused on the conceptual and theoretical explanation without systematic empirical evidence (see Abdul Aziz et al., 2015, 2016b; Anderson & Anderson, 2009; Soomro & Lai, 2017; Thöni et al., 2013; Yilmaz & Flouris, 2010). Several studies have attempted to advance the study in this new field (e.g., Bui & de Villiers, 2017; Hofmann et al., 2014; Rostamzadeh et al., 2018; Sakhel, 2017; Zimmer et al., 2017). However, the management of sustainability risks among these studies is limited to managing environmental risk, social risk or economic risks separately without discussing the sustainability risks for a specific industry. In addition, the implementation of the SRM in managing the sustainability risks is also limited to the risk management in isolated approach. This motivates the present study to investigate the management of sustainability risks comprising the economic, environmental and social risks using the complete risk management framework that includes risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response and risk monitoring. Literature asserted that companies may have to manage different set of sustainability risk based on their business activities and environment. Hence, the implementation of SRM to effectively manage the sustainability risks is determined by different determinants, which in turn will have a significant impact on the companies' sustainability performance. SRM is an emerging topic. It is imperative to provide empirical evidence on the implementation of SRM, its determinants and performance. Therefore, this study is motivated to examine the relationship between internal and external determinants of SRM, the implementation of SRM, and sustainability performance in a specific industry context from the perspective of developing countries.

1.7 Significance of the Study

Recently, research on risk management and sustainability has received a particular attention. Specifically, there is an increasing attention being given towards the implementation of risk management system that can manage the emerging sustainability risks, as these risks can have a critical adverse impact to companies' sustainability. Sustainability risk management (SRM) represents an excellent mechanism for addressing these challenges across industry (Wong, 2014). However, previous studies mostly focused on the conceptual and theoretical explanation without systematic empirical evidence. Therefore, this study is significant to provide empirical evidence on the implementation of SRM within the context of industry specific sustainability risk, contributing to the growing research of SRM.

This study advances the SRM literature by managing the three sustainability elements using the four risk management components in an integrated manner. The management of sustainability risk documented by previous studies solely focused on environmental risks or social risks. In fact, the discussion of the four components of risk management framework as a controlling system is limited in isolated approach. Integrating sustainability risks and risk management is crucial to the management of companies' real risks and is essential for a sustainable and successful business. Towards establishing the strategic link between risk management and sustainability, both concepts are not mainly focusing on the mitigation of the sustainability risk issues, but also bringing opportunities in accelerating the business growth for gaining competitive advantage and business sustainability. Therefore, this study is significant to the body of knowledge in terms of providing findings on identification of sustainability risk, assessment of the impact of sustainability, appropriate response to sustainability risks, and risk monitoring, which in turn enhance company's sustainability performance.

Literature asserts that companies are exposed to different spectrum of sustainability risk under different circumstances. The argument is related to the implementation of SRM in managing sustainability risk that depends on the environment where the companies operate. Therefore, this study is vital to provide new light on SRM field particularly on the determinants to influence the implementation of SRM in companies. The combination of contingent variables and institutional pressures as proposed determinants of SRM implementation contributes to the understanding of SRM study. In fact, the use of contingency and institutional theory as underpinning theories would advance the theoretical knowledge in this filed, particularly in explaining the determinants variables that influence the SRM implementation. Moreover, this study provides significant contribution on the contingency theory as the mediating role of SRM is examined using this theory.

This study is also significant to the Malaysian palm oil industry, especially the palm oil mills, by giving a better understanding on the importance of managing sustainability risk by implementing sustainability risk management. Despite the awareness, literature reveals that companies are still not ready to implement SRM. It might be due to lack of guideline and benchmark for implementing SRM. Therefore, the findings of this study are significant to assist palm oil mill managers in implementing sustainability risk management. Specifically, the findings of this study may provide greater understanding to the nature and impact of sustainability risks in palm oil mills, strategies used to respond to those risks, and the best risk monitoring tool to manage risk response.

Finally, the results of this study may be beneficial to several institutions in Malaysia, such as the Malaysian Palm Oil Board (MPOB), Malaysian Palm Oil Council (MPOC), Ministry of Plantation Industries and Commodities, and other relevant policy makers, to emphasise and strengthen the importance of risk management in addressing broad spectrum of risks – economic, environment, social – to maintain the sustainability of palm oil industry. The information provided in this study may be significantly useful to facilitate the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills. In addition, the proposed determinants in this study are crucial as they provide the information needed by the industry practitioners in planning and strategizing their SRM implementation. The determinants also highlight further attention and improvement needed in the policies such as focus on enhancing sustainability strategy with better regulation in the industry towards implementing SRM to improve sustainability performance. Overall, the research problems, research gaps, research objectives and research significance are summarised in Table 1.1.

Tab	Table 1.1: Summary of research problems, research gaps, research objectives and research significance	roblems, research gaps, reseal	rch objectives and research	significance
Re	Research Problem	Research Gap	Research objective (RO)	Research Significance
- '	Inadequate risk management to address sustainability risks (WBCSD, 2017).	Many organisations already have risk management to deal successfully with traditional economic risks. The emergence of sustainability risks	RO1: To investigate the management of sustainability risk by implementing SRM in palm oil mills	This study is significance to provide empirical evidence on the implementation of SRM within the context of industry specific
7	Poor implementation of risk management in Malaysian palm oil mills (Abdullah et al., 2017; Jamaluddin et al., 2018).	however, provides greatest challenge for the company to manage them (Wong, 2014).	UL	sustainability risk, contributing to the growing research of SRM.
-	89% of companies indicate that sustainability risks have an adverse impact on their performance (WBCSC, 2017).	Empirical evidences on the influence of company's internal and external determinants remain silent and unclear (Subramaniam et al., 2015; Wiiethilake & I ama 2018)	RO2: To investigate the relationship between internal determinants and the implementation of SRM in palm oil	This study is vital to provide new light on SRM field particularly on the determinants to influence the implementation of SRM in companies. The compination of contingent
6	Environmental sensitive companies in Malaysia including palm oil mills are still in the infancy stage of improving their risk management towards managing sustainability risk (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016).			variables and institutional pressures as proposed determinants of SRM implementation contributes to the understanding of SRM study
က်	No specific guideline to implement SRM (Schulte & Knuts, 2022).		RO3: To investigate the relationship between external deferminants and the implementation of SRM in palm oil mills.	
-	89% of companies indicate that sustainability risks have an adverse impact on their performance (WBCSC, 2017).	Previous literature in risk management tends to focus on financial performance (see Gordon, Loeb, & Tseng, 2009; Hoyt & Liebenberg, 2011; Paape & Speklé, 2012).	RO4: To investigate the impact of SRM implementation on sustainability performance of palm oil mills.	This study is significance to the body of knowledge in terms of providing findings on the implementation of SRM and company's sustainability performance.
2	Companies in Malaysia including palm oil mills are not ready for implementing SRM (Abdul Aziz et al., 2016c).			

Table 1.1: Continued

- SRM on sustainability performance (Wong, 2014; Schulte, 2022) especially from the local context. Lack of information on the influence of
- SRM implementation is relatively new in Malaysia

Literature has

the relationship between internal and external determinants and RO5: To investigate the mediating effect of SRM implementation on sustainability performance. documented the performance and firm-specific determinants with specific focus are relation between risk management-

importance of contingency theory in explaining the mediating role of SRM implementation, contributing to the risk This study is significance to enrich the management and management control system literature.



1.8 Scope of the Study

This study focuses on the palm oil mills in Malaysia. Palm oil mills are chosen because mills are responsible producing the main units in palm oil industry which is crude palm oil (CPO). As a result of consistent mills production, Malaysia is currently the second largest producer in the world. Malaysian palm oil industry is export-oriented industry. The total export of CPO alone is more than 70% out of total export of palm oil products. Correspondingly, the export of CPO has significantly contributed to the total export revenue which has been identified as the backbone of palm oil industry and Malaysian economy. This can be shown by the significant contributions played by palm oil mills that has propelled the palm oil industry to be a leading driving force of agricultural sector as the third largest contributor to Malaysian GDP in 2020. Therefore, the sustainability of palm oil mills is crucial to continuously play a significant role to the growth of Malaysian palm oil industry.

The sustainability issues from the production of CPO in palm oil mill have exposed palm oil mills to the sustainability risks that threaten the mills' sustainability performance. Consequently, palm oil mills should place a greater concern for their sustainability risk management. Also, due to increasing demand for sustainability palm oil production from various stakeholders, the SRM is expected to be diverse and extensive in palm oil mills. Hence, the answer related to management of sustainability by implementing SRM is crucial in providing counter evidence to the claim made by stakeholders on the sustainability issues as a result of palm oil production.

The target sample is palm oil mills across Malaysia, including both in Peninsular and East Malaysia. The is due to the number of palm oil mill in Peninsular and East Malaysia that is relatively balance with 54% from Peninsular and 46% from Sabah and Sarawak, including palm oil mills from both geographical areas that are capable in boosting the response rate. In addition, the answer from these two different geographical areas enrich the findings of this study. The study's target respondents are operation managers, including assistant managers, executives, sustainability officer, and safety officer, due to their participation in the operations, and their vital roles in the decision-making of sustainable palm oil production.

1.9 Definition of Key Terms

The following are operational definitions of key term, presented in alphabetical order.

Business Size (BS)

Business size is defined as the capacity to process FBB per hour (Azman, 2014).

Competitive Pressure (CP)

Competitive pressure is defined as the replication of a company to proven techniques or practices of successful competitors in the industry when faced with ambiguous and uncertain situations (Chu et al., 2018; Jamil et al., 2015).

Normative Pressure (NP)

Normative pressure refers to the collective expectations, norms, and standards within a particular organisational context originated from professional groups that push companies to adopt any prevailing behaviours and practices to conform with social legitimacy concerns (Jalaludin et al., 2011; Strauss et al., 2013; S. Wang et al., 2018).

Perceived Environmental Uncertainty (PEU)

Perceived environmental uncertainty refers to predictability, certainty and stability in organisation's business environment with respect to sustainable development (Duncan, 1972; Jusoh, 2010; Pondeville et al., 2013).

Regulatory Pressure (RP)

Regulatory pressure is defined as the formal and informal pressure from the environment that provides rules, rewards, and sanctions as to influence the actions of a company (Jalaludin et al., 2011). Among the sources of regulatory pressure are governmental legislation, as well as other organisations upon which the company is dependent (S. Wang et al., 2018).

