



**EFFECTS OF DEFORESTATION ON SOIL AND ATMOSPHERIC
CARBON BALANCE IN SUNGAI MENYALA FOREST,
PORT DICKSON, MALAYSIA**

By

HOSEA KATO MANDE

**Thesis Submitted to the School of Graduate Studies, Universiti Putra Malaysia,
in Fulfilment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**

December 2014

FPAS 2014 18

COPYRIGHT

All material contained within the thesis, including without limitation text, logos, icons, photographs and all other artwork, is copyright material of Universiti Putra Malaysia unless otherwise stated. Use may be made of any material contained within the thesis for non-commercial purposes from the copyright holder. Commercial use of material may only be made with the express, prior, written permission of Universiti Putra Malaysia.

Copyright © Universiti Putra Malaysia



DEDICATION

This work is fully dedicated to the Almighty God where I seek my refuge, hope, faith and love. His sufficient grace has kept me to this day; to him I give all the glory, honour and praise. I likewise dedicate this work to my late parents Mrs Inti Aya Mande and HRH Mande Habu Zikku Randa for the quality upbringing, as none of this degree would have been possible without the many years of encouragement and support I have received from them more especially my mother a jewel in the savannah, a lioness and the iron lady of Maitakama clan who fought for me till the point of her death.



.Abstract of thesis presented to the Senate of Universiti Putra Malaysia in fulfilment of the requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

**EFFECTS OF DEFORESTATION ON SOIL AND ATMOSPHERIC
CARBON BALANCE IN SUNGAI MENYALA FOREST,
PORT DICKSON, MALAYSIA**

By

HOSEA KATO MANDE

December 2014

Chairman: Associate Professor Ahmad Makmom Abdullah, PhD

Faculty: Environmental Studies

Soil CO₂ efflux has been identified as playing a key role in the forest carbon balance, as logging and recovering forest ecosystems increase CO₂ efflux into the atmospheric carbon pool in response to changes in environmental factors such as soil temperature and soil moisture. Hence, it is essential to understand soil CO₂ efflux in forests of different ages, logged-over areas and the carbon cycles in the tropical lowland forest of Peninsular Malaysia.

The aim of this study is to assess soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over forest and recovering forest of different age and its effects on the atmospheric carbon balance. A study was conducted in the recovering tropical lowland forest of Sungai Menyala, Port Dickson, Peninsular Malaysia. Five experimental plots were established based on logged-over area, recovering forests of different ages (10, 30, 50, and 70-year forests) and tree mixed species, as this is significant in efflux estimation and the effect of soil CO₂ efflux from these various forests of different age. Soil CO₂ efflux measurement was conducted in the day time from February to June and September to December 2013, using a constructed continuous open flow chamber technique connected to a multi gas-handling unit and infrared CO₂/H₂O gas analyser.

The soil temperature and soil moisture were measured while forest biomass; total above ground biomass (TAGB), below ground biomass (BGB), total forest carbon (SOCs), soil organic carbon stock (SOCstock) and total organic carbon (TOC), soil organic carbon (SOC), soil pH, bulk density and carbon to nitrogen ratio (C/N) were measured and analysed based on the standard method. The results indicated that the soil CO₂ efflux varies, temporarily increasing from February and peaking in June and decreasing from September to December parallel to the soil temperature and soil moisture. The efflux rate showed a positive and significant correlation between soil CO₂ efflux, soil temperature and soil moisture, forest biomass carbon input, changes in total organic carbon and soil organic carbon ($R^2=0.958$; $p<0.01$), suggesting that the environmental factors influence the soil CO₂ efflux. The results showed that soil CO₂ efflux was the highest in the logged-over area and decreased as the forest

increased in age: 10, 30, 50, 70-years old recovering forest at 392.14, 383.07, 372.26, 329.18 and 319.08 mg m⁻² h⁻¹, respectively, and, in comparison, the primary forest was recorded to emit the lowest CO₂ efflux at 301.23 mg m⁻² h⁻¹. A high percentage of TOC, SOC and SOCstock concentration occurred within the top 10 cm soil depth and decreased with the depth. Similarly, a high amount of forest biomass carbon input was recorded, both tending to be significantly higher in the older forest and decreased with forest age.

The soil temperature was observed to increase from February to June and decrease from September to December while the soil moisture decreased during the Southwest monsoon regime and increased during the Northeast monsoon period, thereby increasing the soil CO₂ efflux. These results indicated that the soil CO₂ efflux increased in the logged-over forest and decreased as the forest recovered. This is attributed to the high activities of microorganisms in the presence of changes in the environmental factors and soil properties, and exposure of the surface of the land directly to heat in the logged over area. In comparison, the lower soil CO₂ efflux in the recovering forests increased their carbon use efficiency, as the increase in the canopy cover in the recovering forest absorbed the CO₂ for photosynthesis, caused refraction of the solar radiation and regulated the forest floor temperature. The high percentage of CO₂ efflux into the atmospheric carbon pool from the logged area signified that logging activity has wide-reaching consequences and displaced a considerable amount of soil CO₂ into the atmospheric carbon pool, and had a marked influence on the atmospheric carbon balance. In spite high soil CO₂ efflux recorded from the logged-over forest, the percentage of soil CO₂ reduction between the logged-over forest and the recovering forest ranged between 2.31 to 23.18%. This Indicate that forest recovering would serve as a carbon sink and forest logging will be an implication for the atmospheric carbon balance.

Abstrak tesis yang dikemukakan kepada Senat Universiti Putra Malaysia sebagai memenuhi keperluan untuk ijazah Doktor Falsafah

**KESAN PENGHAPUSAN HUTAN TERHADAP TANAH DAN
KESEIMBANGAN KARBON ATMOSFERA, HUTAN SUNGAI MENYALA,
PORT DICKSON**

Oleh

HOSEA KATO MANDE

Disember 2014

Pengerusi: Profesor. Madya Ahmad Makmom Abdullah, PhD

Fakulti: Pengajian Alam Sekitar

Penghasilan efluks CO₂ tanah telah dikenalpasti memainkan peranan utama dalam keseimbangan karbon hutan. Pembalakan dan pemusnahan ekosistem hutan telah meningkatkan penghasilan efluks CO₂ ke dalam kandungan karbon atmosferik yang bertindak balas kepada perubahan faktor sekeliling seperti suhu tanah dan kelembapan tanah. Oleh itu, adalah penting untuk memahami penghasilan efluks CO₂ tanah di hutan yang mempunyai jangka umur yang berbeza, di kawasan pembalakan dan kitaran karbon di hutan tanah rendah tropika di Semenanjung Malaysia.

Kajian ini bertujuan untuk menafsir penghasilan efluks CO₂ daripada kawasan pembalakan hutan dan pemuliharaan hutan di pelbagai peringkat umur serta penentuan kesan terhadap keseimbangan karbon atmosferik. Kajian telah dijalankan dalam usaha memulihara hutan tanah rendah tropika Sungai Menyala, Port Dickson, Semenanjung Malaysia. Lima plot eksperimen diwujudkan berdasarkan kawasan pembalakan, pemuliharaan hutan pada jangka umur yang berbeza (10, 30, 50 dan 70 tahun) dan spesis campuran pokok yang difikirkan penting dalam penganggaran efluks CO₂ tanah dan kesannya daripada pelbagai peringkat umur hutan. Pengukuran efluks CO₂ tanah dilakukan pada waktu siang dari bulan Februari hingga Jun dan September hingga Disember tahun 2013 dengan menggunakan teknik pembinaan kebuk aliran terbuka yang disambungkan kepada unit pengendalian pelbagai gas dan penganalisa gas CO₂/H₂O secara inframerah.

Suhu tanah dan kelembapan tanah diukur manakala biojisim hutan; jumlah biojisim permukaan tanah (TAGB), biojisim bawah tanah (BGB), jumlah karbon hutan (SOCs), stok karbon tanah organik (SOCstock) dan jumlah karbon organik (TOC), karbon organik tanah (SOC), nilai pH tanah, ketumpatan pukal, julat karbon kepada nitrogen (C/N) diukur dan dianalisa berdasarkan kaedah piawai. Keputusan menunjukkan bahawa penghasilan efluks CO₂ tanah adalah berbeza mengikut musim iaitu meningkat dari bulan Februari dan mencapai puncak pada bulan Jun dengan penurunan dari bulan September hingga Disember selari dengan suhu tanah dan

kelembapan tanah. Kadar penghasilan efluks menunjukkan hubungan yang positif dan signifikan antara efluks CO₂ tanah, suhu tanah, kelembapan tanah, input karbon biojisim hutan dan perubahan jumlah karbon organik dan karbon organik tanah ($R^2=0.958$; $p<0.01$), mencadangkan bahawa terdapat pengaruh faktor persekitaran ke atas efluks CO₂ tanah. Keputusan yang diperoleh menunjukkan efluks CO₂ tanah berada pada tahap tertinggi di kawasan pembalakan dan menurun berdasarkan peningkatan umur hutan pada 10, 30, 50, dan 70 tahun hutan pulih pada bacaan 392.14, 383.07, 372.26, 329.18 dan 319.08mgm⁻²h⁻¹ dan perbandingan dengan hutan primer yang mencatatkan nilai efluks CO₂ yang paling rendah iaitu pada 301.23mgm⁻²h⁻¹. Nilai peratusan yang tinggi pada input biojisim karbon (TOC, SOC dan kepekatan SOCstock) dicatatkan pada kedalaman 10 cm dari aras permukaan tanah dan akan menurun selari dengan pertambahan kedalaman. Tambahan, nilai input biojisim karbon adalah tinggi pada hutan yang lebih berusia dan nilainya akan menurun dengan dengan penurunan umur hutan.

Suhu tanah diperhatikan meningkat dari Februari hingga Jun dan menurun dari bulan September hingga Disember manakala penurunan kelembapan tanah mencatatkan penurunan semasa musim kering (Monsun Barat Daya) dan meningkat pada musim hujan (Monsun Timur Laut) yang akhirnya meningkatkan efluks CO₂ tanah. Keputusan ini menunjukkan bahawa efluks CO₂ tanah meningkat dengan aktiviti pembalakan dan menurun dengan pemuliharaan hutan, kerana dikaitkan dengan aktiviti-aktiviti mikroorganisma yang tinggi dengan perubahan dalam keadaan iklim dan tanah serta pendedahan permukaan tanah secara langsung kepada haba di kawasan pembalakan. Perbandingan dilakukan dan menunjukkan bahawa efluks CO₂ tanah aras bawah di hutan pulih meningkatkan penggunaan karbon yang efisien di mana peningkatan perlindungan kanopi membolehkan penyerapan oleh hutan melalui proses fotosintesis selain pembiasan sinar suria untuk tujuan mengawal suhu lantai hutan. Peratusan efluks CO₂ yang tinggi dalam kolam karbon atmosferik (*atmospheric carbon pool*) dari kawasan yang telah dibalok menandakan aktiviti pembalakan menunjukkan sejumlah besar efluks CO₂ tanah telah berpindah ke dalam kolam karbon atmosfera dan mempengaruhi keseimbangan karbon atmosfera. Walaupun kadar efluks CO₂ direkod tinggi dari hutan yang telah dilakukan pembalakan, peratusan penurunan CO₂ tanah antara kawasan pembalakan hutan dengan kawasan baikpulih hutan berada pada julat 2.31 hingga 23.18%. Ini menunjukkan pemulihan hutan akan mengalami fenomena pengurangan karbon (*carbon sink*) dan pembalakan hutan menjadi penyebab kepada ketidakseimbangan karbon atmosferik.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I wish to express my most sincere gratitude and special thanks to the member of my able supervisory committee, the chairman Associate Professor Dr Ahmad Makmom Abdullah for his resilient push, renaissance ideas and the other members, Associate Professor Dr Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin and Associate Professor Dr Ahmad Zaharin Aris for their wonderful support, encouragement, guidance, professionalism and show of expertise in polishing this thesis. Their critical review, significant input, detailed proof reading with great comments and suggestion has updated this work and likewise their interest and dedicated toward my academic pursue was awesome. This value of this friendship and good humour during my years of this academic journey cannot be overstated for you people has added value and touch my life in several ways.