Sustainability Performance (SP)

Sustainability performance refers to the development that meets the needs of present generation, while protecting the human and natural resources for the future generations to meet their need (Lintukangas et al., 2019; Rajesh, 2020). Sustainability performance comprises three elements: economic, environmental, and social (EES) performance. For companies to become relevant in the 21st century, sustainability performance is all about incorporating environmental performance, economic efficiency, and social equity into companies' operations.

Sustainability Risk

Risks that are categorised into economic risks, environmental risks and social risks emerging from sustainability issues encompassing economic issues, environmental issues, and social issues as a result of companies' internal activities and interaction with external environment. Sustainability Risk Management (SRM)

Sustainability risk management is defined as a risk management framework that manages the three elements of sustainability by using the four risk management components, namely risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response and risk mitigation.

SRM Implementation

The practice of implementing risk identification, risk assessment and analysis, risk response, and risk monitoring to manage sustainability risk comprising economic, environmental and social risk. SRM and SRM implementation carry the same objective of managing sustainability risks using the four components of risk management framework. Thus, the terms SRM and SRM implementation are used interchangeably in this study.

Sustainability Strategy (SS)

Sustainability in palm oil manufacturing heavily focuses on producing sustainable palm oil at the same time minimising the sustainability issues. In this study, sustainability strategy refers to the integration of sustainability with the firm's strategic planning that aims to reduce the impact of operations on the economic, environmental and social sustainability through products, processes and corporate policies (Banerjee et al., 2003; Latan et al., 2018).

Top management Support (TMS)

Top management support refers commitment of top management to necessary supports towards addressing the sustainability issues and achieving sustainable palm oil.

1.10 Organisation of the Thesis

The construction of thesis study is organised into eight chapters and briefly explained as follows.

Chapter 1 introduces the research by presenting the background of the study and the problem statement. Then, the chapter presents the research objectives and research questions. The chapter then explains the significance of the study to academic and practitioners. This is followed by the scope of the study and ends with thesis organisation.

Chapter 2 provides discussion on review of all the relevant literature pertaining to sustainability and risk management. It starts with the sustainability concept. Then, it is followed by issues in sustainability that lead to the emergence of sustainability risk. In order to understand the way to manage sustainability risk,

this study covers the conceptual and empirical studies on risk management. It also highlights the evolution of risk management practice in company from silobased to holistic risk management approach. Due to the limitations of current risk management practice, which is widely known as Enterprise Risk Management (ERM), the review of literature continues to the sustainability risk management. Overall, this chapter intends to indicate the point of departure of this study.

Chapter 3 presents the theoretical and conceptual framework for this study. It details out the component of conceptual framework. All variables involved in the conceptual framework are identified and discussed further. This is followed by the development of hypotheses tested in this study.

Chapter 4 thoroughly explains the research context of the study. This chapter begins with the development of the palm oil in Malaysia, the importance of palm oil mills and the contribution of palm oil mills. Subsequently, the discussion continues with some sustainability issues in palm oil production that attracts the need of risk management. Overall, the purposes of this chapter are to provide necessary background to the research and the reasons this research context is chosen.

Chapter 5 covers the methodology that employed in this study which covers the data collection, survey design and data analysis methods. The chapter starts with the justification of research design in this study. The next focus of this chapter is the explanation of the development of the survey questionnaire which also includes the measurement of research variables. This is followed by the discussion on data sources as well as the sampling strategy. This chapter ends with some explanations on the data analysis that employed in this study.

Chapter 6 discusses the findings of this study. It covers the results of response rate, respondent's and mill's profiles. Before the main analysis took place, the multivariate assumptions had been conducted to test normality, linearity, multicollinearity, and homoscedasticity assumptions. Once the multivariate assumptions had been fulfilled, the analysis proceeded to the main analysis using failure mode effect analysis (FMEA) and structural equation modelling (SEM). The chapter ends with a short summary of the chapter.

Chapter 7 presents the discussion of the findings. The discussion is presented in accordance with the research objective. In order for the discussion to be well explained, support from literature and useful insights from the industry are also presented.

Chapter 8 concludes the overall study. This chapter discusses the conclusion of the study by stating the research issue, research objective, literature review, methodology, and the findings of this study. Next, this chapter provides

theoretical and managerial contributions. Finally, limitations and future recommendations end this chapter.

1.11 Summary

The chapter provides an overview of the entire study. It starts with the background of the study that provides explanation on the SRM as the main focus of the study. It is developed with the problem statement that illustrates the problem of sustainability performance of palm oi mills, the reason why the US & EU boycott Malaysia palm oil, the problem in palm oil mills, and the problem of risk management in palm oil mills. Deriving from the problem statement, this study develops the research objectives and research questions. It is followed by the motivation and significance of the study. Next, the study briefly describes the scope of the study where the details explanation is described in research context chapter. The study also outlines the definition of key terms for the reference of the readers. Finally, this study ends with the organisation of the whole thesis.

REFERENCES

- Abdel-Kader, M., & Luther, R. (2008). The impact of firm characteristics on management accounting practices: A UK-based empirical analysis. *British Accounting Review*.
- Abdel-Maksoud, A., Kamel, H., & Elbanna, S. (2016). Investigating relationships between stakeholders' pressure, eco-control systems and hotel performance. *International Journal of Hospitality Management*, *59*, 95–104.
- Abdelrehim, N., Linsley, P., & Verma, S. (2017). Understanding risk disclosures as a function of social organisation: A neo-Durkheimian institutional theory-based study of Burmah Oil Company 1971–1976. *British Accounting Review*.
- Abdul Aziz, N. A., Abdul Manab, N., & Othman, S. N. (2016a). Critical Success Factors of Sustainability Risk Management (SRM) Practices in Malaysian Environmentally Sensitive Industries. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 219(July), 4–11.
- Abdul Aziz, N. A., Abdul Manab, N., & Othman, S. N. (2016b). Managing The Adverse Impact of Crises and Disasters Through Sustainability Risk Management (SRM). Science International Journal, 28(2), 1827–1831.
- Abdul Aziz, N. A., Abdul Manab, N., & Othman, S. N. (2016c). Sustainability Risk Management (SRM): An Extension of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Concept. *International Journal of Management and Sustainability*, 5(1), 1–10.
- Abdul Aziz, N. A., Manab, N. A., & Othman, S. N. (2015). Exploring the Perspectives of Corporate Governance and Theories on Sustainability Risk Management (SRM). Asian Economic and Financial Review, 5(10), 1148–1158.
- Abdul Aziz, Nor Azah, Senik, R., Foong, S. Y., Ong, T. S., & Attan, H. (2017). Influence of institutional pressures on the adoption of green initiatives. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, *11*(3 Special Issue), 939–967.
- Abdul Razak, S. E., Mustapha, M., & Abu Kasim, N. A. (2016). The Effect of Balanced Scorecard on Psychological Empowerment and Managerial Performance in Malaysian Hotel Sector. *Journal of Technology Management and Business*, 3(2), 1–13.
- Abdullah, I., Mahmood, W. H. W., Fauadi, H. F. M., Rahman, M. N. A., & Mohamed, S. B. (2017). Sustainability manufacturing practices in Malaysian palm oil mills: Priority and current performance. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 28(3), 278–298.

- Abdullah, I., Wan Mahmood, W. H., Fauadi, M. H. F. M., Rahman, M. N. A., & Ahmad, F. (2015). Sustainability in Malaysian palm oil: A review on manufacturing perspective. *Polish Journal of Environmental Studies*, 24(4), 1463–1475.
- Abdullah, M. H. S. B., Janor, H., Hamid, M. A., & Yatim, P. (2017). The Effect of Enterprise Risk Management on Firm Value: Evidence from Malaysian Technology Firms. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, *49*(1), 3–11.
- Abdullah, M., Shukor, Z. A., Mohamed, Z. M., & Ahmad, A. (2015). Risk management disclosure: A study on the effect of voluntary risk management disclosure toward firm value. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*.
- Abernethy, M. A., & Brownell, P. (1997). Management control systems in research and development organizations: The role of accounting, behavior and personnel controls. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 22(3–4), 233–248.
- Abu Bakar, L. J., & Ahmad, H. (2010). Assessing the relationship between firm resources and product innovation performance: A resource-based view. *Business Process Management Journal*, 16(3), 420–435.
- Aguinis, H., Cascio, W. F., & Ramani, R. S. (2017). Science's reproducibility and replicability crisis: International business is not immune. *Journal of International Business Studies*, 48(6), 653–663.
- Aguinis, H., Gottfredson, R. K., & Joo, H. (2013). Best-Practice Recommendations for Defining, Identifying, and Handling Outliers. *Organizational Research Methods*, *16*(2), 270–301.
- Ahmad, M. F., Ismail, S. N., Hassan, M. F., Wei, C. S., Abdul, N., Ahmad, A. N. A., Nawi, M. N. M., & Rahman, N. A. A. (2019). A study of green factory practices in Malaysia manufacturing industry. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 8(1), 772–776.
- Ajibike, W. A., Adeleke, A. Q., Mohamad, F., Bamgbade, J. A., Nawi, M. N. M., & Moshood, T. D. (2021). An evaluation of environmental sustainability performance via attitudes, social responsibility, and culture: A mediated analysis. *Environmental Challenges*, 4(May), 100161.
- Alghamdi, A. H., & Li, L. (2013). Adapting design-based research as a research methodology in educational settings. *International Journal of Education and Research*, *1*(10), 1–12.
- Alsayegh, M. F., Rahman, R. A., & Homayoun, S. (2020). Corporate economic, environmental, and social sustainability performance transformation through ESG disclosure. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *12*(9).