I wish to express my appreciation to the Research Management Unit for the award of the research grant (03-02-12-2070RU) and also extending the grant by addition of funds (GP-IPS 2013-9399600) been the first of its kind in the University. Special Thank to Dr Liang Naishen of Global Carbon Cycle Research Section, Center for Global Environmental Research, National Institute of Environmental Studies Japan for the research collaboration, Dr Antonio Bombelli GEOCARBON Project Manage, Euro-Mediterranean Center on Climate Change (CMCC) Italy, have greatly taken me round the world and gave me a full membership of Global Earth Observation, furthermore I appreciate Dr Francesca Pretto for the great support during my staying in Geneva. I equally appreciate the advice and support from my Faculty lecturers as they keep monitory my research progress and the great encouragement I received from them. Special thanks to the supportive staff of the Faculty of Environmental Studies UPM, staff of Negeri Sembilan forest department, Staff of Center for Oceanography and Marine Studies, Forest rangers of Sungai Menyala forest, staff of Ayerhitam forest, Puchong and Pasoh forest (FRIM).

I am also indebted to my family members, HRH (Ajinya Ninzo) Joel Adamu Mande, I lack words of expression on this particular and unique man, even when hope was loss, he still stood by me, gave me hope and support. Likewise my appreciation goes to my other siblings Mrs. Ramatu Mande Bitrus, Mrs Titi Aku, Arc. Ibrahim Mande, Mrs. Grace Thomas and Mrs. Kandy Madu, Mrs. Deborah Ibrahim Mande (Inlaw), Mr. Christopher Bitrus (Nephew). Further gratitude extend to my friends, Dr Yogesh K. Tiwari research scientist, Centre for Climate Change Research India, Dr Oliver van Straaten, Georg-August-Universität Göttingen Germany, Dr Nobuko Saigusa NIES Japan, Professor Richard A. Houghton deputy director, senior scientist woods hole research center USA and Assoc Prof Adachi Minaco, project research associate, University of Tokyo, Japan. The friendship and assistance rendered by my church members and Nigerian communities in the diaspora has also been greatly appreciated during the three years of my PhD. Thanks also to Professor emeritus C.I.C Ogbonna, University of Jos, Nigeria, Professor Tanko Adamu Bayero University Kano and Dr Salamatu Jidda Fada, Bangor University Gwynedd, UK for their support and the encouragement they provided in regard to my decision in pursuing a postgraduate doctoral degree.

This thesis was submitted to the Senate of the Universiti Putra Malaysia and has been accepted as fulfilment of the requirement for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy. The members of the Supervisory Committee were as follows:

Ahmad Makmom Abdullah, PhD

Associate Professor
Faculty of Environmental Studies,
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Chairman)

Ahmad Zaharin Aris, PhD

Associate Professor
Environmental Forensics Research Centre,
Faculty of Environmental Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia
(Member)

Ahmad Ainuddin Nuruddin, PhD

Associate Professor
Institute of Tropical Forest and Forest Product
University Putra Malaysia
(Member)

BUJANG BIN KIM HUAT, PhD

Professor and Dean
School of Graduate Studies
Universiti Putra Malaysia

Date:

Declaration By Members Of Supervisory Committee

This is to confirm that:

- The research conducted and the writing of this thesis was under our supervision,
- Supervision responsibilities as slated in Rule 41 in Rules 2003 (Revision 2012-2013) were adhered to.

Signature: _____
Name of
Chairman of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

Signature: _____
Name of
Member of
Supervisory
Committee: _____

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
ABSTRACT	i
ABSTRAK	iii
ACKNOWLEDGEMENT	v
APPROVAL	vii
DECLARATION	viii
LISTS OF TABLES	xiv
LIST OF FIGURES	xx
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	xxiii
CHAPTER	
1.0 INTRODUCTION	1
1.1 Background of the Study	1
1.2 Problem Statement	4
1.3 Scope of the Research	5
1.4 Research Questions	6
1.5 General Objectives	6
1.6 Specific Objectives	6
1.7 Hypotheses	6
1.8 Significance of the Study	7
1.9 Organisation of the Thesis	7
2.0 LITERATURE REVIEW	8
2.1 Introduction	8
2.2 Forest Ecosystems as a Carbon Sink	9
2.3 Deforestation and Soil CO ₂ Efflux	9
2.4 Factors Responsible for Soil CO ₂ Efflux	14
2.4.1 Influence of Temperature	14
2.4.2 Influence of Moisture	15
2.4.3 The Role of Solar Radiation in Soil CO ₂ efflux	16
2.5 Litter Fall Input (Carbon and Nitrogen)	17
2.6 Influence of Deforestation on CO ₂ Efflux	18
2.7 Microbial Response to Deforestation	20
2.8 Forest Canopy Density and Environmental Factors	20
2.9 Soil CO ₂ Efflux in Various Ecosystems and Measurement Techniques	21
2.10 Conceptual Framework	25
3.0 METHODOLOGY	28
3.1 Study Area	28
3.2 Climate	30
3.3 Soil Type	30
3.4 Land Use History	31
3.5 Description of Research Methodology	31
3.6 Research Materials and Instrumentation	34
3.6.1 General Description (Continuous Open Flow Chamber System)	34
3.6.2 Chamber Dimension	35
3.6.3 Ambient CO ₂ Stabilizer	35

3.6.4 Tubing	36
3.6.5 Soil Collar	37
3.7 Making of Soil CO ₂ Measurement (Procedure and steps required to	38
3.7.1 Operational	38
3.7.2 Gas Analyser (Li-Cor 6262) Calibration	40
3.8 Experimental Design	41
3.8.1 Plot Demarcation	41
3.8.2 Measurement Procedures (Soil CO ₂ Efflux)	41
3.8.3 Leaf Area Index (LAI)	44
3.8.4 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture	45
3.8.5 Forest Biomass Measurement: Litter Fall, Total Above Ground Biomass,	46
3.8.6 Soil Samples Collection	49
3.9 Data Analysis	54
3.9.1 Testing for Normality and Data Analysis	54
4.0 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION	55
4.1 Environmental Factors of Study Area	55
4.1.1 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in 10-year old Forest Compartment	55
4.1.2 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in 30-years old Forest Compartment	58
4.1.3 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in 50-year old Forest Compartment	59
4.1.4 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in 70-year old Forest Ecosystem	61
4.1.5 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in Logged-over Area	62
4.2 Biomass Stand of the Study Area	64
4.2.1 Tree Species Distribution	64
4.2.2 Forest Biomass; Total Above Ground Biomass (TAGB), Below Ground	64
4.2.3 Leaf Area Index (LAI) and Forest Canopy Density	66
4.2.4 Litter fall (carbon to nitrogen ratio)	67
4.2.5 Total Organic Carbon (TOC) and Soil Organic Carbon (SOC) of the	68
4.2.6 Bulk Density	71
4.3 Soil CO ₂ Efflux	72
4.3.1 Day Time Variation of Soil CO ₂ Efflux	72
4.3.2 February to December Soil CO ₂ Efflux in 10-year-old Forest Ecosystem	72
4.3.3 February to December Soil CO ₂ Efflux in 30-year-old Forest	75
4.3.4 February to December Soil CO ₂ Efflux in 50-year-old Forest Ecosystem	78
4.3.5 February to December Soil CO ₂ Efflux in 70-year Old Forest Ecosystem	80
4.3.6 February to December Soil CO ₂ Efflux in a Logged-over Area	83
4.4 Data Analysis	85
4.4.1 Description Statistics	85
4.4.2 Multicollinearity Test	91
4.4.3 Analysis of Variance (ANOVA)	91
4.4.4 Multiple Linear Regressions	91
4.4.5 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture on Soil CO ₂ Efflux	91

4.4.6 The Impact of Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in 10 years old Forest	92
4.4.7 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Impact in 30-year-old Forest	96
4.4.8 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Impact in 50-year-old Forest	101
4.4.9 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Impact in 70-year-old Forest	106
4.4.10 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Impact in a Logged-over Area	110
4.5 Pearson Correlation	115
4.6 Contribution of TAGB, BGB, SOC _s , TOC, SOC, SOC _{stock} , Soil pH,	117
4.7 Comparison of Influence of Forest biomass, TOC, SOC, Soil Temperature	117
4.8 Discussion	119
4.8.1. Soil CO ₂ Efflux	119
4.8.2 Impact of Environmental Factors on Soil CO ₂ Efflux	124
4.8.3 Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Impact	125
4.8.4 Forest Biomass Carbon Input	126
5.0 CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATIONS	129
5.1 Introduction	129
5.2 Summary of Research Significant Findings	129
5.2.1 Conclusion	131
5.3 Recommendations	131
REFERENCES	132
APPENDICES	151
BIODATA OF STUDENT	166
LIST OF PUBLICATIONS	167

LIST OF TABLES

Table	Page
2.1 Soil CO ₂ Efflux from Different Ecosystem before 1992	10
2.2 Soil CO ₂ Efflux from Different Ecosystem before 2013	11
2.3 Soil Organic Carbon before 1992	12
2.4 Soil Organic Carbon before 2000	12
4.1 Environmental Factors data for the Five Forest Compartments	56
4.2 Soil Moisture Content and Corrective Factor (mcf)	70
4.3 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux February to June (10yrs old forest)	73
4.4 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux September to December (10yrs old Forest)	74
4.5 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux February to June (30yrs old Forest)	76
4.6 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux September to December (30yrs old Forest)	77
4.7 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux February to June (50yrs old Forest)	78
4.8 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux September to December (50yrs old Forest)	79
4.9 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux February to June (70yrs Forest)	81
4.10 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux September to December (70yrs Forest)	82
4.11 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux February to June (logged-over Area)	83
4.12 Descriptive Statistics of Soil CO ₂ Efflux September to December (Logged-over Area)	84
4.13 Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in °C and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in February	92

4.14	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in March	93
4.15	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of The Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in April.	93
4.16	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in May	93
4.17	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in June	94
4.18	Ten years Forest Best Single and Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection from Feb-June	94
4.19	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in September	95
4.20	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in October	95
4.21	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in November	95
4.22	Ten years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in December	96
4.23	Ten years Best Single and Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Variable Selection from September- December	96
4.24	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in February	97
4.25	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in March	97

4.26	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in April	98
4.27	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in May	98
4.28	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in June	98
4.29	Thirty years Forest Best Single and Multiple- Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection for Feb-June	99
4.30	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in September	100
4.31	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in October	100
4.32	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in November	100
4.33	Thirty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in December	101
4.34	Thirty years Best Single and Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection for Sept-Dec	101
4.35	Fifty years Best Single and Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection from Feb- June	102
4.36	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model Of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in February	102
4.37	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in March	102

4.38	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in April	103
4.39	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in May	103
4.40	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature, Soil Moisture and Water Potential in June	103
4.41	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in September	104
4.42	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in October	104
4.43	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in November	105
4.44	Fifty years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in December	105
4.45	Fifty years Best Single and Multiple Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variation Selection from September-December	105
4.46	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of the Environmental Parameters $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in February	106
4.47	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in March	106
4.48	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in April	107

4.49	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in May	107
4.50	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in June	108
4.51	Seventy years Best Single and Multiple- Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection from Feb-June	108
4.52	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in September	109
4.53	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in October	109
4.54	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in November	109
4.55	Seventy years Forest Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in December	110
4.56	Seventy years Best Single and Multiple Regression Models were generated using Independent Variable Selection from Sept-Dec	110
4.57	Logged-Over Area Estimates of the Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in February	111
4.58	Logged-Over Area Estimates of the Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameter in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in March	111
4.59	Logged-Over Area Estimates of the Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in April	111
4.60	Logged-Over Area Estimates of the Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameter in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture	112

4.61	Logged-Over Area Estimates of the Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in June	112
4.62	Logged-Over Area Best Single and Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection from February-June	112
4.63	Logged-Over Area Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in September	113
4.64	Logged-Over Area Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture and Water Potential in October	114
4.65	Logged-Over Area Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in November	114
4.66	Logged-Over Area Estimates of Coefficient of the Model of Environmental Parameters in $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and % for Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture in December	114
4.67	Logged-Over Area Best Single Multiple-Regression Models were generated using Enter Independent Variable Selection from Sept- Dec	115
4.68	Impact of Environmental various on Soil CO_2 Efflux	116

LIST OF FIGURES

Figure		Page
2.1	Conceptual Framework of CO ₂ Emission from Various Carbon Pools	27
3.1	Map of Sungai Menyala Forest Reserved	29
3.2	Steps in Research Methodology	33
3.3	CO ₂ Measurement Chambers	34
3.4	Chamber Installed with a Fan	35
3.5	Ambient Air CO ₂ Stabilizer/Sampler for Ambient Air Determination	36
3.6	Conical Flask for Prevention of Rain Water and Dew into Multi-Gas Handler	37
3.7	Soil Collar	37
3.8	Schematic Diagram of Chamber Connectivity	39
3.9	Gas Analyser Calibration Set Up	40
3.10	Gas Analyser Calibration Retention Time	41
3.11	Various Sampling Points of Each Experimental Plot	43
3.12	Determination of LAI using the sunflask ceptometer	44
3.13	Soil Temperature Probe	45
3.14	Soil moisture probe	46
3.15	Litter Traps for Leaf Collection	47
3.16	Trees Diameter Breast Height	47
3.17	Drilling for Soil Sample	52
3.18	Over dry Soil	53
3.19	Soil Analysis using Standard Method	53
4.1	10yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between February and June	57

4.2	10yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between September and December	57
4.3	30yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between February and June	58
4.4	30yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Between September and December	59
4.5	50yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between February and June	60
4.6	50yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture Between September and December	60
4.7	70yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between February and June	61
4.8	70yrs old Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between September and December	62
4.9	Logged-Over Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between February and June	63
4.10	Logged-Over Forest Average Soil Temperature and Soil Moisture between September and December	63
4.11	Forest Biomass Input	65
4.12	Carbon Trap per 10 cm of Soil Depth	66
4.13	LAI in the different Forest Compartments	67
4.14	Carbon-Nitrogen ratio Inputs, Soil pH, Soil Property (TOC & SOC) Contributed from Litter fall	69
4.15	TOC and SOC Decreased with Soil Depth (1—100 cm)	70
4.16	Bulk Density of various Forest Compartments	71
4.17	Day Time Soil CO ₂ effluxes Variation	72
4.18	10 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (February – June)	74
4.19	10 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (Sept – Dec)	75
4.20	30 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (February – June)	76
4.21	30 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (Sept – Dec)	77
4.22	50 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (February – June)	79

4.23	50 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (Sept – Dec)	80
4.24	70 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (February – June)	81
4.25	70 year-old Forest Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation (Sept– Dec)	82
4.26	Logged –Over Area Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation from February to June	84
4.27	Logged-Over Area Soil CO ₂ Efflux Variation from September to December	85
4.28	Box and whisker plot of 10yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from February-June	86
4.29	Box and Whisker Plot of 10yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from September-December	86
4.30	Box and Whisker Plot Of 30yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from February-June	87
4.31	Box and Whisker Plot Of 30yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from September-December	87
4.32	Box and Whisker Plot of 50yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from February-June	88
4.33	Box and Whisker Plot of 50yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from September-December	88
4.34	Box and Whisker Plot of 70yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from February- June	89
4.35	Box and Whisker Plot of 70yrs Forest soil CO ₂ efflux from September-December	89
4.36	Box and Whisker Plot of Logged-Over soil CO ₂ efflux from February-June	90
4.37	Box and Whisker Plot of Logged-Over soil CO ₂ efflux From September-December	90
4.38	Comparison of Soil CO ₂ Efflux among the Five Forest Compartment	123
4.39	Soil CO ₂ Efflux in Relation to Various Forest Age	124

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CO ₂	Carbon dioxide
BGB	Below Ground Biomass
GHG	Green House Gas
IPCC	International Panel of Climate Change
NEE	Net Ecosystem CO ₂ Exchange
NEP	Net Ecosystem Productivity
PAR	Photo-synthetically Active Radiation
RF	Radiative Force
SOCs	Total Forest Carbon stock
SOCstock	Soil Organic Carbon stock
TAGB	Total Above ground Biomass
TOC	Total Organic Carbo

CHAPTER 1

INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background of the Study

One of the topical challenges of recent times is the determination of soil carbon dioxide (CO₂) efflux from deforestation (logged-over), recovering forest. Likewise how regional and global climate will respond to the increase in atmospheric carbon dioxide concentrations and the effect on the carbon balance. Carbon dioxide (CO₂) is the dominant gas classified as one of the greenhouse gases due to their ability to absorb long wave radiation radiated from the earth's surface and this has altered the global temperature. In the 18th century, it had been realised that there had been a pronounced warming trend in the global average temperature by 0.5°C (Lal, 2003).

The average result from many stations in the eastern United States and scattered locations elsewhere around the world showing that the temperature had risen several degrees Fahrenheit in most regions (Kintisch, 2010). In eastern North America and western Europe were the only parts of the world found to be cooler in the winter and the snows deeper in 1930, (Brookfield & Byron, 1990). IPCC (2007) reported that in the 1940s and 1950s there was a striking event of glaciers retreating, while crops were growing farther north, Arctic ice had become thinner than ever before in historic time. In the early 1970s temperature took a new dimension with a series of droughts and other exceptionally bad spells of weather in various parts of the world provoked warning that world food stock might run out. Records showed that the global temperature in the 19th century has increased by 0.8°C. Enough evidence in 2005 indicated a striking change everywhere from Argentina (Glacier National park) to New Guinea (Mount Kilimanjaro). The majority of mountain glaciers and ice-caps were in retreat (IPCC, 2007b), also the heat content of the ocean rose steadily, leading to sea-level rise of about 15-23 cm (IPCC, 2007b). With notable shift in ecosystem (Greene & Pershing, 2007), frequency and intensity of occurrences of wild fire, (Westerling et al., 2006). The earth's temperature for the 21st century has been projected to increase by 1.5–5.8°C per decade, (Lal, 2003; IPCC, 2007c).

Records have pointed directly to greenhouse warming by CO₂ as the major greenhouse gas in the atmosphere, and the concentration of atmospheric greenhouse gases and their radiative forces have progressively increased since the onset of the industrial age. Atmospheric CO₂ levels have been steadily rising as a result of changes in deforestation, land use patterns and combustion of fossil fuels (Houghton et al., 1983; Harmon et al., 1990). Investigation of the ice core samples from the Antarctic have shown that atmospheric CO₂ levels were relatively stable at about 280 ppm for most of the past years (National Research Council, 1998). While as of 2014, the ambient CO₂ level has risen to about 400 ppm, and it is hoped that future concentrations can be stabilized between 350 and 700 ppm (Sarmiento et al., 1995).

To understand soil CO₂ efflux, its impact on the atmospheric carbon balance and to stabilize future concentrations, there should be a clear knowledge of the individual contributing environmental factors and the components of the total carbon balance. It has been estimated that CO₂ existing in some portion of the global carbon pool such as soil, the atmosphere, terrestrial vegetation and oceans total approximately 38,000, 1500, 750, and 560 Pg C each (1 Pg C = 1015 g carbon) (Rustad et al., 2000; Schlesinger et al., 2000). While carbon stored in the soil is about 1576 gigatons (Eswaran et al., 1993) and the soil respiration in the terrestrial ecosystem contributes 55-85% of CO₂ to the atmosphere, greater than terrestrial net primary productivity (Davidson et al., 2006). The soil CO₂ efflux is as a result of deforestation and logging with increase in atmospheric temperature, and even if there is an increase in atmospheric temperature by 0.03°C per year, it will result in soil CO₂ respiration producing a net release of additional CO₂ into the atmosphere. This temperature changes has a negative effect on the atmospheric carbon balance (Ming et al., 2001).

In turn the direct output of the temperature could be great enough to disturb the entire global climate (Wingham, 2009). This scenario will increase surface, subsurface and storage water evaporation with increase in flooding. This will affect agricultural productivity, human health and consumption, energy production, irrigation and other essential services that support the standard of living. Therefore, it will raise the poverty level on the human population (Groisman et al., 2004). The forest ecosystems and oceans are acting as a net sink for carbon at an estimated rate of 3.8 and 2.0 Pg C yr⁻¹ respectively. Whereas deforestation (logging) and fossil fuel combustion serve as net sources of carbon at an approximate rate of 1.6 and 5.4 Pg C yr⁻¹ respectively (Rustad et al., 2000). Raich and Schlesinger (1992) have also reported on the gross primary productivity (GPP) of carbon annual uptake from the terrestrial ecosystems to be between 100 and 120 Pg C yr⁻¹, with net primary productivity (NPP) of about 50-60 Pg C yr⁻¹. While the carbon efflux rate from soil respiration, decomposed materials and root respiration stand at 63-77 Pg C yr⁻¹ (Schlesinger & Andrews, 2000). These changes in the flux can potentially alter the carbon balance and create partitioning between the atmosphere, soil, terrestrial vegetation and oceanic carbon pools (Raich & Tufekcioglu, 2000).

The magnitude of soil CO₂ efflux is large enough to affect an increase in the atmospheric CO₂ with an implication on climate change (Tang et al., 2006). This has been the environmental management scientists' key concern in view of the fact that the rate of increasing levels of greenhouse gases (CO₂) in the atmosphere is alarmingly high.

Carbon dioxide in the atmosphere can be reduced via the forest ecosystem as it has the capacity and potential to act as a net sink for atmospheric carbon in the 21st century. On the other hand, soil serves as a source of CO₂ emission if deforestation occurs (Harmon et al., 1990; Rustad et al., 2000). Intensive logging and forest harvesting practices globally are going on at a rate of 100,000—165,000 km² per year (UNEP, 1990). This scenario is distorting the carbon dynamics and understanding this sequence is necessary to predict the carbon sequestration and its impact on the atmospheric carbon balance. Forest management and productivity can further enhance the role of forests as a potential carbon sink. However, the potential of soils to sequester additional carbon depends on any newly-formed biomass being added to pools that are relatively stable with slow turnover rates or conversely added

to pools with short turnover rates that decompose quickly (Kirschbaum, 2000; Trumbore, 2000). The only setback in this scenario is where environmental and predictor factors such as soil type, moisture, and nutrient availability limit the response of a forest stand density to increasing CO₂ concentrations uptake.

Deforestation, logging activity, land conversion and disturbance have very significant implications on soil CO₂ efflux. This has been reported to either increase or decrease CO₂ efflux from forest soils compared to undisturbed forest (Ewel et al., 1981; Toland & Zak, 1994) as the soil is been exposed directly to high increases in temperature, drastically causing unexpected change in microbial activity, litter fall input, root density, production, insolation, absence of CO₂ storage and photosynthesis. Hence, this will result in predictable changes in CO₂ efflux from forest soils from which CO₂ is emitted directly into the atmosphere. The overall effect of deforestation, logging activity, land conversion and disturbance will displace the aboveground biomass as the forest ecosystem serves as a carbon sink and carbon assimilation via photosynthesis results in the efflux of CO₂ into the atmospheric carbon pool (Striegl & Wickland, 1998).

Deforestation at regional scale had a great uncertainty in Southeast Asia in term of soil CO₂ efflux (Kadir et al., 2010). The Southeast Asia contributed 1.08 Gt yr⁻¹ of carbon emission according to Houghton (1999) and 0.30-0.49 Gt yr⁻¹ according to Cramer et al. (2004). While in Malaysia, deforestation, land conversion, and logging activities are occurring at an alarming rate; the forest ecosystem has been damaged by high logging activities, conversion of land into palm oil plantations, rubber plantations and other land uses. This scenario has led to a significant rise in temperature in Malaysia by 1.1°C for the last three decades and is expected to warm further at the rate of 0.9°C (Toshihiro et al., 2006). The impact of this temperature increase in Malaysia's climate will severely test the viability of the many current agricultural practices, change in rainfall pattern, and increase in the intensity and frequency of severe storms. Consequently, the flooding can cause devastating damage to the Malaysia environment if precaution is not taken to check the CO₂ efflux and introduce mitigating strategies.

This study was conducted in a logged-over area and four compartments of different tress ages, mixed species, canopy stand density which were compared with a primary forest. The trees varied in age from 10 to 30, 50, and 70 years old and the logged-over area was all located within a distance of 2500 m, 1800 m and 3 km apart. The objectives were to; (1) to determine the influence of environmental factors on soil CO₂ efflux (2) to determine the contributing effect of forest biomass input on biological process to emit soil CO₂, and (3) to determine the rate of soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over area and recovering forests using innovative constructed equipment.