- Álvarez-Gil, M. ., Burgos-Jiménez, J., & Céspedes-Lorente, J. . (2001). An analysis of environmental management, organizational context and performance of Spanish hotels. *Omega*, 29(6), 457–471.
- Álvarez Jaramillo, J., Zartha Sossa, J. W., & Orozco Mendoza, G. L. (2019). Barriers to sustainability for small and medium enterprises in the framework of sustainable development—Literature review. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(4), 512–524.
- Amini, M., Bienstock, C. C., & Narcum, J. A. (2018). Status of corporate sustainability: a content analysis of Fortune 500 companies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 27(8), 1450–1461.
- Anderson, D. R. (2006). The critical importance of sustainability risk management. *Risk Management*.
- Anderson, D. R., & Anderson, K. E. (2009). Sustainability risk management. *Risk Management and Insurance Review*, *12*(1), 25–38.
- Anthony, R. N. (1965). *Planning and control systems: a framework for analysis*. Division of Research, Graduate School of Business Administration, Harvard University.
- Anyaoha, K. E., Sakrabani, R., Patchigolla, K., & Mouazen, A. M. (2018). Critical evaluation of oil palm fresh fruit bunch solid wastes as soil amendments: Prospects and challenges. *Resources, Conservation & Recycling*, 136(April), 399–409.
- Appiah, B. K., Donghui, Z., Majumder, S. C., & Monaheng, M. P. (2020). Effects of environmental strategy, uncertainty and top management commitment on the environmental performance: Role of environmental management accounting and environmental management control system. *International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy*, 10(1), 360–370.
- Arena, M., Arnaboldi, M., & Azzone, G. (2010). The organizational dynamics of Enterprise Risk Management. *Accounting, Organizations and Society.*
- Arena, M., Arnaboldi, M., & Palermo, T. (2017). The dynamics of (dis)integrated risk management: A comparative field study. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*.
- Arevalo, J. A., Castelló, I., De Colle, S., Lenssen, G., Neumann, K., & Zollo, M. (2011). Introduction to the special issue: Integrating sustainability in business models. *Journal of Management Development*, *30*(10), 941–954.
- Asenahabi, B. M. (2019). Basics of Research Design: A Guide to selecting appropriate research design. *International Journal of Contemporary Applied Researches*, 6(5), 2308–1365.

- Ashton, D., Beattie, V., Broadbent, J., Brooks, C., Draper, P., Ezzamel, M., Gwilliam, D., Hodgkinson, R., Hoskin, K., Pope, P., & Stark, A. (2009). British research in accounting and finance (2001-2007): The 2008 research assessment exercise. *British Accounting Review*, *41*(4), 199–207.
- Atherton, J. (2011). Supply Chain Sustainability. *UNEP Business and Industry Global Dialogue (April*), 23–26.
- Azman, I. (2014). The Impact of Palm Oil Mills' Capacity on Technical Efficiency of Palm Oil Millers in Malaysia. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 14(March), 34–41.
- Azman, Ismail, Siti, A., & Zuraihan, S. (2015). Labour Productivity in the Malaysian Oil Palm Plantation Sector. Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal, 15(2), 10.
- Baah, C., Jin, Z., & Tang, L. (2020). Organizational and regulatory stakeholder pressures friends or foes to green logistics practices and financial performance: Investigating corporate reputation as a missing link. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247, 119125.
- Baird, K., Harrison, G., & Reeve, R. (2007). Success of activity management practices: the influence of organizational and cultural factors. 47(June 2005), 47–67.
- Banerjee, S. B., Iyer, E. S., & Kashyap, R. K. (2003). Corporate Environmentalism: Antecedents and Influence of Conceptualization: What Is Environmentalism: A Conceptual. April.
- Baumgartner, R. J., & Rauter, R. (2017). Strategic perspectives of corporate sustainability management to develop a sustainable organization. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 140, 81–92.
- Baxter, R., Bedard, J. C., Hoitash, R., & Yezegel, A. (2013). Enterprise risk management program quality: Determinants, values relevance, and the financial crisis. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, 30(4), 1264–1295.
- Beasley, M. S., Branson, B., & Pagach, D. (2015). An analysis of the maturity and strategic impact of investments in ERM. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 34(3), 219–243.
- Beasley, M. S., Clune, R., & Hermanson, D. (2006). The Impact of Enterprise Risk Management on the Internal Audit Function. *Journal of Forensic Accounting*, 8113(February 2006), 1–20.
- Beasley, M. S., Clune, R., & Hermanson, D. R. (2005). Enterprise risk management: An empirical analysis of factors associated with the extent of implementation. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*.

- Beasley, M. S., & Scott Showalter, D. (2015). ERM and Sustainability: Together on The Road Ahead. *Strategic Finance*, *97*(3), 32–39.
- Becker, J. M., Klein, K., & Wetzels, M. (2012). Hierarchical Latent Variable Models in PLS-SEM: Guidelines for Using Reflective-Formative Type Models. *Long Range Planning*, *45*(5–6), 359–394.
- Begum, H., Alam, A. S. A. F., & Awang, A. H. (2019). Sustainability of Malaysian oil palm: a critical review. *International Journal of Environment and Sustainable Development*, 18(4), 387–408.
- Begum, H., Alam, A. S. A. F., Er, A. C., & Abdul Ghani, A. B. (2019). Environmental sustainability practices among palm oil millers. *Clean Technologies and Environmental Policy*, 21(10), 1979–1991.
- Bentley, K. A., Omer, T. C., & Sharp, N. Y. (2013). Business strategy, financial reporting irregularities, and audit effort. *Contemporary Accounting Research*, *30*(2), 780–817.
- Bertinetti, G. S., Cavezzali, E., & Gardenal, G. (2013). The Effect of the Enterprise Risk Management Implementation on the Firm Value of European Companies. In SSRN.
- Bharwani, S., & Mathews, D. (2012). Risk identification and analysis in the hospitality industry: Practitioners' perspectives from India. *Worldwide Hospitality and Tourism Themes*, 4(5), 410–427.
- Bhimani, A. (2009). Risk management, corporate governance and management accounting: Emerging interdependencies. *Management Accounting Research*.
- Blumberg, B., Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2011). Business research methods (3rd European ed.): McGraw-Hill Education.
- Borazon, E. Q., Huang, Y. C., & Liu, J. M. (2021). Green market orientation and organizational performance in Taiwan's electric and electronic industry: the mediating role of green supply chain management capability. *Journal of Business and Industrial Marketing*, *September*.
- Bouma, J. J., & van der Veen, M. (2002). Wanted: A Theory for Environmental Management Accounting. January, 279–290.
- Bromiley, P., McShane, M., Nair, A., & Rustambekov, E. (2015). Enterprise Risk Management: Review, Critique, and Research Directions. *Long Range Planning*, *48*(4), 265–276.
- Bui, B., & de Villiers, C. (2017). Business strategies and management accounting in response to climate change risk exposure and regulatory uncertainty. *British Accounting Review*, *49*(1), 4–24.

- Burrit, Rogger, L., Hahn, T., & Schaltegger, S. (2002). Towards a comprehensive framework for environmental management accounting Links between business actors and environmental management accounting tool. *Australian Accounting Review*, *12*(2), 39–50.
- Buysse, K., & Verbeke, A. (2003). Proactive environmental strategies: A stakeholder management perspective. *Strategic Management Journal*, 24(5), 453–470.
- Byrne, B. M. (2016). Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS: Basic concepts, application and programming. In *Structural Equation Modeling With AMOS* (3rd ed.). Routledge.
- Chapman, R. . (2006). Simple Tools and Techniques for Enterprise Risk Management. Wiley, Chichester.
- Cheah, J. H., Ting, H., Ramayah, T., Memon, M. A., Cham, T. H., & Ciavolino, E. (2019). A comparison of five reflective–formative estimation approaches: reconsideration and recommendations for tourism research. In *Quality and Quantity* (Vol. 53, Issue 3). Springer Netherlands.
- Chenhall, R. H. (2003). Management control systems design within its organizational context: findings from contingency-based research and directions for the future. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 28(2–3), 127–168.
- Chenhall, R. H. (2006). Theorizing Contingencies in Management Control Systems Research. *Handbooks of Management Accounting Research*, 1, 163–205.
- Chenhall, R. H., & Langfield-Smith, K. (2007). Multiple Perspectives of Performance Measures. *European Management Journal*, 25(4), 266–282.
- Chenhall, R., & Morris, D. (1986). The impact of structure, environment and independence on perceived usefulness of management accounting systems. *The Accounting Review*, *61*(1), 16–35.
- Chiew, Y. L., & Shimada, S. (2013). Current state and environmental impact assessment for utilizing oil palm empty fruit bunches for fuel, fiber and fertilizer A case study of Malaysia. *Biomass and Bioenergy*, 1–16.
- Chin, M. J., Poh, P. E., Tey, B. T., Chan, E. S., & Chin, K. L. (2013). Biogas from palm oil mill effluent (POME): Opportunities and challenges from Malaysia's perspective. *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews*, 26, 717–726.
- Choo, Y. M., Tan, Y. A., Krishnan, R., Kuntom, A., Bahari, M. M., Ibrahim, N. M. A. N., Puah, C. W., & Ismail, F. (2015). *Malaysian Oil Palm: Sustainability Manual* (!st Editio). Malaysian Palm Oil Board.

- Choong, C. G., & Mckay, A. (2014). Sustainability in the Malaysian palm oil industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *85*, 258–264.
- Christ, K. L. (2014). Water management accounting and the wine supply chain: Empirical evidence from Australia. *The British Accounting Review*, 46(4), 379–396.
- Christ, K. L., & Burritt, R. L. (2013). Environmental management accounting: the signi fi cance of contingent variables for adoption. *Journal of Cleaner Production*. 41, 163–173.
- Chu, Z., Xu, J., Lai, F., & Collins, B. J. (2018). Institutional theory and environmental pressures: The moderating effect of market uncertainty on innovation and firm performance. *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*.
- Clarkson, M. B. E. (1995). A stakeholder framework for analyzing and evaluating corporate social performance. *Academy of Management Review*, 20(1), 19–117.
- Collier, P. M., Berry, A. J., & Burke, G. T. (2006). *Risk and MA*. CIMA Publishing, Elsevier, Oxford.
- Colwell, S. R., & Joshi, A. W. (2013). Corporate Ecological Responsiveness: Antecedent Effects of Institutional Pressure and Top Management Commitment and Their Impact on Organizational Performance. Business Strategy and the Environment, 22(2), 73–91.
- Cooper, D. R., & Schindler, P. S. (2011). *Business Research Methods* (11th editi). New York: McGraw-Hill.
- Creswell, J. W. (2009). Research design: Qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches.
- Creswell, J. W. (2014). Research design: qualitative, quantitative, and mixed methods approaches. (3rd Editio). Thousand Oaks, California: SAGE Publications, Inc.
- Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2018). Designing a qualitative study. In *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design* (p. 45).
- Daft, R. (1992). Organization Theory and Design (4th ed.). West.
- Dai, J., Chan, H. K., & Yee, R. W. Y. (2018). Examining moderating effect of organizational culture on the relationship between market pressure and corporate environmental strategy. *Industrial Marketing Management*, 74(June 2017), 227–236.