1.2 Problem Statement

The entire global community is being increasingly jeopardized by unpremeditated, non-military environmental threats which are self-generated by fouling of air and water pollution. Likewise over-harvesting of land resources leading to climate change is causing negative effects over the entire globe which may likely last for the next 50 to 100 years. Despite these omnipresent challenges, environmental issues are still not high on the international and national security agenda. Climate change is the most pressing challenge and life threat, as a result of the high rate of deforestation, logging, poor land use and urban heat where part per million (ppm) of CO₂ are being emitted into the atmosphere. Governments do not see these connections through to its higher-order effects and those who study security problems such as non-proliferation, terrorism, and civil conflict often do not recognise the environmental roots and effects of these problems. Consequently, the nexus of climate change is seen neither as a security issue nor an environmental issue. However, environmental issues are often security concerns because even without directly causing open conflict, they have the potential to destabilize regimes, displace populations, cause hunger, and lead to state collapse. Recent studies have shown significant changes in the climate due to increase in temperature resulting from global increase in CO₂. Meanwhile, attention has been focused on the tropical forest ecosystems as forest logging for industrial purposes and conversion of the forest to permanent croplands account for approximately 75% of the total CO₂ emission from tropical Asia (Houghton & Hackler, 1999). The tropical forest ecosystem stands to play a major role in the global terrestrial carbon cycle as its vegetation and soil contain approximately 37% of the global terrestrial carbon pool. Whereas, any change in the tropical CO₂ fluxes would change the global carbon budget (Dixon et al., 1994).

Land degradation and loss of Malaysia's original forest have resulted from rapid logging and conversion of land for agricultural purposes (Gillis & Repetto, 1988), and the forest had decreased by 1.2 million hectare by 1990 (FAO, 2010). Half of the forests in the Peninsular Malaysia witnessed forests cleared in the late 1980s (Gillis & Repetto, 1988), as this decreased the total forest cover to 57% of the original area by 2002 (Langner et al., 2007). This has resulted in a serious land cover challenge in the Peninsular Malaysia (Brookfield & Byron, 1990). This resulted activity have affected soil CO₂ efflux and there is still considerable uncertainty about soil CO₂ efflux and subsequent losses and accumulation rates in the logged area and recovering foresta in the lowland forests Peninsular Malaysia (Pan et al., 2011). In spite of this aforementioned challenge in the tropical forest of Malaysia, few studies has been conducted in the forests of different age of the lowland Peninsular Malaysia (Adachi et al., 2006). A more detailed understanding of the impacts of logging on soil CO₂ efflux and the emission rate in the recovering forest, from the soil into the atmosphere in Malaysia is needed for forest management and mitigation of climate change. This study will determine soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over area and recovering forests of different ages and it influential factors to ascratin the rate of CO₂ emission rate as result of forests disturbance in the Peninsular Malaysia.

The Malaysian forest logging and land conversion scenario has resulted in high average temperature increases by 1.1°C for the last two decades and is expected to warm further at the rate of 0.9°C. This changing air temperature in Malaysia's climate will severely test the viability of many current agricultural practices, its

regional change in rainfall pattern, increase in the intensity and frequency of severe storms, flooding, landslides, and urban heat as gas been observed in several towns and cities in Malaysia. Urgent and precautionary steps need to be taken in order to check the CO₂ emission rate by determining the hotspots and factors responsible and thereby establishing mitigation strategies. Malaysia is already facing the maladies of the environmental problem due to climate change with little research done to ascertain the rate of soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over areas, deforestation, agricultural land changes and recovering forests, which means there are no corresponding concepts being developed to deal with these issues by measuring CO₂ emission (Bari et al., 2012). Over time CO₂ emission is at a high rate of increase in Malaysia: in 2007, the UNDP ranked Malaysia as the 27th largest CO₂ emitter in the world. However, Malaysia will heading in the fast lane towards the top of the list if no action is taken soon to ascertain CO₂ efflux rate, determination of environmental variables and introduce mitigation measures. In such a case there would be serious negative impacts on the environment resulting from soil CO₂ efflux the logged-over area in Sungai Menyala forest. Also this could lead to a general human health and water supply implication as well as impact on the national economy (UNFCC, 2011).

1.3 Scope of the Research

Soil CO₂ efflux is a major contributor to the global carbon cycle. The magnitude of soil CO₂ efflux into the atmospheric carbon pool is estimated to be 68 - 100 Pg C/year (Akburak & Makineci, 2013). While forest harvesting, land conversion and disturbance can have great implication on soil CO₂ efflux, and this has been reported to either increase or decrease CO₂ efflux from forest soils compared to undisturbed forest (Ewel et al., 1981; Toland & Zak, 1994). Therefore, there is need to determine soil CO₂ efflux in recovering forests and logged-over areas and the various associated environmental factors.

This research basically attempts to cover various recovering forests of different ages - 10, 30, 50, and 70-year-old forests - with a logged-over area, forest biomass input, soil carbon stock, soil temperature, soil moisture, water potential and total organic carbon (TOC), soil organic carbon (SOC), soil carbon stock (SOCstock), soil pH and bulk density. The location of this research is within the forest reserve of Sungai Menyala, Port Dickson, Negeri Sembilan in Peninsular Malaysia, with a landmass of 1,273.43 hectares. This study will hopefully bridge the knowledge gap in terms of soil CO₂ efflux data from different forest ages and its impact on the atmospheric carbon balance in the lowland forests of Peninsular.

1.4 Research Questions

- I. What are the rates of soil CO₂ efflux from various forest ecosystems?
- II. What are the variations in soil CO₂ efflux across the seasons in forests of different ages?
- III. What is the relationship between forest biomass input, soil carbon stock, SOC, TOC, soil pH, microbial activities, soil temperature and soil moisture?
- IV. What is the amount of soil CO₂ efflux compared to the various forest ages?
- V. What are the percentages of soil CO₂ efflux being contributed to the atmospheric carbon pool and climate change?
- VI. What is the ingenuity in the techniques constructed?

1.5 General Objectives

- To Assess soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over forest and recovering forest of different age and its effects on the atmospheric carbon balance.

1.6 Specific Objectives

- To determine the influence of environmental factors on soil CO₂ efflux
- To determine the contributing effect of forest biomass input on biological process to emit soil CO₂
- To determine the rate of soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over area and recovering forests using innovative constructed equipment.

1.7 Hypotheses

Based on the above objectives the following general hypotheses will be tested:

H₀: Soil CO₂ efflux will not significantly be affected based on the forest canopy stand density.

H₁: Soil CO₂ efflux will significantly be affected based on the forest canopy stand density

H₀: Carbon and nitrogen input from litter fall will not significantly increase both microbial activity and root respiration resulting in high soil CO₂ efflux rate.

H₁: Carbon and nitrogen input from litter fall will significantly increase both microbial activity and root respiration resulting in high soil CO₂ efflux rate.

H₀: Soil temperature, soil moisture, TOC, SOC, bulk density will not all be significant positive correlated with soil CO₂ efflux

H₁: Soil temperature, soil moisture, TOC, SOC, bulk density will all be significant positive correlated with soil CO₂ efflux

H₀: Forest biomass will not increase carbon input, thereby will not significantly affect the biological process to emit soil CO₂ in both forest stand and logged-over areas.

H₁: Forest biomass will increase carbon input, thereby will significantly affect the biological process to emit soil CO₂ in both forest stand and logged-over areas.

1.7 Significance of the Study

Soil CO₂ efflux determination in the recovering forest of different ages, logged-over area and environmental factors is of paramount importance and conspicuous in recognising that higher-order effects result from more intervening variables. Soil CO₂ efflux from logged-over and forest of different ages will enable prompt action to be taken in addressing sustainable forest management. The detail implication of logging on the net carbon budget of the forest will enable new strategy for carbon management. Whereas, soil CO₂ efflux data generated from this study area will be used by relevant government agencies to sustain their policy on climate change and environmental management strategies. This research will prompt Malaysia government on systematic CO₂ efflux observation for predicting, communicating and environmental planning to curtail adverse effects. The data will be relevant to governmental on adaptation based on wise resource management: mitigation to enhance adaptation and sustainable development. Also government will improve on afforestation for emission reduction and carbon sink enhancement. Furthermore, this data will be important to forest managers and forest stewards for carrying out appropriate action in forest management to serve as a carbon sink.

1.9 Organisation of the Thesis

In order to have a holistic approach to the subject of soil CO₂ efflux and its atmospheric carbon impact from the recovering lowland forests of Peninsular Malaysia, the following steps were taken in this study: Chapter I deal with the introductory aspect of the study. This involved an overview of climate change resulting from CO₂ efflux, deforestation and changing environmental factors likewise objectives, hypothesis and significance of the study were highlighted.

Chapter II focus on a review from the onset to recent issues regarding soil CO₂ efflux determination and environmental measurement of various forest forest age. Likewise the implication of soil CO₂ efflux on the atmospheric carbon balance was study. Measuring techniques was reviewed in order to identify the suitable techniques to be adopted for modification and the conceptual framework of the flow-path of soil CO₂ efflux.

Chapter III elucidates the research methodology which includes; the experimental design and structure, techniques construction and function. Forest site characteristics in terms of leaves area index (LAI), litter fall, total aboveground biomass (TAGB), belowground biomass (BGB), total forest carbon stock (SOCs), soil organic carbon stock (SOCstock), environmental factors measurements and soil samples analyses will also be presented.

Chapter IV was focus on the results presentation, statistical analysis and discussion. Chapter V was deal on conclusion and recommendations for further study.

REFERENCES

- Achard, F., Eva, H. D., Stibig, H. J., Mayaux, P., Gallego, J., Richards, T., & Malingreau, J. P. 2002. Determination of deforestation rates of the world's humid tropical forests. *Science*, 297, 999 – 1002.
- Adachi, M., Bekku, Y. S., Rashidah, W., Okuda, T., & Koizumi, H. 2006. Differences in soil respiration between different tropical ecosystems. *Applied Soil Ecology*, 34(2-3), 258–265. doi:10.1016/j.apsoil.2006.01.006
- Akburak, S., & Makineci, E. 2013. Temporal changes of soil respiration under different tree species. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 185(4), 3349–58. doi:10.1007/s10661-012-2795-6
- Alexander, K., Astrid, R., Werner, L. K., Mathias, G., Nina, B., 2008. Representative estimate of soil and ecosystem respiration in an old beech forest. *Plant Soil* 302:189 – 202.
- Ali, M., Taylor, D., & Inubushi, K. 2006. Note Effects of Environmental Variations On CO₂ Efflux From A Tropical Peatland In Eastern Sumatra, 26(2), 612–618.
- Allison, I., Bindoff, N.L., Bindschadler, R.A., Cox, P.M., de Noblet, N., England, M. H., Francis, J.E., Gruber, N., Haywood, A.M., Karoly, D.J., et al. 2009. The Copenhagen diagnosis, 2009: updating the world on the latest climate science. Sydney: The University of New South Wales, Climate Change Research Centre (CCRC).
- Angelsen, A., and Kaimowitz, D. 1999. Rethinking the causes of deforestation: Lessons from economic models. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 14, 73 – 98.
- Årgen, G.I., McMurtrie, R.E., Parton, W.J., Pastor, J., and Shugart, H.H. 1991. State-of-the-art of models of production-decomposition linkages in conifer and grassland ecosystems. *Ecological Applications* 1(2): 118-138.
- Asensio, D., Yuste, J. C., Mattana, S., Ribas, À., Llusà, J., & Peñuelas, J. 2012. Litter VOCs induce changes in soil microbial biomass C and N and largely increase soil CO₂ efflux. *Plant and Soil*, 360(1-2), 163–174. doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1220-9
- Bääth, E. 1980. Soil fungal biomass after clear-cutting of a pine forest in central Sweden. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 12: 495-500.
- Bae, K., Lee, D. K., Fahey, T. J., Woo, S. Y., Quaye, A. K., & Lee, Y.-K. 2012. Seasonal variation of soil respiration rates in a secondary forest and agroforestry systems. *Agroforestry Systems*, 87(1), 131–139. doi:10.1007/s10457-012-9530-8

- Bari, M. A., Raja Datuk Zaharaton, R. Z. A., & Jaafar, A. H. 2012. The Role of CO₂ Emission in Energy Demand and Supply Md . Azizul Bari , 1 Joy Jacqueline Pereira , 1 Rawshan Ara Begum , Institute for Environment and Development , Faculty of Centre for Graduate Management , Faculty of Economics and Department of Econom, 9(5), 641–646.
- Batjes, N. H. 1996. Total carbon and nitrogen in the soils of the world. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 47, 151-163. *Roepen Journal of Soil Science*, 151–163.
- Bolstand, P. V., Gower, S.T. 1990. Estimation of leaf area index in fourteen southern Wisconsin forest stands using a portable radiometer. *Tree physiol.* 7, 115-124.
- Bond-Lamberty, B., & Thomson, A. 2010. Temperature- associated increases in the global soil respiration record. *Nature*. 464:., 579–582.
- Bowden, R.D., Nadelhoffer, K.J., Boone, R.D., Melillo, J.M., and Garrison, J.B. 1993. Contributions of aboveground litter, belowground litter, and root respiration to total soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Canadian Journal of Forest.* 23; 1402-1407.
- Bradford , B. J., & Michael, G. R. 2008. Quantifying Soil Respiration at landscape Scales. Hoover, Coeli M., ed. *Field measurements for forest carbon monitoring: A landscape-scale approach*. New York, NY: Springer Science + Business Media: 143-162.
- Bremner, J. M. 1960. Determination of nitrogen in soil by the Kjeldahl method. *J Agric Sci* 55:, 11 – 33.
- Brito, P., Trujillo, J. L., Morales, D., Soledad, M., & Wieser, G. 2013. Acta Oecologica Soil moisture overshadows temperature control over soil CO₂ efflux in a *Pinus canariensis* forest at treeline in Tenerife , Canary Islands. *Acta Oecologica*, 48, 1–6. doi:10.1016/j.actao.2013.01.006
- Broecker, W. S. 2007. CO₂ arithmetic. *Science* 315:1371
- Brook, B. W., Sodhi, N. S., & Ng, P. K. L. 2003. Catastrophic extinctions follow deforestation in Singapore. *Nature* , 424 , 420 – 426.
- Brookfield, H., & Byron, Y. 1990. Deforestation and Timber extraction in Borneo and the Peninsular Malaysia. *Global Environmental Change: human & policy dimension*.
- Brown, S. 1997. *Estimating Biomass and Biomass Change for of Tropical Forests: A primer*. Rome: FAO.
- Cable, J. M., Ogle, K., Lucas, R. W., Huxman, T. E., Loik, M. E., Smith, S. D., & Gestel, N. C. 2011. The temperature responses of soil respiration in deserts : a seven desert synthesis, 71–90. doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9448-z

- Chen, Y., Luo, J., Li, W., Yu, D., & She, J. 2014. Comparison of soil respiration among three different subalpine ecosystems on eastern Tibetan Plateau, China. *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition*, 60(2), 231–241. doi:10.1080/00380768.2013.873991
- Cramer, W., Bondeau, A., Schaphoff, S., Lucht, W., Smith, B., Stich, S. 2004. Tropical forests and the global carbon cycle: impacts of atmospheric carbon dioxide, climate change and rate of deforestation, *Phil. Trans. R Soc. Lond. B.*, 359, 331-343.
- Curiel, Y., Janssen, I. A., Carrara, A., Ceulemans, R. 2004. Annual Q10 of soil respiration reflects plant phenological patterns as well as temperature sensitivity. *Global Change Biol.* 10, 161-169.
- Davi, H., Soudani, K., Deckx, T., Dufrene, E., Ledantec, & C. Francois, V. 2006. Estimation of forest leaf area index from SPOT imagery using NDVI distribution over forest stands. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27(5), 885–902. doi:10.1080/01431160500227896
- Davidson, E. A., Belk, E., Boone, R. D. 1998. Soil water content and temperature as independent of confounded factors controlling soil respiration in a temperate mixed hardwood forest. *Glob Chang Biol* 4:217 – 227.
- Davidson, E. R., Richard, A. D., Savage, K., Hollinger, D. Y. 2006. A distinct seasonal pattern of the ratio of soil respiration to total ecosystem respiration in a spruce dominated forest. *Glob change bio* 12:23-239.
- DeForest, J. L., Chen, J., & McNulty, S. G. 2009. Leaf litter is an important mediator of soil respiration in an oak-dominated forest. *International Journal of Biometeorology*, 53(2), 127–34. doi:10.1007/s00484-008-0195-y
- Dixon, R., Brown, S., Houghton, R., Solomon, A., Trexler, M., Wisniewski, J. 1994. Carbon pools and flux of global forest ecosystems. *Science (Washington)* 263(5144):185–189.
- Drewitt, G. , Black, T., Nestic, Z., Humphreys, E., Jork, E., Swanson, R., & Morgenstern, K. 2002. Measuring forest floor CO₂ fluxes in a Douglas-fir forest. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 110(4), 299–317. doi:10.1016/S0168-1923(01)00294-5
- Dupouey, J.L., Pignard, G., Badeau, V., Thimonier, A. , Dhoˆte , J.F., Nepveu, G., Berge`s, L., Augusto, L., Belkacem, S., Nys, C., 1999. Stocks et flux de carbone dans les foreˆts franc̃aises. *C R AcadAgricFr.* 85,293 – 310.
- Edwards, D. P., Fisher, B., Boyd, E. 2010. Protecting degraded rainforests: enhancement of forest carbon stocks under REDD+. *Conservation Letters* 3:, 313–316.
- Edwards, N.T., & Harris, W.F. 1977. Carbon cycling in a mixed deciduous forest floor. *Ecology* 58: 431-437.

- Ekblad, A., Bostrom, B., Holm, A., Comstedt, D. 2005. Forest soil respiration rate delta C13 is regulated by recent aboveground weather conditions. *Oecologia* 143: 136-142.
- Eleanor, M., 2008. Soil organic carbon. In: Cleveland CJ (ed) *Encyclopedia of earth. Environmental Information Coalition, National Council for Science and the Environment*, Washington, DC. Retrieved June 13, 2009. <http://www.eoearth.org/article/Soil_organ.
- Eler, K., Plestenjak, G., Ferlan, M., Čater, M., Simončič, P., & Vodnik, D. 2013. Soil respiration of karst grasslands subjected to woody-plant encroachment. *European Journal of Soil Science*, 64(2), 210–218. doi:10.1111/ejss.12020
- Eswaran, H., Van Den, B., Reich, P. 1993. Organic Carbon in soils. World soil science society Of America. *Journal V 57* pp192-194.
- Ewel, J., Berish, C., Brown, B., Price, N., and Raich, J. 1981. Slash and burn impacts on a Costa Rican wet forest site. *Ecology* 62(3): 816-829.
- Falge, E., Baldocchi, D., Olson, R., Anthoni, P., Aubinet, M., Bernhofer, Ch., Burba, G., Ceulemans, R., Clement, R., Dolman, H., Granier, A., Gross, P., Gru'nwald, T., Hollinger, D., Jenson, N.-O., Katul, G., Keronen, P., Kowalski, A., Lai, C.T., Law, B., Meyers, T., Moncrieff, J., Moors, E.J., Munger, W., Pilegaard, K., Rannik, U., Rebmann, C., Sukyer, A., Tenhunen, J., Tu, K., Verma, S., Vesala, T., Wilson, K., Wofsy, S. (2001). Gap filling strategies for defensible annual sums of net ecosystem exchange. *Agric. For. Meteorol.* 107, 43–69. *Agric. For. Meteorol*, 43–69.
- Fang, C., Moncrieff, J. B., Gholz, H. L., & Clark, K. L. 1998. Soil CO₂ efflux and its spatial variation in a Florida slash pine plantation, 135–146.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture organisation). 2010. State of the World 's Forest , Technical report , Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United nation.
- FAO (Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations) 2006. Global forest resources assessment 2005. Progress towards sustainable forest management. FAO Forestry paper 147. FAO, Rome.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization. of the United Nation). 1990. FAO/UNESCO Soil map of the world: revised legend 1:5,000,000 Vol. 1-10 Paris: UNESCO
- FAO. 2007. The Forest Resources of the Tropical zone, the main ecological regions. Crop Production and Food Situation. No 3.
- Feldpausch, T. R., Rondon, M.A., Fernandes, E.C.M., Riha, S. J., & Wandelli, E. 2004. Carbon and nutrient accumulation in secondary forests regenerating on pastures in Central Amazonia. *Ecological Applications*, 14, 164–176.

- Fernandez, I.J., Son, Y., Kraske, C.R., Rustad, L.E., David, M. B. 1993. Soil carbon dioxide characteristics under different forest types and after harvest. *Soil Science Society of American Journal* 57: 1115 – 1121.
- Fritze, H., Pennanen, T., & Pietikäinen, J. 1993. Recovery of soil microbial biomass and activity from prescribed burning. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 23: 1286-1290.
- Gaumont-Guay, D., Black, T. A., Griffis, T. J., Barr, A. G., J., & R. S. & Nestic, Z. 2006. Interpreting the dependence of soil respiration on soil temperature and water content in a boreal aspen stand. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 140, 220-235.
- Gillis, M., & Repetto, R. 1988. *Public Policies, the misuse of Forest Resource*, World Resource Institute, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- Gobin, A., Camping, P., Feyen, J. 2002. Logistic modelling to drive agricultural land use determinants: A case study from Southeastern Nigeria. *Agricultural, Ecotsems and Environment* (89), 213–228.
- Gong, J., Ge, Z., An, R., Duan, Q., You, X., & Huang, Y. 2012. Soil respiration in poplar plantations in northern China at different forest ages. *Plant and Soil*, 360(1-2), 109–122. doi:10.1007/s11104-011-1121-3
- Gordon, A. M., Schlenter, R. E., & Van Cleave, K. 1987. Seasonal patterns of soil respiration and CO₂ evolution following harvesting in the white spruce forests of interior Alaska. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research*. 17:, 304–310.
- Gough, C. M., Seiler, J. R., Wiseman, P. E., & Maier, C. A. 2005. Soil CO₂ efflux in loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) plantations on the Virginia Piedmont and South Carolina Coastal Plain over a rotation-length chronosequence. *Biogeochemistry*, 73(1), 127–147. doi:10.1007/s10533-004-0566-3
- Graf Pannatier, E., Dobbertin, M., Heim, A., Schmitt, M., Thimonier, A., Waldner, P., & Frey, B. 2010. Response of carbon fluxes to the 2003 heat wave and drought in three mature forests in Switzerland. *Biogeochemistry*, 107(1-3), 295–317. doi:10.1007/s10533-010-9554-y
- Greene C.H, Pershing A.J, 2007 Climate drives sea change. *Science*. 315, 1084-1085. doi:10.1126/science.1136495.
- Groisman, P. Y., Knight, W. R., Karl, R. T., Easterling, R.D., Sun, B., Lawrimore, H. J. 2004. Contemporary Changes of hydrological cycle over the contiguous united state: Trends derived from in situ observation. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 5.(1): 64-85.
- Hanson, P.J., Edwards, N.T., Garten, C.T., & Andrews, J.A. 2000. Separating root and soil microbial contributions to soil respiration: A review of methods and observations. *Biogeochemistry* 48: 115-146.

- Harmon, M.E., Ferrell, W.K., and Franklin, J.F. 1990. Effects on carbon storage of conversions of old growth forests to young forests. *Science* 247:699-701.
- Hashimoto, T., Miura, S., & Ishizuka, S. 2009. Temperature controls temporal variation in soil CO₂ efflux in a secondary beech forest in Appi Highlands, Japan. *Journal of Forest Research*, 14(1), 44–50. doi:10.1007/s10310-008-0096-2
- Hibbard, K. A., Law, B. E., Reichstein, M., Sulzman, J. 2005. An analysis of soil respiration across northern hemisphere temperate ecosystems. *Biogeochemistry*. 73, 29-70.
- Houghton, R. A. 1999. The annual net flux of C to the atmosphere from changes in land use 1850-1990, *Tellus*, 1999, 51B:298-313. *Tellus*, 298–313.
- Houghton, R., & Hackler, J. 1999. Emissions of carbon from forestry and land use change in tropical Asia. *Glob Chang Biol* 5(4):481–492.
- Houghton, R.A., Hobbie, J.E., Melillo, J.M., Moore, B., Peterson, B.J., Shaver, G.R., and Woodwell, G.M. 1983. Changes in the carbon content of terrestrial biota and soils between 1860 and 1980: A net release of CO₂ to the atmosphere. *Ecological Monograph*. 53(3): 235-262.
- Howard, D.M., & Howard, P. J. A. 1993. Relationships between CO₂ evolution, moisture content and temperature for a range of soil types. *Soil Biol Biochem* 25:1537–1546.
- Hu, R., Kusa, K., & Hatano, R. 2001. Soil respiration and methane flux in adjacent forest , grassland , and cornfield soils in Hokkaido, Japan Soil Respiration and Methane Flux in Adjacent Forest, Grassland, and Cornfield Soils in Hokkaido, Japan, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* (October), 37–41.
- Hu, R., Ryusuke, H., Kanako, K., & Sawamoto, T. 2004. Soil respiration and net ecosystem production in an onion field in Central Hokkaido, Japan Soil Respiration and Net Ecosystem Production in an Onion Field in Central Hokkaido , Japan, *Soil Science and Plant Nutrition* (October 2012), 37–41.
- Huang, Y., Zhou, G., Tang, X., Jiang, H., Zhang, D., & Zhang, Q. 2011. Estimated soil respiration rates decreased with long-term soil microclimate changes in successional forests in southern China. *Environmental Management*, 48(6), 1189–97. doi:10.1007/s00267-011-9758-5
- Ikkonen, E. N., Garsía-Calderón, N. E., Álvarez-Arteaga, G., Ibáñez-Huerta, a., Fuentes-Romero, E., & Hernández-Solís, J. M. 2013. The CO₂ concentration in soils of montane cloud forests of southern Mexico. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 46(2), 153–157. doi:10.1134/S1064229313020063
- Inoue, T. 2012. Forest Science and Technology Seasonal variability of soil respiration in multiple ecosystems under the same physical – geographical environmental conditions in central Japan, (October), 37–41.