- Das, M. K., & Gogoi, B. (2015). Observations and Cutoffs of Different Influence Measures in Multiple Linear Regression. *International Journal of Computational and Theoretical Statistics*, 2(2), 79–85.
- de Oliveira, U. R., Marins, F. A. S., Rocha, H. M., & Salomon, V. A. P. (2017). The ISO 31000 standard in supply chain risk management. In *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- DeCarlo, M. (2018). Unit of analysis and unit of observation. In *Scientific Inquiry* in *Social Work* (p. 182).
- Department of Occupational Safety and Health. (2008). Guidelines for Hazard Identification, Risk Assessment and Risk Control (HIRARC).
- Desjardins, J. (2009). An introduction to business ethics. London: McGraw Hill.
- Dimaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The Iron Cage Revisited: Institutional Isomorphism and Collective Rationality in Organizational. Source American Sociological Review.
- Donaldson, L. (2001). The Contingency Theory of Organisation. Sage, Thousand Oaks.
- Duncan, R. (1972). Characteristics of organizational environments and perceived environmental uncertainty. *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 17, 313–327.
- Eikelenboom, M., & de Jong, G. (2019). The impact of dynamic capabilities on the sustainability performance of SMEs. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 235, 1360–1370.
- Ekpo, F. ., Okey, E. ., & Asuquo, M. . (2014). Effect of oil palm empty fruit bunches (OPEFB) amendments in crude oil polluted soil on germination and growth performance of white mangrove species (Lagunculariaa Racemosa). European Environmental Sciences and Ecology Journal, 1(1), 19–28.
- Elkington, J. (1998). Partnerships from cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st-century business. *Environmental Quality Management*, 8(1).
- Engert, S., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2016). Corporate sustainability strategy Bridging the gap between formulation and implementation. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 113, 822–834.
- Ezechi, E. H., & Muda, K. (2019). Overview of trends in crude palm oil production and economic impact in Malaysia. *Sriwijaya Journal of Environment*, *4*(1), 19–26.

- Faisal, M. N. (2009). Prioritization of risks in supply chains. *Managing Supply Chain Risk and Vulnerability: Tools and Methods for Supply Chain Decision Makers*, 41–66.
- Falle, S., Rauter, R., Engert, S., & Baumgartner, R. J. (2016). Sustainability management with the Sustainability Balanced Scorecard in SMEs: Findings from an Austrian case study. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 8(6).
- Fan, H., Li, G., Sun, H., & Cheng, T. C. E. (2017). An information processing perspective on supply chain risk management: Antecedents, mechanism, and consequences. *International Journal of Production Economics*.
- Faris, C. (E&Y), Gilbert, B. (E&Y), LeBlanc, B. (E&Y), Ballou, B. (Miami U., & Heitger, D. L. (Miami U. (2013). Demystifying Sustainability Risk: Integrating the triple bottom line into an enterprise risk management program. Thought Leadership in ERM | Demystifying Sustainability Risk, 1–20.
- Farrell, M., & Gallagher, R. (2015). The Valuation Implications of Enterprise Risk Management Maturity. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 82(3), 625–657.
- Faul, F., Erdfelder, E., Lang, A.-G., & Buchner, A. (2007). G * Power 3: A flexible statistical power analysis program for the social, behavioral, and biomedical sciences. *Behavior Research Methods*, 39(2), 175–191.
- Ferreira, A., Moulang, C., & Hendro, B. (2010). Environmental management accounting and innovation: An exploratory analysis. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*.
- Ferreira, A., & Otley, D. (2009). The design and use of performance management systems: An extended framework for analysis. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(4), 263–282. h
- Field, An. (2013). Discovering Statistics Using IBM SPSS Statistics (4th ed.): SAGE Publications Ltd.
- Figge, F., Hahn, T., Schaltegger, S., & Wagner, M. (2002). The sustainability balanced scorecard Linking sustainability management to business strategy. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 11(5), 269–284.
- Fitzherbert, E. B., Struebig, M. J., Morel, A., Danielsen, F., Brühl, C. A., Donald, P. F., & Phalan, B. (2008). How will oil palm expansion affect biodiversity? In *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*.
- Florio, C., & Leoni, G. (2017). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: The Italian case. *British Accounting Review*.

- Foerstl, K., Reuter, C., Hartmann, E., & Blome, C. (2010). Journal of Purchasing & Supply Management Managing supplier sustainability risks in a dynamically changing environment Sustainable supplier management in the chemical industry. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 16(2), 118–130.
- Fornell, C., & Larcker, D. F. (1981). Evaluating structural equation models with unobservable variables and measurement error. *Journal of Marketing Research*, *18*, 39–50.
- Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Boston, MA: Pitman.
- Freeman, R. E., Harrison, J. S., Wicks, A. C., Parmar, B. L., & de Colle, S. (2010). Stakeholder theory: The state of the art. New York, NY: Cambridge University Press.
- Freudenreich, B., Lüdeke-Freund, F., & Schaltegger, S. (2020). A Stakeholder Theory Perspective on Business Models: Value Creation for Sustainability. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 166(1), 3–18.
- Gatzert, N. (2015). The impact of corporate reputation and reputation damaging events on financial performance: Empirical evidence from the literature. *European Management Journal*.
- Gendron, Y., Brivot, M., & Guénin-Paracini, H. (2016). The Construction of Risk Management Credibility Within Corporate Boardrooms. *European Accounting Review*, 25(3), 549–578.
- George, D., & Mallery, M. (2010). SPSS for windows step by step: A Simple Guide and Reference. Boston: Pearson.
- Ghazali, N. A. M. (2012). Risk management and disclosure in Malaysian corporations: managerial perceptions. *International Journal of Behavioural Accounting and Finance*.
- Giannakis, M., Dubey, R., Vlachos, I., & Ju, Y. (2020). Supplier sustainability performance evaluation using the analytic network process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247, 119439.
- Giannakis, M., & Papadopoulos, T. (2016). Supply chain sustainability: A risk management approach. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 171, 455–470.
- Giovannoni, E., Quarchioni, S., & Riccaboni, A. (2016). The Role of Roles in Risk Management Change: The Case of an Italian Bank. *European Accounting Review*, *25*(1), 109–129.
- Glavič, P., & Lukman, R. (2007). Review of sustainability terms and their definitions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *15*(18), 1875–1885.

- Gond, J. P., Grubnic, S., Herzig, C., & Moon, J. (2012). Configuring management control systems: Theorizing the integration of strategy and sustainability. *Management Accounting Research*, 23(3), 205–223.
- Gordon, L. A., Loeb, M. P., & Tseng, C. Y. (2009). Enterprise risk management and firm performance: A contingency perspective. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 28(4), 301–327.
- Gordon, L. A., & Miller, D. (1976). A contingency framework for the design of accounting information systems. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 1(1), 59–69.
- Gosselin, M. (2011). Contextual factors affecting the deployment of innovative performance measurement systems. *Journal of Applied Accounting Research*, 12(3), 260–277.
- Govindarajan, V. (1988). A contingency approach to strategy implementation at the business-unit level: Integrating administrative mechanisms with strategy. Academy of Management Journal, 31(4), 125–135.
- Govindarajan, V., & Gupta, A. K. (1985). Linking control systems to business unit strategy: impact on performance. *Accounting Organizations and Society*, 10(1), 51–66.
- Grace, M. F., Leverty, J. T., Phillips, R. D., & Shimpi, P. (2015). The value of investing in enterprise risk management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 82(2), 289–316.
- Gray, D. E. (2009). *Doing Research in the Real World* (3rd Editio). SAGE Publishing.
- Guenther, E., Endrikat, J., & Guenther, T. (2016). Environmental Management Control Systems: A Conceptualization and a Review of the Empirical Evidence. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 147–171.
- Gujarati, D. N. (2003). Basic Econometrics. 4th ed. Singapore: McGraw Hill.
- Gul, F. A., & Chia, Y. M. (1994). The effects of management accounting systems, perceived environmental uncertainty and decentralization on managerial performance: A test of three-way interaction. *Accounting, Organizations* and Society, 19(4–5), 413–426.
- Gunarathne, N., & Lee, K. (2015). Environmental Management Accounting (EMA) for environmental management and organizational change. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 11(3), 362–383.
- Hafizuddin-Syah, B. A. M., Shahida, S., & Fuad, S. H. (2018). Sustainability certifications and financial profitability: An analysis on palm oil companies in Malaysia. *Jurnal Pengurusan*, *54*(2018), 143–154.

- Hair, J. F., Black, W. C., Rabin, B. J., Anderson, R. E. (2010). *Multivariate Data analysis*. 7th Edition. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F. J., Black, W. C., Babin, B. J., Anderson, R. E., & Tatham, R. L. (2006). Multivariate Data Analysis: Sixth Edition. New Jersey: Pearson Prentice Hall.
- Hair, J. F., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2011). PLS-SEM: Indeed a silver bullet.
 Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice, 19(2), 139–152. hHair Jr, J. F.,
 Hult, G. T. M., Ringle, C. M., & Sarstedt, M. (2017). A Primer on Partial Least Squares Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM). In Sage Publications.
- Hall, M. (2008). The effect of comprehensive performance measurement systems on role clarity, psychological empowerment and managerial performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 33(2–3), 141–163.
- Harwood, I., & Humby, S. (2008). Embedding corporate responsibility into supply: A snapshot of progress. *European Management Journal*.
- Hayes, A. F. (2009). Beyond Baron and Kenny: Statistical mediation analysis in the new millennium. *Communication Monographs*, 76(4), 408–420.
- Henri, J. F., & Journeault, M. (2010). Eco-control: The influence of management control systems on environmental and economic performance. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *35*(1), 63–80.
- Hofmann, H., Busse, C., Bode, C., & Henke, M. (2013). A Foundation of Sustainability Related Supply Chain Risks in Stakeholder Theory. *Efficiency and Logistics*, 185–196.
- Hofmann, H., Busse, C., Bode, C., & Henke, M. (2014). Sustainability-Related Supply Chain Risks: Conceptualization and Management. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 23(3), 160–172.
- Hoque, Z. (2004). A contingency model of the association between strategy, environmental uncertainty and performance measurement: impact on organizational performance. 13, 485–502.
- Hoque, Z., & James, W. (2000). Linking Balanced Scorecard Measures to Size and Market Factors: Impact on Organizational Performance. *Journal of Management Accounting Research*, 12(1), 1–17.
- Hoque, Z., Mia, L., & Alam, M. (2001). Market Competition, Computer-Aided Manufacturing and Use of Multiple Performance Measures: an Empirical Study. *The British Accounting Review*, *33*(1), 23–45.
- Hörisch, J., Freeman, R. E., & Schaltegger, S. (2014). Applying Stakeholder Theory in Sustainability Management: Links, Similarities, Dissimilarities, and a Conceptual Framework. *Organization and Environment*, 27(4), 328–346.