- IPCC (Intergovernmental panel on climate change). 2007b. Climate change 2007. The physical science basis contribution of working group 1 to the fourth assessment report of the IPCC. Edited by Susan Solomon et al. Cambridge: Cambridge university press.
- IPCC Fourth Assessment Report: Climate Change 2007. Working Group I: The Physical Science Basis and for a brief overview, the IPCC FAQs.
- IPCC. 2007. Climate change 2007: synthesis report [Internet] [cited 2013 Dec 20]. Available from: http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/assessment-report/ar4/syr/ar4_syr_spm.pdf. (2007).
- IPCC. 2007. Climate Change 2007. Climate Change Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability. In Working Group II 2007 Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- Iqbal, J., Hu, R.G., Du, L.J., Lu, L., Lin, S., Chen, T., & Ruan, L. L. 2008. Differences in soil CO₂ flux between different land use types in mid-subtropical China. *Soil Biol Biochem* 40:, 2324 – 2333.
- Jackson, R.B., Canadell, J., Ehleringer, J.R., Mooney, H.A., Sala, O.E., & Schulze, E.D. 1996. A global analysis of root distributions for terrestrial biomes. *Oecologia* 108(3): 389-411.
- Jandl, R., Lindner, M., Vesterdal, L., Bauwens, B., Baritz, R., Hagedorn, F., Johnson, D. W., Minkinen, K., Byrne, K. A. 2007. How strongly can forest management influence soil carbon sequestration? *Geoderma* 137:253 – 268.
- Janssens, I. A., Lankreijer, H., Matteucci, G., et al. 2001. Productivity overshadows temperature in determining soil and ecosystem respiration across European forests. *Global Change Biology* 7:269 – 278.
- Janssens, I.A., Kowalski, A.S., Longdoz, B., and Ceulemans, R. 2000. Assessing forest soil CO₂ efflux: an in situ comparison of four techniques. *Tree Physiology* 20: 23-32..
- Jansson, P-E., Svensson, M., Kleja, D.B., Gustafsson, D. 2008. Simulated climate change impacts on fluxes of carbon in Norway spruce ecosystems along a climatic transect in Sweden. *Biogeochemistry*. 89(1): 81–94.
- Jensen, L.S., Mueller, T., Tate, K.R., Ross, D.J., Magid, J., and Nielsen, N.E. 1996. Soil surface CO₂ flux as an index of soil respiration in situ: A comparison of two methods. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 28 (10/11): 1297-1306.
- Jia, B., Zhou, G., Wang, F., Wang, Y., & Weng, E. 2007. Effects Of Grazing On Soil Respiration Of *Leymus Chinensis* Steppe. *Climatic Change*, 82(1-2), 211–223. doi:10.1007/s10584-006-9136-0
- Jia, B., Zhou, G. & Wang, Y. 2006. Effects of temperature and soil water-content on soil respiration of grazed and ungrazed *Leymus chinensis* steppes, Inner Mongolia. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 67, 60 - 76.

- Jin, H.-M., Sun, O. J., Luo, Z.-K., & Liu, J. 2009. Dynamics of soil respiration in sparse *Ulmus pumila* woodland under semi-arid climate. *Ecological Research*, 24(4), 731–739. doi:10.1007/s11284-008-0544-7
- Jobbágy, E.G., and Jackson, R.B. 2000. The vertical distribution of soil organic carbon and its relation to climate and vegetation. *Ecological Applications* 10(2): 423-436.
- Johnson, D. W., Curtis, P. S. 2001. Effects of forest management on soil C and N storage: meta analysis. *For Ecol Manage* 140:227 – 238.
- Kadir, W., Adachi, M., Ito, A., Yamagata, Y. 2010. Carbon budget of tropical forests and the effects of deforestation: An approach using a process-based model and field measurements. Joint Research Project on Tropical Forest Ecology and Biodiversity . Nishiki Publishing: Higashi-Hiroshima , Japan, 1–10.
- Kadir, W., Philip, E., Shamsuddin, I., Takada, M., Yamada, T., Liang, N., Okuda, T. 2010. Soil respiration in tropical rainforest: Its spatial variation and impact of logging. Joint Research Project on Tropical Forest Ecology and Biodiversity . Nishiki Publishing: Higashi-Hiroshima , Japan, 21–28.
- Kato, J., Tadaki, Y., Ogawa, H. 1978. Biomass and growth increment studies in Pasoh Forest, Malaysia. *Nat. J.* 30, 211-224.
- Keller, M., Varner, R., Dias, J. D., Silva, H. Crill, P. De Olivwria Jr. R. C., Anser, G. P. 2005. Soil-Atmospheric Exchange of Nitrous oxide, Methane, and Carbon dioxide in Logged and Undisturbed Forest in the Tapajos National Forest, Brazil. *Earth Interactions*, 9: 1–28.
- Kellman, L., Beltrami, H., & Risk, D. 2006. Changes in seasonal soil respiration with pasture conversion to forest in Atlantic Canada. *Biogeochemistry*, 82(1), 101–109. doi:10.1007/s10533-006-9056-0
- Kim, C. 2006. Carbon storage , litterfall and soil CO₂ efflux of a larch (*Larix leptolepis*) stand, (February 2012), 37–41.
- Kim, D., Harazono, Y., Baten, M. A., Nagai, H., & Tsuruta, H. 2002. Surface Flux Measurements of CO₂ and N₂O from a Dried Rice Paddy in Japan during a Fallow Winter Season PAPER Surface Flux Measurements of CO₂ and N₂O from a Dried Rice Paddy in Japan during a Fallow Winter Season, (October 2012), 37–41.
- King, J.S., Pregitzer, K.S., Zak, D.R., Sober, J., Isebrands, J.G., D., & R.E., Hendrey, G.R., Karnosky, D.F. 2001. Fine-root biomass and fluxes of soil carbon in young stand of birch and trembling aspen as affected by elevated atmospheric CO₂ and tropospheric CO₂ and tropospheric O₃. *Oecologia* 128: 237-250.
- Kintisch, Eli. 2010. Hack the planet: Science best hope or worst Nightmare for averting climate catastrophic. New look Wiley.

- Kirschbaum, M.U.F. 2000. Will changes in soil organic carbon act as a positive or negative feedback on global warming? *Biogeochemistry* 48: 21-51.
- Knohl, A., Sørensen, A. R. B., Kutsch, W. L., Göckede, M., & Buchmann, A. K. N. 2008. Representative estimates of soil and ecosystem respiration in an old beech forest. *Plant and Soil*, 189–202. doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9467-2
- Kok, K., Veldkamp, T. A. 2001. Evaluating impact of spatial scales on land use pattern analysis in central America. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment* 85 (1-3), 205–221.
- Kominami, Y., Jomura, M., Ataka, M., Tamai, K., Miyama, T., Dannoura, M., & Yoshimura, K. 2011. Heterotrophic respiration causes seasonal hysteresis in soil respiration in a warm-temperate forest. *Journal of Forest Research*, 17(3), 296–304. doi:10.1007/s10310-011-0315-0
- Koonin, S. E. 2008. Challenges of CO₂ stabilization. *Elements* 4:294-295
- Kou, T., Zhu, J., Xie, Z., Hasegawa, T., & Heiduk, K. 2007. Effect of elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration on soil and root respiration in winter wheat by using a respiration partitioning chamber. *Plant and Soil*, 299(1-2), 237–249. doi:10.1007/s11104-007-9380-8
- Kozłowski, T.T., & Pallardy, S.G. 1997. *Physiology of Woody Plants*. 2nd .Academic Press. San Diego.pp 409.
- Lal, R. 2003. Global Potential of Soil Carbon Sequestration to Mitigate the Greenhouse Effect. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 22(2), 151–184. doi:10.1080/713610854
- Lal, R. 2004. Soil carbon sequestration to mitigate climate change. *Geoderma* 123, 1–22.
- Lal, R. 2008. Soil Carbon Sequestration for Sustaining Agricultural Production and Improving the Environment with Particular Reference to Brazil. *Journal of Sustainable Agriculture*, 37–41. doi:10.1300/J064v26n04
- Lal, R. 2009. Sequestering Atmospheric Carbon Dioxide. *Critical Reviews in Plant Sciences*, 28(3), 90–96. doi:10.1080/07352680902782711
- Lal, R., Kimbe, J., Foette, R., and Steward, B.A. 1998. *Soil processes and the Carbon cycle*. CRC/Lewis Publisher, Boca Raton FL .
- Langner, A., Miettinen, J. & Siebert, F. 2007. Land cover change 2002–2005 in Borneo and the role of fire derived from MODIS imagery. *Global Change Biology* 13:, 2329–2340.
- Larcher, W. 1995. *Physiological Plant Ecology*. 3rd ed. Springer-verlag. Berlin. pp 506.

- Larionova, A. A., Yermolayev, A. M., Blagodatsky, S. A., Rozanova, L. N., Yevdokimov, I. V., & Orlinsky, D. B. 1998. Soil respiration and carbon balance of gray forest soils as affected by land use. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 27(3), 251–257. doi:10.1007/s003740050429
- Law, B.E., Falge, E., Gu, L., Baldocchi, D.D., Bakwin, P., Berbigier, P., Davis, K., Dolman, A.J., Falk, M., Fuentes, J.D., Goldstein, A., Granier, A., Grelle, A., Hollinger, D., Janssens, I. A., Jarvis, P., Jarvis, P., Jensen, N.O., Katul, G., Mahli, Y., M. 2002. Environmental Controls over carbon dioxide and water vapour exchange of terrestrial. *Agricultural and forest meteorology*, 113:97-120
- Lee, M., Nakane, K., Nakatsubo, T., & Koizumi, H. 2003. Seasonal changes in the contribution of root respiration to total soil respiration in a cool-temperate deciduous forest. *Plant and Soil*, 255(1), 311–318. doi:10.1023/A:1026192607512
- Leigh, E. G. J. 1999. *Tropical forest ecology: A view from Barro Colorado Island*, Oxford University Press, New York, Oxford.
- Levitt, J. 1980. *Responses of Plants to Environmental Stress: Volume 2 - Water, Radiation, Salt, and Other Stresses*. 2nd ed. academic Press. New York. pp605.
- Li, L., You, M., Shi, H., Ding, X., Qiao, Y., & Han, X. 2013. European Journal of Soil Biology Soil CO₂ emissions from a cultivated Mollisol : Effects of organic amendments , soil temperature , and moisture. *European Journal of Soil Biology*, 55, 83–90. doi:10.1016/j.ejsobi.2012.12.009
- Li, L.H., Wang, Q.B., Bai, Y.F., Zhou, G.S., & Xing, X. R. 2000. Soil respiration of a *Leymus Chinensis* grassland stand in the XiLin river basin as affected by over-grazing and climate. *Acta Phytoecol Sin* 24:680 – 686.
- Li, Z. G., Wang, X. J., Zhang, R. H., Zhang, J. & Tian, C. Y. 2011. Contrasting diurnal variations in soil organic carbon decomposition and root respiration due to a hysteresis effect with soil temperature in a *Gossypium s.* (cotton) plantation. *Plant S*.14: 211-221.
- Liang, N., Inoue, G. E. N., & Fujinuma, Y. 2003. A multichannel automated chamber system for continuous measurement of forest soil CO₂ efflux, 825–832.
- Liisa, K., Tiia, G., Lauri, L., Petri, K., Jukka, P., Timo, V., Pertti, H. 2009. Pressure Responses of portable CO₂ concentration sensor. *Boreal Environment Research*. 14,754- 7600.
- Litton, C. M., Giardina, C.P., Albano, J. K., Long, M. S., Asner, G. P. 2011. The magnitude and variability of soil-surface CO₂ efflux increase with mean annual temperature in Hawaiian tropical montane wet forests. *Soil Biol Biochem*. 43(11):, 43, 2315–2323.