- Hörisch, J., Schaltegger, S., & Freeman, R. E. (2020). Integrating stakeholder theory and sustainability accounting: A conceptual synthesis. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 275.
- Hoyt, R. E., & Liebenberg, A. P. (2011a). The Value of Enterprise Risk Management. *Journal of Risk and Insurance*, 78(4), 795–822.
- Hristov, I., Chirico, A., & Appolloni, A. (2019). Sustainability Value Creation, Survival, and Growth of the Company: A Critical Perspective in the Sustainability Balanced Scorecard (SBSC). Sustainability, 11(7), 2119.
- Hunt, S. D., Sparkman, R. D., & Wilcox, J. B. (2011). Survey Preliminary Findings Pretest. *Journal of Marketing*, *19*(2), 269–273.
- Ibrahim, M. I. S., Abdul Fatah, F., & Saili, A. R. (2020). A case study of consumer preferences towards different brands of palm oil in Shah Alam, Selangor, Malaysia. *Food Research*, 4(December), 40–52.
- Ilyas, S., Hu, Z., & Wiwattanakornwong, K. (2020). Unleashing the role of top management and government support in green supply chain management and sustainable development goals. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 27(8), 8210–8223.
- Isa, M. A. M., Baharim, A. T., Mohamed, S., Noh, M. K. A., Nasrul, F., Ibrahim, W. M. F. W., & Hassan, S. S. (2020). Crude Palm Oil Price Fluctuation in Malaysia. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 10(5), 879–892.
- Islam, K. S., Muthaiyah, S., & Fie, D. Y. G. (2020). Isomorphic drivers of institutional pressure and importance of environmental management system implementation towards the adoption propensity of green ICT. International Journal of Energy Economics and Policy, 10(6), 624–634.
- Ismail, K., Zainuddin, S., & Sapiei, N. S. (2010). The Use of Contingency Theory in Management and Accounting Research. *Asian Journal of Accounting Perspectives*, *3*, 22–37.
- Jabbour, M., & Abdel-Kader, M. (2016). ERM adoption in the insurance sector: Is it a regulatory imperative or business value driven? *Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management*, 13(4), 472–510.
- Jalaludin, D., Sulaiman, M., & Nik Ahmad, N. N. (2011). Understanding environmental management accounting (EMA) adoption: a new institutional sociology perspective. *Social Responsibility Journal*, 7(4), 540–557.
- Jamaludin, N. F., Hashim, H., Muis, Z. A., Zakaria, Z. Y., Jusoh, M., Yunus, A., & Abdul Murad, S. M. (2018). A sustainability performance assessment framework for palm oil mills. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 174, 1679– 1693.

- Jamil, C. Z. M., Mohamed, R., Muhammad, F., & Ali, A. (2015). Environmental management accounting practices in small medium manufacturing firms. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *172*, 619–626.
- Jarvis, C. B., Mackenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, P. M. (2003). A Critical Review of Construct Indicators and Measurement Model Misspecification in Marketing and Consumer Research. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 30(September 2003).
- Jassem, S., Azmi, A., & Zakaria, Z. (2018). Impact of sustainability balanced scorecard types on environmental investment decision-making. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(2).
- Jawahar, I. M., & Mclaughlin, G. L. (2001). Toward a Descriptive Stakeholder Theory: Life Cycle Approach. *Academy of Management Journal*, 26(3), 397–414.
- Jayarathna, B. C. P., & Wickramasinghe, C. N. (2019). Determinants of green supply chain practices of manufacturing companies in Sri Lanka. *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, 28(1), 103–127.
- Jordan, S., Jørgensen, L., & Mitterhofer, H. (2013). Performing risk and the project: Risk maps as mediating instruments. *Management Accounting Research*, 24(2), 156–174.
- Journeault, M. (2016). The influence of the eco-control package on environmental and economic performance: A natural resource-based. Journal of Management Accounting Research.
- June, S., & Mahmood, R. (2011). Role Ambiguity and Job Performance of. *Malaysian Management Journal*, 15, 1–20.
- Jusoh, R. (2010). The influence of perceived environmental uncertainty, firm size, and strategy on multiple performance measures usage. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(10), 1972–1984.
- Jusoh, R., Ibrahim, D. N., & Zainuddin, Y. (2008). The performance consequence of multiple performance measures usage: Evidence from the Malaysian manufacturers. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 57(2), 119–136.
- Kamaruddin, R., Abdullah, N., & Ayob, M. A. (2018). Determinants of job satisfaction among Malaysian youth working in the oil palm plantation sector. *Journal of Agribusiness in Developing and Emerging Economies*, 8(4), 678–692.
- Kamyab, H., Chelliapan, S., Md Din, M. F., Rezania, S., Khademi, T., & Kumar, A. (2018). Palm Oil Mill Effluent as an Environmental Pollutant. In *Palm Oil* (Issue July 2018).

- Kamyab, H., Soltani, M., Ponraj, M., Md Din, M. F., & Putri, E. V. (2013). A review on microalgae as potential lipid container with wastewater treating functions A review on microalgae as potential lipid container with wastewater treating functions. *Journal of Environmental Treatment Techniques*, 1(2), 76–80.
- Kasim, A. (2015). Environmental management system. *International Journal of Contemporary Hospitality Management*, 27(6), 1233–1253.
- Khan, H., Ahmed, R., & Halabi, A. K. (2010). The roles of degree of competition and types of business strategies in adopting multiple performance measurement practices: some reflections. In M. Tsamenyi & S. Uddin (Eds.), Research in Accounting in Emerging Economies (Vol. 10). Emerald Group Publishing Limited.
- Khani, A., & Ahmadi, M. (2012). Performance measurement using balanced scorecard measures and strategy based on Miles and Snow's typology in Iran. *African Journal of Business Management*, 6(46), 11391–11400.
- Khatun, R., Reza, M. I. H., Moniruzzaman, M., & Yaakob, Z. (2017). Sustainable oil palm industry: The possibilities. In *Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews* (Vol. 76, pp. 608–619).
- Kitsis, A. M., & Chen, I. J. (2020). Do motives matter? Examining the relationships between motives, SSCM practices and TBL performance. Supply Chain Management, 25(3), 325–341.
- Kitsis, A. M., & Chen, I. J. (2021). Do stakeholder pressures influence green supply chain Practices? Exploring the mediating role of top management commitment. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 316(July), 128258.
- Kline, R. B. (2010). *Principles and practice of structural equation modeling (3rd ed.)*. *New York: The Guilford Press.*
- Kock, N., & Hadaya, P. (2018). Minimum sample size estimation in PLS-SEM: The inverse square root and gamma-exponential methods. *Information Systems Journal*, 28(1), 227–261.
- Kock, N., & Lynn, G. S. (2012). Lateral Collinearity and Misleading Results in Variance-Based SEM: An Illustration and Recommendations. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *13*(7), 546–580.
- Krejcie, R. V., & Morgan, D. W. (1970). Determining sample size for research activities. *Educ Psychol Meas*.
- Krietner, R. (1998). Management (7th ed.). Boston: Houghton Mifflin.
- Kumar, M., Abdul Talib, S., & Ramayah, T. (2013). *Business Research Method*. Oxford University Press.

- Kumarasiri, J., & Gunasekarage, A. (2017). Risk regulation, community pressure and the use of management accounting in managing climate change risk: Australian evidence. *British Accounting Review*, 49(1), 25–38.
- Kumari, S., & Patil, Y. B. (2019). Enablers of sustainable industrial ecosystem: framework and future research directions. *Management of Environmental Quality: An International Journal*, 30(1), 61–86.
- Kushairi, A., Loh, S. K., Azman, I., Hishamuddin, E., Ong-Abdullah, M., Izuddin, Z. B. M. N., Razmah, G., Sundram, S., & Parveez, G. K. A. (2018). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and r&d progress in 2017. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(2), 163–195.
- Kushairi, A., Ong-Abdullah, M., Nambiappan, B., Hishamuddin, E., Zainal Bidin, M. N. I., Ghazali, R., Subramaniam, V., Sundram, S., & Parveez, G. K. A. (2019). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and r&d progress in 2018. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, *31*(2), 165–194.
- Lam, J. (2011). The Role of the Board in Enterprise Risk Management. *The RMA Journal*, 51–55.
- Lammers, J. C., & Garcia, M. A. (2017). Institutional Theory Approaches. *The International Encyclopedia of Organizational Communication, March*, 1–10.
- Langfield-Smith, K. (1997). Management control system and strategy: A critical reiew. Accounting Organizations and Society, 22(2), 207–232.
- Latan, H., Jabbour, C. J., Chiappetta, Jabbour, A. B. L. de S., Wamba, S. F., & Shahbaz, M. (2018). Effects of environmental strategy, environmental uncertainty and top management's commitment on corporate environmental performance: The role of environmental management accounting. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 180, 297–306.
- Lee, C., & Yang, H. (2011). Organization structure, competition and performance measurement systems and their joint effects on performance. *Management Accounting Research*, 22(2), 84–104.
- Lee, S. Y., & Klassen, R. D. (2016). Firms' Response to Climate Change: The Interplay of Business Uncertainty and Organizational Capabilities. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 25(8), 577–592.
- Leedy, P. D., & Ormrod, J. E. (2013). Planning your research project. In *Practical Research: Planning and Design* (p. 95).
- Lenssen, J.-J., Dentchev, N. A., & Roger, L. (2014). Sustainability, risk management and governance: towards an integrative approach. *Corporate Governance*, *14*(5), 670–684.
- Lewis-Beck, M. S., Bryman, A., & Liao, T. F. (2004). The SAGE Encyclopedia of Social Science Research Methods. *Sage Publications*, 1.