- Lloyd, J., & Taylor, J.A. 1994. On the temperature dependence of soil respiration. *Functional Ecology* 8: 315-323.
- Londo, A.J., Messina, M.G., & Schoenholtz, S.H., 1999. Forest harvesting effects on soil temperature, moisture, and respiration in a bottomland hardwood forest. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 63: 637-644.
- Lopes de Gerenyu, V. O., Kurbatova, Y. A., Kurganova, I. N., Tiunov, A. V., Anichkin, A. Y., Myakshina, T. N., & Kuznetsov, A. N. 2011. Daily and seasonal dynamics of CO₂ fluxes from soils under different stands of monsoon tropical forest. *Eurasian Soil Science*, 44(9), 984–990.
doi:10.1134/S1064229311090067
- Lundgren, B. 1982. Bacteria in a pine forest as affected by clear-cutting. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 14: 537-542..
- Luo, Y., Zhou, X. 2006. Substrate supply and ecosystem productivity. In: Luo Y, Zhou X (eds) *Soil respiration and the environment*. Academic Press, San Diego New York, pp 79–84.
- Luysaert, S., Ciais, P., Piao, S.L., Schulze, E.D., Jung, M., Zaehle, S., Schelhaas, M.J., Reichstein, M., Churkina, G., Papale, D., Abril, G., Beer, C., Grace, J., Loustau, D., Matteucci, G., Magnani, F., Nabuurs, G.J., Verbeeck, H., Sulkava, M., Van de, 2010. The European carbon balance. Part 3: forests. *Glob Chang Biol*. 16,1429–1450.
- MacKinnon, K., Hatta, G., Halim, H., Mangalik, A. 1996. *The ecology of Kalimantan*. Hong Kong: Periplus Editions Ltd. p 802 .
- Maddala, G. S., & Lahiri, K. 2009. *Introduction to econometrics*. Wiley, New York.
- Maier, C.A., & Kress, L.W. 2000. Soil CO₂ evolution and root respiration in 11 year old loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) plantations as affected by moisture and nutrient availability. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 30:347-359.
- Malaysia Meteorological Department. (MMD). 2013. www.met.gov.my.
- Mallik, A.U., & Hu, D. 1997. Soil respiration following site preparation treatments in boreal mixedwood forest. *Forest Ecology and Management* 97: 265-275.
- Mande, H. K., Abdullah, A. M., Aris, A. Z., & Nuruddin, A. A. 2014. A Comparison of Soil CO₂ Efflux Rate in Young Rubber Plantation , Oil Palm Plantation , Recovering and Primary Forest Ecosystems of Malaysia, 23(5), 1649–1657.
- Mande, K. H., Abdullah, A. M., Zaharin, A.A., & Ainuddin, A. N. 2014. Drivers of Soil Carbon Dioxide Efflux in a 70 years Mixed Trees Species of Tropical Lowland Forest, Peninsular Malaysia, 43(12), 1843–1853.

- Mande, K. H., Ahmad, A. M., Ahmad, Z. A., Ahmad, N. A. 2013. Soil carbon dioxide efflux and atmospheric impact in a 10-year-old dipterocarpus recovering lowland tropical forest, peninsular Malaysia. From source to solution. Proceedings of the IENFORCE 2013. Springer Publishing: Heidelberg, New York, 165-169, 165–169.
- Manokaran, N., LaFrankie, J. V., Kochummen, K. M., Quah, E. S., Klahn, J. E., Ashton, P. S., and Hubbell, S. P. 1990. Methodology for the fifty-hectare research plot at Pasoh Forest reserve, Res. Pam. For. Res. Inst. Malaysia 104, 1 – 69.
- Manokaran, N., Swaine, M. D. 1994. Population Dynamics of Trees species in Dipterocarp Forests of Peninsular Malaysia. Forest Research Institute Malaysia. 40:, 25–55.
- Masyagina, O. V., Hirano, T., Ji, D. H., Choi, D. S., Qu, L., Fujinuma, Y., & Koike, T. 2006. Effect of spatial variation of soil respiration rates following disturbance by timber harvesting in a larch plantation in northern Japan. *Forest Science and Technology*, 2(2), 80–91. doi:10.1080/21580103.2006.9656303
- McCarthy, D. R., & Brown, K. J. 2006. Soil respiration responses to topography, canopy cover, and prescribed burning in an oakhickory forest in southeastern Ohio. *For Ecol Manage* 237:94 – 102.
- Melling, L., Hatano, R., and GoH, K. J. 2005. Soil CO₂ flux from three ecosystems in tropical peatland of Sarawak , Malaysia. *Agricultural, Applied*, 57B, 1–11.
- Ming, X. U., and Ye, Q. I. 2001. Soil- surface CO₂ efflux and it spatial and Temporal variation in a young ponderosa pine plantation In northern California. *Global Change Biology* 7. 667-677.
- Müller, E., Rottmann, N., Bergstermann, A., Wildhagen, H., & Joergensen, R. G. 2011. Soil CO₂ evolution rates in the field – a comparison of three methods. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Science*, 57(6), 597–608. doi:10.1080/03650340.2010.485984
- National Research Council. 1998. Decade-to-Century-Scale Climate Variability and Change: A Science Strategy. Panel on Climate Variability on Decade-to-Century Time Scales., Board on Atmospheric Sciences and Climate., Commission on Geosciences, Environment.
- Neergaard, A., Porter, J.R.,& Gorissen, A. 2002. Distribution of assimilated carbon in plants and rhizosphere soil of basket willow (*Salix viminalis* L). *Plant Soil* 245:, 307 – 314.
- Nhantumbo, A.D.J. Da. C and Bennie, A. T. 2001. A procedure for determining the minimum bulk density of soils. *South African Journal of Plant and Soil*, 18(1), 44–46. doi:10.1080/02571862.2001.10634401

- Noh, N. J., Son, Y., Lee, S. K., Yoon, T. K., Seo, K. W., Kim, C., & Hwang, J. 2010. Influence of stand density on soil CO₂ efflux for a *Pinus densiflora* forest in Korea. *Journal of Plant Research*, 123(4), 411–9. doi:10.1007/s10265-010-0331-8
- Norman, J.M., Garcia, R., Verma, S. B. 1992. Soil surface CO₂ fluxes and the carbon budget of a grassland, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres* 97:18845-18853.
- Ogawa, J. M., Sandeno, J. L., & Mathre, J. H., 1963. Comparisons in development and chemical control of decay organism on mechanical and hand harvested stone fruits. *Plant Dis. Rep* 47. 129- 133.
- Orchard, V.A., & Cook, F.J. 1983. Relationship between soil respiration and soil moisture. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 15(4): 447-453.
- Pacala, S., & Socolow, R. 2004. Stabilization wedges: solving the climate problem for the next 50 years with current technologies. *Science* 305:, 368–372.
- Pan, Y., Birdsey, R.A., Fang, J., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., et al. 2011. A large and persistent carbon sink in the world's forests. *Science* 333:, 988 – 993.
- Pangle, R. E., & Seiler, J. 2000. Influence of seedling roots, environmental factors and soil characteristics on soil CO₂ efflux rates in a 2 year old loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda*) plantation in the Virginia piedmont. *Environ. Poll.* 116,S85-S96.
- Paramanathan S. 2012. Keys to the Identification of Malaysian Soils using Parent Materials, 2nd Edn. Param Agricultural Soil Surveys (M) Sdn. BHD Malaysia, pp 2–20.
- Paramanathan S. 1998. Malaysian Soil Taxonomy (second Approximation): A Proposal for the Classification of Malaysian Soils. Malaysian Society of Soil Science, pp 121–156
- Peng, Y., & Thomas, S. C. 2006. Soil CO₂ efflux in uneven-aged managed forests: temporal patterns following harvest and effects of edaphic heterogeneity. *Plant and Soil*, 289(1-2), 253–264. doi:10.1007/s11104-006-9133-0
- Pietikäinen, J., & Fritze, H., 1995. Clear-cutting and prescribed burning in coniferous forest: comparison of effects on soil fungal and total microbial biomass, respiration activity and nitrification. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* 27(1): 101-109.
- Pinker, R. T., Zhang, B., & Dutton, E. G. 2005. Do satellites detect trends in surface solar radiation? *Science (New York, N.Y.)*, 308(5723), 850–854. doi:10.1126/science.1103159
- Raich, J. W., & Potter, C. S. 1995. Global patterns of carbon dioxide emissions from soils. *Global Biogeochemistry Cycles* 9: 23–36 .

- Raich, J.W., & Nadelhoffer, K.J. 1989. Belowground carbon allocation in forest ecosystems: Global trends. *Ecology* 70(5):1346-1354.
- Raich, J.W., & Schlesinger, W.H. 1992. The global carbon dioxide flux in soil respiration and its relationship to vegetation and climate. *Tellus* 44B: 81-99.
- Raich, J.W., & Tufekcioglu, A., 2000. Vegetation and soil respiration: correlations and controls. *Biogeochemistry* 48:71-90.
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P.J., Kiehl, J.T., . Rosenfeld, D. 2001. Aerosol, climate and the hydrological cycle, *Science*, 294, 2119 – 2124.
- Ravindranath, N. H., Somasekhar, B. S., Gadgil, M. 1997. Carbon flows in Indian forest. *Climate Change* 35:297–320.
- Rayment, M., & Jarvis, P. 2000. Temporal and spatial variation of soil CO₂ efflux in a Canadian boreal forest. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry*, 32(1), 35–45. doi:10.1016/S0038-0717(99)00110-8
- Ren, X., Wang, Q., Tong, C., Wu, J., Wang, K., Zhu, Y., & Tang, G. 2007. Estimation of soil respiration in a paddy ecosystem in the subtropical region of China. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 52(19), 2722–2730. doi:10.1007/s11434-007-0346-2
- Rey, A., Pegoraro, E., Tedeschi, V., Parri, I., Jarvis, P., Valentini, R. 2002. Annual variation in soil respiration and its components in a coppice oak forest in central Italy. *Glob Chang Biol* 8:, 851 – 866.
- Rochette, P., Flanagan, L.B. Gregorich, E. G. 1999. Separating soil respiration into plant and soil components using analysis of the natural abundance of carbon-13. *Soil Science Society of America Journal* 63:, 1207–1213.
- Rockström, J., Steffen, W., Noone, K., Persson, A., Chapin, F. S., Lambin, E. F., & Foley, J. A. 2009. A safe operating space for humanity. *Nature*, 461(7263), 472–5. doi:10.1038/461472a
- Romanyà, J., Casals, P., & Cortina, J. 2000. CO₂ efflux from a Mediterranean semi-arid forest soil . II . Effects of soil fauna and surface stoniness, 283–306.
- Ruddiman, W. F. 2005. *Plows, Plagues and Petroleum: How Humans Took Care of Climate* . Princeton University Press, Princeton, New Jersey, pp 202 ., 202.
- Ruesch, A., Gibbs, H. K. 2000. New IPCC Tier-1 global biomass carbon map for the year 2000. Available online from the Carbon Dioxide Information Analysis Center [<http://cdiac.ornl.gov>]. Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA: Oak Ridge National Laboratory.
- Rustad, L.E., Huntington, T.G., & Boone, R.D. 2000. Controls on soil respiration: implications for climate change. *Biogeochemistry* 49: 1-6.