- Lim, C. I., Biswas, W., & Samyudia, Y. (2015). Review of existing sustainability assessment methods for Malaysian palm oil production. *Procedia CIRP*, 26, 13–18.
- Lim, X.-J., Radzol, A. R. M., Cheah, J.-H., & Wong, M. W. (2017). The Impact of Social Media Influencers on Purchase Intention and the Mediation Effect of Customer Attitude. *Asian Journal of Business Research*, 7(2), 19–36.
- Lineback, J. F., & Thompson, K. J. (2010). Conducting Nonresponse Bias Analysis for Business Surveys. 317–331.
- Lintukangas, K., Kähkönen, A. K., & Hallikas, J. (2019). The role of supply management innovativeness and supplier orientation in firms' sustainability performance. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 25(4), 100558.
- Lisi, I. E. (2015). Translating environmental motivations into performance: The role of environmental performance measurement systems. *Management Accounting Research*, 29, 27–44.
- Liu, J., Liu, Y., & Yang, L. (2020). Uncovering the influence mechanism between top management support and green procurement: The effect of green training. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 251, 119674.
- Liu, L., Ratnatunga, J., & Yao, L. J. (2014). Firm characteristics and balanced scorecard usage in Singaporean manufacturing firms. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management*, 22(3), 209–222.
- Loh, S. K., Nasrin, A. B., Mohamad Azri, S., Bukhari, N. A., Muzammil, N., & Stasha Elanor, R. A. (2019). Biogas Capturing Facilities in Palm Oil Mills: Current Status and Way Forward. *Palm Oil Engineering Buletin*, 132(July-September), 13–17.
- Lueg, R., & Knapik, M. (2016). Risk management with management control systems: A pragmatic constructivist perspective. *Corporate Ownership and Control*, *13*(3), 72–81.
- Lueg, R., & Radlach, R. (2016). Managing sustainable development with management control systems: A literature review. *European Management Journal*, 34(2), 158–171.
- Lundqvist, S. A. (2014). An exploratory study of enterprise risk management: Pillars of ERM. *Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance*.
- Lundqvist, S. A. (2015). Why firms implement risk governance Stepping beyond traditional risk management to enterprise risk management. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy*, 34(5), 441–466.
- Maas, K., Schaltegger, S., & Crutzen, N. (2016). Integrating corporate sustainability assessment, management accounting, control, and reporting. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 136, 237–248.

- Magsi, H. B., Ong, T. S., Ho, J. A., & Hassan, A. F. S. (2018). Organizational culture and environmental performance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(8), 1–17.
- Mahmood, Z., Ali, W., Iqbal, J., & Fatima, S. (2019). Drivers and Barriers of Sustainability Practices in Emerging and Developing Economies. *Journal of Business and Social Review in Emerging Economies*, 5(1), 213–222.
- Maletič, M., Maletič, D., Dahlgaard, J. J., Dahlgaard-Park, S. M., & Gomišček, B. (2014). Sustainability exploration and sustainability exploitation: From a literature review towards a conceptual framework. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 79, 182–194.
- Maletič, M., Maletič, D., & Gomišček, B. (2018). The role of contingency factors on the relationship between sustainability practices and organizational performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 171, 423–433. h
- Malmi, T., & Brown, D. a. (2008). Management control systems as a package— Opportunities, challenges and research directions. *Management Accounting Research*, 19(4), 287–300.
- Malmi, T., & Granlund, M. (2011). In Search of Management Accounting Theory. SSRN Electronic Journal, 1–22.
- Mariati, R., & Kharibi, M. (2020). *Malaysian Palm Oil Supply And Demand Outlook For 2020*. https://mpoc.org.my/malaysian-palm-oil-supply-and-demand-outlook-for-2020/
- Martin, M. A., & Roberts, S. (2010). Jackknife-after-bootstrap regression influence diagnostics. *Journal of Nonparametric Statistics*, 22(2), 257–269.
- Masocha, R. (2019). Normative environmental configuration of SMEs within the sustainable development discourse in South Africa: An empirical study. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *11*(23).
- McAdam, R., Miller, K., & McSorley, C. (2019). Towards a contingency theory perspective of quality management in enabling strategic alignment. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 207, 195–209.
- Meidell, A., & Kaarbøe, K. (2017). How the enterprise risk management function influences decision-making in the organization A field study of a large, global oil and gas company. *British Accounting Review*.
- Meiryani. (2014). Influence of Top Management Support on the Quality of Accounting Information System and Its Impact on the Quality of Accounting Information. Research Journal of Finance and Accounting, 5(11), 2222–2847.

- Memon, M. A., Cheah, J.-H., Ramayah, T., Ting, H., & Chuah, F. (2019). Mediation Analysis: Issues and Recommendation. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling*, 2(1), 1–9.
- Memon, M. A., Ting, H., Ramayah, T., Chuah, F., & Cheah, J.-H. (2017). A review of methodological misconceptions and guidelines related to the application of structural equation modeling: A Malaysian scenario. *Journal of Applied Structural Equation Modeling:* 1, 1(1–13).
- Merchant, K. (1998). *Modern management control systems: text and cases.*Upper Saddle River, NJ: Prentice Hall.
- Merchant, K. A., & Van der Stede, W. A. (2011). *Management control systems:* performance measurement, evaluation and incentives (3rd ed.). Prentice Hall.
- Meyer, J. W., & Rowan, B. (1977). Institutionalized Organizations: Formal Structure as Myth and Ceremony. *American Journal of Sociology*.
- Mikes, A. (2009). Risk management and calculative cultures. *Management Accounting Research*, 20(1), 18–40.
- Mikes, A., & Kaplan, R. S. (2013). Managing Risks: Towards a Contingency Theory of Enterprise Risk Management. SSRN Electronic Journal, Working Pa, 1–47.
- Mikes, A., & Kaplan, R. S. (2015). When One Size Doesn't Fit All: Evolving Directions in the Research and Practice of Enterprise Risk Management. *Journal of Applied Corporate Finance*. h
- Miles, R. W., & Snow, C. C. (1978). Organizational Strategy, Structure, and Process.
- Ming, K. K., & Chandramohan, D. (2002). Malaysian Palm Oil Industry at Crossroads and its Future Direction. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 2(2), 2–7.
- Mishra, B. K., Rolland, E., Satpathy, A., & Moore, M. (2019). A framework for enterprise risk identification and management: the resource-based view. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, *34*(2), 162–188.
- Misopoulos, F., Michaelides, R., Salehuddin, M. A., Manthou, V., & Michaelides, Z. (2018). Addressing organisational pressures as drivers towards sustainability in manufacturing projects and project management methodologies. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 10(6).
- Mitchell, R. K., Agle, B. R., & Wood, D. J. (1997). Toward a theory of stakeholder identification and salience: Defining the principle of who and what really counts. *Academy of Management Review*, 22(4), 853–886.

- Mohd Amir, A. (2014). Performance measurementsystem design in service operations: Does size matter? *Management Accounting Review*, 37(8), 728–749.
- Mohd Noor, F. M., Gassner, A., Terheggen, A., & Dobie, P. (2017). Beyond sustainability criteria and principles in palm oil production: Addressing consumer concerns through insetting. *Ecology and Society*, *22*(2).
- Mokhtar, N., Jusoh, R., & Zulkifli, N. (2016). Corporate characteristics and environmental management accounting (EMA) implementation: evidence from Malaysian public listed companies (PLCs). *Journal of Cleaner Production Journal*, 136, 111–122.
- Moll, J., Burns, J., Major, M., & Hoque, Z. (ed. . (2006). Institutional theory in accounting research. In *Methodological Issues in Accounting Research: Theories and Methods*. Spiramus Press.
- Montiel, I., & Delgado-Ceballos, J. (2014). Defining and Measuring Corporate Sustainability: Are We There Yet? *Organization and Environment*, 27(2), 113–139.
- MPOB. (2010). Malaysia Palm Oil Directory 2008 2009.
- MPOB. (2021). Organised smallholders achieved full MSPO certification by end-2020 — MPOB. *Economics and Development Division*.
- MPOC. (2018). The implications of EU Resolution to the Malaysian Palm Oil Industry. Malaysian Palm Oil Council.
- MPOC. (2020). *Nearly 1m Work In Oil Palm Industry*. https://mpoc.org.my/nearly-1m-work-in-oil-palm-industry/
- Muhammad-Jamil, C. Z., & Mohamed, R. (2017). Antecedent factors of environmental management accounting practice. *International Journal of Economic Research*, 14(16), 543–553.
- Naciti, V. (2019). Corporate governance and board of directors: The effect of a board composition on firm sustainability performance. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 237, 117727.
- Naidu, L., & Moorthy, R. (2021). A review of key sustainability issues in malaysian palm oil industry. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, *13*(19).
- Nambiappan, B., Ismail, A., Hashim, N., Ismail, N., Shahari, D. N., Idris, N. A. N., Omar, N., Salleh, K. M., Hassan, N. A. M., & Kushairi, A. (2018). Malaysia: 100 years of resilient palm oil economic performance. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 30(1), 13–25.

- Nawi, N. S. M., Deros, B. M., Rahman, M. N. A., Sukadarin, E. H., & Nordin, N. (2016). Malaysian oil palm workers are in pain: Hazards identification and ergonomics related problems. *Malaysian Journal of Public Health Medicine*, *16*, 50–57.
- Nikolaou, I. E., Tsalis, T. A., & Evangelinos, K. I. (2019). A framework to measure corporate sustainability performance: A strong sustainability-based view of firm. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 18, 1–18.
- Nordin, I., Hassan, Z., & Razali, N. A. M. (2021). *Malaysian Palm Oil Sector Performance In 2020 And Market Opportunities*. h
- Norhidayu, A., Nur-Syazwani, M., Radzil, R., Amin, I., & Balu, N. (2017). The production of crude palm oil in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 11(3 Special Issue), 591–606.
- Nwoba, A. C., Boso, N., & Robson, M. J. (2021). Corporate sustainability strategies in institutional adversity: Antecedent, outcome, and contingency effects. *Business Strategy* and the Environment, 30(2), 787–807.
- O'Cass, A., & Ngo, L. V. (2007). Balancing external adaptation and internal effectiveness: Achieving better brand performance. *Journal of Business Research*, 60(1), 11–20.
- Ong, T. S., Teh, B. H., Selley, S., & Magsi, H. (2018). The relationship between contingent factors that influence the environmental management accounting and environmental performance among manufacturing companies in Klang Valley, Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Management*, 12(1).
- Oosterveer, P. (2015). Promoting sustainable palm oil: Viewed from a global networks and flows perspective. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 107, 146–153.
- Oppenheim, A. N. (2001). Questionnaire design, interviewing and attitude measure- ment. London: Pinter Publishers.
- Orishede, F., Izims, T., & Enahoro, C. (2018). Effect of Contingency Factors on Learning Organisations in Nigeria. *International Journal of Economic Perspectives*, *12*, 1–19.
- Otley, D. (1980). The contingency theory of management accounting: Achievement and prognosis. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 5*, 413–428.
- Otley, D. (1999). Performance management: a framework for management control systems research. *Management Accounting Research*, 10(4), 363–382.