- Rutigliano, F. A., Ascoli, R. D., De Santo, A. V., 2004. Soil microbial metabolism and nutrient status in a Mediterranean area as affected by plant cover.. *Soil Biology and Biochemistry* , 36: 1719 – 1729. [CrossRef], [Web of Science ®].
- Saeki T. 1963. Light Relations in plant communities. P 79-92. in L.T. Evans (ed) *Environmental control of plant growth*, Academic Press, London..
- Saiz, G., Green, C., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Kiese, R., Avitabile, V., & Farrell, E. P. 2006. Seasonal and spatial variability of soil respiration in four Sitka spruce stands. *Plant and Soil*, 287(1-2), 161–176. doi:10.1007/s11104-006-9052-0
- Sanar, P., Lim, R., Burla, B., Scherer-Lorenzen, M., Hector, A. 2009. Reduced soil respiration in gaps in logged lowland dipterocarp forests *Reduced soil respiration in gaps in logged lowland dipterocarp forests*, *Forest Ecology and Magement* 258:, 2007–2012.
- Saner, P., Loh, Y. Y., Ong, R. C., & Hector, A. 2012. Carbon stocks and fluxes in tropical lowland dipterocarp rainforests in Sabah, Malaysian Borneo. *PLoS One*, 7(1), e29642. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0029642
- Sarkar, S., Sen, D., Sarkar, A., Bhattacharjee, S., Bandopadhyaya, S., Ghosh, S., & Bhattacharjee, C. 2014. Modelling aspects of carbon dioxide capture technologies using porous contactors: a review. *Environmental Technology Reviews*, 3(1), 15–29. doi:10.1080/21622515.2014.919031
- Sarmiento, J.L. & Le Quéré, C. 1995. Limiting future atmospheric carbon dioxide. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles* 9(1): 121-137.
- Sartori, F., Lal, R., Ebinger, M. H., Eaton, J. A., 2007. Changes in soil carbon and nutrient pools along a chronosequence of poplar plantations in the Columbia Plateau, Oregon, USA. *Agric Ecosyst Environ* 122:325 – 339.
- Sawamoto, T., Hatano, R., Yajima, T., Takahashi, K., & Isaev, A. P. 2000. Soil Science and Plant Nutrition Soil respiration in Siberian Taiga ecosystems with different histories of forest fire *Soil Respiration in Siberian Taiga Ecosystems with Different Histories of Forest Fire*, (October 2012), 37–41.
- Schlesinger, W.H. & Andrews, J.A. 2000. Soil respiration and the global carbon cycle. *Biogeochemistry* 48: 7-20.
- Schlesinger, W.H., 1977. Carbon balance in terrestrial detritus. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* 8:51-81.
- Schrag, D. P. 2007. Confronting the climate energy challenge. *Elements* 3:171 – 178, 171–178.
- Shi, P.-L., Zhang, X.-Z., Zhong, Z.-M., & Ouyang, H. 2006. Diurnal and seasonal variability of soil CO₂ efflux in a cropland ecosystem on the Tibetan Plateau. *Agricultural and Forest Meteorology*, 137(3-4), 220–233. doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.02.008

- Shi, Z., Li, Y., Wang, S., Wang, G., Ruan, H., He, R., Zhang, Z. 2009. Accelerated soil CO₂ efflux after conversion from secondary oak forest to pine plantation in southeastern China. *Ecological Research*, 24(6), 1257–1265. doi:10.1007/s11284-009-0609-2
- Singh, M., Malhi, Y., & Bhagwat, S. 2014. Biomass estimation of mixed forest landscape using a Fourier transform texture-based approach on very-high-resolution optical satellite imagery. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 35(9), 3331–3349. doi:10.1080/01431161.2014.903441
- Slik, J.W.F., Shin-Ichiro, A., Brearley, F.Q., Cannon, C.H., Forshed, O. 2010. Environmental correlates of tree biomass, basal area, wood specific gravity and stem density gradients in Borneo's tropical forests. *Global Ecology and Biogeography* 19:, 50–60.
- Soe, A.R.B., Buchmann, N. 2005. Spatial and temporal variations in soil respiration in relation to stand structure and soil parameters in an unmanaged beech forest, 25(11), 1427–1436.
- Soil Survey Staff 1998. Keys to Soil Taxonomy, Eighth Edition USDA/NRCS, Washington, DC, 71–252.
- Sokal, R.R., & Rohlf, F. J. 1998. Biometry, W.H Freeman and Company. New York.
- Sollins, P., Glassman, C., Paul, E.A., Swanston, C., Lajtha, K., Heil, J.W., Elliott, E.T., Robertson, P. G., 1999. Soil carbon and nitrogen: pools and fractions. Standard soil methods for long-term ecological research. Oxford University Press UK. 89–105.
- Stern, D. I. 2006. Reversal of the trend in global anthropogenic sulfur emissions, *Global Environ. Change*, 16, 207 – 220.
- Streets, D. G., Y. Wu, & M. Chin 2006. Two-decadal aerosol trends as a likely explanation of the global dimming/brightening transition, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 33, L15806, doi:10.1029/2006GL026471., 26471. doi:10.1029/2006GL026471
- Striegl, R.G., & Wickland, K.P. 1998. Effects of a clear-cut harvest on soil respiration in a jack pine-lichen woodland. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 28: 534-539.
- Subke, J.A., Inglima, I., Cotrufo, M. F. 2006. Trends and methodological impacts in soil CO₂ efflux partitioning: a metaanalytical review. *Glob Chang Biol* 12:, 921 – 943.
- Suhaila, J., & Jemain, A. A. 2008. Fitting the Statistical Distribution for Daily Rainfall in Peninsular Malaysia Based on AIC Criterion, 4(12), 1846–1857.

- Sun, X. Y., Gao, C. Da, Zhang, L., Li, S. Y., & Qiao, Y. 2011. Characteristics of CO₂ release from forest soil in the mountains near Beijing. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, 175(1-4), 193–200. doi:10.1007/s10661-010-1505-5
- Tanaka, K., Hashimoto, S 2006. Plant canopy effects on soil thermal and hydrological properties and soil respiration. *Ecol Model* 196:32 – 44.
- Tang, J.W., Baldocchi, D.D., Qi, Y., Xu, L.K.. 2003. Assessing soil CO₂ efflux using continuous measurements of CO₂ profiles in soils with small solid-state sensors. *Agriculture and Forest Meteorology* 118: 207-220.
- Tang, X., Zhou, G., Liu, S., Zhang, D., Liu, S., Li, J. 2006. Dependence of soil respiration on soil temperature and soil moisture in successional forests in Southern China. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 48(6), 654–663.
- Tedeschi, V., Rey, A., M. R. 2006. Different developmental stages after coppicing. *Glob Chang Biol* 12:, 110 – 121.
- Teklay, T., & Chang, S. X., 2008. Temporal changes in soil carbon and nitrogen storage in a hybrid poplar chronosequence in northern Alberta. *Geoderma* 144:613 – 619.
- Toland, D.E., & Zak, D.R., 1994. Seasonal patterns of soil respiration in intact and clear-cut northern hardwood forests. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* 24: 1711-1716.
- Tomoharu, I. B., Shin, N., Shota, I., Masahiro, O., Shohei, S., & Koizumi, H. 2012. Forest Science and Technology Seasonal variability of soil respiration in multiple ecosystems under the same physical – geographical environmental conditions in central Japan, (October), 37–41.
- Torquebiau, E. F. 1988. PAR environment patch dynamics and architecture in a tropical rainforest in Sumatra, Aust. *J. Plant Physiol.* 15, 327 – 342.
- Toshihiro, L., Eisuke, K., Gakuji, K., Chin siong, H., 2006. Temperature Rise in a Tropical South Eastern Asia City cause by extend urbanization: Numerical analysis for 1970, 2000 and 2020, Based on Land use Urbanization.
- Trisurat, Y., Shrestha, R. P., & Kjellgren, R. 2011. Plant species vulnerability to climate change in peninsular Thailand. *Applied Geography* , 31 , 1106 – 1114.
- Trumbore, S. 2000. Age of soil organic matter and soil respiration: Radiocarbon constraints on belowground C dynamics. *Ecological Applications* 10(2): 399-411.
- Tucker, R.P., & Richards, J. F. 1984. *Global Deforestation and the Nineteenth Century world Economy*; Duke UniPress, Durham N.C. (1984), 1984.

- UNEP. 2014. United Nations Environment Programme. Introduction to Climate Change, [cited 2013 December 22]. Available from: <http://www.grida.no/climate/vital/06.htm>.
- UNEP. 1990. World resource. Oxford University press. New York
- United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC). 2011. Greenhouse Gas Inventory Data - Detailed data by Party". unfccc.int. Retrieved 2013-10-22.
- Uribe, C., Inclán, R., Sánchez, D. M., Clavero, M. a., Fernández, a. M., Morante, R., & Miegroet, H. 2013. Effect of wildfires on soil respiration in three typical Mediterranean forest ecosystems in Madrid, Spain. *Plant and Soil*. doi:10.1007/s11104-012-1576-x
- Valentini, R., Matteucci, G., Dolman ,A. J., Schulze, E. D., Rebmann, C., Moors, E. J., Granier, A., Gross, P., Jensen, N.O, Pilegaard, K., Lindroth, A., Grelle, A., Bernhofer, C., Grünwald, T., Aubinet, M.,Ceulemans, R., Kowalski, A.,S., Vesala, T. 2000. Repiration as the main determinant of European forests carbon balance. *Nature* 404:861-865.
- Verlinden, M. S., Broeckx, L. S., Wei, H., & Ceulemans, R. 2013. Soil CO₂ efflux in a bioenergy plantation with fast-growing *Populus* trees – influence of former land use, inter-row spacing and genotype. *Plant and Soil*, (Hansen 1993). doi:10.1007/s11104-013-1604-5
- Westerling, A.L., Hidalgo, H.G., Cayan ,D.R., Swetnam, T.W. 2006. Warming and earlier spring increase western U.S. forest wildfire activity. *Science*. 313, 940–943.
- Wingham, D. J. 2009. Spatial and temporal evolution of pine island glacier thinning 1995-2006. *Geophysical research letter* 36.
- Wirth, R., Weber, B., & Ryel, R. J. 2001. Spatial and temporal variability of canopy structure in a tropical moist forest. *Acta Oecologica*, 22(5-6), 235–244. doi:10.1016/S1146-609X(01)01123-7
- Wong, T., B. A. Wielicki, R. B. Lee III, G. L. Smith, K. A. Bush, & J. K. Willis 2006. Reexamination of the observed decadal variability of the Earth radiation budget using altitude-corrected ERBE/ERBS nonscanner WFOV data, *J. Clim.*, 19, 4028 – 4040.
- Wright, S. J., Muller-Landau, H. C. 2006. The future of tropical forest species. *Biotropica* 38:287 – 301.
- Wu, Y. Q, Liu, G. H., Fu, B. J., Liu, Z. F., Hu, H. F. 2006. Comparing soil CO₂ emission in pine plantation and oak shrub: dynamics and correlations. *Ecol Res* 21:840 – 848.

- Yanai RD, Currie WS, G. C. 2003. Soil carbon dynamics after forest harvest: an ecosystem paradigm reconsidered. *Ecosystems* 56:197 – 212.
- Yashiro, Y., Kadir, W. R., Okuda, T., Koizumi, H. 2008. The effects of Logging on soil greenhouse gas (CO₂, CH₄, N₂O) flux in a tropical rain forest, Peninsular Malaysia. *Agricultural and forest Meteorology* 148:, 799–806.
- Zhang, H., Zhou, X., Lu, F., Pang, J., Feng, Z., Liu, W., & Wang, X. 2011. Seasonal dynamics of soil CO₂ efflux in a conventional tilled wheat field of the Loess Plateau, China. *Ecological Research*, 26(4), 735–743. doi:10.1007/s11284-011-0832-5
- Zhang, J., Shangguan, T., & Meng, Z. 2010. Changes in soil carbon flux and carbon stock over a rotation of poplar plantations in northwest China. *Ecological Research*, 26(1), 153–161. doi:10.1007/s11284-010-0772-5
- Zhao, Z.-M., Zhao, C.-Y., Yilihamu, Y., Li, J.-Y., & Li, J. 2013. Contribution of Root Respiration to Total Soil Respiration in a Cotton Field of Northwest China. *Pedosphere*, 23(2), 223–228. doi:10.1016/S1002-0160(13)60010-7
- Zu, Y.-G., Wang, W.-J., Wang, H.-M., Liu, W., Cui, S., & Koike, T. 2009. Soil CO₂ efflux, carbon dynamics, and change in thermal conditions from contrasting clear-cut sites during natural restoration and uncut larch forests in northeastern China. *Climatic Change*, 96(1-2), 137–159. doi:10.1007/s10584-009-9601-7