- Paape, L., & Speklé, R. F. (2012). The Adoption and Design of Enterprise Risk Management Practices: An Empirical Study. *European Accounting Review*.
- Pallant, J. (2013). SPSS Survival Manual: A Step by Step Guide to Data Analysis Using SPSS.
- Park, W., Sung, C. S., & Byun, C. G. (2019). Impact of unlisted small and medium-sized enterprises' business strategies on future performance and growth sustainability. *Journal of Open Innovation: Technology, Market, and Complexity*, *5*(3).
- Parveez, G. K. A., Tarmizi, A. H. A., Sundram, S., Loh, S. K., Ong-Abdullah, M., Palam, K. D. P., Salleh, K. M., Ishak, S. M., & Idris, Z. (2021). Oil palm economic performance in Malaysia and R&D progress in 2020. *Journal of Oil Palm Research*, 33(2), 181–214.
- Passetti, E., & Tenucci, A. (2016). Eco-efficiency measurement and the in fluence of organisational factors: evidence from large Italian companies. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 122, 228–239.
- Petera, P., Wagner, J., & Pakšiová, R. (2021). The influence of environmental strategy, environmental reporting and environmental management control system on environmental and economic performance. *Energies*, 14(15).
- Pham, H., Sutton, B. G., Brown, P. J., & Brown, D. A. (2020). Moving towards sustainability: A theoretical design of environmental performance measurement systems. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 269, 122273.
- Phan, T. N., Baird, K., & Su, S. (2017). The use and effectiveness of environmental management accounting. *Australasian Journal of Environmental Management*, 24(4), 355–374.
- Phellas, C. N., Bloch, A., & Seale, C. (2011). Structured methods: interviews, questionnaires and observation. Researching Society and Culture. London: SAGE Publications Ltd, 181–205.
- Podsakoff, P. M., MacKenzie, S. B., & Podsakoff, N. P. (2012). Sources of Method Bias in Social Science Research and Recommendations on How to Control It. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 63(1), 539–569.
- Poh, S. C. J. (2018). Improve the competitiveness of Malaysia's palm oil industry. *Malaysian Palm Oil Board*.
- Polit, D. F., & Beck, C. T. (2010). Generalization in quantitative and qualitative research: Myths and strategies. *International Journal of Nursing Studies*, 47(11), 1451–1458. h
- Pondeville, S., Swaen, V., & De Rongé, Y. (2013). Environmental management control systems: The role of contextual and strategic factors. *Management Accounting Research*, *24*(4), 317–332.

- Post, J. E., Sachs, S., & Preston, L. E. (2002). Managing the extended enterprise: The new stakeholder view. *California Management Review*, *41*(1), 6–28.
- Power, M. (2009). The risk management of nothing. *Accounting, Organizations* and Society, 34(6–7), 849–855.
- Preacher, K. J., & Hayes, A. F. (2008). Asymptotic and resampling strategies for assessing and comparing indirect effects in multiple mediator models. *Behavior Research Methods*, *40*(3), 879–891.
- Pullman, M. E., Maloni, M. J., & Carter, C. R. (2009). Food for thought: Social versus environmental sustainability practices and performance outcomes. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*.
- Qian, W., Burritt, R., & Chen, J. (2015). The potential for environmental management accounting development in China. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 11(3), 406–428.
- Qian, W., Burritt, R., & Monroe, G. (2011). Environmental management accounting in local government: A case of waste management. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 24(1), 93–128.
- Rahman, A. K. A., Balu, N., & Shariff, F. M. (2013). Impact of Palm Oil Supply and Demand on Palm Oil Price Behaviour. *Oil Palm Industry Economic Journal*, 13(1), 1–13.
- Rajesh, R. (2020). Exploring the sustainability performances of firms using environmental, social, and governance scores. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 247, 119600.
- Ramayah, T., Cheah, J., Chuah, F., Ting, H., & Memon, M. A. (2018). Partial Least Square Structural Equation Modelling (PLS-SEM) using SmartPLS 3.0: An update and practical guide to statistical analysis (2nd Editio). Pearson.
- Rasid, S. Z. A., Isa, C. R., & Ismail, W. K. W. (2014). Management Accounting Systems, Enterprise Risk Management and Organizational Performance in Financial Institutions. *Asian Review of Accounting*, 22(2), 128–144. Rentizelas, A., de Sousa Jabbour, A. B. L., Al Balushi, A. D., & Tuni, A. (2020). Social sustainability in the oil and gas industry: institutional pressure and the management of sustainable supply chains. *Annals of Operations Research*, 290(1–2), 279–300.
- Richter, N. F., Sinkovics, R. R., Ringle, C. M., & Schlägel, C. (2016). A critical look at the use of SEM in international business research. *International Marketing Review*, 33(3), 376–404.
- Rigdon, E. E. (2014). Rethinking Partial Least Squares Path Modeling: Breaking Chains and Forging Ahead. *Long Range Planning*, 47(3), 161–167.

- Rostamzadeh, R., Ghorabaee, M. K., Govindan, K., Esmaeili, A., & Nobar, H. B. K. (2018). Evaluation of sustainable supply chain risk management using an integrated fuzzy TOPSIS- CRITIC approach. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 175, 651–669.
- Rowley, J. (2014). Designing and using research questionnaires. *Management Research Review*, 37(3), 308–330.
- Ruggerio, C. A. (2021). Sustainability and sustainable development: A review of principles and definitions. *Science of the Total Environment*, 786, 147481.
- Saideed, Z. (2017). Malaysia to block unfair EU resolutions on palm oil. *The Star Online*.
- Sakhel, A. (2017). Corporate climate risk management: Are European companies prepared? *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Salzmann, O., Ionescu-Somers, A. M., & Steger, U. (2005). The business case for corporate sustainability: Literature review and research options. *European Management Journal*, 23(1), 27–36.
- Sancha, C., Longoni, A., & Giménez, C. (2015). Sustainable supplier development practices: Drivers and enablers in a global context. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 21(2), 95–102.
- Sanusi, Z. M., Nia, M. S., Roosle, N. A., Sari, R. N., & Harjitok, A. (2017). Effects of Corporate Governance Structures on Enterprise Risk Management Practices in Malaysia. *International Journal of Economics and Financial Issues*.
- Sarens, G., & Christopher, J. (2010). The association between corporate governance guidelines and risk management and internal control practices: Evidence from a comparative study. *Managerial Auditing Journal*, 25(4), 288–308.
- Sarstedt, M., Hair, J. F., Cheah, J. H., Becker, J. M., & Ringle, C. M. (2019). How to specify, estimate, and validate higher-order constructs in PLS-SEM. *Australasian Marketing Journal*, 27(3), 197–211.
- Saunders, M., Lewis, P., & Thornhill, A. (2012). *Research Methods for Business Students* (6th Editio). Pearson Education Limited.
- Saunila, M., Nasiri, M., Ukko, J., & Rantala, T. (2019). Smart technologies and corporate sustainability: The mediation effect of corporate sustainability strategy. *Computers in Industry*, *108*, 178–185.
- Scapens, R. W. (1994). Never mind the gap: towards an institutional perspective on management accounting practice. *Management Accounting Research*, 5, 301–321.

- Schulte, J., & Knuts, S. (2022). Sustainability impact and effects analysis A risk management tool for sustainable product development. *Sustainable Production and Consumption*, *30*, 737–751.
- Scotland, J. (2012). Exploring the Philosophical Underpinnings of Research: Relating Ontology and Epistemology to the Methodology and Methods of the Scientific, Interpretive, and Critical Research Paradigms. *English Language Teaching*, *5*(9), 9–16.
- Scott, W. R., & Meyer, J. W. (1983). *Organization and Environments: Ritual and Rationality*. Beverly Hills, CA: SAGE.
- Sekaran, U. (2006). *Research Methods for Business: A Skill Building Approach* (4th ed.). Wiley India Pvt. Limited.
- Selto, F. H., Renner, C. J., & Young, S. M. (1995). Assessing The Organizational Fit of A Just-In-Time Manufacturing System: Testing Selection, Interaction And Systems Models of Contingency Theory. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, *20*(7), 665–684.
- Sharma, H. (2021). Emerging challenges to greening of supply chains: an empirical study. *Benchmarking*, 1463–5771.
- Shawal, F. N. S., Guan, N. Y., Mohd Suadi Nata, D. H., How, V., & Tamrin, S. B. M. (2018). Knowledge, attitude, and perception of risk management of steam boilers among workers in palm oil mills. *Work*, *60*(1), 153–162.
- Siboro, D. T., Siahaan, A. M., Muda, I., & Ginting, S. (2018). Corporate Social Responsibility is Viewed from a Contingency Perspective. *In Proceedings of the 1st Unimed International Conference on Economics Education and Social Science*, *Unicees 2018*, 973–977.
- Simons, R. (1990). The role of management control systems in creating competitive advantage: New perspectives. *Accounting, Organizations and Society, 15*(1–2), 127–143. h
- Soin, K., & Collier, P. (2013). Risk and risk management in management accounting and control. *Management Accounting Research*, 24(2), 82–87.
- Solovida, G. T., & Latan, H. (2017). Linking environmental strategy to environmental performance: Mediation role of environmental management accounting. Sustainability Accounting, Management and Policy Journal, 8(5), 595–619.
- Soltanizadeh, S., Abdul Rasid, S. Z., Golshan, N. M., & Wan Ismail, W. K. (2016). Business strategy, enterprise risk management and organizational performance. *Management Research Review*, 39(9), 1016–1033.
- Soltanizadeh, S., Rasid, S. Z. A., Golshan, N., Quoquab, F., & Basiruddin, R. (2014). Enterprise Risk Management Practices among Malaysian Firms. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *164*.

- Somjai, S., & Jermsittiparsert, K. (2019). Role of pressures and green supply chain management practices in enhancing the operational efficiency of firms: Evidence from Thailand. *International Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 8(4), 437–445.
- Song, B., & Zhao, Z. (2021). Institutional pressures and cluster firms' ambidextrous innovation: the mediating role of strategic cognition. *Chinese Management Studies*, *15*(2), 245–262.
- Soomro, M. A., & Lai, F.-W. (2017). Examining a new paradigm of enterprise sustainability risk management. *Global Business and Management Research: An International Journal*, 9(1), 328–338.
- Spencer, X. S. Y., Joiner, T. A., & Salmon, S. (2009). Differentiation Strategy, Performance Measurement Systems and Organizational Performance: Evidence from Australia. *International Business of Business*, *14*(1), 83–103.
- Steinweg, T., Drennen, Z., & Rijk, G. (2017). Unsustainable Palm Oil Faces Increasing Market Access Risks: NDPE Sourcing Policies Cover 74 Percent of Southeast Asia's Refining Capacity. Chain Reaction Research, November.
- Steven, J. P. (2002). *Applied multivariate statistics for the social sciences* (4th editio). Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Strauss, E. R., Nevries, P., & Weber, J. (2013). The development of MCS packages Balancing constituents' demands. In *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change* (Vol. 9, Issue 2).
- Subramaniam, N., Wahyuni, D., Cooper, B. J., Leung, P., & Wines, G. (2015). Integration of carbon risks and opportunities in enterprise risk management systems: Evidence from Australian firms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*.
- Sundin, H., & Brown, D. A. (2017). Greening the black box: integrating the environment and management control systems. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 30(3), 620–642.
- Sustainability, B. F.-, & 2019, undefined. (2019). Beyond Gathering the 'Low-Hanging Fruit'of Green Technology for Improved Environmental Performance: an Empirical Examination of the Moderating Effects of. *Mdpi.Com*, 5–9. h
- Tabachnick, B. G., & Fidell, L. S. (2007). *Using multivariate statictics (5th Ed.). Boston: Pearson/Allyn & Bacon.*
- Talib, O. (2015). SPSS: Analisi data kuantitatif untuk penyelidik muda.
- Tan, X. T. (2019). Non-MSPO growers' licence will be revoked. *The Edge Market*.

- Teh, B. H., Lee, C. L., Fong, Y. P., & Ong, T. S. (2012). A framework of a sustainable performance measurements (SPMs) model for the Malaysian electronic and electrical Industry. World Applied Sciences Journal, 20(1), 107–119.
- Teh, B. H., Ong, T. S., Jaffar, N., & Masoudi, S. Y. S. A. (2015). Sustainable performance measurement (SPMs) model: Effects of product tecnology and process technology. *Pertanika Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities*, 23(September), 17–38.
- Teijlingen, van E., & Hundley, V. (2002). The importance of pilot studies. *Nursing Standard*, *16*(40), 33–36.
- Tekathen, M., & Dechow, N. (2013). Enterprise risk management and continuous re-alignment in the pursuit of accountability: A German case. *Management Accounting Research*, 24(2), 100–121.
- Teo, R. (2018). Cost structure still tough for palm oil players. The Borneo Post.
- Themsen, T. N., & Skærbæk, P. (2018). The performativity of risk management frameworks and technologies: The translation of uncertainties into pure and impure risks. *Accounting, Organizations and Society.*
- Thöni, A., Madlberger, L., & Schatten, A. (2013). Companies as drivers of sustainability Towards requirements for an integrative sustainability risk management system. *Proc. of the 6th Int. Workshop on SAME 2013 Workshop Defining the Research Agenda for Inf. Management and Systems Supporting Sustainable Communities with Smart Media and Automated Systems, 2, 1–7.*
- Ukko, J., Saunila, M., Rantala, T., & Havukainen, J. (2019). Sustainable development: Implications and definition for open sustainability. Sustainable Development, 27(3), 321–336.
- Valdivieso, P., Andersson, K. P., & Villena-Roldán, B. (2017). Institutional drivers of adaptation in local government decision-making: evidence from Chile. *Climatic Change*, *143*(1–2), 157–171.
- Valinejad, F., & Rahmani, D. (2018). Sustainability risk management in the supply chain of telecommunication companies: A case study. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 203, 53–67.
- Varkkey, H., Tyson, A., & Choiruzzad, S. A. B. (2018). Palm oil intensification and expansion in Indonesia and Malaysia: Environmental and sociopolitical factors influencing policy. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 92(September 2017), 148–159.
- Vinnari, E., & Skærbæk, P. (2014). The uncertainties of risk management: A field study on risk management internal audit practices in a Finnish municipality. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*.

- Wang, S., Wang, H., & Wang, J. (2018). Exploring the effects of institutional pressures on the implementation of environmental management accounting: Do top management support and perceived benefit work? *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(1), 233–243.
- Wang, X., & Cheng, Z. (2020). Cross-Sectional Studies: Strengths, Weaknesses, and Recommendations. *Chest*, *158*(1), S65–S71.
- Wang, Z., & Sarkis, J. (2013). Investigating the relationship of sustainable supply chain management with corporate financial performance. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*.
- Waterhouse, J. H., & Tiessen, P. (1978). A contingency framework for management accounting systems research. *Accounting, Organizations and Society*, 3(1), 65–76.
- WBCSD. (2017). Sustainability and enterprise risk management: The first step towards integration.
- Welbeck, E. E., Owusu, G. M. Y., Bekoe, R. A., & Kusi, J. A. (2017). Determinants of environmental disclosures of listed firms in Ghana. *International Journal of Corporate Social Responsibility*, 2(1).
- Welford, R. (2002). Corporate Environmental Management-Systems and Strategies (Book). *Earthscan Publications Ltd.*, 2(1).
- Wetzels, M., Odekerken-Schröder, G., & Van Oppen, C. (2009). Using PLS path modeling for assessing hierarchical construct models: Guidelines and empirical illustration. *MIS Quarterly: Management Information Systems*, 33(1), 177–196.
- Wheeler, D., Colbert, B., & Freeman, R. E. (2003). Focusing on Value: Reconciling Corporate Social Responsibility, Sustainability and a Stakeholder Approach in a Network World. *Journal of General Management*, 28(3), 1–28.
- Wicher, P., Zapletal, F., & Lenort, R. (2019). Sustainability performance assessment of industrial corporation using Fuzzy Analytic Network Process. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 241.
- Wijethilake, C. (2017). Proactive sustainability strategy and corporate sustainability performance: The mediating effect of sustainability control systems. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 196, 569–582.
- Wijethilake, C., & Lama, T. (2018). Sustainability core values and sustainability risk management: Moderating effects of top management commitment and stakeholder pressure. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 28(1), 143–154.

- Wijethilake, C., Munir, R., & Appuhami, R. (2017). Strategic responses to institutional pressures for sustainability: The role of management control systems. *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, 30(8), 1677–1710.
- Wong, A. (2014). CSR: A perspective of non-financial risk management in China. In *Critical Studies on Corporate Responsibility, Governance and Sustainability* (Vol. 8, pp. 323–345).
- Wong, Anson. (2014). Corporate sustainability through non-financial risk management. *Corporate Governance*, *14*(4), 575.
- Woods, M. (2009). A contingency theory perspective on the risk management control system within Birmingham City Council. *Management Accounting Research*.
- Yahya, Y., Mahzan, N., Muljawan, D. (2013). Risk management practices of conventional and Islamic banks in Bahrain. *The Journal of Risk Finance*, 1(1), 1–7.
- Yi, Y., Liu, Y., He, H., & Li, Y. (2012). Environment, governance, controls, and radical innovation during institutional transitions. *Asia Pacific Journal of Management*, 29(3), 7
- Yigitbasioglu, O. M. (2017). Drivers of management accounting adaptability: The agility lens. *Journal of Accounting and Organizational Change*, 13(2), 262–281.
- Yilmaz, A. K., & Flouris, T. (2010). Managing corporate sustainability: Risk management process based perspective. *African Journal of Business Management*, 4(2), 162–171.
- Yusliza, M. Y., Norazmi, N. A., Jabbour, C. J. C., Fernando, Y., Fawehinmi, O., & Seles, B. M. R. P. (2019). Top management commitment, corporate social responsibility and green human resource management: A Malaysian study. *Benchmarking*, 26(6), 2051–2078.
- Yusof, Z. B., & Jamaludin, M. (2014). Barriers of Malaysian Green Hotels and Resorts. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, *153*(0), 501–509.
- Yusoff, R., Yusoff, H., Abd Rahman, S. A., & Darus, F. (2019). Investigating Sustainability Reporting from the Lens of Stakeholder Pressures and Isomorphism. *Journal of Asia-Pacific Business*, 20(4), 302–321.
- Yusuf, N. A., Awang, H., & Iranmanesh, M. (2017). Determinants and outcomes of environmental practices in Malaysian construction projects. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *156*, 345–354.

- Zahid, M., Rahman, H. U., Muneer, S., Butt, B. Z., Isah-Chikaji, A., & Memon, M. A. (2019). Nexus between government initiatives, integrated strategies, internal factors and corporate sustainability practices in Malaysia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *241*, 118329.
- Zainuddin, Z., Iranmanesh, M., Tseng, M. L., Foroughi, B., & Tengku Hamzah, T. A. A. (2021). Clean development mechanism implementation: External and organizational factors drives expected business benefits. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 30(8), 3444–3453.
- Zainudin, A. (2015). SEM Made Simple: A gentle approach to learning structural equation modeling. MPWS Rich Publication.
- Zaiton, S., Herman, S., Kasimu, A. B., & Hassan, H. (2016). Sustainable tourism practices among hotels in Malaysia: financial and non-financial benefits. *Journal of Sustainability Science and Management*, *11*(1), 73–81.
- Zameer, H., Wang, Y., & Saeed, M. R. (2021). Net-zero emission targets and the role of managerial environmental awareness, customer pressure, and regulatory control toward environmental performance. *Business Strategy and the Environment, June*, 1–14.
- Zeng, H., Chen, X., Xiao, X., & Zhou, Z. (2017). Institutional pressures, sustainable supply chain management, and circular economy capability: Empirical evidence from Chinese eco-industrial park firms. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 155(May 2014), 54–65.
- Zhao, X., Lynch, J. G., & Chen, Q. (2010). Reconsidering Baron and Kenny: Myths and truths about mediation analysis. *Journal of Consumer Research*, 37(2), 197–206.
- Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. hung. (2013). Institutional-based antecedents and performance outcomes of internal and external green supply chain management practices. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 19(2), 106–117.
- Zikmund, W. G., Babin, B. J., Carr, J. C., & Griffin, M. (2010). Survey Research: An Overview. In *Business Research Methods* (p. 196).
- Zimmer, K., Fröhling, M., Breun, P., & Schultmann, F. (2017). Assessing social risks of global supply chains: A quantitative analytical approach and its application to supplier selection in the German automotive industry. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *149*, 96–109.
- Zucker, L. (1987). Institutional Theories Of Organization. *Annual Review of Sociology*.
- Žukauskas, P., Vveinhardt, J., & Andriukaitienė, R. (2018). Philosophy and Paradigm of Scientific Research. *Management Culture and Corporate Social Responsibility*.